

NEURAL NETWORKS IN INFINITE DOMAIN AS POSITIVE LINEAR OPERATORS

George A. Anastassiou (Memphis, U.S.A.)

Communicated by László Szili

(Received 16 March 2025; accepted 12 June 2025)

Abstract. Neural network operators in infinite domain are interpreted as positive linear operators and related general theory applies to them. These operators are induced by a symmetrized density function deriving from the parametrized and deformed hyperbolic tangent activation function. We are acting on the space of continuous and bounded functions on the real line to the reals. We study quantitatively the rate of convergence of these neural network operators to the unit operator. Our inequalities involve the modulus of continuity of the function under approximation or its derivative.

1. Introduction

The author studied extensively the quantitative approximation of positive linear operators to the unit since 1985, see for example [1]–[3], [8], which we use in this work. He originated from the quantitative weak convergence of finite positive measures to the unit Dirac measure, having as a method the geometric moment theory, see [2], and he produced best upper bounds, leading to attained (i.e. sharp Jackson type inequalities), e.g. see [1], [2]. These studies have been gone to all possible directions, univariate and multivariate, though in this work we stay only on the univariate approach over infinite domain.

Key words and phrases: Neural network operators, positive linear operators, modulus of continuity, quantitative approximation to the unit, infinite domain.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A17, 41A25, 41A36.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.58.120625>

Published online: 20 June 2025

Also, this author since 1997, he started the study of the quantitative convergence of neural network operators to the unit, and he has been written since then numerous of articles and books, e.g. see [3], [5], [8], are what we use here.

The wide range of neural network operators over $\mathbb{R}$ being treated by the author all along, are indeed by nature positive linear operators.

Here, for the first time in the literature, neural network operators in infinite domain are treated as positive linear operators.

So, methods of positive linear operators in infinite domain apply here to our infinite summation defined neural network operators, producing new and interesting pointwise and uniform results.

Of great inspiration always have been [23], [24]. For classic studies on neural network we recommend also, [11, 13, 14, 19, 21, 22].

For newer work on neural networks we also refer the reader to [9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20, 25, 26, 27].

2. Basics

Initially we follow [5], pp. 455-460.

Our perturbed hyperbolic tangent activation function here to be used is

$$(1) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(x) := \frac{e^{\lambda x} - qe^{-\lambda x}}{e^{\lambda x} + qe^{-\lambda x}}, \quad \lambda, q > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here λ is the parameter and q is the deformation coefficient.

For more details read Chapter 18 of [5]: "q-Deformed and λ -Parametrized Hyperbolic Tangent based Banach space Valued Ordinary and Fractional Neural Network Approximation".

The chapters 17 and 18 of [5] motivate our current work.

The proposed "symmetrization method" aims to use half data feed to our multivariate neural networks.

We will employ the following density function

$$(2) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(x) := \frac{1}{4} (g_{q,\lambda}(x+1) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1)) > 0,$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}; q, \lambda > 0.$

We have that

$$(3) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(-x) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; q, \lambda > 0,$$

and

$$(4) \quad M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(-x) = M_{q,\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; q, \lambda > 0.$$

Adding (3) and (4) we obtain

$$(5) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(-x) + M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(-x) = M_{q,\lambda}(x) + M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x),$$

a key to this work.

So that

$$(6) \quad \Phi(x) := \frac{M_{q,\lambda}(x) + M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x)}{2} > 0,$$

is an even function, symmetric with respect to the y -axis.

By (18.18) of [5], we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{q,\lambda}\left(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}\right) &= \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2}, \\ M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}\left(-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}\right) &= \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2}, \quad \lambda > 0. \end{aligned}$$

sharing the same maximum at symmetric points.

By Theorem 18.1, p. 458 of [5], we have that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(x-i) &= 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda, q > 0, \\ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x-i) &= 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda, q > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we derive that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x-i) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Theorem 18.2, p. 459 of [5], we have that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(x) dx &= 1, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x) dx &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(11) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) dx = 1,$$

therefore Φ is a density function.

By Theorem 18.3, p. 459 of [5], we have:

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\alpha} > 2$; $q, \lambda > 0$. Then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ :|nx-k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) < \\ & < 2 \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda} e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}} = T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T := 2 \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda}$.

Similarly, we get that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(nx-k) < T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Consequently we obtain that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ :|nx-k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} \Phi(nx-k) < T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}},$$

where $T := 2 \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda}$.

