ON THE UNIQUENESS PROBLEM OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

Vu Hoai An and Pham Ngoc Hoa

(Hai Duong, Vietnam)

Communicated by Bui Minh Phong (Received December 20, 2016; accepted April 10, 2017)

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the uniqueness problem for differential polynomials $(P^n(f))^{(k)}, (Q^n(g))^{(k)}$, sharing the same value, where P, Q are polynomials of Fermat-Waring type, f and g are meromorphic functions on a non-Archimedean field.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for a non-Archimedean absolute value. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ the ring of entire functions in \mathbb{K} , by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ the field of meromorphic functions, i.e., the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$. We assume that the reader is familiar with the notations in the non-Archimedean Nevanlinna theory (see [18]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} . For every $a \in \mathbb{K}$, define the function $\nu_f^a : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\nu_f^a(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(z) \neq a \\ m & \text{if } f(z) = a \text{ with multiplicity } m \end{cases}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11S80, 30D35.

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.01-2012.19.

https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.46.289

Key words and phrases: Non-Archimedean meromorphic function, differential polynomial, unique range set.

and set $\nu_f^{\infty} = \nu_{\frac{1}{f}}^0$. For $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ and $S \subset \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$, we define

$$E_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{ (z, \nu_f^a(z)) : z \in \mathbb{K} \}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$. Two functions f, g of \mathcal{F} are said to share S, counting multiplicity, if $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$. Let a set $S \subset \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$ and f and g be two non-constant meromorphic (entire) functions. If $E_f(S) =$ $= E_g(S)$ implies f = g for any two non-constant meromorphic (entire) functions or, in brief, URSM(URSE). Several interesting results on URSE and URSMfor non-Archimedean entire and meromorphic functions have been obtained (see[6], [13], [17] and [18]). The smallest unique range set for meromorphic functions has 10 elements and was given by Hu and Yang [17]. Recently, many results were obtained also for differential polynomials, for example, of the form $(f^n)^{(k)}$ (Khoai, An, and Lai [12]; An, Hoa, and Khoai [3]), and of the form $(f)^{(')}P'(f)$, (Boussaf, Escassut and Ojeda [5]). In [12] Khoai, An, and Lai proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let f(z) and g(z) be two non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} , and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge 3k+8$. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then f(z) = tg(z) for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

In [22] Yang posed the problem: is it true that the equality $f^{-1}(S) = g^{-1}(S)$ with $S = \{-1, 1\}$ for polynomials of the same degree f, g implies that either f = g or f = -g? This problem was solved in [19] and [20].

In this paper, instead of functions f and g we consider differential operators of the form $(P^n(f))^{(k)}, (Q^n(g))^{(k)}$, sharing the same value, where P, Q are polynomials of Fermat-Waring type. Then we establish an uniqueness theorem for non-Archimedean meromorphic functions and their differential polynomials.

Concerning the mentioned above problem of Yang, and related topics (see, for example [20]), we consider the following problem. Let S, T be the zero sets of polynomials P(z), Q(z), respectively, then how we can say about the relations of f, g, if $E_f(S) = E_g(T)$?.

Now let us describe main results of the paper.

Let $d, m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a_1, b_1, c, a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{K}$; $a_1, b_1, c, a_2, b_2 \neq 0$.

We will let

(1.1)
$$P(z) = z^d + a_1 z^{d-m} + b_1, \ Q(z) = z^d + a_2 z^{d-m} + b_2,$$

be polynomials of degree d of Fermat–Waring type in $\mathbb{K}[z]$ without multiple zeros. We shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} and let P(z), Q(z) be defined in (1.1). Assume that $n \ge 3k + 5$, $d \ge 2m + 8$ and either $m \ge 3$ or (d,m) = 1 and $m \ge 2$. If $(P^n(f))^{(k)}$ and $(Q^n(g))^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then g = hf for a constant h such that $h^d = \frac{b_2}{b_1}$, $h^{nd} = 1$, $h^m = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$.

