

APPROXIMATELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Zoltán Boros (Debrecen, Hungary)

Noémi Nagy (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professors Zoltán Daróczy and Imre Kátai
on the occasion of their 75th birthday*

Communicated by Antal Járai

(Received March 31, 2013; accepted July 09, 2013)

Abstract. A Rolewicz type theorem concerning the superstability of approximate convexity is established. Namely, it is proved that any real valued function f , defined on an open, convex subset D of a linear normed space, which satisfies the inequality

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|)^p$$

for every $x, y \in D$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, with a fixed non-negative real number c , and a fixed exponent $p > 1$, has to be convex, i.e., satisfies the above inequality with $c = 0$ as well.

1. Introduction

Investigations of approximate convexity, in various cases, usually involves the study of functions f satisfying an inequality of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) + C\Phi(t, 1 - t)\psi(\|x - y\|),$$

Key words and phrases: Approximately convex function, superstability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A51; Secondary 39B62.

The Project is supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund(OTKA) grant NK 81402.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.40.143>

where $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined on a convex, open subset D of a normed space X , $\|u\|$ denotes the norm of $u \in X$, C is a (usually non-negative) fixed real number, $\Phi : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions, while inequality (1.1) is supposed to hold for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in D$. In several papers, investigations are restricted to the case $X = \mathbb{R}$, when f is defined on an open interval and $\|u\|$ has to be replaced by the absolute value $|u|$ of the real number u .

In case $C = 0$, the inequality (1.1) describes the concept of convex functions. If $C \geq 0$ and $\Phi(t, 1 - t) = \psi(\|x - y\|) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $x, y \in D$, a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1.1) is called C -convex. The first investigations of C -convex functions are due by Hyers and Ulam [6]. According to their result, if the underlying space X is of finite dimension n and the function f is C -convex, then there exists a convex function $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq k_n C$$

for all $x \in D$. Concerning the constant k_n , they established the inequality

$$k_n \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{4(n+1)}.$$

C -convex functions were studied by Green [3] as well. He obtained better estimations. On the other hand, Laczkovich [7] proved that $k_n \geq \frac{1}{4} \log_2(n/2)$. This estimation shows that the statement cannot be extended to infinite dimensional spaces. A counterexample in this direction was earlier constructed by Casini and Papini [2].

Luc, Ngai and Théra [8] investigated the solutions f of the inequality (1.1) when $\Phi(t, s) = ts$ and $\psi(h) = h$, X is a Banach space. They assumed, in addition, that f is lower semicontinuous.

In a series of papers, Rolewicz introduced and investigated the concepts of ψ -paraconvex and strongly ψ -paraconvex functions, corresponding to the choices $\Phi(t, s) = 1$ and $\Phi(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$, respectively, in the inequality (1.1). He obtained various results according to the assumptions on X and the local behaviour of the function ψ around the origin. When $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(h) = h^p$ with some fixed $p > 2$, $C \geq 0$ and $\Phi(t, s) = 1$, he proved [13] that every solution $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1.1) is convex. Later he extended this result [14] to the more general case when X is a Banach space and $\psi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfils the assumption $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \psi(h)/h^2 = 0$. His further results show that the assumption on ψ is essential. For instance, one can easily verify that the real function $f(x) = -Cx^2$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) is strongly ψ -paraconvex with $\psi(h) = h^2$ but f is not convex when $C > 0$. Via similar calculations one can prove the following statement: if $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(t, s) = ts$, $\psi(h) = h^2$, and f satisfies (1.1) for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $x, y \in D$, then the function $g(x) = f(x) + Cx^2$ ($x \in D$) is convex. The

statement is valid for negative C as well, when f is called strongly convex (cf. [5, Prop. 1.1.2], [10]). We note that the choices $\Phi(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$ and $\Phi(t, s) = ts$ in (1.1) are essentially equivalent as $\frac{1}{2} \min\{t, 1-t\} \leq t(1-t) \leq \min\{t, 1-t\}$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$.

Motivated by results on C -convex functions and investigations in the spirit of Luc, Ngai and Théra, Páles [12] proved the following theorem: Let I denote an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and ε, δ be nonnegative real numbers. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon t(1-t)|x - y| + \delta$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ if, and only if, f can be represented in the form $f = g + \alpha + \beta$, where $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function and $\beta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function.

The notion of midconvex (or Jensen convex) functions concerns functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy (1.1) for all $x, y \in D$ with $t = 1/2$ and $C = 0$. According to the celebrated Bernstein–Doetsch theorem [1], if f is midconvex and locally bounded above, then f is convex. Analogously, if f satisfies (1.1) with $t = 1/2$, $C \geq 0$ and $\Phi(1/2, 1/2) = \psi(\|x - y\|) = 1$ for all $x, y \in D$ and f is locally bounded above, then f is $2C$ -convex [11]. Házy and Páles [4], considering an exponent $p \in [0, 1]$, investigated the relations among the solutions of inequality (1.1) with $\Phi(t, s) = (ts)^p$, $\psi(h) = h^p$, and those of the special case $t = 1/2$, obtaining similar results. Their results were generalized to more general choices of Φ and ψ by Makó and Páles [9]. A comparison of these results with those of Rolewicz is elaborated by Jacek Tabor and Józef Tabor [15].