An essential property follows:

Theorem 2.1. ([7]) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{1-\alpha} > 2$. Then*

$$(15) \quad \int_{\{u \in \mathbb{R} : |nx-u| \geq n^{1-\alpha}\}} \Phi(nx-u) du < \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q}\right)}{e^{2\lambda(n^{1-\alpha}-1)}}, \quad q, \lambda > 0.$$

In particular, by [7], we have that

$$(16) \quad \Phi(x) < \left(q + \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda e^{-2\lambda(x-1)}, \quad \forall x \geq 1.$$

We need,

Definition 2.1. In this article we study in an alternative way the smooth approximation properties of the following interpolation neural network operators acting on $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$ (continuous and bounded functions):

(i) the basic ones

$$(17) \quad B_N(f, x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \Phi(Nx - k), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N},$$

(ii) the Kantorovich type operators

$$(18) \quad C_N(f, x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(N \int_{\frac{k}{N}}^{\frac{k+1}{N}} f(t) dt \right) \Phi(Nx - k), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N},$$

(iii) let $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, $w_r \geq 0$, $\sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r = 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$(19) \quad \delta_{Nk}(f) := \sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r f\left(\frac{k}{N} + \frac{r}{N\theta}\right),$$

we consider also the quadrature type operators

$$(20) \quad D_N(f, x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{Nk}(f) \Phi(Nx - k), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that $B_N(1) = C_N(1) = D_N(1) = 1$. Clearly B_N, C_N, D_N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$, are positive linear operators over $C_B(\mathbb{R})$.

We will be using the first modulus of continuity:

$$(21) \quad \omega_1(f, \delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}: \\ |x-y| \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad \delta > 0,$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ which is bounded and or uniformly continuous.

3. Auxilliary results

We need the following important results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let here $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2, n, n+1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 < \beta < 1$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N^{1-\beta} > 2$; $q, \lambda > 0$. Then*

$$(22) \quad 0 < B_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) \leq \frac{1}{N^{\beta j}} + \frac{1}{N^j} \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda^j} 2e^{2\lambda} j! e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} < +\infty.$$

Clearly, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} B_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) = 0$.

Proof. We are based on [6].

$$\begin{aligned} B_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right|^j = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : |x - \frac{k}{N}| < \frac{1}{N^\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right|^j + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : |x - \frac{k}{N}| \geq \frac{1}{N^\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right|^j \leq \\ (23) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{N^{\beta j}} + \frac{1}{N^j} \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : |Nx - k| \geq N^{1-\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(|Nx - k|) |Nx - k|^j \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^{\beta j}} + \frac{1}{N^j} \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda^j} 2e^{2\lambda} j! e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} < +\infty. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *All as in Lemma 3.1 and $T := 2 \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad 0 < C_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) &\leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^\beta} \right)^j + \frac{2^{j-1}}{N^j} \left[\frac{T}{e^{2\lambda} N^{1-\beta}} + \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda^j} 2e^{2\lambda} j! e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} \right] < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) = 0$.

Proof. We are based again on [6].

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left(N \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} \left| t + \frac{k}{N} - x \right|^j dt \right) \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left(N \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| + |t| \right)^j dt \right) \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left(\left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| + \frac{1}{N} \right)^j = \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : \left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| < \frac{1}{N^\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left(\left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| + \frac{1}{N} \right)^j + \\
(25) \quad &+ \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : \left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| \geq \frac{1}{N^\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(Nx - k) \left(\left| \frac{k}{N} - x \right| + \frac{1}{N} \right)^j \leq \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{N^\beta} + \frac{1}{N} \right)^j + \frac{1}{N^j} \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : |Nx - k| \geq N^{1-\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(|Nx - k|) (|Nx - k| + 1)^j \leq \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{N^\beta} + \frac{1}{N} \right)^j + \frac{2^{j-1}}{N^j} \left(\sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ : |Nx - k| \geq N^{1-\beta}}}^{\infty} \Phi(|Nx - k|) (1 + |Nx - k|^j) \right) \\
(26) \quad &\leq \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^\beta} \right)^j + \\
&+ \frac{2^{j-1}}{N^j} \left[\frac{T}{e^{2\lambda N^{1-\beta}}} + \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda^j} 2e^{2\lambda j!} e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} \right] < +\infty. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *All here are as in Lemma 3.2. Then*

$$(27) \quad 0 < D_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) \leq \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^\beta} \right)^j + \frac{2^{j-1}}{N^j} \left[\frac{T}{e^{2\lambda} N^{1-\beta}} + \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda^j} 2e^{2\lambda} j! e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} \right] < +\infty.$$

Clearly, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N \left(|\cdot - x|^j \right) (x) = 0$.

