

## A NOTE ON DIVISION RINGS WITH INVOLUTION

Dau Thi Hue (Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam)

Communicated by Bui Minh Phong

(Received 7 October 2024; accepted 10 November 2024)

**Abstract.** In this note, we investigate the structure of division rings with involution. It is shown that if we impose a suitable condition on some subset of symmetric elements then the algebraic structure of a division ring is effected.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . Recall that an *involution* on  $R$  is a map  $\star : R \rightarrow R$  which satisfies the following conditions for all elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ :

- (i)  $(x + y)^\star = x^\star + y^\star$ ,
- (ii)  $(xy)^\star = y^\star x^\star$ ,
- (iii)  $(x^\star)^\star = x$ .

Let  $R$  be such a ring, and let  $Z(R)$  denote the center of  $R$ . It is straightforward to check that  $Z(R)$  is preserved under the involution  $\star$ . The restriction of  $\star$  to  $Z(R)$  is therefore an automorphism which is either the identity or of order 2. Accordingly, an involution which leaves the elements of  $Z(R)$  fixed is called an *involution of the first kind*. An involution whose restriction to the center is an automorphism of order 2 is called *involution of the second kind*.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Symplectic involution, commuting involution, scalar involution, quaternion division algebra.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16K20, 16K40, 16W10.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.58.101124>

First published online: 8 March 2025

Throughout this note, we only investigate rings with involutions of the first kind.

Recall that the set of *symmetric* elements, the set of *traces*, and the set of *norms* of  $R$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_+ &= \{x \in R \mid x^* = x\}, \\ T_R &= \{x + x^* \mid x \in R\}, \\ N_R &= \{xx^* \mid x \in R\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly that the sets  $T_R$  and  $N_R$  both are contained in  $R_+$ .

In a series of his papers (see e.g. [4]–[6]), Chacron has considered the following conditions for a ring  $R$  with involution  $\star$ :

- (C1)  $x^*x = xx^*$ , for all  $x \in R$ .
- (C2) For each  $x$  in  $R$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  depending on  $x$  such that  $d_x^N(x^*) = 0$ , where  $d_x : R \rightarrow R$  is a map given by  $y \mapsto yx - xy$ , and  $d_x^N$  is the  $N$ -th power of  $d_x$  under composition.
- (C3) For each  $x$  in  $R$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  depending on  $x$  such that  $x^*x^N = x^Nx^*$ .
- (C4)  $x + x^*$  is central for all  $x \in R$ .
- (C5)  $xx^*$  is central for all  $x \in R$ .

In accordance with [10, Propostion 2.6], if the involution  $\star$  satisfies (C1), (C4) or (C5), then it is said to be *commuting*, *symplectic* or *scalar* respectively, while (C2) and (C3) are called *local power commuting condition* and *local Engel condition* respectively. The relationships between these conditions are imposed on the following implications which are obvious:

$$(C5) \implies (C4) \implies (C1) \implies (C2) \text{ and } (C3).$$

Over the past few years, there have been many works devoted to the study of certain rings with involutions satisfying some of these conditions. It was shown in [5, Theorem 4.7] that if  $R$  is a semiprime ring satisfying a polynomial identity, then the conditions (C1), (C2), and (C3) are equivalent. If  $R$  is a semiprime ring instead, then it was proved in [4, Theorem 2.3] that (C1), (C4) and (C5) are equivalent. At the other extreme, it was shown in [6, Theorem 2.13] that in case  $R$  is a non-commutative simple ring which is algebraic over its center, then (C2) is equivalent to (C4) and  $R$  must be a quaternion algebra over its center.

