

CORRECTION OF OUR PAPER "ON THE ITERATES OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS"

Imre Kátai and Bui Minh Phong

(Budapest, Hungary)

Communicated by Jean-Marie De Koninck

(Received 13 May 2026; accepted 28 August 2026)

Abstract. In this paper, we correct our article mentioned in the title.

The above mentioned paper was published in this journal **56** (2024) 263–270 (see [1]). The Section 6 of this paper will be changed.

Section 6. New results

Theorem 1. Let $H \in \mathcal{M}^*$, $|H(n)| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H(p) = \kappa$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 2$. Assume that $H(r) = \kappa^{\Omega(r)} \neq 1$, and choose an arbitrary real number $Y = Y(x) \in \left[x^{\frac{7}{12} + \varepsilon}, x^{\frac{2}{3}} \right]$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Y} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+Y} H\left(\tau^{(r)}(n)\right) = 0.$$

Theorem 2. Let $H \in \mathcal{M}^*$, $|H(n)| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H(p) = \kappa$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Assume that $\kappa \neq 1$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Y} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+Y} H(n) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. If $|\kappa| = 1$, $\kappa \neq 1$, then either

(a) $\kappa^\ell = 1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$, and then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \kappa^j = 0$$

or

(b) $\kappa = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$, where α is an irrational number, and then

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{L-1} \kappa^\nu \right| = \left| \frac{\kappa^L - 1}{\kappa - 1} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|\kappa - 1|} =: C.$$

Thus

$$\liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{L-1} \kappa^\nu \right| \leq C$$

in the cases (a) and (b).

Thus

$$\sum_{x \leq n \leq x+Y} H(n) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} H(K) \sum_{x \leq Km \leq x+Y} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)| = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} H(K) \sum_K.$$

Let $T > 0$. We have

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ K > T}} H(K) |\Sigma_K| \leq \sum_{T < K < Y} \frac{Y}{K} + \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ Y \leq K}} 1$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ K > u}} 1 \leq c\sqrt{u},$$

the right-hand side of (6.1) is $o_T(1)Y(x)$.

Let $\delta > 0$. Let $T = T_\delta$ be such a number for which the right-hand side of (6.1) is less than $\delta Y(x)$.

We shall estimate

$$\Sigma_K = \sum_{\frac{x}{K} \leq m \leq \frac{x+Y(x)}{K}} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)|$$

for $K \leq T_\delta$. Let $x_K = \frac{x}{K}$, $y_K = \frac{Y}{K}$. Then

$$x_K^{\frac{7}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \leq y_K \leq x_K^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

holds if x is large enough.

We shall prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{K < T_x} \left| \frac{1}{y_K} \sum_{\substack{(m,K)=1 \\ x_K \leq m \leq x_K + y_K}} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)| \right| = 0.$$

Let

$$U_x = [(1 - \sigma_x)x_2, x_2 + \rho_x \sqrt{x_2}],$$

where $x_2 = \log \log x$, $\rho_x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sigma_x \rightarrow 0$ slowly. From Theorem A we obtain that

$$\max_{K \leq T_\delta} \frac{1}{y_K} \sum_{\substack{(m,K)=1 \\ \omega(m) \notin U_x}} |\mu(m)| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using Theorem A we obtain that

$$\Sigma_K = y_K \sum_{k \in U_x} \kappa^h \rho_h(x) + o(y_K).$$

Since

$$\max_{\ell \leq L} \left| \frac{\rho_{h+\ell}(x)}{\rho_h(x)} - 1 \right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\rho_h(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \rho_{h+\ell}(x) + o_x(1)$$

and so

$$\left| \sum_{k \in U_x} \kappa^h \rho_h(x_K) \right| \leq \frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in U_x} \rho_t(x_K) \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \kappa^{t-j} \right| \leq \delta + o_x(1).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{y_K} |\Sigma_K| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } K \in \mathcal{K}, K \leq T_\delta.$$

We keep the notations $\mathcal{K}, \rho_h$ and Theorem A from [1, Section 2].

The proof of Theorem 2 is therefore complete. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$n = Km, \quad \mu(m) \neq 0,$$

then

$$\tau^{(r)}(n) = \tau^{(r)}(K) \tau^{(r)}(m) = r^{\omega(K)} r^{\omega(m)},$$

Let $H_1(n) := H(\tau^{(r)}(n))$. Then $H_1 \in \mathcal{M}^*$, $|H_1(n)| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and $H_1(p) = H(r)$. Since $|H(r)| = 1$, $H(r) \neq 1$.

Thus, Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2. ■

References

- [1] **Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong**, On the iterates of some multiplicative functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **56** (2024), 263–270.
<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.56.263>

Imre Kátai

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5209-7591>

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary

katai@inf.elte.hu

Bui Minh Phong

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8371-5279>

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary

bui@inf.elte.hu