

A MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTION WITH EQUATION

$$f(p + m^3) = f(p) + f(m^3)$$

Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Antal Iványi

Communicated by Imre Kátai

(Received May 10, 2017; accepted June 20, 2017)

Abstract. We prove that if a multiplicative function f satisfies the conditions

$$f(p + m^3) = f(p) + f(m^3) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\pi^2) = f(\pi)^2$$

for all primes p , π and positive integers m , then $f(n) = n$ holds for all positive integers n .

1. Introduction

An arithmetic function $g(n) \not\equiv 0$ is said to be multiplicative if $(n, m) = 1$ implies that

$$g(nm) = g(n)g(m)$$

and it is completely multiplicative if this relation holds for all positive integers n and m . Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$ denote the class of all complex-valued multiplicative, completely multiplicative functions, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of primes, positive integers, respectively. $n \parallel m$ denotes that m is a unitary divisor of n , i.e. that $m|n$ and $(\frac{n}{m}, m) = 1$. Let

$$M(n) = \max\{q^\gamma : q^\gamma \parallel n, q \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Key words and phrases: Multiplicative function, a Dirichlet character, the identity function, functional equation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A07, 11A25, 11N25, 11N64.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.46.223>

In 1992, Spiro [8] showed that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f(p_0) \neq 0$ for some prime p_0 , then

$$f(p+q) = f(p) + f(q) \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathcal{P}$$

implies that $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the paper [3] written with J.-M. De Koninck and I. Kátaí, we proved that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ with $f(1) = 1$ and

$$f(p+m^2) = f(p) + f(m^2) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently in [7] we improve this result by proving that if $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ with $f(1)=1$ satisfy

$$f(p+m^2) = g(p) + g(m^2) \quad \text{and} \quad g(p^2) = g(p)^2$$

for all primes p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then either

$$f(p+m^2) = 0, \quad g(p) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(m^2) = 1$$

for all primes p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad g(p) = p, \quad g(m^2) = m^2$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The case $f = g \in \mathcal{M}^*$ was investigated in the previous paper [6].

For some generalizations of this topics, we refer to the works mentioned in the references of [7].

In this note, we prove

Theorem 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the conditions*

$$(1.1) \quad f(p+m^3) = f(p) + f(m^3) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad f(\pi^2) = f(\pi)^2 \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \mathcal{P},$$

then

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2. Auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{S}(3) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p^3} < 0.1747626338.$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathfrak{S}(x, 3) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^3}.$$

It is clear that

$$\mathfrak{S}(3) - \mathfrak{S}(x, 3) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p > x} \frac{1}{p^3} < \sum_{n=[x]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} < \frac{1}{2[x]^2} < \frac{1}{2(x-1)^2}$$

consequently

$$\mathfrak{S}(3) < \mathfrak{S}(x, 3) + \frac{1}{2(x-1)^2}.$$

One can check with Maple that

$$\mathfrak{S}(10^6 + 1, 3) < 0.1747626336,$$

which shows that

$$\mathfrak{S}(3) < 0.1747626336 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 10^{12}} < 0.1747626338.$$

Lemma 1 is proved. ■

Lemma 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2), then*

$$(2.1) \quad f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \leq 565 \cdot 10^{10}.$$

Proof. First we prove that (2.1) holds for $n = 2$.

Let $f(2) := x$. Then we infer from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(3) &= f(2 + 1^3) = x + 1, \\ f(4) &= f(3 + 1^3) = f(3) + 1 = x + 2, \\ f(5) &= f(5 + 1^3) - 1 = f(2)f(3) - 1 = x^2 + x - 1, \\ f(8) &= f(2 + 2^3) - f(2) = f(2)f(5) - f(2) = x^3 + x^2 - 2x, \\ f(11) &= f(11 + 1^3) - 1 = f(3)f(4) - 1 = x^2 + 3x + 1, \\ f(19) &= f(11 + 2^3) - 1 = f(11) + f(8) = x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1, \\ f(27) &= f(19 + 2^3) = f(19) + f(8) = 2x^3 + 3x^2 - x + 1, \\ f(29) &= f(2 + 3^3) = f(2) + f(27) = 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also get from (1.1)

$$f(19) = f(19 + 1) - 1 = f(4)f(5) - 1 = x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 3$$

and

$$f(29) = f(29 + 1) - 1 = f(2)f(3)f(5) - 1 = x^4 + 2x^3 - x - 1.$$

Thus, we have

$$x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1 = x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 3, \quad (x - 2)(x + 2) = 0$$

and

$$2x^3 + 3x^2 + 1 = x^4 + 2x^3 - x - 1, \quad (x - 2)(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1) = 0,$$

which imply $x = 2$. The assertion (2.1) is proved for $n = 2$.