There are close relationships between the conditions introduced by Chacron and the conditions on the set  $T_R$  of traces and on the set  $N_R$  of norms of  $R$ . It is clear that  $\star$  satisfies (C4) if and only if  $T_R \subseteq Z(R)$ , while  $\star$  satisfies (C5)

if and only if  $N_R \subseteq Z(R)$ . Thus, in view of [6, Theorem 2.13], if  $R$  is a non-commutative simple ring algebraic over  $Z(R)$  such that  $T_R \subseteq Z(R)$ , then  $R$  is a quaternion algebra over its center. This fact particularly says, in some sense, that the condition  $T_R \subseteq Z(R)$ , or equivalently that  $\star$  satisfies (C4), is really a strong condition which imposes a special structure on the whole  $R$ .

In this note, we consider the case when  $R = D$  is a division ring, and the influence of some subsets of the sets  $T_D$  and  $N_D$  on the structure of  $D$ . Let  $G$  be a non-central subgroup of the multiplicative group  $D^\times$  of  $D$ . This means that  $G$  is not contained in the center  $F$  of  $D$ . For such a subgroup  $G$ , let us consider the sets  $T_G$  and  $N_G$ , defined as follows:

$$T_G = \{x + x^\star \mid x \in G\} \text{ and } N_G = \{xx^\star \mid x \in G\}.$$

From [3], it can be seen that if we impose suitable conditions on the set  $T_G \cup N_G$  then the algebraic structure of whole  $D$  is effected. For instance, [3, Corollary 5.6] says that if  $T_G \cup N_G$  is contained in the center  $F$  of  $D$ , then  $D$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $F$  and  $\star$  is of the symplectic type, provided  $G$  is a non-central normal subgroup of  $D^\times$ . Here, we consider the case when  $G$  is a non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ , and we obtain the same result provided either  $T_G \subseteq F$  or  $N_G \subseteq F$ . Moreover, we show the following equivalences:

$$T_G \subseteq F \iff N_G \subseteq F \iff T_D \subseteq F \iff N_D \subseteq F.$$

Throughout this note, if  $X$  is either a ring or a group then  $Z(X)$  stands for the center of  $X$ . An element  $x \in X$  is said to be *central* if  $x \in Z(X)$ ; otherwise  $x$  is *non-central*. A subset  $S$  of  $X$  is *non-central* if and only if there is at least one non-central element in  $S$ .

## 2. Division rings with involution

Let  $D$  be a division ring with involution  $\star$  and center  $F$ . In this section, we investigate the structure of  $D$  under the influence of a condition imposed on some subset of symmetric elements of  $D$ . The main result is Theorem 2.2, which generalizes [3, Corollary 5.6].

Since finite-dimensional central simple algebras play a crucial role in our investigation, let us firstly establish the necessary background by recalling some fundamental facts about these algebras. Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional central simple algebra over a field  $F$ . Then, by the well-known Wedderburn-Artin Theorem, there is a unique integer  $r$  and a unique up to isomorphism division ring  $D$  with center  $F$  such that  $A \cong M_r(D)$ . It is known that the dimension of  $D$  over  $F$  is a square; that is,  $[D : F] = k^2$ , for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that  $[A : F] = r^2 k^2$ , and hence  $[A : F]$  is also a square. Accordingly, the *degree* of  $A$  is defined to be  $\deg(A) = \sqrt{[A : F]}$ . Let  $A$  be such an  $F$ -algebra with

involution  $\star$ . As  $F$  is invariant under  $\star$ , it can be checked that the set of traces  $T_A = \{x + x^\star \mid x \in A\}$  of  $A$  is a  $F$ -subspace of  $A$ , while the set of norms  $N_A = \{xx^\star \mid x \in A\}$  is not. The dimension  $[T_A : F]$  of  $T_A$  over  $F$  is given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional central simple  $F$ -algebra of degree  $m$  with involution  $\star$ , and  $d = [T_A : F]$ . Then, either  $d = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$  or  $d = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** This lemma is an immediate corollary of [10, Proposition 2.6]. ■