2. Results

We consider approximate convexity of the form (1.1) in case $\Phi(t, s) = (ts)^p$, $\psi(h) = h^p$, under the assumption that $p > 1$. We begin the investigation and reformulation of the problem in case of real variables.

2.1. Approximate convexity on intervals

Proposition 2.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $c \geq 0$, $p > 1$. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfils the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1-\lambda)|x - y|)^p$$

for every $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ if, and only if, f satisfies the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad f(y) \leq \frac{z-y}{z-x}f(x) + \frac{y-x}{z-x}f(z) + c \left(\frac{(z-y)(y-x)}{z-x} \right)^p$$

for every $x, y, z \in I$ fulfilling $x < y < z$.

Proof. Let us assume that (2.1) holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let us consider $x, y, z \in I$ such that $x < y < z$. Let $\lambda = \frac{z-y}{z-x}$. Then $0 < \lambda < 1$, $1 - \lambda = \frac{y-x}{z-x}$, and $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z$. Thus, applying the inequality (2.1) with z in place of y , we obtain (2.2).

Conversely, suppose that f satisfies (2.2) for all $x, y, z \in I$ fulfilling $x < y < z$, and let $0 < \lambda < 1$, $x, z \in I$ such that $x < z$. Introducing $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z$, we obtain $x < y < z$ and all the above listed expressions for λ and $1 - \lambda$. Therefore (2.2) yields (2.1) with z in place of y . In other words, (2.1) is verified if $x < y$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since λ can be replaced with $1 - \lambda$ (as both are between 0 and 1), the inequality (2.1) is symmetric with respect to x and y . So we obtained (2.1) from (2.2) for $x \neq y$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. In the remaining cases (i.e., when $x = y$ or $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$) (2.1) obviously holds with equality.

The proof of the following lemma consists of straightforward calculations, so it is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.2. *Let us suppose that $x, y, z \in I$ satisfy $x < y < z$. Then (2.2) is equivalent to each of the following three inequalities:*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} + c \left(\frac{z - y}{z - x} \right)^p (y - x)^{p-1},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} - c \left(\frac{y - x}{z - x} \right)^p (z - y)^{p-1} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} + c \left(\frac{(z - y)(y - x)}{z - x} \right)^{p-1}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $c \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, f satisfies (2.1). Then, for every $a \in I$, there exist the limits*

$$f'_-(a) := \lim_{s \rightarrow a-} \frac{f(s) - f(a)}{s - a} = \sup \left\{ \frac{f(s) - f(a)}{s - a} : a > s \in I \right\} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \\ f'_+(a) := \lim_{t \rightarrow a+} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} = \inf \left\{ \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} : a < t \in I \right\} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, $f'_-(a) \leq f'_+(a)$.

Proof. First we show that $f'_+(a)$ exists, it is real and it coincides with the greatest lower bound of the given set of difference ratios. Let $s, t \in I$ such that $s < a < t$. Then from (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} &\geq \frac{f(a) - f(s)}{a - s} - c \left(\frac{(t - a)(a - s)}{t - s} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\geq \frac{f(a) - f(s)}{a - s} - c(a - s)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set

$$S_a^+ = \left\{ \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} \mid t \in I, a < t \right\}$$

is bounded below, therefore

$$\varphi(a) := \inf S_a^+ \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Since $\lim_{d \rightarrow 0+} cd^{p-1} = 0$, it follows that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $c \cdot \delta_0^{p-1} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$. Moreover, there exists $u \in I$ such that $u > a$ and $\frac{f(u) - f(a)}{u - a} < \varphi(a) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$. Let $\delta = \min \{\delta_0, u - a\}$. Obviously, $\delta > 0$. If $a < t < a + \delta$, then $a + \delta \leq a + (u - a) = u$ and from (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) &\leq \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(a)}{u - a} + c \left(\frac{u - t}{u - a} \right)^p (t - a)^{p-1} \\ &< \varphi(a) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + c\delta_0^{p-1} < \varphi(a) + \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\varphi(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a+} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} = f'_+(a)$.

We can apply an analogous argument, based on the inequalities (2.5) and (2.4), to show that $f'_-(a)$ exists, it is real and it coincides with the least upper bound of the given set of difference ratios.

