# ON TRANSLATIONS IN HYPERBOLIC GEOMETRY OF ARBITRARY (FINITE OR INFINITE) DIMENSION > 1

Walter Benz (Hamburg, Germany)

Dedicated to Professors Zoltán Daróczy and Imre Kátai on their 75th birthday, in friendship

Communicated by Antal Járai

(Received March 01, 2013; accepted June 10, 2013)

Abstract. Hyperbolic geometry of the plane was discovered by J. Bolyai (1802–1860), C.F. Gauß (1777–1855), and N. Lobachevski (1793–1856). – In our book [3] we associate to every real vector space X of finite or infinite dimension > 1, and equipped with a fixed inner product  $\delta : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ , a hyperbolic geometry such that  $(X, \delta), (X', \delta')$  are isomorphic if, and only if, the associated hyperbolic geometries are isomorphic. – In this paper we present a common treatment of translations in euclidean and hyperbolic geometry of arbitrary (finite or infinite) dimension greater than one.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $X = (X, \delta)$  be a real inner product space of arbitrary (finite or infinite) dimension greater than one. Here  $\delta : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  designates a fixed *real inner product* of X. The main result of chapter 1 of our book [3], namely Theorem 7, p. 21, is a common characterization of euclidean and hyperbolic geometry over X: let T be a separable translation group of X with axis  $e \in X$  (see sections 7, 8

*Key words and phrases*: Hyperbolic geometry of arbitrary dimensional real inner product spaces, hyperbolic translations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39 B 22, 39 72, 51 M 10. https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.40.135 of chapter 1 of [3]) and let d be a function, not identically zero, from  $X \times X$  into the set  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  of all non-negative real numbers, satisfying  $d(x, y) = d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ and, moreover,  $d(\beta e, 0) = d(0, \beta e) = d(0, \alpha e) + d(\alpha e, \beta e)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , all  $\varphi \in T \cup O(X)$  where O(X) is the group of orthogonal bijections of X, and for all real  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ . Then, up to isomorphism, there exist exactly two geometries with distance function d in question, namely the euclidean or the hyperbolic geometry over X. The methods of the proof of Theorem 7 in question are based on the solution of special real functional equations (see J. Aczél [1], J. Aczél and J. Dhombres [2], Z. Daróczy [8], [9], M. Kuczma [10], and others).

### 2. The metric spaces (X, eucl) and (X, hyp)

Let X be a real inner product space of (finite or infinite) dimension greater than one. The metric space (X, eucl) consists of X as the set of *points* and of the *distance function* 

(1) 
$$\operatorname{eucl}(x,y) := ||x-y|| := \sqrt{(x-y)^2}$$

for  $x, y \in X$ . The metric space (X, hyp) is defined by the set X of *points* and by means of hyp  $(x, y) \ge 0$  for  $x, y \in X$  and

(2) 
$$\cosh hyp(x, y) := \sqrt{1 + x^2} \sqrt{1 + y^2} - xy.$$

A set  $S\neq \emptyset$  together with a mapping  $d:S\times S\to \mathbb{R}$  is called a  $metric\ space\ (S,d)$  provided

- (i) d(x, y) = 0 if, and only if, x = y,
- (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x),
- (iii)  $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$

hold true for all  $x, y, z \in S$ .

Observe  $d(x, y) \ge 0$  for all  $x, y \in S$ , since (i), (ii), (iii) imply

$$0 = d(x, x) \le d(x, y) + d(y, x) = 2d(x, y).$$

Suppose that (S, d) is a metric space and that  $c \in S$  and  $\rho \ge 0$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

(3) 
$$B(c,\varrho) := \{x \in S \mid d(c,x) = \varrho\}$$

is the *ball* with *center* c and *radius*  $\rho$ . Observe  $B(c, 0) = \{c\}$ . If a, b are distinct elements of S, then

(4) 
$$g(a,b) := \{x \in S \mid B(a,d(a,x)) \cap B(b,d(b,x)) = \{x\}\}$$

will be called a g-line (see [3]) of (S, d), and

(5) 
$$\{x \in S \mid d(a, x) = d(b, x)\}$$

a hyperplane. Observe g(a, b) = g(b, a) for  $a \neq b$ .

The lines of (X, eucl), (X, hyp) are given by the sets

(6) 
$$\{p + \xi q \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
 with  $p, q \in X$  such that  $q \neq 0$ ,

(7) 
$$\{pC_{\xi} + qS_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
 with  $p, q \in X, pq = 0, q^2 = 1$ ,

respectively, where we wrote  $\cosh \xi =: C_{\xi}$  and  $\sinh \xi =: S_{\xi}$ . The hyperplanes of (X, eucl), (X, hyp) are given by the sets

(8) 
$$\{x \in X \mid ax = \alpha\} \text{ with } a \in X \setminus \{0\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

(9) 
$$\{\gamma pC_{\xi} + yS_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}, y \in p^{\perp}, y^2 = 1\}$$
 with  $p \in X, p^2 = 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$ 

respectively (see [3]).

Let now (X, d) be one of the metric spaces (X, eucl) or (X, hyp) where  $X = (X, \delta)$  is an arbitrary (finite or infinite) dimensional real vector space, dim X > 1, with a fixed real inner product  $\delta$ . Observe that there exist infinite dimensional real vector spaces X with real inner products  $\delta, \delta'$  such that  $(X, \delta) \ncong (X, \delta')$ .

A bijection f of X is called a *motion* of (X, d) (see [3], p. 76) provided, i.e. if, and only if,

$$d(x,y) = d(f(x), f(y))$$

holds true for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The following statement is important.

**Proposition 1.** Motions of (X, d) map g-lines onto g-lines.

**Proof.** a) If f is a motion of (X, d), then  $f^{-1}$  as well, since

$$d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)) = d(f(f^{-1}(x)), f(f^{-1}(y)))$$

for all  $f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y) \in X$ , i.e. for all  $x, y \in X$ .

b) From (3) we get for a motion f of (X, d),

$$f(B(c,\varrho)) = \{f(x) \in X \mid d(c,x) = \varrho\} = \{f(x) \in X \mid d(f(c), f(x)) = \varrho\},\$$

i.e.

$$f(B(c,\varrho)) = \{y \in X \mid d(f(c), y) = \varrho\} = B(f(c), \varrho).$$

c) f(g(a,b)) (see (4)) consists of all  $f(x) \in X$  satisfying

$$B(a, d(a, x)) \cap B(b, d(b, x)) = \{x\}.$$

This last equation is equivalent with

$$B\Big(f(a), d\big(f(a), f(x)\big)\Big) \cap B\Big(f(b), d\big(f(b), f(x)\big)\Big) = \{f(x)\}.$$

Put f(a) =: p, f(b) =: q. Hence

$$f(g(a,b)) = \{y \in X \mid B(p,d(p,y)) \cap B(q,d(q,y)) = \{y\}\} = g(p,q).$$

**Remark.** If we define the g-lines of (X, d) equivalently as lines of L.M. Blumenthal (see [3], section 2.2), Proposition 1 can be derived as shown on p. 42, [3], along the rows before Proposition 5.

# 3. Translations of $(X, d), d \in \{\text{eucl, hyp}\}$

Let  $e \in X$  be given with  $e^2 = 1$ . Put  $H := e^{\perp}$ , i.e.  $H = \{x \in X \mid xe = 0\}$ , and  $\varrho : H \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by means of

(10) 
$$\varrho(h,\lambda) = \sinh \lambda \cdot \sqrt{1 + h^2} \text{ for } d = \text{hyp},$$

(11) 
$$\varrho(h,\lambda) = \lambda \text{ for } d = \text{eucl},$$

and all  $(h, \lambda) \in H \times \mathbb{R}$ , according to section 1.7, [3]. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the translation  $T_t^e : X \to X$  of (X, d) with axis e,

(12) 
$$T_t^e(h+\varrho(h,\tau)e) = h+\varrho(h,\tau+t)e,$$

by observing that to  $x \in X$  there exist uniquely determined  $\overline{x} \in H$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with  $x = \overline{x} + x_0 e$ , namely  $xe = (\overline{x} + x_0 e)e = x_0$  and  $\overline{x} = x - x_0 e$ , and by defining  $h \in H$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $x \in X$  by means of  $x =: h + \rho(h, \tau)e$ , i.e. by  $h = \overline{x}$  and  $\rho(h, \tau) = x_0$ . In other words: with

$$x = \overline{x} + x_0 e = \overline{x} + \varrho(\overline{x}, \tau)e = \overline{x} + \sinh \tau \cdot \sqrt{1 + \overline{x}^2}e$$

the translation (12) reads as

(13) 
$$T_t^e(\overline{x} + \sinh \tau \cdot \sqrt{1 + \overline{x}^2}e) = \overline{x} + \sinh(\tau + t)\sqrt{1 + \overline{x}^2}e$$

for d = hyp and with

$$x = \overline{x} + x_0 e = \overline{x} + \varrho(\overline{x}, \tau)e = \overline{x} + \tau e$$

as

$$T_t^e(\overline{x} + \tau e) = \overline{x} + (\tau + t)e$$
, i.e.

(14) 
$$T_t^e(x) = x + te_s$$

for d = eucl.

**Remark.**  $H = e^{\perp}$  is the euclidean hyperplane (8),

 $\{x \in X \mid ex = 0\},\$ 

in case d = eucl, and the hyperbolic hyperplane (9),

$$\{0 \cdot e \cdot C_{\xi} + yS_{\xi} \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}, y \in e^{\perp}, y^2 = 1\},\$$

for d = hyp.

**Remark.** Observe that the functions  $\rho : H \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  in (10), (11) are characterized by Theorem 7 ([3], p. 21) as kernels of suitable translation groups  $\{T_t^e \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  leading to hyperbolic, euclidean geometry, respectively.

According to our definition of the translation  $T_t^e: X \to X$  we defined here the set of all translations of (X, d) by

$$TL(X,d) = \left\{ T_t^e \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } e \in X \text{ with } e^2 = 1 \right\}$$

with

$$T_t^e(x = h + \varrho(h, \tau)e) = h + \varrho(h, \tau + t)e$$

for all  $x \in X$ , i.e. for all  $h \in H = e^{\perp}$  and all  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also have

$$T_t^e(x) = x + [(xe)(\cosh t - 1) + \sqrt{1 + x^2} \sinh t]e$$

(see (1.8) of section 1.7, [3]) in the case d = hyp.

**Remark.** In [7] we define as hyperbolic translation of X besides  $\mu = \text{id every}$  hyperbolic motion  $\mu \neq \text{id of } X$  with the existence of an element  $a \neq 0$  in X such that  $0 \neq \mu(x) - x \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$  holds true for all  $x \in X$ : this, especially, means that there is no  $x \in X$  with  $\mu(x) = x$ . For the case dim X = 2 compare the book [4] (here p. 163, or even section 3.4) concerning hyperbolic translations and hyperbolische Schubspiegelungen. In comparison with the planar case, observe, that to every  $T_{t}^{j}, t \in \mathbb{R}, j \in X$  with  $j^{2} = 1$ , there exists a hyperbolic line g remaining fixed, in its entirety, under  $T_{t}^{j}$ . In fact, take the hyperbolic line

$$g = \{p \cdot \cosh \xi + q \sinh \xi \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}, p = 0 \text{ and } q = j.$$

Now

$$T_t^j(j\sinh\xi) = T_t^j(0 + \sinh\xi \cdot \sqrt{1 + 0^2}j) = 0 + \sinh(\xi + t)j$$

implies  $T_t^j(g) = g$ .

**Theorem 2.** Take a fixed element  $e \in X$  with  $e^2 = 1$ . Then

(15) 
$$TL(X,d) = \{ \alpha T_t^e \alpha^{-1} \mid \alpha \in O(X) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

**Proof.** Given  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $j \in X$  with  $j^2 = 1$ . According to step A of the proof of Theorem 7 ([3], section 1.11) there exists  $\gamma \in O(X)$  with  $\gamma(j) = e$ . Put  $\gamma^{-1} =: \alpha$ . For all  $x = h + \varrho(h, \tau)e$  with  $h := \overline{x}$  we would like to prove