The evaluation of the dimensions above shows that the  $F$ -subspace  $T_A$  is significantly large in  $A$ . As noted in the introduction,  $N_A$  is a subset of  $A_+$ , but it is not an  $F$ -subspace. However, there is the connection between  $N_A$  and the  $F$ -subspace  $T_A$ . A straightforward calculation shows that if  $N_A \subseteq Z(A)$ , then  $T_A \subseteq Z(A)$  as well. Indeed, for any  $x \in A$ , we have

$$(1+x)(1+x)^\star = (1+x)(1+x^\star) = 1+x+x^\star+xx^\star.$$

Because both  $(1+x)(1+x)^\star$  and  $xx^\star$  are contained in  $Z(A)$ , we get  $x+x^\star \in Z(A)$ , yielding that  $T_A \subseteq Z(A)$ . This implies that  $N_A$ , despite not being a subspace, significantly influences to the structure of  $A$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be a centrally finite division ring with center  $F$ ,  $n$  a positive integer, and  $\star$  an involution on  $M_n(D)$ . If  $T_{M_n(D)} \subseteq F$ , then either*

- (i)  $n = 1$ , and  $D = F$  or  $D$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $F$ , or
- (ii)  $n = 2$ , and  $D = F$  and  $\star$  is the ordinary symplectic involution on  $M_2(F)$ ; that is, the involution  $\star$  is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\star = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $[D : F] = k^2$ , for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that  $A := M_n(D)$  is a  $F$ -central simple algebra of degree  $m := kn$ . In view of Lemma 2.1, there are two possible cases:

**Case 1.**  $[T_A : F] = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ . In this case, the involution  $\star$  must be of orthogonal type. Moreover, as  $T_A \subseteq F$ , we get that  $\frac{m(m+1)}{2} = 1$ , from which it follows that  $m = 1$ . This means that  $n = k = 1$ , and so  $A = D = F$ .

**Case 2.**  $[T_A : F] = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ . As in Case 1, the condition  $T_A \subseteq F$  implies that  $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} = 1$ . It follows that  $m = 2$ , which means that  $n = 2$  and  $k = 1$ , or else  $n = 1$  and  $k = 2$ .

**Case 2.1.**  $n = 2$  and  $k = 1$ . In this case, we have  $D = F$  and so  $A \cong M_2(F)$ . By [4, Theorem 2.3], the involution  $\star$  is scalar.

Assume that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in A$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ p & q \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\star$  is a symplectic and scalar, it follows that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* \in F$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* \in F$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+m & b+n \\ c+p & d+q \end{pmatrix} \in F$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} am-bc & -ab+bq \\ cm-cd & -cb+dq \end{pmatrix} \in F,$$

which implies that  $b+n = c+p = -ab+bq = cm-cd = 0$ . Hence,  $n = -b$ ,  $p = -c$ ,  $q = a$ ,  $m = d$ , and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Case 2.2.**  $n = 1$  and  $k = 2$ . It follows that  $A = D$  and  $[D : F] = 4$ , which means that  $A$  is a quaternion algebra over  $F$ . The proof of the corollary is now complete.  $\blacksquare$

The following lemma follows immediately from [4, Theorem 2.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be an unital semi-prime ring with center  $Z$ , and  $\star$  be an involution on  $A$ . Then,  $T_A \subseteq Z$  if and only if  $N_A \subseteq Z$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ ,  $n$  a positive integer, and  $\star$  an involution on  $M_n(D)$ . If  $T_{M_n(D)} \subseteq F$ , then  $D$  is centrally finite. Consequently, either assertion (i) or (ii) of Corollary 2.1 holds for  $D$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 2.2, the involution  $\star$  is both symplectic and scalar. Hence, for each  $a \in M_n(D)$ , the elements  $s := a^* + a$  and  $p := a^*a$  are both contained in  $F$ . It is straightforward to check that  $a^2 - sa + p = 0$ . This shows that the matrix ring  $M_n(D)$  is algebraic of bounded degree 2, and so is  $D$ . By the famous result of Jacobson [8, Theorem 7],  $D$  is finite dimensional over  $F$  as desired.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with involution  $\star$ ,  $G$  a subgroup of  $R^\times$ . Let  $Z[G]$  be the subring of  $R$  generated by  $G$  over the center  $Z$  of  $R$ . If  $T_G \subseteq Z$ , then the restriction of  $\star$  to  $Z[G]$  is a symplectic involution on  $Z[G]$ .*