In order to verify the inequality $f'_-(a) \leq f'_+(a)$, let us consider $x, z \in I$ such that $x < a < z$. Writing a in the place of y in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(a) - f(x)}{a - x} &\leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c \left[\frac{(z - a)(a - x)}{z - x} \right]^{p-1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c \left[\frac{(z - a)(z - x)}{z - x} \right]^{p-1} = \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$f'_-(a) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a-} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a-} \frac{f(a) - f(x)}{a - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1},$$

and thus

$$f'_-(a) \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow a+} \left(\frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1} \right) = f'_+(a). \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $c \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f satisfies (2.1) for every $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then f satisfies (2.1) with $c = 0$ as well, so f is convex.*

Proof. Suppose that $x, y, z \in I$ satisfy $x < y < z$. According to Theorem 2.3, we have the inequalities

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y) \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}.$$

Therefore inequality (2.5) is satisfied with $c = 0$ as well. Thus inequalities (2.2) and (2.1) are also valid with $c = 0$. Hence, f is convex by definition. $\blacksquare$

Remark 2.1. Let us consider the example $f(x) = -\frac{c}{4}x^2$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$), which was mentioned in the introduction as well. Clearly, f is continuous, bounded above, and it fulfils (2.1) with $p = 2$ for $\lambda = 1/2$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. However, it is not convex (when $c > 0$), hence, due to Theorem 2.4, it cannot satisfy (2.1) with $p = 2$ (and any constant in place of c) for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Therefore the Bernstein–Doetsch theorem cannot be extended to this type of approximately convex functions.

2.2. Approximate convexity in normed spaces

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ denote a linear normed space, $D \subset X$ be open and convex, $c \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and let us suppose that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|)^p$$

for every $x, y \in D$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then f is convex.

Proof. Fix $x, y \in X$ and let $u = \frac{x+y}{2}$, $w = \frac{y-x}{2}$. Note that $u - w = x \in D$ and $u + w = y \in D$, hence there exists an open interval I such that $\pm 1 \in I$ and $u + sw \in D$ for all $s \in I$. Let

$$g(s) = f(u + sw) \quad (s \in I).$$

Then, for every $s, t \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t) &= f(\lambda(u + sw) + (1 - \lambda)(u + tw)) \leq \\ &\leq \lambda f(u + sw) + (1 - \lambda)f(u + tw) + \\ &\quad + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|(u + sw) - (u + tw)\|)^p = \\ &= \lambda g(s) + (1 - \lambda)g(t) + c\|w\|^p(\lambda(1 - \lambda)|s - t|)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus g satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 (with the constant $c\|w\|^p$ in place of c), hence it is convex. In particular, we have, for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= g(\lambda(-1) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot 1) \\ &\leq \lambda g(-1) + (1 - \lambda)g(1) = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

As x and y were arbitrarily fixed, this completes the proof. ■

References

- [1] **Bernstein, F. and G. Doetsch**, Zur Theorie der konvexen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **76**/4 (1915), 514–526.
- [2] **Casini, E. and P.L. Papini**, A counterexample to the infinity version of the Hyers-Ulam stability theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 885–890.
- [3] **Green, J.W.**, Approximately convex functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **19** (1952), 499–504.
- [4] **Házy, A. and Zs. Páles**, On approximately midconvex functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **36**/3 (2004), 339–350.
- [5] **Hiriart-Urruty, J.-B. and C. Lemaréchal**, *Fundamentals of Convex Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [6] **Hyers, D.H. and S.M. Ulam**, Approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1952), 821–828.
- [7] **Laczkovich, M.**, The local stability of convexity, affinity and of the Jensen equation, *Aequationes Math.*, **58**/1-2 (1999), 135–142.
- [8] **Luc, D.T., H.V. Ngai and M. Théra**, Approximate convex functions, *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.*, **1** (2000), 155–176.
- [9] **Makó, J. and Zs. Páles**, On φ -convexity, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **80**/1-2 (2012), 107–126.

- [10] **Merentes, N. and K. Nikodem**, Remarks on strongly convex functions, *Aequationes Math.*, **80**/1-2 (2010), 193–199.
- [11] **Ng, C.T. and K. Nikodem**, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118**/1 (1993), 103–108.
- [12] **Páles, Zs.**, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131**/1 (2003), 243–252.
- [13] **Rolewicz, S.**, On γ -paraconvex multifunctions, *Math. Japonica*, **24** (1979), 293–300.
- [14] **Rolewicz, S.**, On $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex multifunctions, *Control Cybernet.*, **29** (2000), 367–377.
- [15] **Tabor, Jacek and Józef Tabor**, Generalized approximate midconvexity, *Control Cybernet.*, **38**/3 (2009), 655–669.

Z. Boros

Institute of Mathematics

University of Debrecen

Debrecen

Hungary

`zboros@science.unideb.hu`

N. Nagy

Tomori Pál College

Budapest

Hungary

`nagy.noemi@tpfk.hu`