As we seen above, we have

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{if } n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 19, 20, 27\}.$$

These with (1.1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} f(7) &= f(7 + 1^3) - 1 = f(8) - 1 = 7, \\ f(17) &= \frac{f(34)}{f(2)} = \frac{f(34)}{2} = \frac{f(7) + f(27)}{2} = \frac{7 + 27}{2} = 17, \\ f(9) &= \frac{f(18)}{f(2)} = \frac{f(17) + 1}{2} = \frac{17 + 1}{2} = 9, \\ f(13) &= f(5 + 2^3) = f(5) + f(8) = 13, \\ f(16) &= \frac{f(48)}{f(3)} = \frac{f(47) + 1}{3} = \frac{f(55) - 7}{3} = \frac{f(5)f(11) - 7}{3} = 16, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \in \{1, 2, \dots, 22\}.$$

Now we prove Lemma 2.

Assume by contradiction that there is a number $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, $22 < Q < 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ such that $f(n) = n$ for all $n < Q$ and $f(Q) \neq Q$. Then $Q = \pi^\alpha \geq 23$ is a prime power.

If $\alpha = 1$, then $Q + 1$ is even and $f(Q + 1) = f(Q) + 1 \neq Q + 1$. Since $Q + 1$ is an even composite, then either $Q + 1 = 2^e$, $e \geq 5$ or $Q + 1 = uv$ with $1 < u < v < Q + 1$, $(u, v) = 1$. If $Q + 1 = 2^e$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q + 27 &= 2 \cdot (2^{e-1} + 13) = f(2)f(2^{e-1} + 13) = \\ &= f(Q + 27) = f(Q) + f(27) = f(Q) + 27, \end{aligned}$$

because $2^{e-1} + 13 < 2^e - 1 = Q$. The last relation is impossible. In the case $Q + 1 = uv$ with $1 < u < v < Q + 1$, $(u, v) = 1$, we also get a contradiction, because

$$Q + 1 \neq f(Q + 1) = f(uv) = f(u)f(v) = uv = Q + 1.$$

If $\alpha = 2$, then we obtain from (1.2) that $Q \neq f(Q) = f(\pi^2) = f(\pi)^2 = \pi^2 = Q$, which is impossible. If $\alpha = 3\beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi + \pi^\alpha &= \pi(1 + \pi^{3\beta-1}) = f(\pi)f(1 + \pi^{3\beta-1}) = \\ &= f(\pi + \pi^{3\beta}) = f(\pi) + f(\pi^\alpha) = \pi + f(\pi^\alpha),\end{aligned}$$

consequently $f(Q) = f(\pi^\alpha) = \pi^\alpha = Q$. This is a contradiction.

Assume now $\alpha \geq 4$, $3 \nmid \alpha$. Then there are 394 such prime powers $\pi^\alpha \leq 565 \cdot 10^{10}$, for which $\alpha \geq 4$ and $3 \nmid \alpha$ hold. Since $\pi^4 \leq \pi^\alpha \leq 565 \cdot 10^{10}$, we have $\pi \leq 1541$. With the help of Maple program, for each prime power $\pi^\alpha \leq 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ there is a positive $x_{\pi^\alpha} \in \{1, \dots, 237\}$ (see Table 1 for the smallest value of x_{π^α} which is ≥ 30) such that

$$p_{\pi^\alpha} := \pi^\alpha x_{\pi^\alpha} - 1 \in \mathcal{P}, \quad x_{\pi^\alpha} < \pi^\alpha, \quad (x_{\pi^\alpha}, \pi) = 1$$

and

$$M(p_{\pi^\alpha} + 8) = M(\pi^\alpha x_{\pi^\alpha} + 7) < \pi^\alpha.$$

These with the fact that $f(n) = n$ for all $n < Q = \pi^\alpha$ imply

$$x_{\pi^\alpha} f(\pi^\alpha) = f(x_{\pi^\alpha}) f(\pi^\alpha) = f(\pi^\alpha x_{\pi^\alpha}) = f(p_{\pi^\alpha} + 1) = f(p_{\pi^\alpha}) + 1$$

and

$$p_{\pi^\alpha} + 8 = f(p_{\pi^\alpha} + 8) = f(p_{\pi^\alpha}) + 8, \quad f(p_{\pi^\alpha}) = p_{\pi^\alpha}.$$

Thus $f(Q) = f(\pi^\alpha) = \pi^\alpha = Q$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2 is proved. ■

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the set of those subset of $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ for which

$$(2.2) \quad n, m \in \mathcal{L}, \quad (n, m) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad nm \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Lemma 3. *Assume that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{M}$ and an integer $T \geq 11000$. If*

$$\pi \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for all} \quad \pi \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \pi < T$$

and

$$2^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}, \quad 3^\beta \in \mathcal{L}, \quad 5^\gamma \in \mathcal{L} \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 10, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 8, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 5),$$

then for each $Q < T$, $6 \nmid Q$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_Q := \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p < T, \quad p + Q \in \mathcal{L}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 7 in [7]. ■

$\pi, \alpha, x_{\pi^\alpha}$	$\pi, \alpha, x_{\pi^\alpha}$	$\pi, \alpha, x_{\pi^\alpha}$	$\pi, \alpha, x_{\pi^\alpha}$	$\pi, \alpha, x_{\pi^\alpha}$
2, 15, 45	41, 5, 84	193, 5, 38	571, 4, 60	1013, 4, 48
2, 22, 33	41, 7, 40	223, 4, 32	577, 4, 138	1021, 4, 54
2, 24, 39	43, 5, 60	223, 5, 80	601, 4, 84	1049, 4, 44
2, 25, 57	47, 5, 42	227, 5, 42	607, 4, 48	1063, 4, 62
2, 30, 237	47, 7, 36	229, 5, 62	613, 4, 68	1109, 4, 60
2, 33, 49	53, 4, 32	239, 5, 58	619, 4, 38	1123, 4, 62
2, 36, 143	53, 5, 90	241, 4, 50	653, 4, 42	1181, 4, 44
2, 39, 49	53, 7, 90	251, 5, 42	673, 4, 114	1187, 4, 62
2, 40, 107	59, 5, 130	271, 4, 68	701, 4, 122	1217, 4, 38
2, 41, 55	61, 5, 108	277, 4, 48	709, 4, 44	1229, 4, 48
2, 42, 33	71, 5, 114	277, 5, 146	727, 4, 60	1259, 4, 98
3, 16, 38	73, 4, 50	283, 4, 68	751, 4, 30	1291, 4, 32
3, 25, 70	73, 5, 128	293, 5, 70	769, 4, 44	1319, 4, 48
7, 11, 120	83, 5, 30	307, 5, 60	811, 4, 44	1321, 4, 90
11, 5, 40	89, 4, 80	317, 4, 182	823, 4, 74	1373, 4, 62
11, 10, 68	97, 5, 42	331, 5, 48	829, 4, 98	1427, 4, 38
17, 5, 72	101, 4, 78	337, 4, 98	839, 4, 54	1429, 4, 30
17, 8, 38	107, 5, 64	337, 5, 42	857, 4, 68	1433, 4, 50
17, 10, 126	109, 4, 32	383, 4, 168	877, 4, 38	1439, 4, 38
23, 4, 42	109, 5, 30	389, 4, 38	911, 4, 54	1447, 4, 78
23, 8, 32	113, 4, 60	409, 4, 48	941, 4, 62	1483, 4, 32
29, 4, 30	127, 5, 30	419, 4, 54	947, 4, 68	1487, 4, 98
29, 5, 66	139, 5, 68	421, 4, 32	953, 4, 30	1489, 4, 128
31, 7, 72	167, 5, 42	431, 4, 50	983, 4, 32	1493, 4, 50
37, 5, 60	179, 5, 48	521, 4, 50	997, 4, 80	1499, 4, 32
37, 7, 36	181, 5, 50	557, 4, 42	1009, 4, 84	1523, 4, 32

Table 1.

We shall use the following explicit inequality on the distribution of primes.