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary element  $x \in Z[G]$ , and write it in the form

$$x = a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \cdots + a_ng_n,$$

where  $a_i \in Z$  and  $g_i \in G$ . Because  $\star$  leaves fixed elements in  $Z$ , it follows that

$$x + x^* = a_1(g_1 + g_1^*) + a_2(g_2 + g_2^*) + \cdots + a_n(g_n + g_n^*).$$

As  $T_G \subseteq Z$ , we conclude that  $g_i + g_i^* \in Z$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and so  $x + x^* \in Z$ , which implies that  $x^* \in Z[G]$ . Hence, the restriction of  $\star$  to  $Z[G]$  is also an involution on  $Z[G]$  which is clearly symplectic. ■

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ , and  $H$  a subset of  $D^\times$ . For an element  $g \in D$ , let  $F(g)$  be the subfield of  $D$  generated by  $g$  over  $F$ . If  $h^{-1}gh \in F(g)$  for any  $h \in H$ , then  $F(g)$  is  $H$ -invariant.*

**Proof.** Every element in  $F(g)$  can be written in the form  $a(g)b(g)^{-1}$ , where  $a(t), b(t)$  are two polynomials in  $F[t]$  such that  $b(g) \neq 0$ . For any  $0 \neq h \in H$ , we have

$$h^{-1}a(g)b(g)^{-1}h = (h^{-1}a(g)h)(h^{-1}b(g)^{-1}h) = (h^{-1}a(g)h)(hb(g)h^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} a(g) &= a_0 + a_1g + \dots + a_ng^n, \\ b(g) &= b_0 + b_1g + \dots + b_mg^m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i, b_j \in F$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Because  $h^{-1}gh \in F(g)$ , it is easily checked that the elements  $h^{-1}a(g)h$  and  $hb(g)h^{-1}$  belong to  $F(g)$ . It follows that  $h^{-1}a(g)b(g)^{-1}h \in F(g)$ , and the proof of the lemma is proved. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ ,  $G$  non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ , and  $\star$  an involution on  $D$ . Then,  $N_G \subseteq F$  if and only if  $\star$  is scalar.*

**Proof.** The “if” part is clear. Now, assume that  $N_G \subseteq F$ . To prove  $N_D \subseteq F$ , it suffices to show that  $N_{D^\times} \subseteq F$ . Because  $G$  is subnormal in  $D^\times$ , there exist a smallest integer  $r \geq 1$  and a series of subgroups

$$G = G_r \trianglelefteq G_{r-1} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq G_0 = D^\times,$$

in which  $G_i$  is normal in  $G_{i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . For each  $i$ , we claim that if  $N_{G_i} \subseteq F$  then  $N_{G_{i-1}} \subseteq F$ . Assume by contrary that  $N_{G_{i-1}} \not\subseteq F$ . Fix an element  $g \in G_i \setminus F$ . For any  $x \in G_{i-1}$ , since  $x^{-1}gx \in G_i$ , we have

$$a := x^{-1}gxx^*g^*(x^*)^{-1} = (x^{-1}gx)(x^{-1}gx)^* \in F,$$

which implies that

$$(2.1) \quad gxx^*g^* = axx^*.$$

Since  $N_{G_i} \subseteq F$  and  $g \in G_i$ , we have  $b = gg^* \in F$ . Replace  $g^* = bg^{-1}$  in (2.1), we get  $bgxx^*g^{-1} = axx^*$ , which implies that

$$(xx^*)^{-1}gxx^* = b^{-1}ag \in F(g).$$

Because  $x$  was chosen arbitrarily in  $G_{i-1}$ , in view of Lemma 2.5, the last equation shows that the subfield  $F(g)$  is  $N_{G_{i-1}}$ -invariant. Let  $N$  be the subgroup

of  $D^\times$  generated by  $N_{G_{i-1}}$ . Then,  $F(g)$  is  $N$ -invariant, and so it is  $N \cap G_{i-1}$ -invariant. Observe that  $N \cap G_{i-1}$  is subnormal in  $G_{i-1}$ , and so it is subnormal in  $D^\times$ . Because  $N_{G_{i-1}}$  is non-central,  $N$  is also non-central, and in view of [11, 14.4.5],  $N \cap G_{i-1}$  is a non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ . In view of [12, Theorem 1], it follows that either  $F(g) \subseteq F$  or  $F(g) = D$ . The first case cannot occur because  $g \notin F$ . If the second case occurs, then  $D$  is non-commutative, a contradiction. Thus, the claim is shown. Now, by induction on  $r$ , we conclude that  $N_D \subseteq F$ , and so  $\star$  is scalar. ■

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ , and  $G$  a subgroup of  $D^\times$  such that  $F(G) = D$ . Let  $\star$  be an involution on  $D$ . If  $T_G \subseteq F$ , then  $D$  is a centrally finite division ring.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $T_G \subseteq F$ . Let  $F[G]$  be the subring of  $D$  generated by  $G$  over  $F$ . According to Lemma 2.4, we conclude that the restriction of  $\star$  to  $F[G]$  is an symplectic involution on  $F[G]$ . Hence, by [4, Theorem 2.3], the restriction of  $\star$  to  $F[G]$  is scalar. So, for each  $a \in F[G]$ , we have  $aa^\star \in Z(F[G]) \subseteq C_D(G)$ , where  $C_D(G)$  is the centralizer of  $G$  in  $D$ . Since  $F(G) = D$ ,  $C_D(G) = C_D(D) = F$ , and so,  $aa^\star \in F$ . If we set  $s := a^\star + a$  and  $p := a^\star a$ , then  $s$  and  $p$  are both in  $F$ . It is straightforward to check that  $a^2 - sa + p = 0$ , from which it follows that  $a$  satisfies the polynomial  $x^2 - sx + p \in F[x]$ . This shows that the prime ring  $F[G]$  is algebraic of bound degree 2 over the field  $F$ , and by [9, Theorem 3],  $F[G]$  satisfies a polynomial identity. By [1, Lemma 1],  $F[G]$  has a division ring of quotients, consisting of all elements of the form  $sr^{-1}$ , where  $r, s \in F[G]$  and  $r \neq 0$ , which coincides with  $F(G) = D$ . According to [1, Theorem 1], we conclude that  $D = F(G)$  satisfies a polynomial identity, and so  $[D : F] < \infty$  by [9, Theorem 1]. ■

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ , and  $\star$  an involution on  $D$ . If  $G$  is a non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_G \subseteq F$ ,
- (ii)  $T_D \subseteq F$ ,
- (iii)  $N_D \subseteq F$ ,
- (iv)  $N_G \subseteq F$ .

*Moreover, if one of these conditions holds then  $D$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $F$ , and  $\star$  is symplectic.*

**Proof.** Firstly, we show that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). It is clear that (ii) implies (i). Now, assume  $T_G \subseteq F$ . Because  $G$  is a non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ , according to a Stuth's result (see [12, Theorem 1]), we have  $F(G) = D$ , and

so  $[D : F] < \infty$  by Proposition 2.1. In view of [7, Lemma 2.3], it follows that  $D = F(G) = F[G]$ , and by Lemma 2.4,  $\star$  is a symplectic involution on  $D$ . This implies that  $T_D \subseteq F$ , and so (ii) holds. The equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follows from Lemma 2.2, while the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows immediately from the fact that  $N_G \subseteq N_D$ . Finally, the implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Lemma 2.6.

