ON THE EQUATION $G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + D$

Imre Kátai, Bui Minh Mai Khanh and Bui Minh Phong

(Budapest, Hungary)

To the memory of dr Ákos Fóthi

Communicated by Gábor Farkas

(Received August 25, 2022; accepted September 15, 2022)

Abstract. We give all solutions (D, G, F) of the equation

 $G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + D$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where G, F are completely multiplicative functions and $D \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. Introduction

In the following let \mathcal{P} , \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{C} denote the set of primes, positive integers and complex numbers, respectively. Let $\mathbb{N}_1 := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. We denote by \mathcal{M} (\mathcal{M}^*) the set of all complex-valued multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions, respectively. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$ let $\chi_m(n)$ ($\chi_m^*(n)$) be the real principal (non-principal) Dirichlet character (mod m), respectively.

Let $\mathbb{E}(n) = 1$, $\mathbb{I}(n) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{O}(1) = 1$, $\mathbb{O}(n) = 0$ if $n \ge 2$. For each $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\omega^3 = -1$ we define the function $\Psi_{\omega} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Psi_{\omega}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 3|n\\ \omega^{\frac{2(n-1)}{3}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\\ \omega^{\frac{4(n-2)}{3}+1} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

One can check that $\Psi_{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}^*$ and $\Psi_{-1} = \chi_3^*$.

Key words and phrases: Completely multiplicative function, the identity function, Dirichlet character.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11A07, 11A25, Secondary 11N25, 11N64. https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.53.159

The problem concerning the characterization of the identity function as multiplicative arithmetical function with some equation was studied by several authors. C. Spiro proved that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the following relations

$$f(p+q) = f(p) + f(q) \quad (\forall p, q \in \mathcal{P}) \text{ and } f(p_0) \neq 0 \text{ for some } p_0 \in \mathcal{P},$$

then f is the identity function.

Recently, in [1] we gave all solutions of the equation

$$F(n^{2} + m^{2} + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is the sum of two fixed squares, $K \in \mathbb{C}$ and F, H are completely multiplicative functions. The equation

$$f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f^2(n) + Df^2(m)$$

was completely solved for arithmetic functions f in [3] and [4].

Here we shall prove the following

Theorem 1. Assume that $D \in \mathbb{C}$ and the functions $G, F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfy the relation

(1.1)
$$G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + D \quad for \ every \ n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Then the following assertions hold.

(a) If D = 0 and $F(3) \neq 0$, then $G = F = \mathbb{E}$.

(b) If D = 0 and F(3) = 0, then $G = \mathbb{O}$ and F(2) = F(3) = F(5) = F(7) = 0, $F(n^2 - 1) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

(c) If $D \neq 0$, F(2) = F(3) = 0, then D = 1, G(n) = 1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F(n^2 - 1) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

(d) If
$$D \neq 0, F(2) = 0$$
 and $F(3) \neq 0$, then $(D, G, F) = (1, \chi_2, \chi_4^*)$.

(e) If $D \neq 0, \omega = F(2) \neq 0$ and F(3) = 0, then $\omega^3 = -1$ and $(D, G, F) = (1, \chi_3, \Psi_{\omega})$.

(f) If
$$D \neq 0$$
 and $F(2)F(3) \neq 0$, then $(D, G, F) = \{(-1, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{E}), (1, \mathbb{I}^2, \mathbb{I})\}.$

Remark 1. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$, for which $F(n^2 - 1) = 0$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Then $|\mathcal{F}| = \infty$. For the proof of this assertion, we consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$ primes $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ of the form 4t + 1. Let

$$\mathcal{B} := \{ p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} | \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha_i \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) \}.$$

We define $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ as follows:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{B} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathcal{B} \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Indirectly, assume that $f(n^2 - 1) = f(n - 1)f(n + 1) \neq 0$, then $n - 1 \in \mathcal{B}, n + 1 \in \mathcal{B}$, which imply n - 1 = 4m + 1 and $n + 1 = 4\ell + 1$ for some $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $2 = 4(\ell - m)$, which is impossible.

2. Lemmas

In this section we assume that $D \in \mathbb{C}$ and the functions $G, F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfy the relation (1.1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

Let $F(2) = \omega$ and $F(3) = \mu$. First we apply (1.1) with $n \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$. We have

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} G(2) = F(3) + D = \mu + D, \\ G(3) = F(2)^3 + D = \omega^3 + D, \\ G(5) = F(2)^3 F(3) + D = \omega^3 \mu + D, \\ G(7) = F(2)^4 F(3) + D = \omega^4 \mu + D, \\ G(11) = F(2)^3 F(3) F(5) + D = \omega^3 \mu F(5) + D, \\ G(13) = F(2)^3 F(3) F(7) + D = \omega^3 \mu F(7) + D. \end{cases}$$

By using this system and by applying (1.1) with $n \in \{4, 6, 8, 9, 15, 26, 49, 55\}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} E_1 &= G(2)^2 - F(3)F(5) - D = D^2 + 2\mu D - \mu F(5) + \mu^2 - D = 0, \\ E_2 &= G(2)G(3) - F(5)F(7) - D = \\ &= D\omega^3 + \omega^3\mu + \mu D - F(5)F(7) + D^2 - D = 0, \\ E_3 &= G(2)^3 - F(3)^2F(7) - D = D^3 + 3D^2\mu + 3D\mu^2 - \mu^2F(7) + \mu^3 - D = 0, \\ E_4 &= G(3)^2 - F(2)^4F(5) - D = \omega^6 - \omega^4F(5) + 2D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = 0, \\ E_5 &= G(3)G(5) - F(2)^5F(7) - D = \\ &= \mu\omega^6 - \omega^5F(7) + D\mu\omega^3 + D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = 0, \\ E_6 &= G(2)G(13) - F(3)^3F(5)^2 - D = \\ &= DF(7)\mu\omega^3 + F(7)\mu^2\omega^3 - \mu^3F(5)^2 + D^2 + \mu D - D = 0, \\ E_7 &= G(7)^2 - F(2)^5F(3)F(5)^2 - D = \\ &= \mu^2\omega^8 - \omega^5\mu F(5)^2 + 2D\mu\omega^4 + D^2 - D = 0, \\ E_8 &= G(5)G(11) - F(2)^4F(3)^3F(7) - D = \\ &= F(5)\mu^2\omega^6 - \omega^4\mu^3F(7) + DF(5)\mu\omega^3 + D\mu\omega^3 + D^2 - D = 0. \end{split}$$

Lemma 1. Assume that D = 0 and the functions $G, F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfy the relation (1.1). Then we have

- (a) If $F(3) \neq 0$, then $G = F = \mathbb{E}$,
- (b) If F(3) = 0, then $G = \mathbb{O}$ and

$$F(2) = F(3) = F(5) = F(7) = 0, \quad F(n^2 - 1) = 0 \quad for \ every \ n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Proof. (a) Assume that $\mu = F(3) \neq 0$. We shall prove that $G = F = \mathbb{E}$. Since D = 0 and $\mu \neq 0$, the equations

$$E_1 = -\mu(F(5) - \mu) = 0$$
 and $E_3 = -\mu^2(F(7) - \mu) = 0$

imply that

$$F(5) = \mu$$
 and $F(7) = \mu$.

Then

$$E_2 = D\omega^3 + \omega^3\mu + \mu D - F(5)F(7) + D^2 - D = \omega^3\mu - F(5)F(7) = \mu(\omega^3 - \mu) = 0,$$

which shows that

$$\mu = \omega^3$$
 and $\omega \neq 0$.

Consequently

$$F(7)=\mu=\omega^3,$$

$$E_5 = \mu\omega^6 - \omega^5 F(7) + D\mu\omega^3 + D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = \omega^6\mu - \omega^5 F(7) = \omega^8(\omega - 1) = 0$$

from which $\omega = 1$ follows. Thus the above relations with (2.1) imply that $\mu = \omega^3 = 1$, $F(2) = F(3) = F(5) = F(7) = \mu = 1$ and G(2) = G(3) = G(5) = 1. Now we shall prove that $G = F = \mathbb{E}$.

Assume that G(n) = F(n) = 1 for every n < P, where P > 5. It is obvious that G(P) = F(P) = 1 if $P \notin \mathcal{P}$. Thus we may assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}, P \ge 7$. Then we infer from (1.1) that

$$G(P) = F(P-1)F(P+1) + D = F(2)F(P-1)F\left(\frac{P+1}{2}\right) = 1$$

and

$$1 = G(P - 1) = F(P - 2)F(P) + D = F(P)$$

which proves that G(P) = F(P) = 1. The proof of (a) is complete.

(b) Assume that D = 0 and $\mu = F(3) = 0$. In this case we prove that $\omega = F(2) = 0$.

Assume in contradiction that $\omega \neq 0$. Then it follows from E_4 that

$$E_4 = \omega^6 - \omega^4 F(5) + 2D\omega^3 + D^2 - D =$$

= $\omega^6 - \omega^4 F(5) = \omega^4 (\omega^2 - F(5)) = 0,$

which implies that

$$F(5) = \omega^2 \neq 0.$$

Since

$$E_2 = D\omega^3 + \omega^3\mu + \mu D - F(5)F(7) + D^2 - D = -F(5)F(7) = -\omega^2 F(7) = 0$$

we have F(7) = 0. These are impossible, because

$$G(3) = F(2)F(4) = \omega^3$$

and

$$0 = G(27) - F(26)F(28) - D = G(3)^3 = F(2)^9 = \omega^9 \neq 0.$$

Thus, we proved that $\omega = \mu = 0$. Then $G(3) = \omega^3 = 0$ and F(2) = F(3) = F(5) = F(7) = 0. By using the fact $n^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if (n, 3) = 1, we have

$$G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + D = 0$$
 if $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $(n, 3) = 1$.

Therefore G(n) = 0 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$, that is $G = \mathbb{O}$. Then $F(n^2 - 1) = G(n) - D = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Lemma 2. Assume that $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and the functions $G, F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfy the relation (1.1). Then we have

(c) If F(2) = F(3) = 0, then D = 1, G(n) = 1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F(n^2 - 1) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

(d) If
$$F(2) = 0$$
 and $F(3) \neq 0$, then $(D, G, F) = (1, \chi_2, \chi_4^*)$.

(e) If $\omega = F(2) \neq 0$ and F(3) = 0, then $\omega^3 = -1$ and $(D, G, F) = (1, \chi_3, \Psi_{\omega})$.

