

## ON SINHA'S NOTE ON PERFECT NUMBERS

Tomohiro Yamada (Osaka, Japan)

Communicated by Gábor Farkas

(Received June 16, 2020; accepted August 8, 2020)

**Abstract.** We shall show that there is no odd perfect number of the form  $2^n + 1$  or  $n^n + 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

A positive integer  $N$  is called perfect if  $\sigma(N) = 2N$ , where  $\sigma(N)$  denotes the sum of divisors of  $N$ . As is well known, an even integer  $N$  is perfect if and only if  $N = 2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$  with  $2^k - 1$  prime. In contrast, one of the oldest unsolved problems is whether there exists an odd perfect number or not. Moreover, it is also unknown whether there exists an odd  $m$ -perfect number for an integer  $m \geq 2$ , i.e., an integer  $N$  with  $\sigma(N) = mN$  or not.

Sinha [5] showed that 28 is the only even perfect number of the form  $x^n + y^n$  with  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  and also the only even perfect number of the form  $a^n + 1$  with  $n \geq 2$ . On the other hand, it is not even proved or disproved that there exists no odd perfect number of the form  $x^2 + 1$  with  $x$  an integer. Klurman [1] proved that if  $P(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\geq 3$  without repeated factors, then there exist only finitely many odd perfect numbers of the form  $P(x)$  with  $x$  an integer. Luca [4] (cited in Theorem 9.8 of [2]) showed that no Fermat number can be perfect.

In this article, we would like to prove that there exists no odd perfect number of the form  $2^n + 1$  or  $n^n + 1$ .

Indeed, we prove a more general result.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Key words and phrases: Odd perfect numbers, sum of divisors, arithmetic functions.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11A05, 11A25.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.51.301>

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $U$  be nonnegative integers. We put  $s_0 = \lfloor 2^U \log a / (U+1) \log 2 \rfloor$  and  $t_0 = 2s_0 + 1$  if  $U = 0$  and  $a+1$  is square and  $t_0 = 2s_0$  otherwise. Let  $c = 1.093 \cdots = (\log 2)/2 + (\log 3)/3 - (\log^2 3)/2$  and  $C = C(U)$  be the constant defined by*

$$C = \sum_{2^{U+1}(2m+1) < 16,} \frac{1 - \log \log(2^{U+1}m)}{2^{U+1}m}.$$

*If  $a^n + 1$  is an odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect number and  $n = 2^U$ , then*

$$(1.1) \quad \log a > \frac{((4m+2)/e^C)^{2^{U+1}}}{2^U}.$$

*If  $a^n + 1$  is an odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect number and  $n = 2^U v$  with  $v > 1$  odd, then*

$$(1.2) \quad \log(4m+2) - C < \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1+\log t_0}{2^{v+1}}\right)}{2^{U+1}} \left( \log(2^U \log a) + (U+1)(1 + \log t_0) \log 2 + \frac{\log^2 t_0}{2} + c \right).$$

*Moreover, no integer of the form  $2^n + 1$  can be  $(4m+2)$ -perfect.*

For example, if  $a^{128s} + 1$  is odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect, then  $a \geq 10$  and, if  $a^{256s} + 1$  is odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect, then  $a \geq 18$ . Furthermore, if  $a^{16} + 1$  is odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect, then  $a > \exp \exp 19.4$  and, if  $a^{32} + 1$  is odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect, then  $a > \exp \exp 40.8$ . We note that  $C(0) = 0.9807 \cdots$ ,  $C(1) = 0.1758 \cdots$ ,  $C(2) = 0.03348 \cdots$  and  $C(U) = 0$  for  $U \geq 3$ .

We shall prove that an odd perfect number of the form  $n^n + 1$  must be of the form  $2^m + 1$  and deduce the following result from the above result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *28 is the only  $(4m+2)$ -perfect number of the form  $n^n + 1$  with  $m, n \geq 0$  an integer.*

Thus, we conclude that 28 is the only perfect number of the form  $n^n + 1$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that  $a^n + 1$  is an odd  $(4m+2)$ -perfect number. By Euler's result, we must have  $a^n + 1 = px^2$  for a prime  $p$  and an integer  $x$ .