Lemma 4. *Let $\pi(x)$ be the number of primes $p \leq x$. We have*

$$\pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x} + 1.2762 \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \quad \text{if } x \geq 1.$$

Proof. This statement is proved in [2]. ■

Lemma 5. *Assume that $\mathcal{H} \in \mathfrak{M}$ and U is a cube-free, $U > 565 \cdot 10^{10}$, $(U, 2) = 1$. If*

$$(a) \quad \pi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \mathcal{P}, \pi < U,$$

$$(b) \quad p^2 \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, p < U$$

and

$$(c) \quad 2^\delta \in \mathcal{H} \quad (1 \leq \delta \leq 23),$$

then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_U := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n < \sqrt[3]{U}, U + n^3 \in \mathcal{H}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Assume that the conditions of Lemma 5 are satisfied.

We define the function $\kappa \in \mathcal{M}$ as follows:

$$\kappa(p^\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } p \neq 2, \alpha \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $h(n) = U + n^3$ and let $H = [U^{1/3}]$.

First we consider the congruence

$$(2.5) \quad h(x) = x^3 + U \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^3}, \quad (2 < \pi \leq H, \pi \in \mathcal{P}).$$

If $(\pi, U) = 1$, then the congruence (2.5) has at most $(3, \pi^2(\pi - 1)) \leq 3$ solutions (modulo π^3). If $(\pi, U) > 1$, then for each solution $n \pmod{\pi^3}$ of the congruence (2.5), we have $\pi \mid n$ and $\pi^3 \mid U$. Since U is cube-free, (2.5) has no solutions in the case $\pi \mid U$. Let

$$\mathcal{U} := \sum_{\substack{n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ n \leq H}} \kappa(h(n)).$$

We infer from Lemma 1, Lemma 4 and $U > 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &\geq \frac{H}{2} - \sum_{3 < \pi \leq \sqrt[3]{H/2}} 3 \left(\frac{H}{2\pi^3} + 1 \right) - 3 \sum_{\sqrt[3]{H/2} < \pi < \sqrt[3]{2U}} 1 \geq \\ &\geq \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} (\mathfrak{S}(3) - \frac{1}{8}) \right] H - 3\pi(\sqrt[3]{2U}) + 3 \geq \\ &\geq 0.4253560493H - \frac{3\sqrt[3]{2U}}{\log \sqrt[3]{2U}} - \frac{3c\sqrt[3]{2U}}{(\log \sqrt[3]{2U})^2} + 3 \geq \\ &\geq \left[0.4253560493 - \frac{3\sqrt[3]{2}}{\log \sqrt[3]{2U}} - \frac{3c\sqrt[3]{2}}{(\log \sqrt[3]{2U})^2} \right] \sqrt[3]{U} + 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c := 1.2762$.

Now we give the upper estimate for

$$E_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{n \leq H, \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\alpha}}} 1.$$

One easily check that if $(U, 2) = 1$, then the congruence

$$h(n) = U + n^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\alpha} \quad (\alpha \geq 2)$$

has at most two solutions (modulo 2^α). Thus, we have

$$E_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{n \leq H, \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\alpha}}} 1 \leq 2 \left(\frac{H}{2^\alpha} + 1 \right) < \frac{\sqrt[3]{U}}{2^{\alpha-1}} + 2.$$

Consequently, $U > 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} - E_{24} &= \sum_{\substack{n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ n \leq H}} \kappa(h(n)) - \sum_{\substack{n \leq H, \ n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ h(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{24}}}} 1 \geq \\ &\geq \left[0.4253560493 - \frac{3\sqrt[3]{2}}{\log \sqrt[3]{2U}} - \frac{3c\sqrt[3]{2}}{(\log \sqrt[3]{2U})^2} - \frac{1}{2^{23}} \right] \sqrt[3]{U} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We may now complete the proof of (2.4).

Since $\mathcal{U} - E_{24} > 0$, there is a $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n^3 < U$, $2 \nmid n$ such that

$$U + n^3 = 2^\delta \eta, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq 23, \kappa(\eta) = 1, \eta < U.$$

Since $U > 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ and $\delta \leq 23$, we have $1 < \eta < U$ and so by our assumptions, using the conditions (a)-(c) we get

$$U + n^3 = 2^\delta \eta \in \mathcal{H},$$

which proves Lemma 5. ■

Remark. By using the method of C. Hooley [4], [5] one can prove that

$$\mathcal{U} = U \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_U(p^2)}{p^2} \right) + O(U(\log U)^{-1/2}),$$

where $\rho_U(m)$ is the number of those residues for which $(2n+1)^3 + U \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and the constant implied by error term is absolute.

Consequently, one can prove the following assertion: *There exists a constant c_0 such that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the condition (1.1), furthermore $f(n) = n$ for $n \leq c_0$, then $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The constant c_0 is effective, and perhaps $f(n) = n$ ($n \leq c_0$) holds but too much numerical computation would be necessary.

Lemma 6. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). Then*

$$(2.6) \quad f(p) = p \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad f(m^3) = m^3 \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3 and Lemma 5 with

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) = n\}.$$

Assume that $f(p) = p$ for all prime $p < R$, where $R \in \mathcal{P}$. We may assume that $R > 565 \cdot 10^{10}$ (see Lemma 2). From (1.2) we have

$$p, p^2 \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, p < R.$$

Let $Q := \pi^{3\alpha} < R$, where $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $6 \nmid Q$, by applying Lemma 3 with $T = R$, there is $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $p < R$ such that $p + \pi^{3\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}$, which gives

$$p + \pi^{3\alpha} = f(p + \pi^{3\alpha}) = f(p) + f(\pi^{3\alpha}) = p + f(\pi^{3\alpha}).$$

Therefore

$$f(\pi^{3\alpha}) = \pi^{3\alpha} \quad \text{for all } \pi^{3\alpha} < R,$$

consequently

$$(2.8) \quad f(m^3) = m^3 \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, m^3 < R.$$

Now we apply Lemma 5 with $U = R$. Then there is a $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m^3 < R$ such that $R + m^3 \in \mathcal{L}$, which with (1.1) and (2.6) gives

$$R + m^3 = f(R + m^3) = f(R) + f(m^3) = f(R) + m^3.$$

Consequently $f(R) = R$, and so $f(p) = p$ is satisfied for all primes p . The assertion (2.6) is proved.

Finally, the assertion (2.7) follows directly from (2.6) and (3.1).

Lemma 6 is proved. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1

From Lemma 6, we obtain that $f(p) = p$ and $g(m^3) = m^3$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$(3.1) \quad f(p + m^3) = p + m^3 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $E_k(x)$ be the number of those $n \leq x$ which can not be written as a sum of a prime and a k -th power of an integer, and $n \neq m^k$. Here $k \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Devenport and Heilbronn proved in [1] that for each $k \geq 2$ there is a constant $c = c(k) > 0$ such that

$$E_k(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^c}\right).$$

For us it is enough to know that $E_3(x)/x \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$).

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of those n which can be written as $n = p + m^3$ ($p \in \mathcal{P}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$). It follows from (3.1) that $f(n) = n$ if $n \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let π^α be an arbitrary prime power. Consider the set of integers $\pi^\alpha \nu \leq x$, $(\nu, \pi) = 1$. The size of this set is $\geq \frac{x}{\pi^\alpha}(1 - \frac{1}{\pi}) - 1$. The number of those ν for which $\nu \notin \mathcal{B}$, or $\pi^\alpha \nu \notin \mathcal{B}$ is $\leq E(x) + E(\frac{x}{\pi^\alpha})$. Thus, if x is large enough, then we can find such a $\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ for which $\pi^\alpha \nu \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(\pi, \nu) = 1$. Consequently

$$\pi^\alpha \nu = f(\pi^\alpha \nu) = f(\pi^\alpha)f(\nu) = f(\pi^\alpha)\nu,$$

which proves

$$f(\pi^\alpha) = \pi^\alpha.$$

Thus

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

holds, and so our theorem is proved. ■

References

- [1] **Davenport, H. and H. Heilbronn**, Note on a result in the additive theory of numbers, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **43** (1937), 142–151.
- [2] **Dusart, P.**, Estimates of some functions over primes without R.H., 2002, 20 pages. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1002.0442v1.pdf>.
- [3] **De Koninck, J.-M., I. Kátai and B. M. Phong**, A new characteristic of the identity function, *Journal of Number Theory*, **63** (1997), 325–338.
- [4] **Hooley, C.**, On the square-free values of cubic polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **229** 1968, 147–154.
- [5] **Hooley, C.**, *Applications of Sieve Methods to the Theory of Numbers*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 70., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1976.
- [6] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of the identity function with functional equations, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **32** (2010), 247–252.

- [7] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of the identity with functional equations II., *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **148(2)** (2016), 450–465.
- [8] **Spiro, C.**, Additive uniqueness sets for arithmetic functions, *J. Number Theory*, **42** (1992), 232–246.

Bui Minh Phong

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C

Hungary

bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu

