

# EXTENDED LOCAL CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF A THREE-STEP METHOD WITH A PARAMETER OF CONVERGENCE ORDER SIX

Ioannis K. Argyros, Gus Argyros and Michael Argyros

(Lawton, USA)

Santhosh George (Surathkal, India)

Communicated by László Szili

(Received February 23, 2021; accepted July 7, 2021)

**Abstract.** The convergence region of a Three Step Method (TSM) with a parameter of convergence order six for solving Banach space valued equations is extended by using conditions only on the first derivative and our idea of the restricted region.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B_1, B_2$  denote Banach spaces,  $\Omega \subseteq B_1$  be convex and open. We are concerned with the problem of finding a locally unique solution  $x_*$  of equation

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) = 0,$$

where  $F : \Omega \longrightarrow B_2$  is continuously Fréchet differentiable according to Fréchet. Solving (1.1) is of extreme importance, since many applications reduce to solving (1.1). We resort to iterative methods through which a sequence is generated converging to  $x_*$  under certain conditions on the initial data [1]–[16]. We study

---

*Key words and phrases:* Three-step method, Banach space, local convergence.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 65J15, 65G99, 49M15.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.52.045>

the local convergence of the three-step method defined for  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \alpha F'(x_n)^{-1} F(x_n), \\ z_n &= x_n - \alpha F'(y_n)^{-1} F(x_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - M_n F(z_n), \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_n = 2F'(y_n)^{-1} - F'(x_n)^{-1}$  developed in [15], when  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^i$ . The order is six, if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . The local convergence of (1.2) has been studied extensively under conditions on derivatives of  $F$  of order up to seven [15]. The convergence domain is not large, the error estimates on  $\|x_n - x_*\|$  pessimistic and the information concerning the uniqueness of the solution not the best possible (in general). We address all these concerns and present a finer local convergence for TSM without additional conditions.

## 2. Convergence

We define some real functions and constants to be used in the analysis that follows. Set  $S = [0, \infty)$ .

Suppose that there exists continuous and nondecreasing function  $w_0 : S \rightarrow S$  such that equation

$$(2.1) \quad w_0(s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least positive solution denoted by  $\rho$ . Set  $S_0 = [0, \rho)$ .

Suppose that there exist continuous and nondecreasing functions  $w : S_0 \rightarrow S$ ,  $w_1 : S_0 \rightarrow S$  such that equation

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_1(s) := g_1(s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution  $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho]$ , where

$$g_1(s) = \frac{\int_0^1 w((1-\theta)s) d\theta + |1-\alpha| \int_0^1 w_1(\theta s) d\theta}{1 - w_0(s)}.$$

Suppose equation

$$(2.3) \quad w_0(g_1(s)s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution  $\rho_2 \in (0, \rho]$ . Set  $\rho_3 = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ .

Suppose equation

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_2(s) := g_2(s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution  $\rho_4 \in (0, \rho_3)$ , where

$$g_0(s) = \frac{\int_0^1 w((1-\theta)s) d\theta}{1 - w_0(s)}$$

and

$$g_2(s) = g_0(s) + \frac{(w_0(s) + w_0(g_1(s)s)) \int_0^1 w_1(\theta s) d\theta}{(1 - w_0(s))(1 - w_0(g_1(s)s))}.$$

Suppose equation

$$(2.5) \quad w_0(g_2(s)s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution  $\rho_5 \in (0, \rho_3)$ . Set  $\rho_6 = \min\{\rho_4, \rho_5\}$ .

Suppose equation

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_3(s) := g_3(s) - 1 = 0$$

has a least solution  $\rho_* \in (0, \rho_6)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(s) = & [g_0(g_2(s)s) + \left( \frac{w_0(g_2(s)s) + w_0(g_1(s)s)}{(1 - w_0(g_2(s)s))(1 - w_0(g_1(s)s))} + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{w_0(g_2(s)s) + w_0(s)}{(1 - w_0(s))(1 - w_0(g_1(s)s))} \right) \int_0^1 w_1(\theta g_2(s)s) d\theta] g_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these definitions that for all  $s \in [0, \rho_*]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \leq w_0(s) < 1,$$

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \leq w_0(g_1(s)s) < 1,$$

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \leq w_0(g_2(s)s) < 1$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \leq \varphi_i(s) < 1.$$

We shall show that  $\rho_*$  is a radius of convergence for (1.2).

Let  $U(v, a)$ ,  $\bar{U}(v, a)$  stand for the open and closed balls in  $B_1$  with center  $v \in B_1$  and of radius  $a > 0$ , respectively. Set  $U_0 = \Omega \cap U(x_*, \rho)$ . The following conditions (A) shall be used:

- (a1)  $F : \Omega \rightarrow B_2$  is differentiable and there exists  $x_*$  so that  $F'(x_*)$  is invertible and  $F(x_*) = 0$ .
- (a2) There exist continuous and nondecreasing function  $w_0 : S \rightarrow S$  such that for each  $x \in \Omega$

$$\|F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_*))\| \leq w_0(\|x - x_*\|).$$

- (a3) There exist continuous and nondecreasing functions  $w : S_0 \rightarrow S$  and  $w_1 : S_0 \rightarrow S$  such that for all  $x, y \in \Omega_0$

$$\|F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(y) - F'(x))\| \leq w(\|y - x\|)$$

and

$$\|F'(x_*)^{-1}F'(x)\| \leq w_1(\|x - x_*\|).$$

- (a4)  $\bar{U}(x_*, \rho_*) \subset \Omega$ , where  $\rho_*$  is defined previously.
- (a5) There exists  $\bar{\rho} \geq \rho_*$  such that

$$\int_0^1 w_0(\theta \bar{\rho}) d\theta < 1.$$

Set  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap \bar{U}(x_*, \bar{\rho})$ .

Next, the main local convergence result for (1.2) is provided using conditions (A) and the developed notation.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that the conditions (A) hold. Then, for  $x_0 \in U(x_*, \rho_*)$  sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.2) is well defined, stays in  $U(x_*, \rho_*)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_*$ . Moreover, the following assertions hold for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $e_n = \|x_n - x_*\|$*

$$(2.11) \quad \|y_n - x_*\| \leq g_1(e_n)e_n \leq e_n < \rho_*,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|z_n - x_*\| \leq g_2(e_n)e_n \leq e_n$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad e_{n+1} \leq g_3(e_n)e_n \leq e_n.$$

Furthermore,  $\rho_*$  is the unique solution of equation  $F(x) = 0$  in  $\Omega_1$  given in (a5).

**Proof.** Let  $u \in U(x_*, \rho_*)$ . By the definition of  $\rho_*$ , (a1) and (a2), we have

$$(2.14) \quad \|F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(u) - F'(x_*))\| \leq w_0(\|u - x_*\|) \leq w_0(\rho_*) < 1,$$

so by a perturbation lemma due to Banach on inverses of operators [6]  $F'(u)^{-1}$  exists with

$$(2.15) \quad \|F'(u)^{-1}F'(x_*)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - w_0(\|u - x_*\|)}.$$

In particular, for  $u = x_0, y_0$  exists by the first substep of (1.2) (for  $n = 0$ ). Then, we can write

$$(2.16) \quad y_0 - x_* = x_0 - x_* - F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0) + (1 - \alpha)F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0),$$

so by (a3) and (2.15) for  $u = x_0$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0 - x_*\| &\leq \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F'(x_*)\| \times \\ &\quad \times \left\| \int_0^1 F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(x_* + \theta(x_0 - x_*)) - F'(x_0))(x_0 - x_*)d\theta \right\| + \\ &\quad + |1 - \alpha| \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F'(x_*)\| \times \\ &\quad \times \left\| \int_0^1 F'(x_*)^{-1}F'(x_* + \theta(x_0 - x_*))(x_0 - x_*)d\theta \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\left[ \int_0^1 w((1 - \theta)e_0)d\theta + |1 - \alpha| \int_0^1 w_1(\theta e_0)d\theta \right] e_0}{1 - w_0(e_0)} \leq \\ (2.17) \quad &\leq g_1(e_0)e_0 \leq e_0 < \rho_*, \end{aligned}$$

showing (2.11) for  $n = 0$ ,  $y_0 \in U(x_*, \rho_*)$  and  $z_0, x_1$  are well defined (see (2.15) for  $u = y_0$ ). Then, we can also write