Write  $n = 2^U p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$  with  $p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_r$  odd primes and let  $P_i = p_i^{e_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $s = \omega(a^{2^U} + 1)$ . We put  $o_p(x)$  to be the multiplicative order of  $x$  modulo  $p$ .

We can factor  $a^n + 1 = M_0 M_1 \cdots M_r$ , where  $M_0 = a^{2^U} + 1$  and

$$M_i = \frac{a^{2^U P_1 P_2 \cdots P_i} + 1}{a^{2^U P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{i-1}} + 1}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Moreover, let

$$L_i = M_0 M_1 \cdots M_i = a^{2^U P_1 P_2 \cdots P_i} + 1$$

and  $M_i = E_i Y_i^2$ ,  $L_i = D_i X_i^2$  with  $D_i$  and  $E_i$  squarefree. Clearly, we have  $a^n + 1 = L_r = p x^2$  and therefore  $D_r = p$ .

We begin by showing that  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{U+1}}$  for every  $i$ . If  $\gcd((a^n + 1)/(a^{n/P_i} + 1), a^{n/P_i} + 1) = 1$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad a^{n/P_i} + 1 = X^2, \frac{a^n + 1}{a^{n/P_i} + 1} = pY^2$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad a^{n/P_i} + 1 = pX^2, \frac{a^n + 1}{a^{n/P_i} + 1} = Y^2$$

for some integers  $X$  and  $Y$ . If  $U = 0$ , then we clearly have  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{U+1}}$ . If  $U > 0$ , then  $n/p_i^{e_i}$  is even and (2.1) is clearly impossible. The impossibility of (2.2) follows from Ljunggren's result [3] that  $(a^f + 1)/(a + 1)$  with  $a \geq 2$ ,  $f \geq 3$  cannot be square.

Hence, we must have  $\gcd((a^n + 1)/(a^{n/P_i} + 1), a^{n/P_i} + 1) > 1$ . Observing that

$$\frac{a^n + 1}{a^{n/P_i} + 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{P_i-1} (-1)^j a^{j(n/P_i)} \equiv P_i \pmod{a^{n/P_i} + 1},$$

$p_i$  must divide  $a^{n/P_i} + 1$ . Thus, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.12 of [2], we see that  $2^{U+1}$  divides  $o_{p_i}(a)$  and  $o_{p_i}(a)$  divides  $2n/P_i$ . In particular,  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{U+1}}$  for every  $i$ .

Nextly, we show that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , we have either

- (i)  $\gcd(L_{i-1}, M_i) = 1$  and  $\omega(D_{i-1}) < \omega(D_i)$  or
- (ii)  $p_i$  is the only prime dividing  $\gcd(L_{i-1}, M_i)$  and  $p_i$  divides  $a^{2^U} + 1$ .

If  $\gcd(L_{i-1}, M_i) = 1$ , then we must have  $D_i = D_{i-1} E_{i-1}$  and  $X_i = X_{i-1} Y_{i-1}$ . It follows from Ljunggren's result mentioned above that  $E_{i-1} \neq 1$ . Since  $D_i$  is squarefree, we have  $\omega(D_{i-1}) < \omega(D_i)$ .

Assume that  $\gcd(L_{i-1}, M_i) > 1$ . Since

$$M_i = \sum_{j=0}^{P_i-1} (-1)^j 2^{2^U P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{i-1} j} \equiv P_i \pmod{L_{i-1}},$$

we see that  $p_i$  is the only prime dividing both  $L_{i-1}$  and  $M_i$ .

Now  $p_i$  must divide  $L_{i-1}$  and therefore, proceeding as above, we see that  $2^{U+1}$  divides  $o_{p_i}(a)$  and  $o_{p_i}(a)$  divides  $2^{U+1}P_1P_2\cdots P_{i-1}$ . Hence,  $o_{p_i}(a) = 2^{U+1}d$  and therefore  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{U+1}d}$  for some  $d$  dividing  $P_1P_2\cdots P_{i-1}$ . But, since  $p_1 > \cdots > p_{i-1} > p_i$ , we must have  $o_{p_i}(a) = 2^{U+1}$  and therefore  $p_i$  must divide  $a^{2^U} + 1$ .