Proof. (c) Assume that F(2) = F(3) = 0, i.e. $\omega = \mu = 0$. Then we have

$$E_4 = \omega^6 - \omega^4 F(5) + 2D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = D^2 - D = 0,$$

which with $D \neq 0$ implies that D = 1. Then $G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

Since $\omega = F(2) = 0$, we have $G(3) = F(2)^3 + 1 = 1$. It is well-known that $n^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if (n,3) = 1, therefore we infer from $\mu = F(3) = 0$ that

$$G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + 1 = 1$$
 if $(n, 3) = 1$.

Consequently

$$G(n) = 1$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F(n^2 - 1) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

The proof of (c) is finished.

(d) Now assume that $F(2) = \omega = 0$ and $F(3) = \mu \neq 0$. Then

$$E_4 = \omega^6 - \omega^4 F(5) + 2D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = D^2 - D = 0,$$

which with $D \neq 0$ gives D = 1. Now we have

$$E_1 = D^2 + 2\mu D - \mu F(5) + \mu^2 - D = -\mu(-\mu + F(5) - 2) = 0$$

and

$$E_3 = D^3 + 3D^2\mu + 3D\mu^2 - \mu^2 F(7) + \mu^3 - D = -\mu(-\mu^2 + F(7)\mu - 3\mu - 3) = 0,$$

which imply

(2.2)
$$F(5) = \mu + 2 \text{ and } F(7) = \frac{\mu^2 + 3\mu + 3}{\mu}.$$

Therefore

$$E_2 = D\omega^3 + \omega^3 \mu + \mu D - F(5)F(7) + D^2 - D =$$

= $-\frac{\mu^3 + 5\mu^2 + 9\mu - \mu^2 + 6}{\mu} = -\frac{(\mu + 1)(\mu^2 + 3\mu + 6)}{\mu} = 0$

and

$$E_6 = DF(7)\mu\omega^3 + F(7)\mu^2\omega^3 - \mu^3F(5)^2 + D^2 + \mu D - D =$$

= $-\mu(\mu + 1)^2(\mu^2 + 2\mu - 1) = 0.$

These with $\mu \neq 0$ imply that $\mu = -1$, because in the other case $\mu^2 + 3\mu + 6 = 0$ and $\mu^2 + 2\mu - 1 = 0$ would satisfied, which are impossible. Therefore, we infer from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$F(2) = 0, F(3) = -1, F(5) = 1, F(7) = -1$$

and

$$G(2) = 0, G(3) = G(5) = G(7) = 1.$$

We shall prove that in this case $(G, F) = (\chi_2, \chi_4^*)$.

We have $F(n) = \chi_4^*(n)$ and $G(n) = \chi_2(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 7$. Assume that $F(n) = \chi_4^*(n)$ and $G(n) = \chi_2(n)$ hold for every n < P, where $P \in \mathbb{N}, P > 7$.

It is obvious that $F(P) = \chi_4^*(P)$ and $G(P) = \chi_2(P)$ if $P \notin \mathcal{P}$. Thus we may assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$G(P) = F(P-1)F(P+1) + D = F(2)F(P-1)F\left(\frac{P+1}{2}\right) + 1 = 1 = \chi_2(P)$$

and

$$0 = \chi_2(P-1) = G(P-1) = F(P-2)F(P) + D =$$

= $\chi_4^*(P-2)F(P) + 1 = -\chi_4^*(P)F(P) + 1,$

because $\chi_4^*(k-2)=-\chi_4^*(k)$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$. The above relation shows that $\chi_4^*(P)F(P)=1,$ which with $(\chi_4^*(P))^2=1$ implies that $F(P)=\chi_4^*(P).$

The proof of (d) is complete.

(e) Assume that $\omega \neq 0$ and $\mu = 0$. First we prove that

(2.3)
$$\omega^3 = -1.$$

Since $\mu = 0$, we have

$$E_1 = D^2 + 2\mu D - \mu F(5) + \mu^2 - D = D^2 - D = 0,$$

which with $D \neq 0$ shows that D = 1. Then

$$E_5 = \mu\omega^6 - \omega^5 F(7) + D\mu\omega^3 + D\omega^3 + D^2 - D = \omega^3 \left(1 - \omega^2 F(7)\right) = 0,$$

consequently

$$F(7) = \frac{1}{\omega^2}$$

and

$$E_2 = D\omega^3 + \omega^3 \mu + \mu D - F(5)F(7) + D^2 - D = \omega^3 - F(5)F(7) =$$
$$= \omega^3 - \frac{F(5)}{\omega^2} = \frac{\omega^5 - F(5)}{\omega^2} = 0.$$

The last relation implies that

(2.5)
$$F(5) = \omega^5.$$

Now we apply (1.1) for $n \in \{21, 351\}$, we shall obtain from (2.1), (2.4) and (2.5) that

(2.6)
$$G(3) = \omega^3 + 1, \ G(7) = G(13) = 1, \ F(5) = \omega^5, \ F(7) = \frac{1}{\omega^2}$$

and so

$$0 = G(21) - bF(20)F(22) - D = G(3)G(7) - F(2)^3F(5)F(11) - 1 = = (\omega^3 + 1) - \omega^8F(11) - 1 = \omega^3(1 - \omega^5F(11)).$$

This with $\omega \neq 0$ implies that $F(11) = \frac{1}{\omega^5}$, consequently

$$0 = G(351) - F(350)F(352) - D =$$

= $G(3)^3G(13) - F(2)^6F(5)^2F(7)F(11) - 1 =$
= $(\omega^3 + 1)^3 - \frac{\omega^{16}}{\omega^7} - 1 = 3\omega^3(\omega + 1)(\omega^2 - \omega + 1).$

Since $\omega \neq 0$, the last relation shows that

$$\omega^3 + 1 = (\omega + 1)(\omega^2 - \omega + 1) = 0,$$

which proves (2.3).

It follows from (2.3) that $G(3) = \omega^3 + 1 = 0$. Since $\mu = F(3) = 0$ and $n^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ if (n,3) = 1, we infer from (1.1) that

$$G(n) = F(n^2 - 1) + D = D = 1$$
 if $(n,3) = 1$.

This implies that $G = \chi_3$.

Now we prove that $F(n) = \Psi_{\omega}(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F(3) = \Psi_{\omega}(3) = 0$ and $F, \Psi_{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}^*$, we have $F(n) = \Psi_{\omega}(n) = 0$ if 3|n.

It follows from (1.1) that

$$0 = \chi_3(3m) = G(3m) = F(3m-1)F(3m+1) + 1 \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies that

(2.7)
$$F(3m-1)F(3m+1) = -1 = \omega^3$$
 for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is clear to check from (2.7) that

(2.8)
$$F(3m+1)F(3m+2) = \frac{F(6m+2)F(6m+4)}{\omega^2} = \frac{F(3(2m+1)-1)F(3(2m+1)+1)}{\omega^2} = \frac{\omega^3}{\omega^2} = \omega$$

holds for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we infer from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$F(3m-1)F(3m+1) = \omega^3 = \omega^2 \cdot \omega = \omega^2 F(3m+1)F(3m+2),$$

which with $\omega^6 = 1$ implies that

$$F(3(m+1)-1) = F(3m+2) = \omega^4 F(3m-1)$$
 for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence

$$F(3m+2) = \omega^{4m+1}$$
 for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

and so (2.8) shows that

$$\omega = F(3m+1)F(3m+2) = \omega^{4m+1}F(3m+1) = \omega^{-2m+1}F(3m+1).$$

Since $\omega^{6m} = 1$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain from the above relation that

$$F(3m+1) = \omega^{2m}$$
 for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, we have proved that $F = \Psi_{\omega}$.

The proof of (e) is complete.

Lemma 3. Assume that $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and the functions $G, F \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfy the relation (1.1). If $F(2)F(3) \neq 0$, then

$$(D, F(2), F(3)) \in \{(-1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 3)\}.$$

Proof. Let $F(2) = \omega$ and $F(3) = \mu$. Then it follows from our assumptions that $D\omega\mu \neq 0$.

Now we infer from E_1 and E_3 that

$$F(5) = \frac{\mu^2 + 2D\mu + D^2 - D}{\mu} \text{ and } F(7) = \frac{\mu^3 + 3D\mu^2 + 3D^2\mu + D^3 - D}{\mu^2}$$

By using a computer, we obtain the following equations:

$$F_{1} = \mu E_{4} = \mu \omega^{6} - D^{2} \omega^{4} - 2D\mu \omega^{4} - \mu^{2} \omega^{4} + 2D\mu \omega^{3} + D\omega^{4} + D^{2} \mu - D\mu = 0$$

$$F_{2} = \mu^{2} E_{5} = \mu^{3} \omega^{6} - D^{3} \omega^{5} - 3D^{2} \mu \omega^{5} - 3D\mu^{2} \omega^{5} - \mu^{3} \omega^{5} + D\mu^{3} \omega^{3} + D\mu^{2} \omega^{3} + D\mu^{2} \omega^{3} + D\omega^{5} + D^{2} \mu^{2} - D\mu^{2} = 0$$

$$\begin{split} F_{3} &= \mu E_{7} = \mu^{3} \omega^{8} - D^{4} \omega^{5} - 4D^{3} \mu \omega^{5} - 6D^{2} \mu^{2} \omega^{5} - 4D \mu^{3} \omega^{5} - \mu^{4} \omega^{5} + \\ &\quad + 2D^{3} \omega^{5} + 4D^{2} \mu \omega^{5} + 2D \mu^{2} \omega^{5} - D^{2} \omega^{5} + 2D \mu^{2} \omega^{4} + \\ &\quad + D^{2} \mu - D \mu = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{4} &= E_{8} = D^{2} \mu \omega^{6} + 2D \mu^{2} \omega^{6} + \mu^{3} \omega^{6} - D^{3} \mu \omega^{4} - 3D^{2} \mu^{2} \omega^{4} - 3D \mu^{3} \omega^{4} - \\ &\quad - D \mu \omega^{6} - \mu^{4} \omega^{4} + D^{3} \omega^{3} + 2D^{2} \mu \omega^{3} + D \mu^{2} \omega^{3} + D \mu \omega^{4} - D^{2} \omega^{3} + \\ &\quad + D \mu \omega^{3} + D^{2} - D = 0. \end{split}$$

We now follow the method which was used in [5] to prove Lemma 3.

Let $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial in three variables x, y, z. Then we can write the polynomial f(x, y, z) in the following form

$$f(x, y, z) = a_0(x, y)z^k + a_1(x, y)z^{k-1} + \ldots + a_k(x, y),$$

where $a_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ (i = 1, ..., k) and we write $f(x, y, z) \in (\mathbb{Q}[x, y])[z]$.

Let a(x, y, z), b(x, y, z) be polynomials in $(\mathbb{Q}[x, y])[z]$ with $b(x, y, z) \neq 0$. Then unique polynomials exist q(x, y, z) and r(x, y, z) in $(\mathbb{Q}[x, y])[z]$ with

$$a(x, y, z) = q(x, y, z)b(x, y, z) + r(x, y, z)$$

and such that the degree of r(x, y, z) is smaller than the degree of b(x, y, z)in z. The polynomials q(x, y, z) and r(x, y, z) are uniquely determined by a(x, y, z) and b(x, y, z). Similarly, we can define rem(a(x, y, z), b(x, y, z), x)and rem(a(x, y, z), b(x, y, z), y). Now let

$$\begin{cases} F_1(x, y, z) = x^6y - x^4z^2 - 2x^4yz - x^4y^2 + x^4z + 2x^3yz + yz^2 - yz, \\ F_2(x, y, z) = x^6y^3 - x^5z^3 - 3x^5yz^2 - 3x^5y^2z - x^5y^3 + x^3y^3z + x^5z + \\ + x^3y^2z + y^2z^2 - y^2z, \end{cases}$$

$$F_3(x, y, z) = x^8y^3 - x^5z^4 - 4x^5yz^3 - 6x^5y^2z^2 - 4x^5y^3z - x^5y^4 + 2x^5z^3 + \\ + 4x^5yz^2 + 2x^5y^2z - x^5z^2 + 2x^4y^2z + yz^2 - yz, \end{cases}$$

$$F_4(x, y, z) = x^6yz^2 + 2x^6y^2z + x^6y^3 - x^4yz^3 - 3x^4y^2z^2 - x^6yz - \\ - 3x^4y^3z - x^4y^4 + x^3z^3 + 2x^3yz^2 + x^4yz + x^3y^2z - x^3z^2 + \\ + x^3yz + z^2 - z. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} a_1(x, y, z) = rem\left(\frac{-(x^4 - y)^2}{x^3 y}F_2(x, y, z), F_1(x, y, z), z\right), \\ a_2(x, y, z) = rem\left(\frac{-(x^4 - y)^3}{x^3 y^2}F_3(x, y, z), F_1(x, y, z), z\right), \\ a_3(x, y, z) = rem\left(\frac{(x^4 - y)^2}{x^3 y}F_4(x, y, z), F_1(x, y, z), z\right). \end{cases}$$

By using a computer we can determinate the polynomials $a_1(x, y, z)$, $a_2(x, y, z)$ and $a_3(x, y, z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} a_1(x,y,z) &= x^{12}y + x^{12}z - x^{11}y^2 + x^{12} + 2x^{11}y - x^{10}y - x^{10}z - 2x^9y^2 - \\ &- 2x^9yz - x^8y^2z + 4x^9z - 3x^8y^2 + 2x^8yz + 2x^7y^3 - x^8y - x^7y^2 + x^6y^3 + \\ &+ x^6y^2z + x^6y^2 - 2x^6yz + x^5y^3 - 4x^5y^2z + 2x^4y^3z - 4x^5yz - x^3y^4 + x^3y^3 + \\ &+ x^2y^4 + 3x^2y^3z + 3x^2y^2z - xy^4 - 2xy^3z - y^4z + y^3z, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} a_2(x,y,z) &= x^{18} - x^{17}y + 4x^{15}z + 3x^{14}y + 3x^{13}y^2 - 2x^{13}z - 6x^{12}y^2 - \\ &- 12x^{12}yz + 4x^{12}z - 8x^{11}y^2 + 4x^{11}yz + 5x^9y^3 + 12x^9y^2z - x^{11} - 2x^9yz + \\ &+ 6x^8y^3 - 12x^8y^2z + x^9y + 2x^9z - 4x^8yz - 3x^6y^4 - 4x^6y^3z - 2x^8z + \\ &+ 4x^6y^2z + x^5y^4 + 12x^5y^3z + 2x^7y + 2x^5y^2z - 2x^5y^2 - 4x^5yz - x^2y^5 - \\ &- 4x^2y^4z + 4x^4yz - 4x^2y^3z - x^3y^2 + 2xy^3z + xy^3 + 2xy^2z - 2y^2z, \\ a_3(x, y, z) &= x^{13}y - x^{11}y^2 - x^{11}yz - x^{11}y - 2x^{10}y^2 + 2x^{10}yz + x^{10}z + x^9yz + \\ &+ 2x^8y^3 + 2x^8y^2z + 2x^9y - 4x^8yz + 2x^7y^3 - 5x^7y^2z + x^8z - x^7y^2 - 4x^7yz - \\ &- 2x^6y^2z - x^5y^4 - x^5y^3z + 2x^7z - 2x^6y^2 + 3x^6yz - x^5y^3 + 2x^5y^2z + \\ &+ 6x^4y^3z + x^7 + 2x^4y^3 + 7x^4y^2z + x^3y^4 + 2x^3y^3z - x^5y - 2x^5z - 4x^4yz - \\ &- 4x^3y^2z - xy^5 - 3xy^4z + 2x^4z - 2x^3yz - 3xy^3z - x^3y + y^3z + xy^2 + \\ &+ 2xyz + 3y^2z - 2yz. \end{split}$$

In the next step, we compute the following polynomials:

$$\begin{split} b_1(x,y,z) &= rem \big((x^{12} - x^{10} - 2x^9y - x^8y^2 + 4x^9 + 2x^8y + x^6y^2 - 2x^6y - \\ &- 4x^5y^2 + 2x^4y^3 - 4x^5y + 3x^2y^3 + 3x^2y^2 - \\ &- 2xy^3 - y^4 + y^3 \big)^2 F_1(x,y,z), a_1(x,y,z), z \big) = \\ &= x(x^4 - y)^2 (x^{21}y - x^{17}y^4 - 3x^{19}y - 3x^{18}y^2 + 4x^{17}y^3 - 2x^{19} - 2x^{17}y^2 + \\ &+ 2x^{14}y^5 + 3x^{17}y + 11x^{16}y^2 + 4x^{15}y^3 - 15x^{14}y^4 + 2x^{13}y^5 + x^{17} + 2x^{16}y + \\ &+ 9x^{15}y^2 + 7x^{14}y^3 - 2x^{13}y^4 - x^{11}y^6 - 4x^{16} - 2x^{15}y - 7x^{14}y^2 - 18x^{13}y^3 - \\ &- 7x^{12}y^4 + 20x^{11}y^5 - 4x^{10}y^6 + 4x^{14}y - x^{13}y^2 - 14x^{12}y^3 - x^{11}y^4 + 5x^{10}y^5 - \\ &- x^9y^6 + 2x^{13}y + 3x^{12}y^2 - 7x^{11}y^3 + 5x^{10}y^4 + 12x^9y^5 - 14x^8y^6 + 2x^7y^7 + \\ &+ 4x^{12}y + 2x^{11}y^2 + 9x^{10}y^3 + 18x^9y^4 - 11x^8y^5 + 2x^7y^6 + 2x^6y^7 - 4x^{10}y^2 - \\ &- 7x^9y^3 + 4x^8y^4 + 4x^7y^5 - 13x^6y^6 + 4x^5y^7 - 3x^9y^2 - 4x^7y^4 - 6x^6y^5 + \\ &+ 11x^5y^6 - 2x^4y^7 - x^3y^8 - 2x^7y^3 - 4x^6y^4 + 5x^5y^5 - 7x^4y^6 + 3x^3y^7 + \\ &+ 5x^5y^4 + 4x^3y^6 - x^2y^7 + 3x^4y^4 + x^3y^5 - 7x^2y^6 - 2x^2y^5 + 3xy^6 + y^7 - y^6), \\ b_2(x,y,z) &= rem \big(-4(2x^{15} - x^{13} - 6x^{12}y + 2x^{12} + 2x^{11}y + 6x^9y^2 - x^9y - \\ &- 6x^8y^2 + x^9 - 2x^8y - 2x^6y^3 - x^8 + 2x^6y^2 + 6x^5y^3 + x^5y^2 - 2x^5y - 2x^2y^4 + \\ &+ 2x^4y - 2x^2y^3 + xy^3 + xy^2 - y^2 \big)^2 F_1(x,y,z), a_2(x,y,z), z) \big) = \\ &= x(x^4 - y)^3 \big(x^{27} - 2x^{26}y + x^25y^2 - 8x^{24}y + 8x^{23}y^2 + 4x^{24} - 4x^{23}y - \\ &- 12x^{22}y^2 - 4x^{21}y^3 + 4x^{22}y + 24x^{21}y^2 - 12x^{20}y^3 - 2x^{22} - 6x^{21}y + 24x^{20}y^2 + \\ &+ 48x^{19}y^3 + 4x^{21} - 4x^{20}y - 24x^{19}y^2 - 58x^{18}y^3 + 14x^{17}y^4 - 2x^{20} - 2x^{14}y^5 + \\ &+ 2x^{18} - 2x^{17}y - 4x^{16}y^2 + 16x^{15}y^3 + 12x^{14}y^4 + 44x^{13}y^5 - 6x^{17} - 2x^{15}y^2 - \\ \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} -\ 20x^{14}y^3 - 20x^{13}y^4 - 68x^{12}y^5 + 8x^{15}y + 8x^{14}y^2 - 2x^{13}y^3 - 12x^{12}y^4 - \\ -\ 12x^{10}y^6 + 2x^{15} + 6x^{14}y + 10x^{13}y^2 + 24x^{12}y^3 + 24x^{11}y^4 - 4x^{10}y^5 + 37x^9y^6 - \\ -\ 4x^{14} - 4x^{13}y - 18x^{12}y^2 - 30x^{11}y^3 - 2x^{10}y^4 + 24x^9y^5 + x^{13} + 4x^{12}y - \\ -\ 12x^{11}y^2 - 12x^{10}y^3 - 2x^9y^4 - 20x^8y^5 - 10x^6y^7 + 20x^{10}y^2 + 14x^9y^3 + \\ +\ 6x^8y^4 - 12x^6y^6 - 2x^{11} + 4x^{10}y - x^9y^2 - 6x^8y^3 + 14x^7y^4 - 2x^6y^5 + 4x^5y^6 + \\ +\ x^3y^8 + 2x^{10} - 8x^8y^2 - 12x^7y^3 - 2x^6y^4 + 4x^3y^7 - 2x^8y + 2x^7y^2 + 8x^5y^4 - \\ -\ 2x^4y^5 + 4x^3y^6 + 4x^7y + 6x^4y^4 - 4x^2y^6 - 4x^6y - 3x^5y^2 + 4x^4y^3 - 6x^2y^5 + \\ +\ 4x^4y^2 - 4x^3y^3 - 4x^2y^4 + 2xy^5 - 2x^3y^2 + 3xy^4 + 2x^2y^2 + 2xy^3 - 2y^3 \end{array}$ and