Theorem 2. Let f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} and let P(z), Q(z) be defined in (1.1). Assume that $d \ge 2m + 8$ and either $m \ge 3$ or (d,m) = 1 and $m \ge 2$. If P(f) and Q(g) share 0 CM, then g = hf for a constant h such that $h^d = \frac{b_2}{b_1}, h^m = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$.

As immediate consequences of Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 3. Let S, T be the zero sets of the above polynomials P(z), Q(z), respectively, and let f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} . Assume that $d \ge 2m + 8$ and either $m \ge 3$ or (d, m) = 1 and $m \ge 2$. If $E_f(S) = E_g(T)$, then g = hf for a constant h such that $h^d = \frac{b_2}{b_1}$, $h^m = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$.

Corollary 4. Let S be the zero sets of the polynomial P(z), and et f, g be two non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} . Assume that $d \ge 2m + 8$ and (d,m) = 1 and $m \ge 2$. If $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$, then f = g.

2. Lemmas

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notations in the non-Archimedean Nevanlinna theory (see [4], [8], [9] and [18]).

We first need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([18]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_q$, be distinct points of $\mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then

$$(q-2)T(r,f) \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_1(r,\frac{1}{f-a_i}) - \log r + O(1).$$

Lemma 2.2. ([18]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_q$, be distinct points of $\mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$. Suppose either $f - a_i$ has no zeros, or $f - a_i$ has zeros, in which case all the zeros of the functions $f - a_i$ have multiplicity at least $m_i, i = 1, ..., q$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} (1 - \frac{1}{m_i}) < 2$$

Lemma 2.3. ([12]) Let f and g be non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} . If $E_f(1) = E_g(1)$, then one of the following three cases holds:

1. $T(r,f) \leq N_2(r,f) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{f}) + N_2(r,g) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{g}) - \log r + O(1)$, and the same inequality holds for T(r,g);

2. fg = 1;3. f = q.

Lemma 2.4. ([12]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and n, k be positive integers, n > k and a be a pole of f. Then

1.
$$(f^n)^{(k)} = \frac{\varphi_k}{(z-a)^{np+k}}, \text{ where } p = \nu_f^\infty(a), \varphi_k(a) \neq 0.$$

2. $\frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{f^{n-k}} = \frac{h_k}{(z-a)^{pk+k}}, \text{ where } p = \nu_f^\infty(a), h_k(a) \neq 0.$

Lemma 2.5. Let f, $(f)^{(k)}$ be non-constant meromorphic functions on \mathbb{K} and k be a positive integer. Then

$$T(r, (f)^{(k)}) \le (k+1)T(r, f) + O(1).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, and noting that $m(r, \frac{(f)^{(k)}}{f}) = O(1)$ we get

$$T(r, (f)^{(k)}) = m(r, (f)^{(k)}) + N(r, (f)^{(k)}) \le \le m(r, f) + N(r, f) + kN_1(r, f) + O(1) \le \le T(r, f) + kT(r, f) + O(1) = (k+1)T(r, f) + O(1).$$

Lemma 2.5 is proved.

Lemma 2.6. ([12]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and n, k be positive integers, $n \ge k + 1$. Then

$$T(r, f) \le T(r, f^n)^{(k)}) + O(1),$$

in particular, $(f^n)^{(k)}$ is not a constant.