Proof. As similar to Lemma 3.2 is omitted, it is based on [6]. ■

We need the following Hölder's type inequality for positive linear operators.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a positive linear operator from $C(\mathbb{R})$ into $C_B(\mathbb{R})$, and $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$, furthermore let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Assume that $L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*)$, $L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*) > 0$ for some $s_* \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$(28) \quad L(|f(\cdot)g(\cdot)|)(s_*) \leq (L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{p}} (L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. Let $a, b \geq 0$, $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The Young's inequality says

$$(29) \quad ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}.$$

Then

$$(30) \quad \frac{|f(s)|}{(L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{|g(s)|}{(L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \\ \leq \frac{|f(s)|^p}{p(L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*))} + \frac{|g(s)|^q}{q(L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*))}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence it holds

$$(31) \quad \frac{L(|f(\cdot)| |g(\cdot)|)(s_*)}{(L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{p}} (L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*))^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq \\ \leq \frac{L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*)}{p(L((|f(\cdot)|^p))(s_*))} + \frac{L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*)}{q(L((|g(\cdot)|^q))(s_*))} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

for $s_* \in \mathbb{R}$, proving the claim. ■

4. Main results

We present the following general approximation result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, f^{(n)} \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider L_N a sequence of positive linear operators from $C_B(\mathbb{R})$ into itself, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $L_N(1) = 1$. Assume $L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) > 0$, and $f^{(i)}(x) = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_1 \left(f^{(n)}, \left(\left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1}) \right)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) \leq \\
 (32) \quad &\leq \left[L_N(|\cdot - x|^n)(x) + \frac{\left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{(n+1)} \right] < +\infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Given that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

If $n = 1$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_1 \left(f', \left(\left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^2) \right)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \\
 (33) \quad &\leq \left[L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) + \frac{\left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^2)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right] < +\infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Given that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(|\cdot - x|^2)(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, by Taylor's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(y) &= \sum_{i=0}^n f^{(i)}(x) \frac{(y-x)^i}{i!} + \\
 (34) \quad &+ \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_x^y (y-t)^{n-1} \left(f^{(n)}(t) - f^{(n)}(x) \right) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

We call the remainder in (34) as

$$(35) \quad R_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_x^y (y-t)^{n-1} \left(f^{(n)}(t) - f^{(n)}(x) \right) dt, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By [2], p. 217, and [4], p. 194, Chapter 7, (7.27) there, we get

$$(36) \quad |R_n(x, y)| \leq \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} |x - y|^n \left(1 + \frac{|x - y|}{(n + 1)\delta} \right), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$$

We may write (36) as

$$(37) \quad |R_n(x, y)| \leq \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} \left[|x - y|^n + \frac{|x - y|^{n+1}}{(n + 1)\delta} \right], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$$

That is

$$(38) \quad \left| f(y) - \sum_{i=0}^n f^{(i)}(x) \frac{(y - x)^i}{i!} \right| \leq \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} \left[|x - y|^n + \frac{|x - y|^{n+1}}{(n + 1)\delta} \right],$$

$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$

Furthermore it holds

$$(39) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \left| f^{(i)}(x) \right| \frac{|y - x|^i}{i!} + \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} \left[|x - y|^n + \frac{|x - y|^{n+1}}{(n + 1)\delta} \right],$$

$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$

Here $f^{(i)}(x) = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$; and for a specific $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$(40) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} \left[|x - y|^n + \frac{|x - y|^{n+1}}{(n + 1)\delta} \right], \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$$

In case of $n = 1$, we derive

$$(41) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq |f'(x)| |y - x| + \omega_1(f', \delta) \left[|x - y| + \frac{(x - y)^2}{2\delta} \right],$$

$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$

If $n = 1$ and $f'(x) = 0$, for a specific $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that

$$(42) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \omega_1(f', \delta) \left[|x - y| + \frac{(x - y)^2}{2\delta} \right], \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0.$$

Let $L_N : C_B(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_B(\mathbb{R})$ a sequence of positive linear operators, $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f, g \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$f = f - g + g \leq |f - g| + g,$$

hence

$$(43) \quad L_N(f) \leq L_N(|f - g|) + L_N(g),$$

and

$$(44) \quad L_N(f) - L_N(g) \leq L_N(|f - g|).$$

Similarly, it holds

$$(45) \quad L_N(g) - L_N(f) \leq L_N(|f - g|).$$

That is

$$(46) \quad |L_N(f) - L_N(g)| \leq L_N(|f - g|).$$

Next, we assume that $L_N(1) = 1, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| = |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)L_N(1)(x)| = \\ & = |L_N(f)(x) - L_N(f(x))(x)| = |L_N(f(\cdot) - f(x))(x)| \stackrel{(46)}{\leq} \\ (47) \quad & \stackrel{(46)}{\leq} L_N(|f(\cdot) - f(x)|)(x) \stackrel{(40)}{\leq} \\ & \stackrel{(40)}{\leq} \frac{\omega_1(f^{(n)}, \delta)}{n!} \left[L_N(|\cdot - x|^n)(x) + \frac{L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x)}{(n+1)\delta} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0$.

Here we assume and choose

$$(48) \quad \delta = \left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} > 0.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq \omega_1 \left(f^{(n)}, \left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) \leq \\ (49) \quad & \leq \left[L_N(|\cdot - x|^n)(x) + \frac{\left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{(n+1)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$

If $n = 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_1 \left(f', \left(L_N \left((\cdot - x)^2 \right) (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \\
 (50) \quad &\leq \left[L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) + \frac{\left(L_N \left((\cdot - x)^2 \right) (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right], \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (48) and Theorem 3.1, for $g = 1$ and L_N such that $L_N(1) = 1$, we obtain

$$(51) \quad L_N(|\cdot - x|^n)(x) \leq \left(L_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}.$$

In case of $n = 1$, we derive

$$(52) \quad L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) \leq \sqrt{\left(L_N \left((\cdot - x)^2 \right) (x) \right)}.$$

■

An application of Theorem 4.1 follows.

Call Z_N any of the operators $B_N, C_N, D_N, N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, f^{(n)} \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $f^{(i)}(x) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Z_N(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_1 \left(f^{(n)}, \left(\left(Z_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1}) \right) (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right) \leq \\
 (53) \quad &\leq \left[Z_N(|\cdot - x|^n)(x) + \frac{\left(Z_N(|\cdot - x|^{n+1})(x) \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}{(n+1)} \right] < +\infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} Z_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

If $n = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Z_N(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_1 \left(f', \left(\left(Z_N \left((\cdot - x)^2 \right) \right) (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \\
 (54) \quad &\leq \left[Z_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) + \frac{\left(Z_N \left((\cdot - x)^2 \right) (x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right] < +\infty, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again it holds $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} Z_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 and Lemmas 3.1–3.3. ■

We mention the following result.

Theorem 4.3. ([16]) *Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider L_N a sequence of positive linear operators from $C_B(\mathbb{R})$ into itself, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $L_N(1) = 1$.*

Assume that $L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) > 0$. Then

$$(55) \quad |L_N(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega_1(f, L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x)), \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(|\cdot - x|)(x) = 0$, and f is also uniformly continuous, we obtain $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} L_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

An application of Theorem 4.3 comes next.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $Z_N = B_N, C_N, D_N$. Then*

$$(56) \quad |Z_N(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega_1(f, Z_N(|\cdot - x|)(x)) < +\infty,$$

$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N^{1-\beta} > 2, 0 < \beta < 1$.

If f is also uniformly continuous we derive $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} Z_N(f)(x) = f(x)$.

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 4.3 and Lemmas 3.1–3.3. ■

Corollary 4.1. *Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$(57) \quad \|B_N(f) - f\|_\infty \leq 2\omega_1 \left(f, \left(\frac{1}{N^\beta} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q}\right)}{\lambda} 2e^{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} \right) \right) < +\infty,$$

$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N^{1-\beta} > 2, 0 < \beta < 1; q, \lambda > 0$.

If f is also uniformly continuous, we derive that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} B_N(f) = f$, point-wise and uniformly.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.4. ■

We finish with

Corollary 4.2. *Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$(58) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|C_N(f) - f\|_\infty \\ \|D_N(f) - f\|_\infty \end{array} \right\} \leq$$

$$\leq 2\omega_1 \left(f, \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{T}{e^{2\lambda N^{1-\beta}}} \frac{\left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right)}{\lambda} 2e^{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda(N^{1-\beta}-1)} \right) \right] \right) < +\infty,$$

$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N^{1-\beta} > 2, 0 < \beta < 1; q, \lambda > 0$, and $T = 2 \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda}$.

If f is also uniformly continuous, we obtain that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} C_N(f) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} D_N(f) = f,$$

pointwise and uniformly.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and Theorem 4.4. ■

References

- [1] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, A "K-Attainable" inequality related to the convergence of positive linear operators, *J. Approximation Theory*, **44** (1985), 380–383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045\(85\)90087-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(85)90087-5)
- [2] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, *Moments in Probability and Approximation Theory*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics series / Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, New York, UK, USA, 1993.
- [3] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, *Quantitative Approximations*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, London, New York, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781482285796>
- [4] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, *Intelligent Computations: Abstract Fractional Calculus, Inequalities, Approximations*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66936-6>
- [5] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, *Parametrized, Deformed and General Neural Networks*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43021-3>
- [6] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, Smooth symmetrized and perturbed hyperbolic tangent real and complex, ordinary and fractional neural network approximations over infinite domains, *Annals of Communications in Mathematics*, **7(4)** (2024), 401–429. <https://doi.org/10.62072/acm.2024.070408>
- [7] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, Approximation by symmetrized and perturbed hyperbolic tangent activated convolution type operators, *Mathematics*, 2024, 12, 3302; <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12203302>.

- [8] **Anastassiou, G. A.**, *Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Generated Approximation Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, New York, 2025.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/13857>
- [9] **Basteri, A. and D. Dario**, Quantitative Gaussian approximation of randomly initialized deep neural networks, *Mach. Learn.*, **113**(9) (2024), 6373–6393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-024-06578-z>
- [10] **Cen, S., B. Jin, Q. Qimeng and Z. Zhi**, Hybrid neural-network FEM approximation of diffusion coefficient in elliptic and parabolic problems, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **44**(5) (2024), 3059–3093.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drad073>
- [11] **Chen, Z. and F. Cao**, The approximation operators with sigmoidal functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **58**(4) (2009), 758–765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.05.001>
- [12] **Coroianu, L., D. Costarelli, M. Natale, A. Pantiş**, The approximation capabilities of Durrmeyer-type neural network operators, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **70**(5) (2024), 4581–4599.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-024-02146-9>
- [13] **Costarelli, D. and R. Spigler**, Approximation results for neural network operators activated by sigmoidal functions, *Neural Networks*, **44** (2013), 101–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2013.03.015>
- [14] **Costarelli, D. and R. Spigler**, Multivariate neural network operators with sigmoidal activation functions, *Neural Networks*, **48** (2013), 72–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2013.07.009>
- [15] **De Ryck, T. and S. Mishra**, Error analysis for deep neural network approximations of parametric hyperbolic conservation laws, *Math. Comp.*, **93** (2024), 2643–2677. <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3934>
- [16] **DeVore, R. A.**, *The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 293, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 1972. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0059493>
- [17] **Fabra, A., O. Guasch, J. Baiges and R. Codina**, Approximation of acoustic black holes with finite element mixed formulations and artificial neural network correction terms, *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, **241** (2024), paper No. 104236.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.finel.2024.104236>
- [18] **Grohs, P. and F. Voigtlaender**, Proof of the theory-to-practice gap in deep learning via sampling complexity bounds for neural network approximation spaces, *Found. Comput. Math.*, **24**(4) (2024), 1085–1143.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10208-023-09607-w>
- [19] **Haykin, S.**, *Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation* (2 ed.), Prentice Hall, New York, 1998.

- [20] **Liu, J., B. Zhang, Y. Lai and L. Fang**, Hull form optimization reserach based on multi-precision back-propagation neural network approximation model, *Internal. J. Numer. Methods Fluid*, **96(8)** (2024), 1445–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1002/flid.5291>
- [21] **McCulloch, W.S. and W. Pitts**, A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity, *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, **5** (1943), 115–133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02478259>
- [22] **Mitchell, T.M.**, *Machine Learning*, WCB-McGraw-Hill, New York, 1997.
- [23] **Shisha, O. and B. Mond**, The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **60** (1968), 1196–1200. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.60.4.1196>
- [24] **Shisha, O. and B. Mond**, The degree of approximation to periodic functions by linear positive operators, *J. Approximation Theory*, **1** (1968), 335–339. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045\(68\)90011-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(68)90011-7)
- [25] **Warin, X.**, The GroupMax neural network approximation of convex functions, in *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, **35(8)** (2024), pp. 11608–11612. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2023.3240183>
- [26] **Yoo, J., J. Kim, M. Gim and H. Lee**, Error estimates of physics-informed neural networks for initial value problems, *J. Korean Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.*, **28(1)** (2024), 33–58. <https://doi.org/10.12941/jksiam.2024.28.033>
- [27] **Yu, D. and F. Cao**, Construction and approximation rate for feed-forward neural network operators with sigmoidal functions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 453 (2025), paper No. 116150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2024.116150>

George A. Anastassiou

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3781-9824>

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of Memphis

Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.

ganastss@memphis.edu