

# THE BOUNDEDNESS OF THE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL OPERATOR ON ORLICZ–LORENTZ–KARAMATA SPACES

Zhiwei Hao (Xiangtan, People's Republic of China)

Guangheng Xie and Dejian Zhou

(Changsha, People's Republic of China)

Communicated by László Szili

(Received April 30, 2024; accepted May 21, 2024)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on the Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . More precisely, we give a sufficient condition for the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on  $L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  when a Young function  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  is a slowly varying function.

## 1. Introduction

The aim and the idea of Karamata's paper [13], which defined and gave the basic properties of the new classes of functions, called slowly varying function. Karamata proved some fundamental theorems such as the Representation Theorem, the Uniform Convergence Theorem and the Characterization Theorem (see [14]). These results are the basis for the theory and numerous applications. In 2000, Edmunds et al. [4] introduced a new class of function spaces, that is, Lorentz–Karamata spaces. we briefly recall the definition of the Lorentz–Karamata space as follows (see Section 2 for any unexplained terminology): let

---

*Key words and phrases:* Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space, Young function.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B25, 42B35, 46E30.

The Project is supported by Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (No. 22B0494).

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.56.217>

$0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  be a slowly varying function. The Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{p,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , consists of the set of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|f\|_{p,q,b} < \infty$ , where

$$\|f\|_{p,q,b} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma_b(t) f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \gamma_b(t) f^*(t) & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

By taking different  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $b$ , these spaces generalize the classical Lebesgue space, Lorentz spaces, Zygmund spaces, Lorentz–Zygmund spaces and the generalized Lorentz–Zygmund space. This class not only offers a more general and unified insight for these families of spaces, but also provides a framework in which it is easier to appreciate the central issues of different results, see [3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 17] and the references therein.

As a generalization of the Lorentz–Karamata space, Hao et al. [9] introduced the definition of Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata spaces  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$ , where  $\Phi$  is an Orlicz function (A function  $\Phi$  is said to be an Orlicz function, if it is non-decreasing,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\Phi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\Phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  is a slowly varying function. Note that if  $b \equiv 1$ , the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  gives to the Orlicz–Lorentz space  $L_{\Phi,q}$  studied in [8]; if  $q = \infty$ , the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  becomes the weak Orlicz–Karamata space introduced in [19]; if  $q = \infty$  and  $b \equiv 1$ , the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  goes back to the weak Orlicz space showed in [16]. For more values of  $\Phi$ ,  $q$  and  $b$ , see Section 2.

The Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space is much more wider than the above spaces. The development of different space theory enriches the theory of harmonic analysis and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator has obtained a mount of investigation. For example, Liu and Wang [16] studied the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator and other operators on weak Orlicz spaces. Very recently, Hatano et al. [10] investigated the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on Orlicz–Lorentz spaces, which extended the result of [16]. As we all known, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator has many elegant properties [2] and often plays a key role in many quantitative estimations. It can control various operators appeared in harmonic analysis, and therefore, its boundedness is of great importance. Motivated by this, we study the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata spaces in this article. More precisely, this paper is to show that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on the Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for a Young function  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  is a slowly varying function. It is worthwhile to mention that our result improves the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator from Liu and Wang [16] when  $b \equiv 1$  and  $q = \infty$  and Hatano et al. [10] when  $b \equiv 1$ , respectively.

At the end of this section, we make some conventions. Throughout this paper, the symbol  $f \lesssim g$  means that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $f \leq Cg$ . If  $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$ , then we write  $f \approx g$  and say that  $f$  is equivalent to  $g$ . The constant  $C_p$  depends only on  $p$  and may be different from line to line.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and lemmas that will be used in next section.

### 2.1. The Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator

The *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator*  $M$  is defined by setting, for every  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is extended over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , whose edges are parallel to the coordinate axes of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that contain  $x$ .

The well-known theorem of Hardy, Littlewood and Wiener states that if  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad \|Mf\|_p \leq C_{p,n} \|f\|_p \quad 1 < p \leq \infty,$$

where the constant  $C_{p,n}$  depends only on  $p$  and  $n$ , see [18].