$$\begin{split} b_{3}(x,y,z) &= rem \Big(-(x^{11}y - 2x^{10}y - x^{10} - x^{9}y - 2x^{8}y^{2} + 4x^{8}y + 5x^{7}y^{2} - x^{8} + \\ &+ 4x^{7}y + 2x^{6}y^{2} + x^{5}y^{3} - 2x^{7} - 3x^{6}y - 2x^{5}y^{2} - 6x^{4}y^{3} - 7x^{4}y^{2} - 2x^{3}y^{3} + \\ &+ 2x^{5} + 4x^{4}y + 4x^{3}y^{2} + 3xy^{4} - 2x^{4} + 2x^{3}y + 3xy^{3} - y^{3} - 2xy - \\ &- 3y^{2} + 2y)^{2}F_{1}(x, y, z), a_{3}(x, y, z), z \Big) = \\ &= x(x^{2} - y)(x^{4} - y)^{2} \left(x^{19}y^{2} - 3x^{17}y^{2} - 6x^{16}y^{3} + 2x^{16}y^{2} - x^{15}y^{3} + x^{16}y + \\ &+ 5x^{15}y^{2} + 12x^{14}y^{3} + 15x^{13}y^{4} - x^{15}y - 6x^{14}y^{2} - 3x^{13}y^{3} + 4x^{12}y^{4} - 9x^{13}y^{2} - \\ &- 16x^{12}y^{3} - 18x^{11}y^{4} - 20x^{10}y^{5} + 2x^{13}y + 11x^{12}y^{2} + 6x^{11}y^{3} - 6x^{10}y^{4} - \\ &- 6x^{9}y^{5} - 3x^{12}y + 10x^{11}y^{2} + 26x^{10}y^{3} + 17x^{9}y^{4} + 12x^{8}y^{5} + 15x^{7}y^{6} - \\ &- 8x^{11}y - 9x^{10}y^{2} - 6x^{9}y^{3} + 2x^{8}y^{4} + 16x^{7}y^{5} + 4x^{6}y^{6} + 4x^{10}y - 4x^{9}y^{2} - \\ &- 14x^{8}y^{3} - 25x^{7}y^{4} - 6x^{6}y^{5} - 3x^{5}y^{6} - 6x^{4}y^{7} + x^{10} + 5x^{9}y + 8x^{8}y^{2} - 2x^{7}y^{3} - \\ &- 10x^{6}y^{4} - 2x^{5}y^{5} - 12x^{4}y^{6} - x^{3}y^{7} - 4x^{8}y + x^{7}y^{2} + 13x^{6}y^{3} + 3x^{5}y^{4} + 3x^{4}y^{5} + \\ &+ xy^{8} + x^{8} - 6x^{7}y - 6x^{6}y^{2} + x^{5}y^{3} + 11x^{4}y^{4} + 9x^{3}y^{5} + 3xy^{7} + 3x^{7} + 3x^{6}y + \\ &+ x^{4}y^{3} - 4x^{3}y^{4} + 3xy^{6} - x^{5}y + 7x^{4}y^{2} + x^{3}y^{3} - 2xy^{5} - y^{6} - 2x^{5} - 4x^{4}y - \\ &- 2x^{3}y^{2} - 5xy^{4} - 3y^{5} + 2x^{4} - 3x^{3}y - 3xy^{3} + 2y^{4} + xy^{2} + y^{3} + \\ &+ 2xy + 3y^{2} - 2y \Big). \end{split}$$

Since $F_1(\omega, \mu, D) = F_1 = 0$, $F_2(\omega, \mu, D) = F_2 = 0$, $F_3(\omega, \mu, D) = F_3 = 0$ and $F_4(\omega, \mu, D) = F_4 = 0$, it easy to check that

$$a_1(\omega,\mu,D) = 0, a_2(\omega,\mu,D) = 0, a_3(\omega,\mu,D) = 0$$

and

$$b_1(\omega, \mu, D) = 0, b_2(\omega, \mu, D) = 0, b_3(\omega, \mu, D) = 0.$$

If $\mu = \omega^4$, then $F_1(\omega, \mu, D) = -\omega^7(\omega - 1)(\omega^4 + \omega^3 + 2D) = 0$, which implies either $\omega = 1$ or $Q = \omega^4 + \omega^3 + 2D = 0$. If $\omega = 1$, then $F_2 = -D(D+1)^2 = 0$, which with $D \neq 0$ implies that D = -1. Thus we have (D, F(2), F(3)) = (-1, 1, 1).