It is clear that (ii) occurs at most  $s$  times. Moreover, we observe that in the case (ii),  $p_i$  is the only possible prime which divides  $D_{i-1}$  but not  $D_i$ . Hence, we must have  $\omega(D_{i-1}) \leq \omega(D_i) + 1$  for each  $i$ . Now we see that (i) also occurs at most  $s$  times.

We can easily see that  $\omega(D_0) = 0$  if and only if  $U = 0$  and  $a + 1$  is a square. Thus we conclude that  $r \leq 2s + 1$  if  $D_0 = a + 1$  with  $U = 0$  is square and  $r \leq 2s$  otherwise.

If a prime  $p$  divides  $a^{2^U d} + 1$  but  $a^{2^U e} + 1$  for any  $e < d$ , then the multiplicative order of 2 (mod  $p$ ) is equal to  $2^{U+1}d$  and therefore  $p = 2^{U+1}kd + 1$  for some integer  $k$ . Moreover, the number of such primes is at most  $k_0(d) = \lfloor 2^U d \log a / \log(2^{U+1}d) \rfloor$  and therefore  $s \leq s_0$ .

Hence, for each  $d$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \prod_{o_p(a)=2^{U+1}d} \frac{p}{p-1} < \exp \sum_{o_p(a)=2^{U+1}d} \frac{1}{p-1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0(d)} \frac{1}{2^{U+1}kd} \leq \exp \frac{1 + \log(2^U d \log a / \log(2^{U+1}d))}{2^{U+1}d},$$

so that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\sigma(a^n + 1)}{a^n + 1} = \prod_{\substack{o_p(a)=2^{U+1}d, \\ d|P_1P_2\cdots P_r}} \frac{p}{p-1} < \exp \left( C + \sum_{d|P_1P_2\cdots P_r} \frac{\log(2^U d \log a)}{2^{U+1}d} \right).$$

If  $r = 0$ , then we immediately see that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{d|P_1P_2\cdots P_r} \frac{\log(2^U d \log a)}{2^{U+1}d} = \frac{U \log 2 + \log \log a}{2^{U+1}}.$$

If  $r > 0$ , then, observing that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{q^i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^i} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^j(q-1)} = \frac{q}{(q-1)^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{d|P_1 P_2 \dots P_r} \frac{\log(2^U d \log a)}{2^{U+1} d} < \\
 (2.7) \quad & < \sum_{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \geq 0} \frac{\log(2^U \log a) + f_1 \log p_1 + f_2 \log p_2 + \dots + f_r \log p_r}{2^{U+1} p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_r^{f_r}} = \\
 & = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \left( \frac{\log(2^U \log a)}{2^{U+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\log p_k}{2^{U+1} (p_k - 1)} \right) = \\
 & = \left( \frac{1}{2^{U+1}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \right) \left( \frac{\log(2^U \log a)}{2^{U+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\log p_k}{p_k - 1} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{U+1}}$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} < \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{2^{U+1} k + 1}{2^{U+1} k} < \exp \frac{1 + \log r}{2^{U+1}}$$

and observing that  $\sum_{k=1}^t \log k/k \leq (\log t)^2/2 + c$  for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\log p_k}{p_k - 1} < \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\log k + (U+1) \log 2}{2^{U+1} k} < \\
 & < \frac{1}{2^{U+1}} \left( (U+1)(1 + \log r) \log 2 + \frac{\log^2 r}{2} + c \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \sum_{d|P_1 P_2 \dots P_r} \frac{\log(2^U d \log a)}{2^{U+1} d} < \\
 & < \frac{\exp \left( \frac{1 + \log r}{2^{U+1}} \right)}{2^{U+1}} \left( \log(2^U \log a) + (U+1)(1 + \log r) \log 2 + \frac{\log^2 r}{2} + c \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $r \leq t_0$ , where we recall that  $s \leq s_0 = \lfloor 2^U \log a / (U+1) \log 2 \rfloor$ . Hence, we conclude that

$$(2.11) \quad \log(4m+2) = \log \frac{\sigma(a^n + 1)}{a^n + 1} < C + \frac{U \log 2 + \log \log a}{2^{U+1}}$$

if  $r = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \log(4m+2) - C < \\
 & < \frac{\exp \left( \frac{1 + \log t_0}{2^{U+1}} \right)}{2^{U+1}} \left( \log(2^U \log a) + (U+1)(1 + \log t_0) \log 2 + \frac{\log^2 t_0}{2} + c \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

otherwise. Thus (1.1) and (1.2) follows.

Now we consider the case  $a = 2$ . If  $U \geq 4$ , then the right-hand side of (1.1) and (1.2) is  $< 0.53 < \log 2$  and therefore  $a^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect.

If  $U \leq 3$ , then  $2^{2^U} + 1$  is prime and therefore  $s = 1$ . Clearly, for  $n = 2^U$  with  $U \leq 3$ ,  $2^n + 1 = 2^{2^U} + 1$  is not  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect. Hence, we must have  $r \leq 2$  and  $n = 2^U p_1^{e_1}$  or  $2^U p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}$ .

If  $n = 2^U p_1^{e_1}$ , then, iterating the argument given before, we must have  $p_1 = 2^{2^U} + 1$ . Thus,  $n = 3^{e_1}$ ,  $2 \times 5^{e_1}$ ,  $2^2 \times 17^{e_1}$  or  $2^3 \times 257^{e_1}$ .

However, for  $n = 3^{e_1}$  with  $e_1 \geq 3$ , we see that both primes 19 and 87211 divide  $2^n + 1$  exactly once since 19 and 87211 divide  $2^{2^7} + 1$  exactly once and the only prime dividing both  $(2^n + 1)/(2^{2^7} + 1)$  and  $2^{2^7} + 1$  is 3. This implies that  $2^n + 1$  cannot be of the form  $px^2$  and therefore  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect if  $n = 3^{e_1}$  with  $e_1 \geq 3$ . Similarly, 41 and 101 divide  $2^n + 1$  exactly once if  $n = 2 \times 5^{e_1}$  and  $e_1 \geq 2$ . Clearly, none of  $2^3 + 1$ ,  $2^9 + 1$ ,  $2^{10} + 1$  is  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect. Thus  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect if  $n = 3^{e_1}$  or  $2 \times 5^{e_1}$ . Similarly,  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect if  $n = 2^2 \times 17^{e_1}$  or  $2^3 \times 257^{e_1}$ .

If  $n = 2^U p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}$ , then, iterating the argument given before,  $p_1 > p_2 = 2^{2^U} + 1$ .

If  $U = 1$  and  $n = 10p_1^{e_1}$ , then we must have

$$2^{10} + 1 = 5^2 \times 41, \frac{2^n + 1}{2^{10} + 1} = 41py^2$$

since  $(2^n + 1)/(2^{10} + 1)$  cannot be square by Ljunggren's result. Thus, we must have  $p_1 = 41$ . However, this implies that  $2^n + 1$  must be divisible by 821 and 10169 exactly once, which contradicts to the fact that  $2^n + 1 = px^2$ . If  $U = 1$  and  $n = 2 \times 5^{e_2} p_1^{e_1}$  with  $e_2 \geq 2$ , then, since three primes 41, 101, 8101 divide  $2^{50} + 1$  exactly once, at least two of these primes divide  $2^n + 1$ . Thus  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect if  $n = 2p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}$ . Similarly,  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect for  $n = 2^U p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2}$  with  $U = 2, 3$ .

Now we assume that  $n = 3^{e_2} p_1^{e_1}$ .

If  $n = 3^{e_2} p_1^{e_1}$  with  $e_2 \geq 4$ , then, at least two of three primes 19, 163, 87211 divide  $2^n + 1$  exactly once and therefore  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect for such  $n$ . If  $n = 27p_1^{e_1}$ , then we must have  $p_1 = 19$  or 87211. We cannot have  $p_1 = 19$  since 571 and 87211 divide  $2^n + 1$  exactly once for  $n = 27 \times 19^{e_1}$ . Assume that  $p_1 = 87211$ . We observe that, for  $d = 3^{f_2} 87211^{f_1}$  with  $f_1 > 0$ , we have

$$(2.13) \quad \prod_{o_p(a)=2d} \frac{p}{p-1} < \exp \frac{1 + \log(d \log 2 / \log(2d))}{2d} < \exp \frac{\log d}{2d}$$

and, proceeding as in (2.7),

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{d=3^{f_2} 87211^{f_1}, \\ f_1 > 0, f_2 \geq 0}} \frac{\log d}{2d} < \frac{87211}{116280} \left( \frac{\log 3}{174422} + \frac{\log 87211}{87210} \right) < \frac{1}{9000}.$$

Thus,  $\sigma(2^n + 1)/(2^n + 1) < e^{1/9000} \sigma(2^{27} + 1)/(2^{27} + 1) < 2$  and therefore  $2^n + 1$  cannot be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect.

If  $n = 9p_1^{e_1}$ , then we must have  $p_1 = 19$  and therefore two primes 571 and 174763 divide  $2^n + 1$  exactly once, which is a contradiction.

Finally, assume that  $n = 3p_1^{e_1}$ . If  $p_1 \geq 11$ , then, like (2.14),

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{\substack{d=3^{f_2} p_1^{f_1}, \\ f_1 > 0, f_2 \geq 0}} \frac{\log d}{2d} < \frac{3p_1}{2(p_1 - 1)} \left( \frac{\log 3}{2p_1} + \frac{\log p_1}{p_1 - 1} \right) < 0.24$$

and  $\sigma(2^n + 1)/(2^n + 1) < (13/9)e^{0.24} < 2$ , which is a contradiction.

The only remaining case is  $n = 3p_1^{e_1}$  with  $p_1 = 5$  or 7. We observe that  $2^{15} + 1 = 3^2 \times 11 \times 331$  and  $2^{21} + 1 = 3^2 \times 43 \times 5419$ . Thus  $2^n + 1$  must be divisible by at least two distinct primes exactly once, which is a contradiction again. Now we conclude that  $2^n + 1$  can never be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect. ■

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Sinha's result clearly implies that 28 is the only even perfect number of the form  $n^n + 1$ . Thus, we may assume that  $n^n + 1$  is an odd  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect number. Clearly  $n$  must be even and we can write  $n = 2^u s$  with  $u > 0$  and  $s$  odd.

As before, we must have  $n^n + 1 = px^2$  for some prime  $p$  and integer  $x$ .

Assume that  $s > 1$ . Then we must have

$$(3.1) \quad n^n + 1 = (n^{2^u} + 1) \times \frac{n^{2^u s} + 1}{n^{2^u} + 1} = N_1 N_2,$$

say.

If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  have a common prime factor  $p$ , then  $p$  divides  $d_2$  and therefore  $p$  divides  $2^u s = n$ . This is impossible since  $\gcd(n^n + 1, n) = 1$ . Thus, we see that  $\gcd(N_1, N_2) = 1$  and therefore  $N_1 = X^2, N_2 = pY^2$  or  $N_1 = pX^2, N_2 = Y^2$ .

We can easily see that  $n^{2^u} + 1$  cannot be square since  $u > 0$  and therefore

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{n^{2^u s} + 1}{n^{2^u} + 1} = Z^2.$$

However, this is also impossible from Ljunggren's result.

Now we must have  $s = 1$  and  $n^n + 1 = 2^{u2^u} + 1$ , which we have just proved not to be  $(4m + 2)$ -perfect in Theorem 1.1. This proves Theorem 1.2. ■

## References

- [1] **Klurman, O.**, Radical of perfect numbers and perfect numbers among polynomial values, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **12** (2016), 585–591.
- [2] **Krizek, M., F. Luca and L. Somer**, *17 lectures on Fermat numbers: From number theory to geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] **Ljunggren, W.**, Noen setninger om ubestemte likninger av formen  $(x^n - 1)/(x - 1) = y^q$ , *Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr.*, **25** (1943), 17–20.
- [4] **Luca, F.**, The anti-social Fermat number, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **107** (2000), 171–173.
- [5] **Sinha, T.N.**, Note on perfect numbers, *Math. Student*, **42** (1974), 336.

**T. Yamada**

Center for Japanese language and culture

Osaka University

562-8558, 8-1-1, Aomatanihigashi, Minoo

Osaka

Japan

tyamada1093@gmail.com