### 2.2. Young functions

For a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , let

$$a(\Phi) = \sup \{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad b(\Phi) = \inf \{t \geq 0 : \Phi(t) = \infty\}.$$

An increasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a Young function, if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $0 \leq a(\Phi) < \infty$ ,  $0 < b(\Phi) \leq \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Phi$  is convex on  $[0, b(\Phi))$ ;
- (iv) if  $b(\Phi) = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \Phi(\infty) = \infty$ ;
- (v) if  $b(\Phi) < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b(\Phi)-0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(b(\Phi))$ .

A Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition or the doubling condition, denoted by  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if there exists a constant  $\alpha \geq 1$  such that

$$\Phi(2r) \leq \alpha \Phi(r), \quad \forall r > 0.$$

A Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is said to satisfy the  $\nabla_2$ -condition, denoted by  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$ , called the  $\nabla_2$ -constant, such that

$$\Phi(r) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \Phi(\alpha r), \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Obviously, if  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , then there exists a constant  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that the function  $t \rightarrow t^{-1/p} \Phi^{-1}(t)$  is equivalent to a non-increasing function.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([10]) *Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function.  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  if and only if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$  such that*

$$\Phi^{-1}(2\alpha u) \leq \alpha \Phi^{-1}(u), \quad \forall u \geq 0.$$

In this case,  $\alpha$  can be taken as the  $\nabla_2$ -constant of  $\Phi$ .

### 2.3. Slowly varying functions

A Lebesgue measurable function  $b : [1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is said to be a slowly varying function, if for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the function  $t^\epsilon b(t)$  is equivalent to a non-decreasing function and the function  $t^{-\epsilon} b(t)$  is equivalent to a non-increasing function on  $[1, \infty)$ .

Let  $b$  be a slowly varying function on  $[1, \infty)$ . For convenience, we define

$$\gamma_b(t) = b(\max\{t, 1/t\}), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

The useful properties on slowly varying function are given below.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([3]) *Let  $b$  be a slowly varying function. Then the following conclusions hold:*

(i) *For any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the function  $t^\epsilon \gamma_b(t)$  is equivalent to a non-decreasing function and the function  $t^{-\epsilon} \gamma_b(t)$  is equivalent to a non-increasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

(ii) *For any  $r > 0$ ,*

$$\gamma_b(rt) \approx \gamma_b(t), \quad t > 0.$$

### 2.4. Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata spaces

Now we present the definition of Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata spaces. Denote by  $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of all measurable functions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Phi$  be an Orlicz function,  $b$  be a slowly varying function and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . The Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , consists of the set of all functions  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_{\Phi,q,b} < \infty$ , where

$$\|f\|_{\Phi,q,b} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) f^*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) f^*(t) & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here  $f^*(t) = \inf \{s > 0 : |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > s\}| \leq t\}$  ( $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ ) is the non-increasing rearrangement function of  $f$  on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Note that if  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ , the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  gives to the Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{p,q,b}$ ; if  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  for  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $b \equiv 1$ , the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  is the classical Lorentz space  $L_{p,q}$ . Also, if  $\Phi(t) = t^q$  for  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $b \equiv 1$ , then the space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}$  is the usual Lebesgue space  $L_q$ .

### 3. Main results

We shall provide the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on the Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function with  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  be a slowly varying function. If  $f \in L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\|Mf\|_{\Phi,q,b} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi,q,b}.$$

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we recall the definition of the generalized Lorentz space.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function. We define the generalized Lorentz space  $\Lambda_{\phi,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the set of all functions  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\phi,q}} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^\infty (\phi(t) f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \phi(t) f^*(t) & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . If

