

# ON TRANSFORMATIONS BY DYADIC MARTINGALE STRUCTURE PRESERVING FUNCTIONS

**Ilona Simon** (Pécs, Hungary)

*Dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor Karl-Heinz Indlekofer*

Communicated by Ferenc Schipp

(Received November 14, 2012; accepted December 14, 2012)

**Abstract.** The concept of dyadic martingal structure preserving functions is defined. We show that composition with such functions preserves the classes of UDMD systems, that of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable functions, the dyadic function spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{I})$ ,  $H^p(\mathbb{I})$ , and the Lipschitz classes  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{I})$ .

## 1. Introduction

Numerous results were published in the last century about the effect of the composition with a Blaschke function on the convergence of the power series of regular functions in a boundary point of the disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . First, Turán [11] showed, that to any  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $0 < |\zeta| < 1$ ) there is a complex function  $f_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , regular in  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , with convergent power-series for  $z = 1$ , but the power series of  $f_2(z) := f_1(B_{\zeta}(z)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  diverges at the corresponding point  $z = B_{\zeta}^{-1}(1)$ .  $B_{\zeta}(z)$  denotes the Blaschke

---

*Key words and phrases:* p-adic theory, local fields,  $L^p$ -spaces,  $H^p$ -theory, product systems, martingales.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11F85, 43A15, 43A17, 60G48.

Research supported by project TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0051.

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.39.381>

function with parameter  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $B_\zeta(z) = \frac{z-\zeta}{1-\bar{\zeta}z}$  ( $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ). After several results due to Clunie, Schwarz, Halász, Alpár and others, Indlekofer [3] constructed a function  $f$ , which is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , its power-series converges for  $z = 1$ , but the power series of  $f^*(z) := f(B_\zeta(z)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  diverges at the corresponding point  $z = B_\zeta^{-1}(1)$ , moreover  $\omega(f, h) = O\left((\log \frac{2\pi}{h})^{-1}\right)$  as  $h \searrow 0$  holds for the modulus of continuity. He solved hereby the primal conjecture of Turán.

In this paper we consider questions related to the effect of the transformation by composition with a Blaschke function and in general of a dyadic martingal structure preserving function (DMSP-function) on the class of UDMD-systems and on dyadic function classes  $L^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ),  $H^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ),  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{I})$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ).

Denote by  $\mathbb{A} := \{0, 1\}$  the set of bits and by

$$\mathbb{B} := \{a = (a_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}) \mid a_j \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} a_j = 0\}$$

the set of bytes. The order of a byte  $x \in \mathbb{B}$  is defined in the following way: For  $x \neq \theta := (0, 0, \dots)$  let  $\pi(x) = n$  if and only if  $x_n = 1$  and  $x_j = 0$  for all  $j < n$ . Set  $\pi(\theta) := +\infty$ . The norm of a byte  $x$  is defined by  $\|x\| := 2^{-\pi(x)}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{\theta\}$ , and  $\|\theta\| := 0$ . By an interval in  $\mathbb{B}$  of rank  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and center  $a \in \mathbb{B}$  we mean the set of the form  $I_n(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{B} : x_j = a_j \text{ for } j < n\}$ . Set  $\mathbb{I}_n := I_n(\theta) = \{x \in \mathbb{B} : \|x\| \leq 2^{-n}\}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The *unit ball* is  $\mathbb{I} := \mathbb{I}_0$ . Furthermore  $\mathbb{S} := \{x \in \mathbb{B} : \|x\| = 1\} = \{x \in \mathbb{B} : \pi(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{I} : x_0 = 1\}$  is the unit sphere.

Consider the *Rademacher system*  $(r_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ , where  $r_n(x) := (-1)^{x_n}$  ( $x \in \mathbb{I}$ ), and the *Walsh-Paley functions*:

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(x)^{k_n} = (-1)^{\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} k_j x_j} \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}),$$

with dyadic expansion  $k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k_j 2^j \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $k_j \in \mathbb{A}$ ). Set  $\varepsilon(t) := \exp(2\pi i t)$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). We shall use the product system  $(v_m, m \in \mathbb{N})$  generated by the functions

$$v_{2^n}(x) := \varepsilon\left(\frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{x_{n-1}}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_0}{2^{n+1}}\right) \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then  $v_m(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (v_{2^j}(x))^{m_j}$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We will use the notation  $\circ$  for composition of functions.

A *metric* is defined on  $\mathbb{B}$  as follows:

$$\rho(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y \\ 2^{-n}, & \text{if } x \neq y, \quad n := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : x_k \neq y_k\}. \end{cases}$$

A *measure* can be defined on  $\mathbb{B}$  in the following way:

$$(1) \quad \mu(I_n(a)) := 2^{-n} \quad (a \in \mathbb{B}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Extend  $\mu$  to the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  of sets formed by finite unions of intervals so that  $\mu$  is finitely additive. Then,  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{R}$ . By the Caratheodory extension theorem follows, that there is a measure (denoted also by  $\mu$ ) defined on the  $\sigma$ -ring of Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  which satisfies (1).

The concept of *UDMD systems* is due to Schipp [5]. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the intervals  $I_n(a)$  ( $a \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).  $\mathbb{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the restriction of the measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{I}$  form a probability measure space  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by the intervals  $I_n(a)$  ( $a \in \mathbb{I}$ ). Let  $L(\mathcal{A}_n)$  denote the set of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable functions on  $\mathbb{I}$ . The *conditional expectation* of an  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is of the form

$$(\mathcal{E}_n f)(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \int_{I_n(x)} f d\mu \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}).$$

A sequence of functions  $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is called a *dyadic martingale* if each  $f_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{E}_n f_{n+1} = f_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The *sequence of martingale differences* of  $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is the sequence  $\phi_n := f_{n+1} - f_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The martingale difference sequence  $(\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is called a *unitary dyadic martingale difference sequence* or a *UDMD sequence*, if  $|\phi_n(x)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). According to Schipp [5],  $(\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a UDMD sequence if and only if

$$(2) \quad \phi_n = r_n g_n, \quad g_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n), \quad |g_n| = 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The *dyadic maximal operator* and for  $0 < p < \infty$  the  $H_p$  norm is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^*(f) &:= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{E}_n f| \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})) \\ \|f\|_{H^p} &:= \|\mathcal{E}^* f\|_p \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. The effect of transformations by a DMSP-function

**Definition 1.** We call a function  $B : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  a *dyadic martingale structure preserving function* or shortly a *DMSP-function* if it is generated by a system of bijections  $(\psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ ,  $\psi_n : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ , and an arbitrary system  $(\varphi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ ,  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  in the following way:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} (B(x))_0 &:= \psi_0(x_0), \\ (B(x))_n &:= \psi_n(x_n) + \varphi_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \pmod{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.** For each generating systems  $(\psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $(\varphi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ ,  $B$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{I}$  and its inverse function,  $B^{-1}$  is also a DMSP-function.

The question, which function systems can be transformed by composition with a DMSP-function into a UDMD system, has a simple answer: exactly the UDMD systems.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $B : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  be a DMSP-function. Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

- (4) a)  $r_n \circ B = r_n \cdot h_n$  with some  $h_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ ,  $|h_n| = 1$ ,  
 b)  $L(\mathcal{A}_n)$  is invariant with respect to the composition with a DMSP function.

**Proof.** a) By the definition of  $y = B(x)$  we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} r_n(B(x)) &= (-1)^{y_n} = (-1)^{\psi_n(x_n)} (-1)^{\varphi_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})} = \\ &= r_n(x) (-1)^{\psi_n(0)} (-1)^{\varphi_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})} = r_n(x) h_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $h_n(x) := (-1)^{\psi_n(0)} (-1)^{\varphi_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})} \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$  and  $|h_n| = 1$ .

b) The statement is a simple consequence of the definitions. ■

**Theorem 1.** Let  $B : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  be a DMSP-function. The function system  $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a UDMD system on  $\mathbb{I}$ , if and only if  $(f_n \circ B, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a UDMD system on  $\mathbb{I}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a DMSP-function. If  $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a UDMD system, then by (2) there are functions  $g_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$  with  $|g_n| = 1$  such that  $f_n(x) = r_n(x)g_n(x)$  ( $x \in \mathbb{I}$ ). It follows  $r_n(B(x)) = r_n(x)h_n(x)$  for some  $h_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ ,  $|h_n| = 1$ . Since  $g_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$  we have by Lemma 1, that  $g_n \circ B \in L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(g_n \circ B) &\in L(\mathcal{A}_n), \quad |h_n(g_n \circ B)| = 1, \quad \text{and} \\ f_n(B(x)) &= r_n(B(x))g_n(B(x)) = r_n(x) \underbrace{h_n(x)g_n(B(x))}_{\in L(\mathcal{A}_n)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(f_n \circ B, n \in \mathbb{N})$  fulfills the requirements of a UDMD system formulated in (2).

Since the inverse of a DMSP-function is also a DMSP-function, it follows that if for any given system  $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  the system  $(g_n := f_n \circ B, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a UDMD system, then the original one  $(f_n = g_n \circ B^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is also a UDMD system. ■

### Remarks

1. As the Walsh-Paley functions  $w_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and the functions  $v_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are UDMD-product systems on  $\mathbb{I}$ , their composition with a DMSP-function result a UDMD-product system. For a precise statement see Remark 3.

2. Gát [1], [2] constructed a generalisation of the UDMD-systems, the so called Vilenkin-like systems on a more general space  $G_m$ . Extending the definition of the DMSP-functions to  $G_m$ , similar statement holds which is a consequence of Lemma 1, b) and Remark 3.

3. Schipp [7], [8] defined a general concept of systems, the adapted conditionally orthonormal systems or AC-ONS with respect to a regular sequence of weights. An AC-ONS on  $\mathbb{I}$  is transformed by composition with a DMSP-function into an AC-ONS, which is a consequence of Lemma 1, b) and (11).

4. As UDMD-systems are taken into UDMD-systems by a DMSP-transformation, it follows by [4] that a.e. convergence and  $(C, 1)$ -summation of functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})$  are also preserved by this kind of transformation.

We will show that the function classes  $L^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) and  $H^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) are invariant under the composition with a DMSP-function.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $B : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  be a DMSP-function and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$(6) \quad B(I_n(x)) = I_n(B(x)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}).$$

**Proof.** If  $t \in I_n(x)$ , then  $t_0 = x_0, t_1 = x_1, \dots, t_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$ . For  $k < n$  we have  $\psi_k(t_k) + \varphi_k(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) = \psi_k(x_k) + \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ , that is,  $(B(t))_k = (B(x))_k$  ( $k < n$ ). Thus  $B(t) \in I_n(B(x))$  ( $t \in I_n(x)$ ), so

$$(7) \quad B(I_n(x)) \subseteq I_n(B(x)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}).$$

In particular (7) holds for the DMSP-function  $B^{-1}$  and  $x = B(y)$ . Thus by

$$B^{-1}(I_n(B(y))) \subseteq I_n(y) \quad (y \in \mathbb{I})$$

follows  $I_n(B(y)) \subseteq B(I_n(y))$  ( $y \in \mathbb{I}$ ), which completes the proof together with (7). ■

From (6) follows that  $\mu(B(I_n(x))) = \mu(I_n(B(x))) = 2^{-n} = \mu(I_n(x))$ , so  $\mu(B(E)) = \mu(E)$  holds for each  $E \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Thus

$$(8) \quad \mu(B(E)) = \mu(E) \quad (E \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Consequently,  $B : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is measure preserving, i.e.

$$(9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{I}} f \circ B d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{I}} f d\mu \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{I})).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Composition with a DMSP-function preserves  $L^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) and the dyadic Hardy space  $H^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ). Moreover,*

$$(10) \quad \|f \circ B\|_p = \|f\|_p \quad (0 < p \leq \infty), \quad \|f \circ B\|_{H^p} = \|f\|_{H^p} \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

**Proof.** For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{I})$ , we have by (9) that  $\|f \circ B\|_p = \|f\|_p < \infty$ . Hence  $f \circ B \in L^p(\mathbb{I})$ .

If  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{I})$ , then for  $M := \|f\|_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{I}$ . By (8) follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{I} : |(f \circ B)(x)| > M\}) &= \mu(\{B(x) \in \mathbb{I} : |f(B(x))| > M\}) = \\ &= \mu(\{y \in \mathbb{I} : |f(y)| > M\}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f \circ B \in L^\infty(\mathbb{I})$  and  $\|f \circ B\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ . As this holds also for DMSP function  $B^{-1}$  instead of  $B$  and  $f \circ B$  instead of  $f$ , the first equality in (10) follows.

For  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{I})$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) we have by definition that  $\|\mathcal{E}^* f\|_p < \infty$ . By (6) follows that  $1_{I_n(x)}(t) = 1_{I_n(B(x))}(B(t))$  ( $t \in \mathbb{I}$ ). Hence by (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n(f \circ B)(x) &= \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \int_{I_n(x)} f(B(t)) d\mu(t) = \\ &= 2^n \int_{\mathbb{I}} f(B(t)) \cdot 1_{I_n(x)}(t) d\mu(t) = \\ (11) \quad &= 2^n \int_{\mathbb{I}} f(B(t)) \cdot 1_{I_n(B(x))}(B(t)) d\mu(t) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(B(x)))} \int_{I_n(B(x))} f(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_n(f)(B(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{E}^*(f \circ B) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{E}_n(f \circ B)| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(\mathcal{E}_n f) \circ B| = (\mathcal{E}^* f) \circ B.$$

Then by the first equality in (10) we have

$$\|\mathcal{E}^*(f \circ B)\|_p = \|(\mathcal{E}^* f) \circ B\|_p = \|\mathcal{E}^* f\|_p < \infty.$$

Consequently,  $f \circ B \in H^p(\mathbb{I})$  and  $\|f \circ B\|_{H^p} = \|f\|_{H^p}$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ). ■

**Remark.** From (10) and (11) follows that

$$\|f \circ B\|_{BMO} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\mathcal{E}_n |f - \mathcal{E}_n f|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ B\|_{\infty} = \|f\|_{BMO}.$$

Thus the space of bounded dyadic mean oscillation BMO and the space of vanishing dyadic mean oscillation VMO are also preserved under composition with a DMSP-function. For more on these spaces see Schipp [5].

Recall, that for  $\alpha > 0$  the function class  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{B})$  denotes the collection of functions  $f : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfy

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq c \rho(x, y)^{\alpha} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{B})$$

for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  which depends only on  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Composition with a DMSP-function preserves  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{I})$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ).*

**Proof.** For  $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $x \neq y$  consider  $m := \min\{n : x_n \neq y_n\}$ . Then  $\rho(x, y) = 2^{-m}$  and  $m$  is the largest number in  $\mathbb{N}$  for which  $x \in I_m(y)$ . It follows from (6), that  $B(x) \in I_m(B(y))$  and  $m$  is the largest integer with this property. Thus

$$\rho(B(x), B(y)) = 2^{-m} = \rho(x, y) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{I}).$$

For  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{I})$  we have

$$|f(B(y)) - f(B(x))| \leq c \rho(B(x), B(y))^{\alpha} = c \rho(x, y)^{\alpha}$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $f \circ B \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, \mathbb{I})$ . ■

### 3. Examples of DMSP-functions

Consider the 2-series (or logical) field  $(\mathbb{B}, \overset{\circ}{+}, \circ)$  and the 2-adic (or arithmetical) field  $(\mathbb{B}, \overset{\bullet}{+}, \bullet)$ .

The 2-series (or logical) sum  $a \overset{\circ}{+} b$  and product  $a \circ b$  of elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{B}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a \overset{\circ}{+} b &:= (a_n + b_n \pmod{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ a \circ b &:= (c_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{where } c_n := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k b_{n-k} \pmod{2} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

The *2-adic (or arithmetical) sum*  $a \overset{\bullet}{+} b$  of elements  $a = (a_n, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $b = (b_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathbb{B}$  is defined by  $a \overset{\bullet}{+} b := (s_n, n \in \mathbb{Z})$  where the bits  $q_n, s_n \in \mathbb{A}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are obtained recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &= s_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n < m := \min\{\pi(a), \pi(b)\}, \\ \text{and } a_n + b_n + q_{n-1} &= 2q_n + s_n \quad \text{for } n \geq m. \end{aligned}$$

The *2-adic (or arithmetical) product* of  $a, b \in \mathbb{B}$  is  $a \bullet b := (p_n, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ , where the sequences  $q_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p_n \in \mathbb{A}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &= p_n = 0 \quad (n < m := \pi(a) + \pi(b)) \\ \text{and } \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j b_{n-j} + q_{n-1} &= 2q_n + p_n \quad (n \geq m). \end{aligned}$$

The *reflection*  $x^-$  of a byte  $x = (x_j, j \in \mathbb{Z})$  is defined by

$$(x^-)_j := \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{for } j \leq \pi(x) \\ 1 - x_j, & \text{for } j > \pi(x). \end{cases}$$

$e := (\delta_{n0}, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\delta_{nk}$  is the Kronecker-symbol. We will use the following notation:  $a \overset{\bullet}{-} b := a \overset{\bullet}{+} b^-$ .

1) The following functions are trivial DMSP-functions on  $(\mathbb{B}, \overset{\circ}{+}, \circ)$  and  $(\mathbb{B}, \overset{\bullet}{+}, \bullet)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &:= x \overset{\circ}{+} a, & B(x) &:= x \overset{\bullet}{+} a & (a \in \mathbb{I}), \\ B(x) &:= x \circ a, & B(x) &:= x \bullet a & (a \in \mathbb{S}), \\ B(x) &:= x, & B(x) &:= x^{-1} & (x \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

The last one follows from the recursive expansion of  $x^{-1}$  in [6] pp. 41–42.

2) If  $c_n \in \mathbb{I}$  satisfies  $\pi(c_n) = n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ), then the function

$$(12) \quad B(x) := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (e + c_j)^{x_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (e + x_j c_j)$$

can be obtained by a simple recursion. Therefore, it is a DMSP-function from  $\mathbb{I}_1$  to  $\mathbb{S}$ . See Schipp [6], pp 51–53. Its importance lies in the consequence, that the multiplicative digits of a given byte  $y \in \mathbb{S}$  with respect to a sequence  $(b_n = e + c_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ ,  $\pi(c_n) = n$  can be obtained from its additive digits.



3) The dyadic Blaschke functions, introduced by the author in [10] are also DMSP-functions:

For  $a \in \mathbb{I}_1$  the logical Blaschke function on  $(\mathbb{I}, \overset{\circ}{+}, \circ)$  is defined by

$$B_a(x) := (x \overset{\circ}{+} a) \circ (e \overset{\circ}{+} a \circ x)^{-1} = \frac{x \overset{\circ}{+} a}{e \overset{\circ}{+} a \circ x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}).$$

With  $y = B_a(x)$  we have  $y = x \overset{\circ}{+} a \overset{\circ}{+} y \circ a \circ x$ . So,

$$\begin{cases} y_n = 0, & \text{for } n < 0, \\ y_n = x_n + a_n + (y \circ a \circ x)_n \pmod{2}, & \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since the  $n$ -th digit of  $y \circ a \circ x$  depends only on  $a$  and  $x_k$ -s with  $k < n$ , we have that the logical Blaschke function is a DMSP-function.

For  $a \in \mathbb{I}_1$  the arithmetical Blaschke function on  $(\mathbb{I}, \overset{\bullet}{+}, \bullet)$  is defined by

$$B_a(x) := (x \overset{\bullet}{+} a) \bullet (e \overset{\bullet}{+} a \bullet x)^{-1} = \frac{x \overset{\bullet}{+} a}{e \overset{\bullet}{+} a \bullet x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}).$$

The same recursion method holds for the arithmetical Blaschke function, too. See Simon [10] or [9]. So, it is also a DMSP-function.

**Remark.** As the additive and multiplicative characters of  $\mathbb{I}$  on both fields can be obtained recursively, their compositions with a DMSP-function result in a UMDM-product system.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  let  $j := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2^k\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w_n \circ B &= w_n \cdot g_j \text{ with some } g_j \in L(\mathcal{A}_j), |g_j| = 1, \\ v_n \circ B &= v_n \cdot g_j \text{ with some } g_j \in L(\mathcal{A}_j), |g_j| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The statements hold obviously for  $n = j = 0$ , too.

**Proof.** We have  $n = \sum_{i=0}^j n_i 2^i$ . By (5) follows

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(B(x)) &= \prod_{i=0}^j r_i^{n_i}(B(x)) = \prod_{i=0}^j r_i^{n_i}(x) h_i^{n_i}(x) = \\ &= w_n(x) g_j(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_i \in L(\mathcal{A}_i)$  and  $|h_i| = 1$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, j\}$ ). Thus  $g_j := \prod_{i=0}^j h_i^{n_i} \in L(\mathcal{A}_j)$  and  $|g_j| = 1$ .

The statement for  $(v_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  follows analogously. ■

## References

- [1] **Gát, G.**, Orthonormal systems on Vilenkin groups, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **58**(1–2) (1991), 193–198.
- [2] **Gát, G.**, Convergence and summation with respect to Vilenkin-like systems, Recent Developments in: *Abstract Harmonic Analysis with Applications in Signal Processing*, Nauka, Belgrade and Elektronski fakultet, Nis, 1996, pp. 137–146.
- [3] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Bemerkungen über äquivalente Potenzreihen von Funktionen mit gewissem Stetigkeitsmodul, *Monatsh. Math.*, **76** (1972), 124–129.
- [4] **Schipp, F.**, Universal contractive projections and a.e. convergence, in: *Probability Theory and Applications, Essays to the Memory of József Mogoródi*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1992, pp. 47–75.
- [5] **Schipp, F., W.R. Wade, P. Simon and J. Pál**, *Walsh Series, An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol and New York, (1990).
- [6] **Schipp, F. and W.R. Wade**, *Transforms on Normed Fields*, Pécs (1995), available also at [http://numanal.inf.elte.hu/~schipp/Publications/Tr\\_NFields.pdf](http://numanal.inf.elte.hu/~schipp/Publications/Tr_NFields.pdf)
- [7] **Schipp, F.**, On  $L_p$ -norm convergence of series with respect to product systems, *Analysis Math.*, **2** (1976), 49–64.
- [8] **Schipp, F.**, On orthonormal product systems, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis*, **20** (2004), 185–206.
- [9] **Simon, I.**, The characters of the Blaschke-group of the arithmetic field, *Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica*, **54(3)** (2009), 149–160.
- [10] **Simon, I.**, Discrete Laguerre functions on the dyadic fields, *PUMA*, **17** (2006), 459–468.
- [11] **Turán, P.**, A remark concerning the behavior of power series on the periphery of its convergence circle, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)*, **12** (1958), 19–26.

**I. Simon**

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

University of Pécs

H-7624 Pécs, Ifjúság u. 6.

Hungary

[simoni@gamma.ttk.pte.hu](mailto:simoni@gamma.ttk.pte.hu)