Lemma 2.7. ([12]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and n, k be positive integers, n > 2k. Then

1.
$$(n-2k)T(r,f) + kN(r,f) + N(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) \le T(r,(f^n)^{(k)}) + O(1);$$

2. $N(r,\frac{1}{(f^n)^{(k)}}) \le kT(r,f) + kN_1(r,f) + O(1).$

Lemma 2.8. Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{K} and n, k be positive integers, n > 2k, and let P(z) be a polynomial of degree d > 0. Then

$$1. (n-2k)dT(r,f) + kN(r,P(f)) + N(r,\frac{1}{\frac{((P(f))^n)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}) \le T(r,((P(f))^n)^{(k)}) + O(1) \le (k+1)ndT(r,f) + O(1).$$

$$2. N(r,\frac{1}{\frac{((P(f))^n)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}) \le kdT(r,f) + kN_1(r,P(f)) + O(1) = kdT(r,f) + kN_1(r,f) + O(1) \le k(d+1)T(r,f) + O(1).$$

Proof. 1. Set $A = ((P(f))^n)^{(k)}, C = P(f)$. Then $T(r, C) = T(r, P(f)) = dT(r, f) + O(1), T(r, P^n(f)) = ndT(r, f) + O(1)$. Therefore, C, C^n are not constants. By Lemma 2.6 we see that $A = (C^n)^{(k)}$ is not a constant. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.5 we get

$$(n-2k)T(r,C) + kN(r,C) + N(r,\frac{1}{\frac{A}{C^{n-k}}}) \le T(r,A) + O(1) \le (k+1)T(r,C^n) + O(1),$$

i.e.

$$(n-2k)dT(r,f) + kN(r,P(f)) + N(r,\frac{1}{\frac{((P(f))^n)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}) \le \le T(r,((P(f))^n)^{(k)}) + O(1) \le (k+1)ndT(r,f) + O(1).$$

2. By Lemma 2.7 we have

$$N(r, \frac{1}{\frac{A}{C^{n-k}}}) \le kT(r, C) + kN_1(r, C) + O(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$T(r,C) = dT(r,f) + O(1), N_1(r,C) = N_1(r,f) \le N(r,f) \le T(r,f) + O(1).$$

Therefore,

$$N(r, \frac{1}{\frac{((P(f))^n)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}) \le kdT(r, f) + kN_1(r, P(f)) + O(1) =$$
$$= kdT(r, f) + kN_1(r, f) + O(1) \le k(d+1)T(r, f) + O(1).$$

Lemma 2.8 is proved.

Lemma 2.9. Let $d \ge 2m+3$ and either $m \ge 3$ or (d,m) = 1 and $m \ge 2$, $c \ne 0$, and let P(z), Q(z) be defined by (1). Assume that the equation P(f) = cQ(g)has a non-constant meromorphic solution (f,g). Then g = hf for a constant h such that $h^d = \frac{1}{c} = \frac{b_2}{b_1}, h^m = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$.

Proof. Since P(f) = Q(g) we get

$$f^d + a_1 f^{d-m} + b_1 = c(g^d + a_2 g^{d-m} + b_2), \ dT(r, f) + O(1) = dT(r, g),$$

(2.1)
$$T(r, f) + O(1) = T(r, g).$$

Equation (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$f_1 + f_2 = cb_2 - b_1$$
, where $f_1 = f^{d-m}(f^m + a_1)$, $f_2 = -cg^{d-m}(g^m + a_2)$.

If $cb_2 - b_1 \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} T(r,f_1) &\leq N_1(r,f_1) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{f_1}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{f_1 - (cb_2 - b_1)} - \log r + O(1), \\ dT(r,f) &\leq N_1(r,f) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{f}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{f^m + a_1}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{g}) + \\ &\quad + N_1(r,\frac{1}{g^m + a_2}) - \log r + O(1), \\ dT(r,f) &\leq (2m+3)T(r,f) - \log r + O(1), \ (d-2m-3)T(r,f) \leq \\ &\leq -\log r + O(1), \end{split}$$

which contradicts to $d \ge 2m + 3$. Hence $cb_2 - b_1 = 0$. Thus, (2.1) becomes

(2.2)
$$f^d + a_1 f^{d-m} = cg^d + ca_2 g^{d-m}$$

For simplicity, set $h = \frac{g}{f}$, and $\alpha = \frac{1}{c} \neq 0$; $\beta = \frac{a_1}{ca_2} \neq 0$. Then we obtain

$$f^m(ch^d - 1) = -(ca_2h^{d-m} - a_1), \ f^m(h^d - \alpha) = -a_2(h^{d-m} - \beta),$$

(2.3)
$$f^m = -a_2 \frac{h^{d-m} - \beta}{h^d - \alpha}.$$

Assume that h is not a constant. Consider the following possible cases:

Case 1. $m \ge 2$, (m, d) = 1. If $h^d - \alpha$ and $h^{d-m} - \beta$ have no common zeros, then all zeros of $h^d - \alpha$ have multipoities $\ge m$. Then

$$N_1(r, \frac{1}{h^d - \alpha}) \le \frac{1}{m} N(r, \frac{1}{h^d - \alpha}).$$

By Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$T(r,h^d) \le N_1(r,h^d) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{h^d}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{h^d-\alpha}) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$dT(r,h) \le 2T(r,h) + \frac{1}{m}N(r,\frac{1}{h^d-\alpha}) - \log r + O(1) \le$$

$$\le (2 + \frac{d}{m})T(r,h) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$(d-2 - \frac{d}{m})T(r,h) \le -\log r + O(1),$$

which leads to d(m-1) < 2m, a contradiction to the condition $d \ge 2m+3$.

If $h^d - \alpha$ and $h^{d-m} - \beta$ have common zeros, then there exists z_0 such that $h^d(z_0) = \alpha$, $h^{d-m}(z_0) = \beta$. From (2.3) we get

$$\alpha f^m((\frac{h}{h(z_0)})^d - 1) = -\beta a_2((\frac{h}{h(z_0)})^{d-m} - 1).$$

Since (m, d) = 1, the equations $z^d - 1 = 0$ and $z^{d-m} - 1 = 0$ have different roots, except for z = 1. Let $r_i, i = 1, ..., 2d - m - 2$, be all the roots of them. Then all zeros of $\frac{h}{h(z_0)} - r_i$ have multipoities $\geq m$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$(1 - \frac{1}{m})(2d - m - 2) < 2, \ 2d(m - 1) < m^2 + 3m - 2,$$

which contradicts $d \ge 2m + 3$, $m \ge 2$. Thus, h is a constant.

Case 2. $m \geq 3$. Note that equation $z^d - \alpha = 0$ has d simple zeros, equation $z^{d-m} - \beta = 0$ has d - m simple zeros. Then $z^d - \alpha = 0$, $z^{d-m} - \beta = 0$ have at most d - m common simple zeros. Therefore, the equation $z^d - \alpha = 0$ has at least m distinct roots, which are not roots of $z^{d-m} - \beta = 0$. Let $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ be all these roots. Then all zeros of $h - r_j, j = 1, ..., m$, have multiplicities $\geq m$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $m(1 - \frac{1}{m}) < 2$. Therefore, m < 3. From $m \geq 3$, we obtain a contradiction. Thus h is a constant.

3. Proof of main resutls

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$P(f) = (f - e_1) \cdots (f - e_d), \ e_i \in \mathbb{K}, \ e_i \neq 0,$$

$$(P(f))^n = (f - e_1)^n \cdots (f - e_d)^n,$$

$$Q(g) = (g - k_1) \cdots (g - k_d), \ k_i \in \mathbb{K}, \ k_i \neq 0,$$

$$(Q(g))^n = (g - k_1)^n \cdots (g - k_d)^n.$$

 Set

$$A = ((P(f))^n)^{(k)}, \quad B = ((Q(g))^n)^{(k)}, \quad C = P(f),$$
$$D = Q(g), \quad F = \frac{A}{C^{n-k}}, \quad Q = \frac{B}{D^{n-k}}.$$

Then

$$C = (f - e_1) \cdots (f - e_d), \quad D = (g - k_1) \cdots (g - k_d),$$
$$A = (C^n)^{(k)} = FC^{n-k}, \quad B = (D^n)^{(k)} = QD^{n-k}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to $(C^n)^{(k)}, (D^n)^{(k)}$ we have one of the following possibilities:

Case 1.

$$T(r,A) \le N_2(r,A) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{A}) + N_2(r,B) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{B}) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$T(r,B) \le N_2(r,A) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{A}) + N_2(r,B) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{B}) - \log r + O(1).$$

We see that, if a is a pole of A, then $C(a) = \infty$ with $\nu_A^{\infty}(a) \ge n + k \ge 2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} N_1(r,C) &= N_1(r,(f-e_1)...(f-e_d)) = N_1(r,f) \leq T(r,f) + O(1), \\ N_1(r,\frac{1}{C}) &= \sum_{i=1}^d N_1(r,\frac{1}{f-e_i}) \leq dT(r,f) + O(1), \\ N_2(r,A) &= 2N_1(r,C) \leq 2T(r,f) + O(1), \\ N_2(r,\frac{1}{A}) &\leq N_2(r,\frac{1}{C^{n-k}}) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) = 2N_1(r,\frac{1}{C}) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) \leq \\ &\leq 2dT(r,f) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) \leq 2dT(r,f) + kN_1(r,C) + \\ &+ kdT(r,f) + O(1) = d(k+2)T(r,f) + kN_1(r,C) + O(1). \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} N_2(r,B) &\leq 2T(r,g) + O(1), \\ N_2(r,\frac{1}{B}) &\leq 2dT(r,g) + N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) \leq d(k+2)T(r,g) + kN_1(r,D) + O(1). \end{split}$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$T(r,A) \le (2+2d+kd)T(r,f) + (2+2d)T(r,g) + kN_1(r,C) + N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$T(r,B) \le (2+2d+kd)T(r,g) + (2+2d)T(r,f) + kN_1(r,D) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) - \log r + O(1).$$

$$T(r,A) + T(r,B) \le (4+4d+kd)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + kN_1(r,C) + N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) + kN_1(r,D) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) - 2\log r + O(1).$$

By Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$(n-2k)dT(r,f) + kN(r,C) + N(r,\frac{1}{F}) \le T(r,A) + O(1),$$

$$(n-2k)dT(r,g) + kN(r,D) + N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) \le T(r,B) + O(1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} (n-2k)d(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+kN(r,C)+N(r,\frac{1}{F})+kN(r,D)+N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) \leq \\ &\leq T(r,A)+T(r,B)+O(1), \\ (n-2k)d(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+kN(r,C)+N(r,\frac{1}{F})+kN(r,D)+N(r,\frac{1}{Q}) \leq \\ &\leq (4+4d+kd)(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+kN_1(r,C)+N(r,\frac{1}{Q})+ \\ &+kN_1(r,D)+N(r,\frac{1}{F})-2\log r+O(1). \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$(n-2k)d(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le (4+4d+kd)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) - 2\log r + O(1),$$
$$((n-2k)d - 4 - 4d - kd)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) \le -2\log r + O(1).$$

Since $n \ge 3k + 5 > 2k + \frac{4+4d+kd}{d}$, we obtain a contradiction.

Case 2. $(P(f))^n)^{(k)}$ $((Q(g))^n)^{(k)} = 1$. Then we have

$$C = P(f) = (f - e_1) \cdots (f - e_d), (C^n)^{(k)} = C^{n-k}F, D = Q(g).$$

Therefore

$$(f - e_1)^{n-k} \cdots (f - e_d)^{n-k} \cdot F \cdot (D^n)^{(k)} = (C^n)^{(k)} (D^n)^{(k)} = 1$$

Because $n \ge 3k + 5$ we see that, if z_0 is a zero of $f - e_i$ with $1 \le i \le d$, then z_0 is a zero of C, and therefore, z_0 is a zero of $(C^n)^{(k)}$, and then z_0 is a pole

-1

of $(D^n)^{(k)}$ and $\nu_{(D^n)^{(k)}}^{\infty}(z_0) = (n-k)\nu_f^{e_i}(z_0)$. Thus, z_0 is a pole of g, and by Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\nu_{(D^n)^{(k)}}^{\infty}(z_0) = nd\nu_g^{\infty}(z_0) + k \ge nd + k.$$

So $\nu_f^{e_i}(z_0) = \frac{nd\nu_g^{\infty}(z_0)+k}{n-k} \ge \frac{nd+k}{n-k}, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \frac{n-k}{nd+k}) < 2.$$

From this we have $n(d^2 - 3d) < 2k(1 - d)$, and so we obtain a contradiction to $d \ge 12$.

Case 3. $((P(f))^n)^{(k)} = ((Q(g))^n)^{(k)}$. Then $(P(f))^n - s = (Q(g))^n$, where s is a polynomial of degree < k. We prove $s \equiv 0$. If it is not the case, then

$$\frac{((P(f))^n}{s} - 1 = \frac{(g - k_1)^n \cdots (g - k_d)^n}{s},$$
$$\frac{(g - k_1)^n \cdots (g - k_d)^n}{s} + 1 = \frac{(f - e_1)^n \cdots (f - e_d)^n}{s}$$

Set $H = \frac{C^n}{s}$, $G = \frac{D^n}{s}$. Since f, g are not constants, and so are C, D, C^n, D^n, H, G . Applying Lemma 2.1 to H with values ∞ , 0, 1, we get

$$T(r,H) \le N_1(r,H) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{H}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{H-1}) - \log r + O(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} T(r,C^n) &= nT(r,C) + O(1) \leq T(r,H) + T(r,s) \leq T(r,H) + (k-1)\log r + O(1), \\ nT(r,C) - (k-1)\log r \leq T(r,H) + O(1), \ ndT(r,f) - (k-1)\log r \leq T(r,H) + O(1), \\ N_1(r,H) &\leq N_1(r,C^n) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{s}) \leq N_1(r,f) + (k-1)\log r \leq T(r,f) + (k-1)\log r, \\ N_1(r,\frac{1}{H}) &\leq N_1(r,\frac{1}{C^n}) = N_1(r,\frac{1}{C}) \leq T(r,C) + O(1) = dT(r,f) + O(1), \\ N_1(r,\frac{1}{H-1}) &= N_1(r,\frac{1}{G}) \leq N_1(r,\frac{1}{D^n}) = N_1(r,\frac{1}{D}) \leq T(r,D) + O(1) = dT(r,g) \\ + O(1), \ ndT(r,f) - (k-1)\log r \leq T(r,f) + (k-1)\log r + d(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + O(1). \\ \end{split}$$

$$(nd - 2(k - 1))T(r, f) \le T(r, f) + d(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + O(1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to G with values ∞ , 0, -1, and noting that $\log r \leq T(r, g)$ we obtain

$$T(r,G) \le N_1(r,G) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{G}) + N_1(r,\frac{1}{G+1}) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$ndT(r,g) - (k-1)\log r \le T(r,g) + (k-1)\log r + d(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$(nd - 2(k-1))T(r,g) \le T(r,g) + d(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) - \log r + O(1).$$

 So

$$\begin{aligned} (nd-2(k-1))(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) &\leq T(r,f)+T(r,g)+2d(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) - \\ &-2\log r + O(1), \\ (nd-2d-2k+1))(T(r,f)+T(r,g))+2\log r \leq O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction to $n \ge 3k + 5 > \frac{2d+2k-1}{d}$. So s = 0. Then $(P(f))^n = (Q(g))^n$. Therefore, $P(f) = cQ(g), c^n = 1$. From this and by Lemma 2.9, we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2. Set

$$C = P(f) = f^{d} + a_{1}f^{d-m} + b_{1}, \quad D = Q(g) = g^{d} + a_{2}g^{d-m} + b_{2}$$
$$M = -\frac{f^{d-m}(f^{m} + a_{1})}{b_{1}}, \quad N = -\frac{g^{d-m}(g^{m} + a_{2})}{b_{2}}.$$

Since P(f) and Q(g) share 0 CM, we get $E_M(1) = E_N(1)$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to M, N, we have one of the following possibilities:

Case 1.

$$T(r,M) \le N_2(r,M) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{M}) + N_2(r,N) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{N}) - \log r + O(1),$$

$$T(r,N) \le N_2(r,M) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{M}) + N_2(r,N) + N_2(r,\frac{1}{N}) - \log r + O(1).$$

Moreover,

$$T(r, M) = dT(r, f) + O(1) N_1(r, M) = N_1(r, f) \le T(r, f) + O(1),$$

$$N_2(r, M) = 2N_1(r, f) \le 2T(r, f) + O(1),$$

$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{M}) \le 2N_1(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f^m + a_1}) \le 2T(r, f) + mT(r, f) + O(1).$$

Similarly

$$N_2(r,N) \le 2T(r,g) + O(1), \ N_2(r,\frac{1}{N}) \le 2T(r,g) + mT(r,g) + O(1).$$

Therefore,

$$T(r, M) = dT(r, f) + O(1) \le 4(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + m(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) - \log r + O(1).$$

Similarly

$$T(r,N) = dT(r,g) + O(1) \le 4(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + m(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) - \log r + O(1) + O(1$$

Combining the above inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) &\leq 8(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + 2m(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) - 2\log r + O(1), \\ (d - 2m - 8)(T(r,f) + T(r,g)) + 2\log r &\leq O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction to $d \ge 2m + 8$.

Case 2. M.N = 1, i.e. $f^{d-m}(f^m + a_1)g^{d-m}(g^m + a_2) = \frac{b_1}{b_2}$.

Note that equation $z^m + a_1 = 0$ has m simple zeros. Let $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ be all these roots. Therefore

(3.1)
$$f^{d-m}(f-r_1)...(f-r_1)g^{d-m}(g^m+a_2) = \frac{b_1}{b_2}.$$

From (3.1) it follows that all zeros of $f - r_j$, j = 1, ..., m, have multiplicities $\geq d$, and all zeros of f have multiplicities $\geq \frac{d}{d-m}$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $1 - \frac{d-m}{d} + m(1 - \frac{1}{d}) < 2$. Then m < 2. Since $m \geq 2$, we obtain a contradiction.

Case 3. M = N, i.e. $\frac{f^{d-m}(f^m + a_1)}{b_1} = \frac{g^{d-m}(g^m + a_2)}{b_2}$. Then

(3.2)
$$f^d + a_1 f^{d-m} + b_1 = \frac{b_1}{b_2} (g^d + a_2 g^{d-m} + b_2).$$

Applying Lemma 2.9 to (3.2), we obtain we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.

3.3 Proof of Corollary 3. Since P(z), Q(z) have no multiple zeros, we see that $E_f(S) = E_g(T)$ if and only if P(f) and Q(g) share 0 CM. From this and Theorem 2, we obtain the conclusion of Corollary 3.

3.4 Proof of Corollary 4. By $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$ and Corollary 3, we obtain g = hf for a constant h, such that $h^d = \frac{b_2}{b_1}$, $h^m = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$ with $b_1 = b_2$, $a_1 = a_2$. Therefore, $h^d = 1$ and $h^m = 1$. Because (d, m) = 1 we have h = 1. So f = g.

