

## ON THE ITERATES OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

Imre Kátaı and Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

Communicated by Jean-Marie de Koninck

(Received April 7, 2024; accepted June 10, 2024)

**Abstract.** We summarize some known results concerning the iterates of multiplicative functions and prove two new results.

### 1. Introduction

We shall use the following standard notation:  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of primes. Let

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(n) = \sum_{\substack{p^k|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of multiplicative,  $\mathcal{M}^*$  be the set of completely multiplicative functions.

Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(n) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}^* = \overline{\mathcal{M}} \cap \mathcal{M}^*.$$

One can easily show that

$$\text{if } f_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}^*, h(n) = f_2(f_1(n)) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_C$  be the set of those  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , for which

$$f(p) = \text{constant} \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

---

*Key words and phrases:* Multiplicative function, iterates of function, divisor function.  
*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11K65, 11N37, 11N64.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.56.263>

Let

$$\tau^{(k)}(n) = \#\{n = u_1 \cdots u_k \mid u_j \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

It is clear that  $\tau^{(k)}(p) = k$  if  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and so  $\tau^{(k)} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}_C}$ .

It is known furthermore that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\tau^{(\ell)}(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)^\ell \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\tau^{(\ell)}(p^r) = \binom{r + \ell - 1}{\ell - 1} \quad \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Let  $\tau(n) = \tau^{(2)}(n)$ . Then the above relation implies that

$$\tau(p^r) = r + 1.$$

## 2. On the iteration of $\tau(n)$

Let  $\tau_1(n) = \tau(n)$ ,  $\tau_{r+1}(n) = \tau(\tau_r(n))$  for every  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$D_r(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_r(n).$$

Bellman and Shapin [2] formulated the conjecture that

$$D_r(x) = (1 + o_x(1))x \log_r x.$$

This conjecture is proved up to  $r \leq 4$ . We refer to the works of P. Erdős [3] for case  $r = 2$ , of I. Kátai [6], [7] for  $r = 2, 3$ , of P. Erdős and I. Kátai [4] for case  $r = 4$ .

The basic observation to get these results was the following:

$$\text{If } n = Km, \mu(m) \neq 0, (K, m) = 1, \text{ then } \tau(n) = \tau(K)2^{\omega(m)}.$$

## 3. The Selberg–Delange method. The method of K. Ramachandra

Let  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed,

$$N_K(x) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, K) = 1}} |\mu(m)|.$$

Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|z| < 2$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ . Let

$$F_K(s, z) = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, K)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)|}{m^s}.$$

Then

$$F_K(s, z) = a_K(s, z) b(s, z) \zeta(s)^z,$$

where

$$a_K(s, z) = \prod_{p|K} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z}{p^s}},$$

$$b(s, z) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{p^s}\right).$$

Hence, by using the standard method, we can deduce that

$$N_K(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left( \prod_{p|K} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{p}} \right) x + O(\sqrt{x}).$$

Let

$$N_K(x, k) = \#\{m \leq x : (m, K) = 1, \omega(m) = k\}.$$

Let

$$\Theta_k(x) = \frac{1}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}.$$

Repeating the argument of A. Selberg [11] we can deduce that

$$\frac{N_K(x, k)}{N_K(x)} = \Theta_k(x) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right)\right)$$

uniformly as

$$(3.1) \quad k \leq R_x := \log \log x + \rho_x \sqrt{\log \log x}.$$

Here  $\rho_x \rightarrow \infty$ , appropriately slowly. Especially, we obtain that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_K(x)} \#\left\{m \leq x : (m, K) = 1, \mu(m) \neq 0, \frac{\omega(m) - \log \log x}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} < y\right\} = \Phi(y),$$

where

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du$$

is the Gaussian law.

By using the method of K. Ramachandra [10] and the observation of I. Kátai [8] we can prove the following

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0, K \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. Then*

$$\max_{x^{7/12+\epsilon} \leq h \leq x^{2/3}} \left| \frac{N_K(x+h, k) - N_K(x, k)}{h} - \frac{N_K(x, k)}{x} \right| \leq c \frac{\Theta_k(x)}{\log \log x}$$

*uniformly as  $k$  satisfies (3.1),  $\rho_x \rightarrow \infty$  slowly.*

#### 4. On the function $R(n)$

Let  $R(n) \geq 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $R(p) = A > 0$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and assume that

$$R(up) = R(u)R(p) \quad \text{if } (u, p) = 1.$$

It implies that if  $n = Km$ ,  $(K, m) = 1, \mu(m) \neq 0$ , then  $R(n) = R(K)A^{\omega(m)}$ . Let

$$F_K(s, A) = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, K)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)|}{m^s}.$$

From Theorem 1 of A. Selberg [11], we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_K(x) &= \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, K)=1}} A^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)| = \\ (4.1) \quad &= \prod_{p|K} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{A}{p}} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^A \left(1 + \frac{A}{p}\right) - \frac{x(\log x)^{A-1}}{\Gamma(A)} + \\ &\quad + O\left(x(\log x)^{A-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The error term is true up to  $K \leq \log x$ , say.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of squarefull integers. Let

$$E(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} R(n).$$

From (4.1) we obtain:

**Theorem B.** *Assuming that  $R(K) = O(K^{1/4})$  ( $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ) we have*

$$E(x) = dx \left( \log x \right)^{A-1} + O\left(x \left( \log x \right)^{A-2}\right),$$

where

$$d = \frac{1}{\Gamma(A)} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^A \left(1 + \frac{A}{p}\right) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{R(K)}{K} \prod_{p|K} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{A}{p}}.$$

## 5. On the distribution of $\tau^{(\ell)}(\tau^{(k)}(n))$

Let

$$r(n) = \tau^{(\ell)}(\tau^{(k)}(n)) \quad \ell, k \geq 2.$$

Assume that  $n = Km$ ,  $(K, m) = 1$ ,  $\mu(m) \neq 0$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $k = \pi_1^{a_1} \cdots \pi_r^{a_r}$ , where  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r$  are distinct primes. Let  $\tau^{(k)}(K) = K_1 \Delta_{K,k}$ , where  $(K_1, k) = 1$  and  $\Delta_{K,k} = \pi_1^{b_1} \cdots \pi_r^{b_r}$ ,  $b_j \geq 0$ .

Then

$$\tau^{(k)}(n) = K_1 \prod_{j=1}^r \pi_j^{a_j \omega(m) + b_j}.$$

For given  $K$  let

$$Pol_K(u) = \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{a_j u + b_j + \ell - 1}{\ell - 1}.$$

Then we have

$$r(m) = \tau^{(\ell)}(K_1) Pol_K(\omega(m)).$$

Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  be fixed,  $N_K(x)$  and  $N_K(x, k)$  as in Section 3. Then we have

**Theorem C.** *We have*

$$\max_{x^{7/12+\epsilon} \leq h \leq x^{2/3}} \left| \frac{N_K(x+h, t) - N_K(x, t)}{h} - \frac{N_K(x, t)}{x} \right| \leq c \frac{\Theta_t(x)}{\log \log x}$$

up to  $t \leq \log \log x + \rho_x \sqrt{\log \log x}$ .

We define the interval  $J_{K,x}(u, v)$  as follows:

$$J_{K,x}(u, v) = \left[ Pol_K(\log \log x + u \sqrt{\log \log x}, Pol_K(\log \log x + v \sqrt{\log \log x}) \right].$$

From the previous results we have

**Theorem D.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $(u, v) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{N_K(x)} \# \left\{ m \leq x : (m, K) = 1, \mu(m) \neq 0, \frac{r_K(Km)}{\tau^{(\ell)}(K_1)} = Pol_K(\omega(m)) \subset J_{K,x}(u, v) \right\} \rightarrow \Phi(v) - \Phi(u).$$

The same is true if  $m$  runs in the short interval  $[x, x+y]$  when  $x^{7/12+\epsilon} \leq y \leq x^{2/3}$ .

## 6. New results

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $|H(n)| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , furthermore  $H(p) = \kappa$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\kappa \neq 1$ . Assume that  $Y = Y(x) \in [x^{7/12+\epsilon}, x^{2/3}]$ . Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Y} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+Y} H(\tau_r(n)) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** The first observation is the following.

- (1) If  $\kappa^\ell = 1$ , then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \kappa^k = 0$
- (2) If  $\kappa = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  is an irrational number, then

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{1}{L} \left| \sum_{h=0}^{L-1} \kappa^h \right| = 0,$$

thus for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists an integer  $L = L_\delta$  for which

$$\frac{1}{L_\delta} \left| \sum_{h=0}^{L_\delta-1} \kappa^h \right| < \delta.$$

We have

$$\sum_{x \leq n \leq x+Y} H(\tau_r(n)) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} H(K) \sum_{\substack{x \leq Km \leq x+Y \\ (K,m)=1}} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)| = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} H(K) \Sigma_K.$$

Let  $T$  be a positive number. We have

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ K > T}} |H(K) \Sigma_K| \leq \sum_{T < K \leq Y} \frac{Y}{K} + \sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ Y < K < x+Y}} 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{K} \\ K > u}} 1 \leq c\sqrt{u},$$

the right hand side of (6.1) tends to 0 as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be a given small number,  $T = T_\delta$  be such a number for which the right hand side of (6.1) is less than  $\delta$ . We shall estimate

$$\Sigma_K = \sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{K} \leq m \leq \frac{x}{K} + \frac{Y}{K} \\ (K,m)=1}} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)|$$

for  $K \leq T_\delta$ . Let  $x_K = \frac{x}{K}$ ,  $y_K = \frac{y}{K}$ . Then

$$x_K^{7/2+\epsilon/2} \leq y_K \leq x_K^{2/3}$$

holds if  $x$  is large enough.

We shall prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{K \leq T_\delta} \left| \frac{1}{y_K} \sum_{\substack{(m,K)=1 \\ x_K \leq m \leq x_K + y_K}} \kappa^{\omega(m)} |\mu(m)| \right| = 0.$$

Let

$$U_x = \left[ (1 - \sigma_x)x_2, x_2 + \rho_x \sqrt{x_2} \right],$$

where  $x_2 = \log \log x$ ,  $\rho_x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sigma_x \rightarrow 0$  slowly.

From Theorem A we obtain that

$$\max_{K \leq T_\delta} \frac{1}{y_K} \sum_{\substack{(m,K)=1 \\ \omega(m) \notin U_x}} |\mu(m)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using Theorem A we obtain that

$$\Sigma_K = y_K \sum_{k \in U_x} \kappa^h \rho_h(x) + o(y_K).$$

Since

$$\max_{\ell \leq L} \left| \frac{\rho_{h+1}(x)}{\rho_h(x)} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

therefore

$$\rho_h(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \rho_{h+\ell}(x) + o_x(1)$$

and so

$$\left| \sum_{k \in U_x} \kappa^h \rho_h(x_K) \right| \leq \frac{1}{L} \sum_{t \in U_x} \rho_t(x_K) \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \kappa^{t-j} \right| \leq \delta + o_x(1).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{y_K} \left| \Sigma_K \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } K \in \mathcal{K}, K \leq T_\delta.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is therefore complete. ■

The following theorem is a corollary of Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\lambda$  be the Liouville function,  $\lambda(r) = -1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{y} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+y} \lambda(\tau_r(n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

when  $x^{7/12+\epsilon} \leq y \leq x^{2/3}$ .

**Proof.** We have  $\lambda(\tau_r(p)) = \lambda(r) = -1$ . Thus, Theorem 2 is a special case of Theorem 1. ■

## References

- [1] **Bassily, N.L. and I. Kátai**, Some further problems on a paper of K. Ramachandra, *Annales Math. Sci. Comp.*, **34** (2011), 95–114.
- [2] **Bellman, R. and H.N. Shapiro**, On a problem in additive number theory, *Annals of Math*, **49** (1948), 333–340.
- [3] **Erdős, P.**, On the sum  $\sum dd(n)$ , *Math. Stud.*, **36** (1968), 227–229.
- [4] **Erdős, P. and I. Kátai**, On the sum  $\sum d_4(n)$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **30** (1969), 313–324.
- [5] **Kátai, I.**, On the distribution of function  $dd(n)$  (in Hungarian), *Magyar Tud. Akadémia Mat. Fiz. Közleményei*, (1967), 447–454.
- [6] **Kátai, I.**, On the sum  $\sum ddf(n)$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **29** (1968), 199–206.
- [7] **Kátai, I.**, On the iteration of the divisor function, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **16** (1969), 3–15.
- [8] **Kátai, I.**, A remark on a paper of Ramachandra, in: K. Alladi (Ed.) *Proc. of Number Theory Conference, Ootacamund, 1984*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1122**, Springer, 1984, 147–152.
- [9] **Kátai, I. and M.V. Subbarao**, On the local distribution of the iterated divisor function, *Math. Pannonica*, **15** (2004), 127–140.
- [10] **Ramachandra, K.**, Some problems on analytic theory, *Acta Arithmetica*, **31** (1976), 313–324.
- [11] **Selberg, A.**, A note on a paper of L.G. Sathe, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, **18** (1954), 83–87.

**I. Kátai and B.M. Phong**

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics, Eötvös Loránd University

Hungary

katai@inf.elte.hu and bui@inf.elte.hu