ON A CONJECTURE REGARDING MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

Imre Kátai and Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

Communicated by Jean-Marie De Koninck

(Received November 15, 2020; accepted May 13, 2021)

Abstract. Let g be a completely multiplicative function, $g(n) \in \mathbb{T}$, $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\} \subset \mathbb{T}$. Assume that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \left\{ n \le x \mid |g(n+1)\overline{g}(n) - \alpha_j| < \epsilon \right\} = \rho(\alpha_j) > 0$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$ which is small enough. Assume furthermore that if $\delta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{A}$, then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \left\{ n \le x \mid |g(n+1)\overline{g}(n) - \delta| < \epsilon \right\} = 0$$

if ϵ is small enough. We formulate our conjecture on the possible A and g. We can prove our conjecture for k = 1, 2 and for k = 3 with exception if $\mathbb{A} = \{1, \beta, \overline{\beta}\}.$

1. Introduction

Let, as usual, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} be the set of positive integers, real and complex numbers, respectively. Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}^* , \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{M}^* be the set of real-valued additive (completely additive) and the set of complex-valued multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions. We say that $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$ (resp. \mathcal{M}_1^*), if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) and |f(n)| = 1 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$.

Key words and phrases: Multiplicative function, completely multiplicative function, additive function, completely additive.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K65, 11N37, 11N64. https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.52.187 In 1946 P. Erdős proved the following result.

Theorem A. (P. Erdős [2]) If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$f(n+1) - f(n) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$$

then $f(n) = c \log n$ with some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Conjecture 1. (P. Erdős [2]) If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x} \left| f(n+1) - f(n) \right| \to 0 \quad (x \to \infty),$$

then $f(n) = c \log n$ $(c \in \mathbb{R})$.

Conjecture 1 was proved by I. Kátai [4] and E. Wirsing [14].

We now present the short history of the results initiated by Erdős, and analogous questions for multiplicative functions.

Conjecture 2. (I. Kátai [5]) If $g \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$g(n+1) - g(n) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$$

then either $g(n) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty)$, or $g(n) = n^s$, $\Re s < 1$.

It is proved by E. Wirsing. Another proof is given in [16].

Conjecture 3. (I. Kátai and M. V. Subbarao [7]) Let

$$\mathbb{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

If $g \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and the whole set of limit points of $g(n+1)\overline{g}(n)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is \mathbb{A} , then

$$\mathbb{A}^k = \{1\}, \quad i.e \quad \alpha_1^k = \dots = \alpha_k^k = 1.$$

Kátai and Subbarao proved this conjecture for k = 1, 2, 3. E. Wirsing[15] and B. M. Phong [13] proved a somewhat weaker result, namely that if the conditions hold, then there exists such an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\mathbb{A}^{\ell} = \{1\}$.

Conjecture 4. (I. Kátai [5]) If $g \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and

(1.1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} |g(n+1) - g(n)| = 0,$$

then

(1.2)
$$g(n) = n^{i\tau} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem B. (O. Klurman [8]) Conjecture 4 is true.

He proved more, namely that if $g \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and

(1.3)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{|g(n+1) - g(n)|}{n} = 0,$$

then (1.2) is true.

Remark. O. Klurman proved it for $g \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$, but using the method of Mauclaire and Murata [3] one can prove that if (1.3) holds for $g \in \mathcal{M}_1$, then $g \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$.

Further results on these topics can be found in [6], [9], [10], [11], [12].

2. Our conjecture

We state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4. (I. Kátai and B. M. Phong) Let

$$\mathbb{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and $C(n) = g(n+1)\overline{g}(n)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Assume that

(2.1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{A}} |C(n) - \beta| = 0$$

and that for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{A}$,

(2.2)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \left\{ n \le x \mid |C(n) - \gamma| = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{A}} |C(n) - \beta| \right\} = \rho(\gamma)$$

exists, and $\rho(\gamma) > 0$.

Then there exists such an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\mathbb{A}^{\ell} = \{1\}$. Consequently

$$g^{\ell}(n) = n^{i\tau}, \quad g(n) = n^{i\tau/\ell}G(n), \quad G \in \mathcal{M}_1^*, \quad G^{\ell}(n) = 1.$$

We shall prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Conjecture 4 is true if

- a) k = 1, 2,
- b) k = 3, possibly except the case when $\mathbb{A} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\} = \{1, \beta, \overline{\beta}\}.$

Proof. Let B(n) be the closest element of \mathbb{A} to C(n). B(n) is well determined for almost all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and furthermore

(2.3)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} |B(n) - C(n)| = 0.$$

Since

$$g(n+1)\overline{g}(n) = g(2n+2)\overline{g}(2n) = g(2n+2)\overline{g}(2n+1)g(2n+1)\overline{g}(2n)$$

and

$$\begin{split} g(n+1)\overline{g}(n) &= g(3n+3)\overline{g}(3n) = \\ &= g(3n+3)\overline{g}(3n+2)g(3n+2)\overline{g}(3n+1)g(3n+1)\overline{g}(3n), \end{split}$$

thus

$$C(n) = C(2n)C(2n+1), \quad C(n) = C(3n)C(3n+1)C(3n+2),$$

and so

$$B(n) = B(2n)B(2n+1), \quad B(n) = B(3n)B(3n+1)B(3n+2),$$

and in general,

$$(2.4) B(n) = B(\ell n)B(\ell n+1)\cdots B(\ell n+\ell-1)$$

holds for almost all n, for every fixed ℓ .

Case k = 1. This case is immediate, since $B(n) = B(2n) = B(2n+1) = \alpha$ implies that $\alpha = \alpha^2$. This shows that $\alpha = 1$ and our result follows from Theorem B.

Case k = 2. If $1 \notin \mathbb{A}$, $B(2n) \neq B(2n+1)$ cannot occur for almost all n, since in the opposite case (2.4) $\ell = 2$ would imply that $1 \in \mathbb{A}$. Then $\alpha = \beta^2$ and $\beta = \alpha^2$, and so $\alpha\beta = 1$, $\alpha = \alpha^4$, $\alpha^3 = \beta^3 = 1$. Consequently (1.1) holds for g^3 instead of g. Thus $g^3(n) = n^{i\tau}$, $g(n) = n^{i\tau/3}G(n)$, $G \in \mathcal{M}^*$, $G(n)^3 = 1$.

We shall prove that this cannot occur. Since

$$B(n) = G(n+1)\overline{G}(n) \in \{\omega, \overline{\omega}\}, \ \omega^3 = 1, \ \omega \neq 1,$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \le x \mid G(n+1) = G(n) \} \to 0 \quad (x \to \infty).$$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid G(n+1) = G(n)\}.$

1

Let us consider the sequence:

$$G(12m-4), G(12m-3), G(12m-2), G(12m-1), G(12m).$$

We shall prove that at least one of $12m - \ell \in \mathcal{R}$ ($\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$). In the opposite case:

$$G(12m) \neq G(12m-2), \ G(12m-4), \ G(12m-3)$$

and

$$G(12m-4), G(12m-3), G(12m-2), G(12m)$$
 are distinct values.

It is impossible. Consequently

$$\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \le x \mid n \in \mathcal{R} \} \ge \frac{1}{12},$$

which shows that such a case cannot occur.

Assume that $1 \in \mathbb{A}$. Then $\mathbb{A} = \{1, \beta\}, \ \beta \neq 1$. Let $I_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1} - 1]$. It is clear that

$$M_{\beta}(k) = \#\{n \in I_k \mid B(n) = \beta\} = \rho(\beta)2^k + o(2^k),$$

$$M_1(k) = \#\{n \in I_k \mid B(n) = 1\} = \rho(1)2^k + o(2^k).$$

Consider the set of those n for which $B(n) = \beta$. Then

$$(B(2n), B(2n+1)) \neq (\beta, \beta), (1,1)$$
 for almost all n .

If 1 = B(n). Then 1 = B(2n)B(2n+1), and so $(B(2n), B(2n+1)) = (\beta, \beta)$ or (B(2n), B(2n+1)) = (1, 1). The first case implies that $\beta^2 = 1$, consequently $\beta = -1$. Assume that (B(2n), B(2n+1)) = (1, 1). We obtain that

(2.5)
$$M_{\beta}(k) = M_{\beta}(k-1) + o(2^k).$$

Since $M_{\beta}(k) = \rho(\beta)2^k + o(2^k)$, thus $\rho(\beta)2^k = \rho(\beta)2^{k-1} + o(2^k)$. This is impossible if $\rho(\beta) \neq 0$. Thus $\beta = -1$ and we are done.

Case k = 3. Assume that $\mathbb{A} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. First we consider the case $\alpha = 1$. If $\beta = \gamma^2$ and $\gamma = \beta^2$, then $\beta = \beta^4$, $\beta^3 = 1$, $\gamma^3 = 1$ and we are done. Assume that $\beta \neq \gamma^2$. If $B(n) = \beta$, then for almost all such n

$$(B(2n), B(2n+1)) \in \{(1,\beta), (\beta,1)\}.$$

Since $\beta \neq \overline{\gamma}$, we obtain that

$$M_1(k) \ge 2M_1(k-1) + M_\beta(k-1),$$

which contradicts the assumption that $\rho(\beta) \neq 0$. We also obtain a contradiction if $\gamma \neq \beta^2$. So we have

$$\mathbb{A} = \{ \omega \mid \omega^3 = 1 \}.$$

Now we consider the case $1 \notin \mathbb{A}$.