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t),$$

then  $\Lambda_{\phi,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is reduced to the Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata space  $L_{\Phi,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** ([1, Corollary 1.9]) *Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a measurable function. Then  $M$  is bounded on  $\Lambda_{\phi,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if for every  $r > 0$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_r^\infty \left( \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^q} \int_0^r \phi(t)^q \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Now we begin to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Proof.** Firstly, we prove the case of  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . It suffices to prove that  $\phi$ , given by (3.1), satisfies (3.2) of Proposition 3.2. Fix  $r > 0$ , we get

$$\int_r^\infty \left( \frac{1}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^\infty \left( \frac{1}{rt\Phi^{-1}(1/rt)} \gamma_b(rt) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$\int_0^r \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/rt)} \gamma_b(rt) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t}$$

by making change of variables. Let  $\alpha$  be the  $\nabla_2$ -constant. By using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_r^\infty \left( \frac{1}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{(2\alpha)^{j-1}}^{(2\alpha)^j} \left( \frac{1}{rt\Phi^{-1}(1/r(2\alpha)^j)} \gamma_b(rt) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{(2\alpha)^{j-1}}^{(2\alpha)^j} \left( \frac{1}{r(2\alpha)^{j-1}\Phi^{-1}(1/r(2\alpha)^j)} \gamma_b(r(2\alpha)^{j-1}) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{r(2\alpha)^{j-1}\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \left( \log(2\alpha)^j - \log(2\alpha)^{j-1} \right) \alpha^{qj} \gamma_b(r(2\alpha)^{j-1})^q \approx \\ & \approx \log(2\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{r\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \gamma_b(r) \right)^q \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(2\alpha)^{q(j-1)}} \cdot \alpha^{qj} \approx \\ & \approx \frac{1}{r^q} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \gamma_b(r)^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^r \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \gamma_b(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{(2\alpha)^j}}^{\frac{1}{(2\alpha)^{j-1}}} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}((2\alpha)^j/r)} \gamma_b(rt) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{qj}} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \cdot r \int_{\frac{1}{(2\alpha)^j}}^{\frac{1}{(2\alpha)^{j-1}}} \left( (rt)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_b(rt) \right)^q dt \gtrsim \\
& \gtrsim \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(2\alpha)^{j-1}} - \frac{1}{(2\alpha)^j} \right) \cdot (2\alpha)^{j-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{qj}} \cdot \gamma_b \left( \frac{r}{(2\alpha)^{j-1}} \right)^q \approx \\
& \approx \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \gamma_b(r)^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{qj}} \approx \\
& \approx \left( \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/r)} \right)^q \gamma_b(r)^q.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above inequalities that  $\phi$  satisfies (3.2).

Secondly, we prove the case of  $q = \infty$ . According to Theorem 3.4 in [10], we know that if the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0<\tau<s} \phi(\tau)} < \infty$$

holds, then one can see that  $M$  is bounded on  $\Lambda_{\phi,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\phi$  be as in (3.1). Now we verify that  $\phi$  satisfies (3.3). Fix  $t > 0$ . Since  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , then there exists a constant  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $t^{-1/p} \Phi^{-1}(t)$  is equivalent to a non-increasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence,  $\frac{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)}{(1/t)^{1/p}}$  is equivalent to a non-decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ . We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t \Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sup_{0<\tau<s} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/\tau)} \gamma_b(\tau)} ds = \\
& = \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t \Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sup_{0<\tau<s} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1/\tau)} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot \tau \gamma_b(\tau)} ds \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(1/s) \cdot s}{s \cdot \gamma_b(s)} ds = \\
&= \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(1/s)}{(1/s)^{1/p}} \frac{1}{s^{-\frac{p-1}{2p}} \gamma_b(s)} s^{-\frac{p+1}{2p}} ds \lesssim \\
&\lesssim \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)}{(1/t)^{1/p}} \frac{1}{t^{-\frac{p-1}{2p}} \gamma_b(t)} \int_0^t s^{-\frac{p+1}{2p}} ds = \\
&= \frac{\gamma_b(t)}{t\Phi^{-1}(1/t)} \frac{2p}{p-1} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(1/t)}{\gamma_b(t)} t = \frac{2p}{p-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.3). The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

Especially for  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $b$  be a slowly varying function. If  $f \in L_{p,q,b}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\|Mf\|_{p,q,b} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,q,b}.$$

If we take  $b \equiv 1$  in Theorem 3.1, then the following results hold:

**Corollary 3.2.** ([10]) *Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function with  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in L_{\Phi,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\|Mf\|_{\Phi,q} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Phi,q}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** We refer the reader to [16] for the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on  $L_{\Phi,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the case of  $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ . It is noteworthy that  $\Phi$  does not need to satisfy  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  in Corollary 3.2 when  $q = \infty$ . Hence, our results improve the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator in [16].

When  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) in Corollary 3.2, we get the next conclusion.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$\|Mf\|_{p,q} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,q}.$$

In particular, if we consider the case  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  and  $1 < p = q \leq \infty$ , we have the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on the Lebesgue space  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , see (2.2).



## References

- [1] **Ariño, M.A. and B. Muckenhoupt**, Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy’s inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **320** (1990), 727–735.
- [2] **Christ, M. and L. Grafakos**, Best constants for two nonconvolution inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **123** (1995), 1687–1693.
- [3] **Edmunds, D.E. and W.D. Evans**, *Hardy Operators, Functions Spaces and Embeddings*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [4] **Edmunds, D.E., R. Kerman and L. Pick**, Optimal Sobolev imbeddings involving rearrangement-invariant quasinorms, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **170** (2000), 307–355.
- [5] **Fernández-Martínez, P. and T.M. Signes**, An application of interpolation theory to renorming of Lorentz–Karamata type spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **39** (2014), 97–107.
- [6] **Gogatishvili, A., J.S. Neves and B. Opic**, Characterization of embeddings of Sobolev-type spaces into generalized Hölder spaces defined by  $L_p$ -modulus of smoothness, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **276** (2019), 636–657.
- [7] **Gogatishvili, A., B. Opic and J.S. Neves**, Optimality of embeddings of Bessel-potential-type spaces into Lorentz–Karamata spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A*, **134** (2004), 1127–1147.
- [8] **Hao, Z. and L. Li**, Orlicz–Lorentz Hardy martingale spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **482** (2020), 27pp.
- [9] **Hao, Z., L. Li, L. Long and F. Weisz**, Orlicz–Lorentz–Karamata Hardy martingale spaces: inequalities and fractional integral operators, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **27** (2024), 554–615.
- [10] **Hatano, N., R. Kawasaki and T. Ono**, Predual of weak Orlicz spaces and its applications to Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **46** (2023), 125–160.
- [11] **Ho, K.-P.**, Atomic decompositions, dual spaces and interpolations of martingale Hardy–Lorentz–Karamata spaces, *Q. J. Math.*, **65** (2014), 985–1009.
- [12] **Jiao, Y., G. Xie and D. Zhou**, Dual spaces and John–Nirenberg inequalities of martingale Hardy–Lorentz–Karamata spaces, *Q. J. Math.*, **66** (2015), 605–623.
- [13] **Karamata, J.**, Sur une mode de croissance régulière des fonctions, *Math. (Cluj)*, **4** (1930), 38–53.
- [14] **Karamata, J.**, Sur une mode de croissance régulière. Théorèmes fondamentaux, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **61** (1933), 55–62.

- [15] **Liu, K., W. Li and T. Yue**, B-Valued martingale Hardy–Lorentz–Karamata spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **42** (2019), 2395–2422.
- [16] **Liu, P. and M. Wang**, Weak Orlicz spaces: Some basic properties and their applications to harmonic analysis, *Sci. China Math.*, **56** (2013), 789–802.
- [17] **Neves, J.S.**, Lorentz–Karamata spaces, Bessel and Riesz potentials and embeddings, *Dissertationes Math.*, **405** (2002), 46 pp.
- [18] **Stein, E.M.**, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [19] **Zhou, D., L. Wu and Y. Jiao**, Martingale weak Orlicz–Karamata–Hardy spaces associated with concave functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **456** (2017), 543–562.

**Z. Hao**

Hunan University of Science and Technology  
School of Mathematics and Computing Science  
Xiangtan  
People's Republic of China  
haozhiwei@hnust.edu.cn

**G. Xie and D. Zhou**

Central South University  
School of Mathematics and Statistics  
Changsha  
People's Republic of China  
xieguangheng@csu.edu.cn and zhoudejian@csu.edu.cn