(16) 
$$L := \alpha T_t^e(x) = T_t^j \alpha(x) =: R.$$

Obviously,  $\alpha(h) \cdot j = \alpha(h)\alpha(e) = he = 0$ , and

$$L = \alpha (h + \varrho(h, \tau + t)e) = \alpha(h) + \varrho(h, \tau + t)j.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha(h) \in j^{\perp}$ ,

$$R = T_t^j \alpha(x) = T_t^j \big( \alpha(h) + \varrho(h, \tau)j \big),$$

$$\varrho(h,\tau) = \sqrt{1+h\cdot h} \sinh \tau = \sqrt{1+\alpha(h)\cdot \alpha(h)} \sinh \tau = \varrho(\alpha(h),\tau),$$

and  $\varrho(h, \tau + t) = \varrho(\alpha(h), \tau + t)$  as well. Hence

$$L = \alpha(h) + \varrho(\alpha(h), \tau + t)j = T_t^j \Big(\alpha(h) + \varrho(\alpha(h), \tau)j\Big),$$

and  $R = T_t^j \left( \alpha(h) + \rho(\alpha(h), \tau) j \right) = L$ , i.e. we obtain

$$\alpha T_t^e \alpha^{-1} = T_t^j$$

and (16) for d = hyp. In the case d = eucl, of course, the proof of  $\varrho(h, \tau) = \varrho(\alpha(h), \tau)$  is trivial. – The remaining question is whether every  $\alpha T_t^e \alpha^{-1}$ 

must be a translation of (X, d) in the case  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in O(X)$ ? Now given  $\alpha T_t^e \alpha^{-1}$ , put  $\alpha(e) =: j$  and consider  $T_t^j$ . Observe

$$\alpha T_t^e \left( x = h + \varrho(h, \tau) e \right) = \alpha \left( h + \varrho(h, \tau + t) e \right) = \alpha(h) + \varrho(h, \tau + t) j,$$
$$T_t^j \alpha \left( x = h + \varrho(h, \tau) e \right) = T_t^j \left( \alpha(h) + \varrho(h, \tau) j \right)$$

together with  $\rho(h,\tau) = \sinh \tau \cdot \sqrt{1+h^2} = \sinh \tau \sqrt{1+[\alpha(h)]^2}$ , i.e.  $\rho(h,\tau) = \rho(\alpha(h),\tau)$  for d = hyp. Hence

$$T_t^j \alpha(x) = T_t^j \Big( \alpha(h) + \varrho \big( \alpha(h), \tau \big) j \Big) = \alpha(h) + \varrho \big( \alpha(h), \tau + t \big) j,$$

i.e.  $\alpha T_t^e(x) = \alpha(h) + \varrho(h, \tau + t)j = T_t^j \alpha(x)$ . Thus

$$\alpha T_t^e(x) = T_t^j \alpha(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $\alpha T_t^e \alpha^{-1}$  is the translation  $T_t^j$ .

#### References

- Aczél, J., Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications, Academic Press, New York, London, 1966.
- [2] Aczél, J. and J. Dhombres, Functional Equations in Several Variables, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, New York, 1989.
- [3] Benz, W., Classical Geometries in Modern Contexts. Geometry of Real Inner Product Spaces, Birkhäuser Publ. Comp., Basel, Boston, Berlin. First edition, 2005, second (enlarged) edition, 2007, third (enlarged) edition, 2012.
- [4] Benz, W., Ebene Geometrie, Einführung in Theorie und Anwendungen, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin, Oxford, 1997.
- [5] Benz, W., A common characterization of Euclidean and hyperbolic geometry by functional equations, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **63** (2003), 495–510.
- [6] Benz, W., Translation equation and some new geometries, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 52 (1998), 299–308.
- [7] Benz, W., A representation of hyperbolic motions including the infinitedimensional case, *Results Math.*, 59 (2011), 209–212.
- [8] Daróczy, Z., Über die stetigen Lösungen der Aczél-Benz'schen Funktionalgleichung, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 50 (1980), 210–218.

- [9] Daróczy, Z., Elementare Lösung einer mehrere unbekannte Funktionen enthaltenden Funktionalgleichung, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 8 (1961), 160– 168.
- [10] Kuczma, M., An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality, Uniw. Slask– P.W.N., Warszawa, 1985.

# W. Benz

Department of Mathematics University of Hamburg Bundesstr. 55 20146 Hamburg Germany wbenz@mac.com