$$\begin{aligned} z_0 - x_* &= x_0 - x_* - F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0) + (F'(x_0)^{-1} - F'(y_0)^{-1})F(x_0) = \\ &= (x_0 - x_* - F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)) + \\ (2.18) \quad &+ F'(x_0)^{-1}[(F'(y_0) - F'(x_*)) + (F'(x_*) - F'(x_0))]F'(y_0)^{-1}F(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as in (2.17) but using (2.18), we get in turn that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_0 - x_*\| &\leq \|x_0 - x_* - F'(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)\| + \\
&\quad + \|F'(x_0)^{-1}F'(x_*)\| \|F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(y_0) - F'(x_*))\| + \\
&\quad + \|F'(x_*)^{-1}(F'(x_*) - F'(x_0))\| \|F'(y_0)^{-1}F'(x_*)\| \times \\
&\quad \times \|F'(x_*)^{-1}F(x_0)\| \leq \\
&\quad (w_0(e_0) + w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|)) \int_0^1 w_1(\theta e_0) d\theta \\
(2.19) \quad &\leq [g_0(e_0) + \frac{w_0(e_0) + w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|)}{(1 - w_0(e_0))(1 - w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|))}] e_0 \leq \\
&\leq g_2(e_0) e_0 \leq e_0,
\end{aligned}$$

showing (2.12) for  $n = 0$  and  $z_0 \in U(x_*, \rho_*)$ . Then, by the last substep of (1.2) for  $n = 0$ , we first have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 - x_* &= z_0 - x_* - (2F'(y_0)^{-1} - F'(x_0)^{-1})F(z_0) = \\
&= (z_0 - x_* - F'(z_0)^{-1}F(z_0)) + \\
&\quad + [(F'(z_0)^{-1} - F'(y_0)^{-1}) + (F'(x_0)^{-1} - F'(y_0)^{-1})]F(z_0),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.20}$$

so as in (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 &\leq [g_0(\|z_0 - x_*\|) + \left( \frac{w_0(\|z_0 - x_*\|) + w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|)}{(1 - w_0(\|z_0 - x_*\|))(1 - w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|))} + \right. \\
(2.21) \quad &\quad \left. + \frac{w_0(\|z_0 - x_*\|) + w_0(e_0)}{(1 - w_0(e_0))(1 - w_0(\|y_0 - x_*\|))} \right) \int_0^1 w_1(\theta \|z_0 - x_*\|) d\theta] \|z_0 - x_*\| \leq \\
&\leq g_3(e_0) e_0 \leq e_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction for estimates (2.11)–(2.13) for  $n = 0$ . Suppose these estimates hold for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, by switching  $x_0, y_0, z_0, x_1$  with  $x_m, y_m, z_m, x_{m+1}$ , respectively in the preceding calculations, we terminate the induction for estimates (2.11)–(2.13) for all  $n$ . Then, for the estimate

$$(2.22) \quad \|x_{m+1} - x_*\| \leq r \|x_n - x_*\| < \rho_*, \quad r = g_3(e_0) \in [0, 1),$$

we deduce  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x_*$  and  $x_{m+1} \in U(x_*, \rho_*)$ . Concerning the uniqueness of the solution part of the proof, let  $x_{**} \in \Omega_1$  with  $F(x_{**}) = 0$  and define

$G = \int_0^1 F'(x_{**} + \theta(x_* - x_{**}))d\theta$ . Then, by (a2) and (a5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F'(x_*)^{-1}(G - F'(x_*))\| &\leq \int_0^1 w_0((1-\theta)\|x_* - x_{**}\|)d\theta \leq \\
 (2.23) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \int_0^1 w_0(\theta\bar{\rho})d\theta < 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

so  $G^{-1}$  exists and  $x_* = x_{**}$  follows from  $0 = F(x_*) - F(x_{**}) = G(x_* - x_{**})$ . ■

**Remarks. 1.** By (a2), and the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F'(x^*)^{-1}F'(x)\| &= \|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x^*)) + I\| \leq \\
 &\leq 1 + \|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x^*))\| \leq 1 + w_0(\|x - x^*\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

second condition in (a3) can be dropped, and  $w_1$  be defined as

$$w_1(t) = 1 + w_0(t).$$

Notice that, if  $w_1(t) < 1 + w_0(t)$ , then  $R_1$  can be larger (see Example 3.1).