References

- Vu Hoai An and Tran Dinh Duc, Uniqueness theorems and uniqueness polynomials for holomorphic curves, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 56(1-4)(2011), 253–262.
- [2] Vu Hoai An and Le Quang Ninh, On functional equations of the Fermat-Waring type for non-Archimedean vectorial entire functions, Bull. Korean Math.Soc. 53(4)(2016), 1185–1196.
- [3] Vu Hoai An, Pham Ngoc Hoa, and Ha Huy Khoai, Value sharing problems for differential and difference polynomials of meromorphic functions in a non-Archimedean field, *p-Adic Numbers*, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications, 9(1)(2017), 1–14.
- [4] Boutabaa, A., Théorie de Nevanlinna p-adique, Manuscripta Math. 67(1990), 251–269.
- [5] Boussaf, K., Escassut, A., Ojeda, J., *p*-adic meromorphic functions $(f)^{(')}P'(f)$, $(g)^{(')}P'(g)$ sharing a small function, *Bull. Sci. math.* **136**(2012), 172–200.
- [6] Cherry, W. and Yang, C.C., Uniqueness of non-archimedean entire functions sharing sets of values counting multiplicities, *Proc. Amer. Math.* Soc., 127(4)(1999),967--971.
- [7] Escassut, A. and Ojeda, J., The p-adic Hayman Conjecture when n = 2, Complex Variable and Elliptic Equations, 59(10)(2014), 1451–1456.
- [8] Ha Huy Khoai, On p-adic meromorphic functions, Duke Math. J. 50(1983), 695–711.
- [9] Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, Value distribution for p-adic hypersurfaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 7(1)(2003), 51–67.
- [10] Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, Value distribution problem for p-adic meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse, Vol. XX, Special Issue, 2011, 135–149.
- [11] Ha Huy Khoai and Vu Hoai An, Value sharing problem for p-adic meromorphic functions and their difference operators and difference polynomials, Ukranian Math. J., 64(2)(2012), 147–164.
- [12] Ha Huy Khoai, Vu Hoai An and Nguyen Xuan Lai, Value sharing problem and Uniqueness for *p*-adic meromorphic functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* 38(2012), 71–92.
- [13] Ha Huy Khoai and Ta Thi Hoai An, On uniqueness polynomials and bi-URS for p-adic meromorphic functions, J. Number Theory. 87(2001), 211–221.
- [14] Ha Huy Khoai and Mai Van Tu, p-adic Nevanlinna-Cartan Theorem, Internat. J. Math. 6(1995), 719–731.

- [15] Ha Huy Khoai, Vu Hoai An and Le Quang Ninh Uniqueness Theorems for Holomorphic Curves with Hypersurfaces of Fermat-Waring Type, *Complex Anal .Oper. Theory*, 8(3)(2014), 591–794.
- [16] Ha Huy Khoai, Vu Hoai An and Nguyen Xuan Lai, Value-sharing and Uniqueness problems for non-Archimedean differential polynomials in several variables, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2017.1300584.
- [17] Hu, P.C. and Yang, C.C., A unique range set for p-adic meromorphic functions with 10 elements, Acta Math. Vietnamica, 24(1999), 95–108.
- [18] Hu, P.C. and Yang, C.C., Meromorphic functions over non-Archimedean fields, Kluwer, 2000.
- [19] Ostrovskii, I., Pakovitch, F., Zaidenberg, M., A remark on complex polynomials of least deviation, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 14(1996), 699-703.
- [20] Pakovich, F., On polynomials sharing preimages of compact sets, and related questions, *Geom. Funct. Anal*, 18(1)(2008), 163–183.
- [21] Yang, C.C. and Hua, X.H., Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22(1997), 395–406.
- [22] Yang, C.C., Open problem, in Complex analysis, Proceedings of the S.U.N.Y.Brockport Conf. on Complex Function Theory, Edited by Sanford S. Miller. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, (June 79, 1976), 36, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1978.
- [23] Yi, H.X., The unique range sets of entire or meromorphic functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 28(1995), 13–21.

Vu Hoai An

Hai Duong College Hai Duong Province Vietnam Thang Long Institute of Mathematics and Applied Sciences Ha Noi City Vietnam vuhoaianmai@yahoo.com

Pham Ngoc Hoa

Hai Duong College Hai Duong Province Vietnam hphamngoc577@gmail.com