Now assume that $\mu = \omega^4$ and $Q = \omega^4 + \omega^3 + 2D = 0$. Let $Q(x, z) = x^4 + x^3 + 2z$. Then we infer from $F_2(x, y, z), F_3(x, y, z), F_4(x, y, z)$ with $y = x^4$ that

$$P_1(x) = rem(-8F_2(x, y, z), Q(x, z), z) =$$

= $x^8(x-1)(4x^{10} + x^8 - 4x^7 - x^6 - 4x^3 - 8x^2 - 8x - 4)$

and

$$P_{2}(x) = rem(-16F_{3}(x, y, z), Q(x, z), z) =$$

= $x^{7}(x - 1)(x^{13} - 19x^{12} - 13x^{11} - 17x^{10} - 12x^{9} +$
+ $12x^{7} + 16x^{6} + 20x^{5} + 24x^{4} + 20x^{3} + 16x^{2} + 16x + 8).$

Since $P_1(\omega) = P_2(\omega) = 0$ and $gcd(P_1(x), P_2(x)) = x^7(x-1)$, therefore these relations with $\omega \neq 0$ imply that $\omega = 1$ and Q = 2 + 2D = 0, D = -1. Thus we also have (D, F(2), F(3)) = (-1, 1, 1).

It can check as above that if $\mu = \omega^2$, then (D, F(2), F(3)) = (-1, 1, 1).

In the following we can assume that $\omega(\omega^4 - \mu)(\omega^2 - \mu) \neq 0$. We consider the following system of equations

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} B_1(x, y, z) = \frac{b_1(x, y, z)}{x(x^4 - y)^2} = 0, \\ B_2(x, y, z) = \frac{b_2(x, y, z)}{x(x^4 - y)^3} = 0, \\ B_3(x, y, z) = \frac{b_3(x, y, z)}{x(x^2 - y)(x^4 - y)^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

With help of a computer and Maple program, the system (2.9) has three solutions: $(x, y) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 3)\}$. Since

$$B_1(\omega, \mu, D) = B_2(\omega, \mu, D) = B_3(\omega, \mu, D) = 0$$
 and $\omega \mu \neq 0$,

we have $(\omega, \mu) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 3)\}.$

If
$$(\omega, \mu) = (1, 1)$$
, then $F_2 = -D(D+1)^2 = 0$, consequently $D = -1$
If $(\omega, \mu) = (2, 3)$, then

$$F_1 = -(13D + 48)(D - 1) = 0$$
 and $F_2 = -(D - 1)(32D^2 + 311D + 864) = 0.$

These imply that D = 1, and so $(D, \omega, \mu) \in \{(-1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 3)\}$.

Lemma 3 is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need to prove the assertion (f). By using Lemma 3, we have $(D, \omega, \mu) \in \{(-1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 3)\}$.

• Assume that $(D, \omega, \mu) = (-1, 1, 1)$. Then G(2) = F(3) - 1 = 0 and $G(3) = F(2)F(4) - 1 = F(2)^3 - 1 = 0$. Assume that G(n) = 0 and F(n) = 1 for every n < P, where P > 3. It is obvious that G(P) = 0 and F(P) = 1 if $P \notin \mathcal{P}$. Therefore we may assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}, P \ge 5$. Then

$$G(P) = F(P-1)F(P+1) - 1 = F(2)F(P-1)F\left(\frac{P-1}{2}\right) - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$$

and

$$0 = G(P - 1) = F(P - 2)F(P) - 1 = F(P) - 1, \ F(P) = 1.$$

Thus $(D, G, F) = (1, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{E}).$

• Assume that $(D, \omega, \mu) = (1, 2, 3)$. Then we infer from (2.1) that $G(2) = \mu + D = 2^2$, $G(3) = \omega^3 + 1 = 3^2$ and $G(7) = \omega^4 \mu + D = 7^2$. On the other hand, we obtain from E_1, E_2 that F(5) = 5, F(7) = 7. Assume that $G(n) = n^2$ and F(n) = n for every n < P, where P > 7. Similarly as above, we can assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}, P \ge 11$. Then

$$\begin{split} G(P) &= F(P-1)F(P+1) + 1 = F(2)F(P-1)F\Big(\frac{P-1}{2}\Big) + 1 = \\ &= 2(P-1)\Big(\frac{P-1}{2}\Big) + 1 = P^2 \end{split}$$

and

$$(P-1)^2 = G(P-1) = F(P-2)F(P) + 1 = (P-2)F(P) + 1, F(P) = P.$$

Thus we proved that $(D, G, F) = (1, \mathbb{I}^2, \mathbb{I}).$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

References

- [1] Kátai, I., B.M.M. Khanh and B.M. Phong, On the equation $F(n^2 + m^2 + k) = H(n) + H(m) + K$, J. Math. Math. Sci., **2022(1)**, 132–148.
- [2] Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong, Completely multiplicative functions with special properties, *Mathematica Pannonica New Series*, (2022), (submitted).

- [3] Khanh, B.M.M., On the equation f(n + Dm) = f(n) + Df(m), Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 44 (2015), 59–68.
- [4] Khanh, B.M.M., On conjecture concerning the functional equation, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 46 (2017), 123–135.
- [5] Khanh, B.M.M., On the equation $f(n^2 + Dm^2 + k) = f(n)^2 + Df(m)^2 + k$, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., **52** (2021), 195–216.
- [6] Spiro, C., Additive uniqueness sets for arithmetic functions, J. Number Theory, 42 (1992), 232–246.

I. Kátai, B.M.M. Khanh and B.M. Phong

Department of Computer Algebra Faculty of Informatics Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 Budapest Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Hungary katai@inf.elte.hu mbuiminh@yahoo.com bui@inf.elte.hu