**2.** The results obtained here can be used for operators  $G$  satisfying autonomous differential equations [1]–[5] of the form

$$F'(x) = T(F(x))$$

where  $T$  is a continuous operator. Then, since  $F'(x^*) = T(F(x^*)) = T(0)$ , we can apply the results without actually knowing  $x^*$ . For example, let  $F(x) = e^x - 1$ . Then, we can choose:  $T(x) = x + 1$ .

**3.** The local results obtained here can be used for projection algorithms such as the Arnoldi's algorithm, the generalized minimum residual algorithm (GMRES), the generalized conjugate algorithm (GCR) for combined Newton/finite projection algorithms and in connection to the mesh independence principle can be used to develop the cheapest and most efficient mesh refinement strategies [1]–[5].

**4.** Let  $w_0(t) = L_0 t$ , and  $w(t) = Lt$ . The parameter  $r_A = \frac{2}{2L_0 + L}$  was shown by us to be the convergence radius of Newton's algorithm [2]

$$(2.24) \qquad x_{n+1} = x_n - F'(x_n)^{-1}F(x_n) \text{ for each } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

under the conditions (a1)–(a3) ( $w_1$  is not used). It follows that the convergence radius  $R$  of algorithm (1.2) cannot be larger than the convergence radius  $r_A$  of

the second order Newton's algorithm (2.24). As already noted in [3]  $r_A$  is at least as large as the convergence ball given by Rheinboldt [1]

$$r_{TR} = \frac{2}{3L_1},$$

where  $L_1$  is the Lipschitz constant on  $\Omega$ ,  $L_0 \leq L_1$  and  $L \leq L_1$ . In particular, for  $L_0 < L_1$  or  $L < L_1$ , we have that

$$r_{TR} < r_A \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_{TR}}{r_A} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{L_0}{L_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

That is our convergence ball  $r_A$  is at most three times larger than Rheinboldt's. The same value for  $r_{TR}$  was given by Traub [1].

**5.** It is worth noticing that solver (1.2) is not changing, when we use the conditions (A) of Theorem 2.1 instead of the stronger conditions used in [15]. Moreover, we can compute the computational order of convergence (COC) defined by

$$\xi = \ln \left( \frac{\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_n - x^*\|} \right) / \ln \left( \frac{\|x_n - x^*\|}{\|x_{n-1} - x^*\|} \right)$$

or the approximate computational order of convergence

$$\xi_1 = \ln \left( \frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|} \right) / \ln \left( \frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\|x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}\|} \right).$$

This way we obtain in practice the order of convergence in a way that avoids the existence of the seventh Fréchet derivative for operator  $F$ .

### 3. Numerical examples

In all the examples we have taken  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2_* L_1}$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $B_1 = B_2 = \Omega = \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $F(x) = \sin x$ . Then, we get that  $x^* = 0$ ,  $\omega_0(s) = \omega(s) = s$  and  $\omega_1(s) = 1$ . Then, we have

Radius	$\omega_1(s) = 1$	$\omega_1(s) = 1 + \omega_0(s)$
$r_1$	0.3333	0.3333
$r_2$	0.2845	0.2613
$r_3$	0.2469	0.2221

Table 1. Radius for Example 3.1



**Example 3.2.** Let  $B_1 = B_2 = C[0, 1]$ , the space of continuous functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  with the max norm. Let  $\Omega = \overline{U}(0, 1)$ . Define function  $F$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$(3.1) \quad F(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) - 5 \int_0^1 x\theta\varphi(\theta)^3 d\theta.$$

We have that

$$F'(\varphi(\xi))(x) = \xi(x) - 15 \int_0^1 x\theta\varphi(\theta)^2 \xi(\theta) d\theta, \text{ for each } \xi \in \Omega.$$

Then, we get that  $x_* = 0$ ,  $\omega_0(s) = \omega_1(s) = 7.5s$ ,  $\omega_1(s) = 2$ . This way, we have that

Radius	$\omega_1(s) = 1$	$\omega_1(s) = 1 + \omega_0(s)$
$r_1$	0.0444	0.0444
$r_2$	0.0253	0.0400
$r_3$	0.0211	0.0329

Table 2. Radius for Example 3.2

**Example 3.3.** Let  $B_1 = B_2 = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\Omega = U(0, 1)$ ,  $x_* = (0, 0, 0)^T$ , and define  $F$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$(3.2) \quad F(x) = F(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (e^{u_1} - 1, \frac{e-1}{2}u_2^2 + u_2, u_3)^T.$$