To finish the proof of the theorem, assume that (ii) holds. Then, in view of Proposition 2.1, we get  $[D : F] < \infty$ . Hence, by Corollary 2.1,  $D$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $F$ , and  $\star$  is symplectic. ■

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring with center  $F$ ,  $\star$  an involution on  $D$ , and  $G$  a non-central subnormal subgroup of  $D^\times$ . Then, the conditions (C1)–(C5) are all equivalent for  $D$ , and these conditions are also equivalent to the followings conditions:*

- (i)  $x + x^\star$  is central for all  $x \in G$ ,
- (ii)  $xx^\star$  is central for all  $x \in G$ .

Moreover, if one of these conditions holds then  $D$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $F$ .

**Proof.** The equivalences of (C1)–(C5) follow from [4] and [5]. By Theorem 2.2, the conditions (i) is equivalent to (C4), and the condition (ii) is equivalent to (C5). ■

We close the paper with an interesting question which we shall investigate in a near future.

**Remark.** Let  $D$  be a division ring with involution  $\star$  of the first kind, and  $K$  an arbitrary subfield of  $D$  which is unnecessary invariant under  $\star$ . If  $T_D \cup N_D \subseteq K$ , then every element  $a \in D$  satisfies the quadratic equation  $x^2 - sx + p = 0$ , where  $s = a + a^\star$  and  $p = a^\star a$ . This means that  $D$  is left algebraic of bound degree 2 over  $K$ . According to [2, Theorem 1.3], we get that  $D$  is a centrally finite division ring with center  $F$ , and  $[D : F] \leq 4$ ; that is,  $D$  is either a field or a quaternion division ring. At this point, it is reasonable to ask if the main results of the current paper should be also true if we replace the center of  $D$  by an arbitrary its subfield?

## References

- [1] **Amitsur, S.A.**, On rings with identities, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **30** (1955), 464–470.  
<https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-30.4.464>



- [2] **Bell, J.P., V. Drensky and Y. Sharifi**, Shirshov's theorem and division rings that are left algebraic over a subfield, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **217** (2013), 1605–1610.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.11.015>
- [3] **Bien, M.H., B.X. Hai and D.T. Hue**, On the unit groups of rings with involution, *Acta Math. Hungar*, **166(2)** (2022), 432–452.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-022-01223-4>
- [4] **Chacron, M.**, Commuting involution, *Commun. Algebra*, **44(9)** (2016), 3951–3965. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2015.1087546>
- [5] **Chacron, M.**, Involution satisfying a local Engel or power commuting condition, *Commun. Algebra*, **45(5)** (2017), 2018–2028.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1226879>
- [6] **Chacron, M.**, More on involutions with local Engel or power commuting conditions, *Commun. Algebra*, **45(8)** (2017), 3503–3514.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1237641>
- [7] **Hai, B.X. and N.V. Thin**, On locally nilpotent subgroups of  $GL_1(D)$ , *Commun. Algebra*, **37** (2009), 712–718.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870802255287>
- [8] **Jacobson, N.**, Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree, *Ann. of Math*, **46** (1945), 695–707.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1969205>
- [9] **Kaplansky, I.**, Rings with a polynomial identity, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc*, **54** (1948), 575–580.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1948-09049-8>
- [10] **Knus, M.-A., A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol**, *The Book of Involutions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications **44**, 1998.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/044>
- [11] **Scott, W.R.**, *Group Theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [12] **Stuth, C.J.**, A generalization of the Cartan–Brauer–Hua theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc*, **15** (1964), 211–217.  
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1964-0158899-0>

**Dau Thi Hue**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Science

Ho Chi Minh City

Vietnam

and

Vietnam National University

Ho Chi Minh City

Vietnam

[dthue.sgddt@tphcm.gov.vn](mailto:dthue.sgddt@tphcm.gov.vn)