a) Let $\alpha = \beta^2$, $\beta = \gamma^2$, $\gamma = \alpha^2$. Then $\mathbb{A}^7 = \{1\}$, and we are done.

b) Let $\alpha = \beta^2$, $\beta = \gamma^2$, $\gamma = \alpha\beta$. Then $\alpha^5 = \beta^5 = \gamma^5 = 1$, consequently $\mathbb{A}^5 = \{1\}$, and we are done.

c) Let $\alpha = \beta^2$, $\beta = \alpha \gamma$, $\gamma = \alpha \beta$. In this case, we have

 $\beta\gamma = \alpha\gamma\alpha\beta, \quad \alpha = -1, \quad \beta = -\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^4 = \gamma^4 = 1.$

Therefore, $\mathbb{A}^4 = \{1\}.$

d) Let $\alpha = \beta \gamma$, $\beta = \alpha \gamma$, $\gamma = \alpha \beta$. Then

$$\alpha\beta\gamma = 1, \ \alpha = \beta\alpha\gamma^2, \ \gamma = -1, \ \alpha = -\beta, \ \alpha\beta = -1 \text{ and } \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = 1,$$

which cannot occur.

All the possible cases are covered above by a permutation of \mathbb{A} .

3. Further remarks

Let $0 \le u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_k < 1$; $p_1, \dots, p_k > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of the distribution functions of the random variables ξ satisfying the conditions $P(\xi = u_i) = p_i$.

Conjecture 5. (I. Kátai and B. M. Phong) Let h(n) be an additive function, $\delta(n) = h(n+1) - h(n) \pmod{1}$. Assume that it has a limit distribution, and that its distribution $F \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$F(y) = P(\xi \le y), \quad P(\xi = u_i) = p_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Then

$$h(n) \equiv \tau \log n + E(n)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \le x \mid E(n+1) - E(n) \pmod{1} = u_i \} = p_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

furthermore $\ell E(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ for a suitable $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conjecture 5 is a variant of Conjecture 4.

Conjecture 6. (I. Kátai and B. M. Phong) Let h(n), $\delta(n)$ be as above. Let $I = [\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [0, 1)$ and assume that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \left\{ n \le x \mid \delta(n) \in I \right\} = 0.$$

Then

$$h(n) \equiv \tau \log n + E(n) \pmod{1}$$

and $\ell E(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ for a suitable $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

References

- Elliott, P.D.T.A., Arithmetic Functions and Integer Products, Grund. der Math. Wiss. 272, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1985.
- [2] Erdős, P. On the distribution function of additive functions, Ann. Math., 47 (1946), 1–20.
- [3] Mauclaire, J.L. and L. Murata, On the regularity of arithmetic multiplicative functions, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 56 (1980), 438–440.
- [4] Kátai, I., On a problem of P. Erdős, J. Number Theory, 2 (1970), 1–6.
- [5] Kátai, I., Some problems in number theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar*, 16(3-4) (1983), 289–295.
- [6] Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong, A characterization of n^s as a multiplicative function, Acta Math. Hungar., 87(4) (2000), 317–331.
- [7] Kátai, I. and M.V. Subbarao, The characterization of n^{iτ} as a multiplicative function, Acta Math. Hungar., 81 (1998), 349–353.
- [8] Klurman, O., Correlations of multiplicative functions and applications, Compositio Math., 153(8) (2017), 1620–1657.
- [9] Phong, B.M., A characterization of some arithmetical multiplicative functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 63(1) (1994), 29–43.
- [10] Phong, B.M., A characterization of some unimodular multiplicative functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 57(3-4) (2000), 339–366.
- [11] Phong, B.M., A characterization of the some unimodular multiplicative functions II., Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 21 (2002), 187–194.
- [12] Phong, B.M., On completely multiplicative functions whose values are roots of unity, Acta Math. Hungar., 113(1-2) (2006), 63–71.

- [13] Phong, B.M., On a problem of Kátai and Subbarao, Analytic and probabilistic methods in number theory, TEV, Vilnius, 2007, 143–149.
- [14] Wirsing, E., A characterization of log n as an additive function, Symposia Math., IV (1970), 45–57.
- [15] Wirsing, E., On a problem of Kátai and Subbarao, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 24 (2004), 69–78.
- [16] Wirsing, E., Tang Yuansheng and Shao Pintsung, On a conjecture of Kátai for additive functions, J. Number Theory, 56 (1996), 391–395.

I. Kátai and B. M. Phong

Department of Computer Algebra Faculty of Informatics Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C Hungary katai@inf.elte.hu bui@inf.elte.hu