For the points  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ , the Fréchet derivative is given by

$$F'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (e-1)u_2 + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the norm of the maximum of the rows and since  $G'(x_*) = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1)$ , we get by conditions (A)  $\omega_0(s) = (e-1)s$ ,  $\omega(s) = e^{\frac{1}{e-1}s}$ , and  $\omega_1(s) = e^{\frac{1}{e-1}}$ . Then, we have

Radius	$\omega_1(s) = 1$	$\omega_1(s) = 1 + \omega_0(s)$
$r_1$	0.2488	0.2488
$r_2$	0.2496	0.1370
$r_3$	0.2240	0.1217

Table 3. Radius for Example 3.3

**Example 3.4.** Returning back to the motivational example at the introduction of this study, we have  $\omega_0(s) = \omega(s) = 96.662907s$ ,  $\omega_1(s) = 1.0631$ . Then, we have

Radius	$\omega_1(s) = 1$	$\omega_1(s) = 1 + \omega_0(s)$
$r_1$	0.0034	0.0034
$r_2$	0.0028	0.0027
$r_3$	0.0025	0.0023

Table 4. Radius for Example 3.4

## References

- [1] **Argyros, I.K.**, *Computational Theory of Iterative Methods*, Series: Studies in Computational Mathematics, 15, Editors: Chui C.K. and Wuytack L. Elsevier Publ. Company, New York, 2007.
- [2] **Argyros I.K. and S. George**, *Mathematical Modeling for the Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations with Applications*, Volume-IV, Nova Publishes, NY, 2020.
- [3] **Argyros I. K. and S. George**, On the complexity of extending the convergence region for Traub's method, *Journal of Complexity*, **56** (2020), 101423, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2019.101423>
- [4] **Argyros, I.K. and A.A. Magreñán**, *A Contemporary Study of Iterative Methods*, Elsevier (Academic Press), New York, 2018.
- [5] **Argyros, I.K. and A.A. Magreñán**, *Iterative Methods and their Dynamics with Applications*, CRC Press, New York, USA, 2017.
- [6] **Kantorovich, L.V. and G.P. Akilov**, *Functional Analysis*, Oxford: Pergamon, 1982.
- [7] **Deufhard, P. and G. Heindl**, Affine invariant convergence theorems for Newton's method and extensions to related methods, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **16**(1), (1979), 1–10.

- [8] **Gutiérrez, J.M. and M.A. Hernández**, Newton's method under weak Kantorovich conditions, *IMA J. Numer.*, **20(4)** (2000), 521–532.
- [9] **Ezquerro, J.A. and M.A. Hernández**, Generalized differentiability conditions for Newton's method, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **22(4)** (2002), 519–530.
- [10] **Ezquerro, J.A. and M.A. Hernández**, An improvement of the region of accessibility of Chebyshev's method from Newton's method, *Math.Comput.*, **78(267)** (2009), 1613–1627.
- [11] **Magreñán, A.A. and I.K. Argyros**, Two step Newton methods, *J. Complexity*, **30(4)** (2014), 533–553.
- [12] **Magreñán, A. A., A. Cordero, J.M. Gutiérrez and J.R. Torregrosa**, Real qualitative behavior of a fourth-order family of iterative methods by using the convergence plane, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, **105** (2014), 49–61.
- [13] **Magreñán, A. A. and I.K. Argyros**, Two-step Newton methods, *Journal of Complexity*, **30(4)** (2014), 533–553.
- [14] **Potra, F.A.**, On Q-order and R-order of convergence, *J. Optim. Theory. Appl.*, **63(3)** (1989), 415–431.
- [15] **Sharma, J.R. and P. Gupta**, An efficient fifth order method for solving systems of nonlinear equations, *Comput.Math.Appl.*, **67(3)** (2014), 591–601.
- [16] **Zabrejko, P.P. and D.F. Nguen**, The majorant method in the theory of Newton Kantorovich approximations and the Ptak error estimates, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, **9(5-6)** (1987), 671–684.

**I. K. Argyros, G. Argyros and M. Argyros**

Department of Computing and Technology

Cameron University

Lawton, OK 73505, USA,

iargyros@cameron.edu

Gus.Argyros@cameron.edu

Michael.Argyros@cameron.edu

**S. George**

Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences

National Institute of Technology Karnataka

India-575 025

sgeorge@nitk.edu.in

