A REMARK ON UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED FUNCTIONS

Karl-Heinz Indlekofer* (Paderborn, Germany)
Imre Kátai* (Budapest, Hungary)
Oleg I. Klesov* (Kiev, Ukraine)
Bui Minh Phong* (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor János Galambos

Communicated by Jean-Marie De Koninck (Received October 30, 2019; accepted January 9, 2020)

Abstract. A sufficient condition is given for which a function is uniformly distributed.

1. Introduction

Let, as usual, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} be the set of positive integers, integers and real numbers, respectively.

Let $g(n) \in (0, \infty)$,

(1.1)
$$S(x) := \sum_{ng(n) \le x} 1.$$

Our purpose in this short paper is to give quite general condition for g(n) which guarantees that

(1.2)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{S(x)}{x} = C,$$

where C is a positive constant.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N36, 11N37, 11N64.

https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.50.167

Key words and phrases: Uniformly distributed function, a continuous limit distribution, multiplicative function.

^{*} Supported by the DFG project 289386657.

Theorem. Assume that g has a continuous limit distribution F, for which

(1.3)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F(u)}{u^2} du < \infty$$

 $and \ that$

$$F_x(u) = \frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \le x | g(n) < u \} = F(u) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$$

uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Let furthermore

(1.4)
$$g(n)\log\log n \ge C \ (>0) \quad if \quad n \ge 10$$

Then (1.2) holds with $C = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F(u)}{u^2} du$.

2. Proof of the theorem

From (1.3) we deduce that $\lim_{u\to 0} \frac{F(u)}{u} = 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{g(n)} = \int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{F_{x}(u)}{u^{2}}du \to \int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{F(u)}{u^{2}}du \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$F_x(u) \le \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ g(n) \le u}} \frac{u}{g(n)} = u \cdot \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \frac{1}{g(n)} \ge \frac{1}{u}}} \frac{1}{g(n)} \le u\epsilon,$$

whenever $u \leq u_0(\epsilon)$, and so $F_x(u) = o(u)$ as $u \to 0$.

Thus

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{1}{u} \sup_{x \ge 1} \left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \frac{1}{g(n)} \ge \frac{1}{u}}} \frac{1}{g(u)} \right) = \lim_{u \to 0} \sup_{x \ge 1} \frac{F_x(u)}{u} = 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{F(u)}{u} = 0$$

It is clear that

(2.1)
$$\sup_{\delta_x \le \delta < 1} \left| \frac{1}{\delta x} [(x + \delta x) F_{x + \delta x}(u) - x F_x(u)] - F(u) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty,$$

where $\delta_x \to 0$, appropriately. That is, there exists such a δ_x tending to zero, for which (2.1) holds.

From (2.1) we obtain

(2.2)
$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\delta_x \le v < 1} \left| \frac{1}{vx} \sharp \{ n \in [x, x + vx], g(n) < u \} - F(u) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} | g(n) < 1 \} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_2 = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} | g(n) \ge 1 \},$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{\substack{ng(n) \le x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_1}} 1 \text{ and } \Sigma_2 = \sum_{\substack{ng(n) \le x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_2}} 1.$$

Estimation of Σ_2 . If F(1) = 1, then $\Sigma_2 = o(x)$. Assume that F(1) < 1. Let $y_{\nu} = 1 + \nu \delta \ (\nu = 0, \dots, \kappa_{\delta})$, where $\kappa_{\delta} \delta \to \infty$, arbitrarily slowly. Let

$$I_{\nu} = [y_{\nu}, y_{\nu+1})$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{x}\Sigma_2 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\kappa_{\delta}} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{g(n) \in I_{\nu} \\ ng(n) < x}} 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n < \frac{x}{y_{\kappa_{\delta}}}} 1\right) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\kappa_{\delta}} \frac{1}{x} \Sigma^{(\nu)} + O\left(\frac{1}{y_{\kappa_{\delta}}}\right).$$

Since

$$\Sigma^{(\nu)} = \sum_{\substack{g(n) \in I_{\nu} \\ ng(n) < x}} \leq \sum_{\substack{g(n) \in I_{\nu} \\ n < \frac{x}{y_{\nu}}}} 1 \text{ and } \Sigma^{(\nu)} \geq \sum_{\substack{g(n) \in I_{\nu} \\ n < \frac{x}{y_{\nu+1}}}} 1,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x}\Sigma_2 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\kappa_\delta} \frac{F(y_{\nu+1}) - F(y_{\nu})}{y_{\nu}} + O\left(\delta \sum_{\nu=0}^{\kappa_\delta} \frac{F(y_{\nu+1}) - F(y_{\nu})}{y_{\nu}y_{\nu+1}}\right) + o_x(1).$$

Let us observe that the first error term is $O(\delta)$.

The first sum on the right can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{F(y_0)}{y_0} + F(y_1)\left(\frac{1}{y_0} - \frac{1}{y_1}\right) + F(y_2)\left(\frac{1}{y_1} - \frac{1}{y_2}\right) + \dots =$$
$$= -F(1) + \delta \sum_{h=1}^{\kappa_{\delta}} \frac{F(1+\delta h)}{(1+\delta(h-1))(1+\delta h)} + o_x(1).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \Sigma_2 = -F(1) + \int_1^\infty \frac{F(u)}{u^2} du.$$

Estimation of Σ_1 . If F(1) = 0, then $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{1}{x}\Sigma_1 = 0$.

Assume that F(1) > 0. Let

$$M = [\log \log x] + 1$$
 and $\mathcal{H}_k = \left[\frac{k}{M}, \frac{k+1}{M}\right].$

Since



is in between

\sum	1	and	$\sum 1,$
$\substack{n \leq \frac{xM}{k+1} \\ n \in \mathcal{H}_k}$			$_{\substack{n \leq \frac{xM}{k} \\ n \in \mathcal{H}_k}}^{n \leq \frac{xM}{k}}$

it is at most

$$\left(F\left(\frac{k+1}{M}\right) - F\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right)\frac{xM}{k} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^2}\right)$$

and at least

$$\left(F\left(\frac{k+1}{M}\right) - F\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right)\frac{xM}{k+1} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log\log x)^2}\right),$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{x}\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{x}\sum_{\substack{g(n)<\frac{1}{M}\\ng(n)\leq x}} 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \left(F\left(\frac{k+1}{M}\right) - F\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right)\frac{M}{k} + O(1)\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \left(F\left(\frac{k+1}{M}\right) - F\left(\frac{k}{M}\right)\right)\frac{M}{k^2} + o_x(1).$$

The first sum on the right hand side is empty, whereas the second sum can be rewritten as

$$-F\left(\frac{1}{M}\right)M + M\sum_{k=2}^{M-1}\frac{F(\frac{k}{M})}{(k-1)k} + \frac{F(1)M}{M-1} = \int_{0}^{1}\frac{F(u)}{u^{2}}du + F(1) + o_{x}(1).$$

Finally one can see that the last error term is

$$<< M \sum_{k=2}^{M} \frac{F(\frac{k}{M})}{k^3} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=2}^{M} \frac{1}{k} \frac{F(\frac{k}{M})}{(\frac{k}{M})^2} = o_x(1).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} \Sigma_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{F(u)}{u^2} du + F(1),$$

thereby completing the proof of the theorem.

3. Remarks

1) The conditions stated for g(n) are satisfied for many functions, namely for

$$g(n) = \left(\frac{\varphi(n+a_1)}{n+a_1}\right)^{k_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\varphi(n+a_\ell)}{n+a_\ell}\right)^{k_\ell},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1, \dots, k_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for

$$g(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{\sigma(n+a_j)}{n+a_j} \right)^{k_j}$$

Here φ is the Euler function and σ is the sum of divisors function.

2) We note that according to the theorem of H. G. Diamond [1]

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} < a} = F(a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

where F is a distribution function.

References

 Diamond, H.G., The distribution of values of Euler's phi function, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., 24 (1972), 66–75.

K.-H. Indlekofer

Faculty of Computer Science Electrical Engineering and Mathematics University of Paderborn D-33098 Paderborn, Warburger Str. 100 Germany k-heinz@math.uni-paderborn.de

O.I. Klesov

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Probability Theory National Technical University of Ukraine (KPI) Peremogy Avenue, 37 02056 Kiev Ukraine klesov@matan.kpi.ua

I. Kátai and B.M. Phong

Department of Computer Algebra Faculty of Informatics Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C Hungary katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu