

# DISTRIBUTION OF THE VALUES OF $q$ -ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS ON SOME MULTIPLICATIVE SEMIGROUPS

L. Germán (Paderborn, Germany)

I. Kátai (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Dr. Bui Minh Phong on his sixtieth birthday*

Communicated by K.-H. Indlekofer

(Received November 25, 2012)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an infinite subset of primes,

$$\#\{p \leq x \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\} = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$\mathcal{N}$  be the multiplicative semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Distribution of the values of  $q$ -additive functions defined on  $\mathcal{N}$  is investigated.

## 1. Introduction

**1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  be the set of natural, real, complex numbers respectively,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ ,  $\omega(n)$  = number of distinct prime divisors of

---

The project is supported by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund (grant agreement TAMOP 4.2.1/B/09/1/KMR/2010/0003) and the second author is partly supported by the Hungarian and Vietnamese TET (grant agreement no. TET 10-1-2011-0645).

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11L07, 11A63

<https://doi.org/10.71352/ac.38.129>

$n$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  = number of prime power divisors of  $n$ . If  $x$  is a positive real number then let  $x_1 = \log x$ ,  $x_k = \log x_{k-1}$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $\{x\}$  = fractional part of  $x$ ,  $\|x\| = \min(\{x\}, 1 - \{x\})$ . Let  $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du$ .

**1.2.** Let  $q \geq 2$  be a fixed integer,  $E = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$  be the set of digits. Then every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  has a unique ( $q$ -ary) expansion, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j(n) q^j, \quad a_j(n) \in E.$$

The right hand side of (1.1) is clearly a finite sum, since  $a_j(n) = 0$  if  $q^j > n$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $q$ -additive, if  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$(1.2) \quad f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(a_j(n) q^j)$$

holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The whole set of  $q$ -additive functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**1.3.** Let

$$(1.3) \quad N = N_x = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor,$$

$$(1.4) \quad m_k = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \in E} f(bq^k), \quad \sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \in E} f^2(bq^k) - m_k^2,$$

$$(1.5) \quad M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N m_k, \quad D^2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \sigma_k^2.$$

**1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x$  be a set of positive integers up to  $x$ . The multiple occurrence of some numbers is allowed. Furthermore, let  $B(x)$  be the number of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ . For an arbitrary sequence of integers  $(0 \leq) l_1 < \dots < l_h$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_h \in E$ , let

$$(1.6) \quad B \left( x \mid \begin{matrix} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{matrix} \right) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{B}, a_{l_j}(n) = b_j, j = 1, \dots, h\}.$$

**1.5.** Let

$$(1.7) \quad \nu(n) := \frac{f(n) - M(x)}{D(x)},$$

$$(1.8) \quad F_x(y) := \frac{1}{B(x)} \#\{n \in \mathcal{B}, \nu(n) \leq y\}.$$

**Definition 1.** We say that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x$  is a sequence of  $q$ -ary smooth sets of type  $\alpha$  if  $B(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x}$ , and

$$(1.9) \quad \sup_{\substack{N^\alpha \leq l_1 < \dots < l_h < N - N^\alpha \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \in E}} \left| q^h B \left( x \mid \begin{smallmatrix} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{smallmatrix} \right) - B(x) \right| \leq c(h, \lambda) B(x) N^{-\lambda}$$

holds for every fixed  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_q$ ,  $f(bq^j) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $b \in E$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ . Assume that  $\frac{D(x)}{\log^\delta x} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x$  tends to infinity is satisfied for some  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_x$  be a  $q$ -ary smooth sequence of type  $\alpha < \delta/2$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_x(y) = \Phi(y)$$

holds for every  $y$ . Here

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

**Proof.** Let  $n \leq x$

$$f_\alpha(n) := \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} f(a_j(n)q^j).$$

Since  $f(bq^j)$  is bounded,

$$|f_\alpha(n) - f(n)| \leq cN^\alpha$$

holds. Let

$$M_\alpha(x) = \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} m_j, \quad D_\alpha^2(x) = \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} \sigma_j^2.$$

We have  $|M(x) - M_\alpha(x)| \leq cN^\alpha$ ,  $|D_\alpha^2(x) - D^2(x)| \leq cN^\alpha$ . Let

$$\nu_\alpha(n) = \frac{f_\alpha(n) - M_\alpha(x)}{D_\alpha(x)}.$$

We already defined  $\nu(n)$  in (1.7). From the assumption we obtain that

$$\max_{n \leq x} |\nu_\alpha(n) - \nu(n)| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . From the assumption (1.9) we deduce easily that

$$\frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_x}} \nu_\alpha(n)^k - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu_\alpha(n)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_x}} \nu(n)^k - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu(n)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . One can prove easily that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu(n)^k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k d\Phi.$$

(1.10) implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}}} \nu(n)^k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k d\Phi$$

holds for every  $k$ . Therefore, our theorem directly follows from the Frechet-Shohat theorem. A more detailed argument can be found in [1].

## 2. Some auxiliary results

### 2.1.

**Lemma 1** (Theorem of Davenport [2]). *Let  $x$  be a positive integer,  $1 < U_0 < U_1 < x$ ,  $1 \leq Q \leq x$ ,  $(a, Q) = 1$ . Let  $\Theta_1(n, x)$ ,  $\Theta_2(r, x)$  be arbitrary functions, each of which is absolutely bounded. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U_0 < n \leq U_1} \Theta_1(n, x) \sum_{1 \leq r \leq x/n} \Theta_2(r, n) e\left(\frac{axr}{Q}\right) = \\ = \mathcal{O}\left(x \log^2 x \sqrt{\frac{1}{U_0} + \frac{U_1}{x} + \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{Q}{x}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2q}$ ,  $\chi_0(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m e(mx)$  be a mod 1 periodic function such that  $0 \leq \chi_0(x) \leq 1$ ,*

$$\chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \Delta < \{x\} < \frac{1}{q} - \Delta, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{q} + \Delta < \{x\} < 1 - \Delta, \end{cases}$$

$c_0 = \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $c_{jq} = 0$  when  $j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ,

$$|c_m| \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{\pi|m|}, \frac{1}{\Delta\pi^2 m^2}\right).$$

Let  $\chi_b(x) = \chi_0(x - \frac{b}{q}) = \sum c_m^{(b)} e(mx)$ . Then  $\chi_m^{(b)} = c_m e(-\frac{mb}{q})$ , thus  $|c_m^{(b)}| = |c_m|$ .

This lemma is proved in [3].

## 2.3.

**The Erdős-Turán inequality for the discrepancy of sequences mod 1**

The discrepancy  $D_M$  of the real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_M \bmod 1$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \sup \left| \frac{1}{M} \#\{n \leq M \mid \{x_n\} \in [\alpha, \beta)\} - (\beta - \alpha) \right|$$

where the supremum is taken for all intervals  $[\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 3** ([4]). Let  $\psi_m := \sum_{e=1}^M e(mx_l)$ . We have

$$(2.2) \quad D_M \leq c \left( \sum_{0 < h \leq K} \frac{|\psi_h|}{h} + \frac{M}{K} \right)$$

for any positive integer  $K$ .  $c$  is an absolute constant.

## 2.4.

### The theorem of E. Wirsing

**Lemma 4** ([5]). Let  $F$  be a multiplicative function satisfying the following conditions:  $F(n) \geq 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );  $F(p^\alpha) \leq c_1 c_2^\alpha$ ,  $c_2 < 2$  for every prime  $p$  and  $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots$ . Assume that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} F(p) = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

where  $\tau > 0$  is a constant. Then, for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} F(n) = \left( \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \frac{F(p)}{p} + \frac{F(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right).$$

Here  $\Gamma$  is the Euler's gamma function, and  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant.

Analyzing the proof, one can see that the following variant of Wirsing's theorem remains true.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $F_\lambda$  be a family of multiplicative functions, satisfying the following conditions:  $F_\lambda(n) \geq 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );  $F_\lambda(p^\alpha) \leq c_1 c_2^\alpha$ ,  $c_2 < 2$  for every prime  $p$  and  $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots$

Let  $\epsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ). Assume that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \sum_{p \leq x} F_\lambda(p) - \tau_\lambda \frac{x}{\log x} \right| \leq \epsilon(x) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where  $0 < c_3 < \tau_\lambda < c_4$ , with  $c_3, c_4$  suitable positive constants. Then there exists a function  $\epsilon_1(x) \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{n \leq x} F_\lambda(n) - \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau_\lambda}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \frac{F_\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{F_\lambda(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right) \right| \leq \epsilon_1(x) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \frac{F_\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{F_\lambda(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right).$$

## 2.5.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an infinite sequence of primes,  $\mathcal{N}$  be the multiplicative semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{p \leq x \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}; \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Assume that

$$(2.7) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \tau \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

where  $0 < \tau \leq 1$ . Then, from the theorem of Wirsing we obtain that

$$(2.8) \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \left( \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let

$$(2.9) \quad R_x := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Then

$$(2.10) \quad R_x = (\tau + o(1)) \log \log x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfy the condition (2.7). Then, there is a suitable sequence  $\delta_x \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)R_x} \sum_{\substack{|\omega(n) - R_x| > \delta_x R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

**Proof.** Let  $F_\kappa$  be a family of multiplicative functions, defined on prime powers  $p^\alpha$  as follows:

$$F_\kappa(p^\alpha) = \begin{cases} e^\kappa, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \notin \mathcal{P}. \end{cases}$$

First we assume that  $\kappa$  is a small positive, later that it is a small negative number. Since

$$\sum_{p \leq x} F_\kappa(p) = (e^\kappa \tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds uniformly as  $\kappa$  varies in a bounded interval, furthermore

$$F_\kappa(n) \omega(n) \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{m p = n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}, p < \sqrt{x}}} F_\kappa(m) e^\kappa,$$

by Lemma 5 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{\kappa \omega(n)} \omega(n) &\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} e^\kappa \sum_{n \leq x/p} e^{\kappa \omega(n)} \leq \\ (2.12) \quad &\leq 2e^\kappa \frac{e^{-\gamma e^\kappa \tau}}{\Gamma(e^\kappa \tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} R_x \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left(1 + \frac{e^\kappa}{p-1}\right) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\gamma e^\kappa \tau}}{\Gamma(e^\kappa \tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} R_x \exp(e^\kappa R_x + b_x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b_x$  is bounded uniformly as  $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1/10$ , say. Since

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) > (1+\delta_x) R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \leq e^{-\kappa \delta_x R_x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{\kappa(\omega(n) - R_x)} \omega(n),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad N(x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{e^{-\gamma \tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} \exp(R_x + \mathcal{O}(1)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

from (2.12), (2.13) we have that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{N(x) R_x} \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) > (1+\delta_x) R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \leq c \exp((- \kappa \delta_x - \kappa + e^\kappa - 1) R_x).$$



$c$  may depend on  $\tau$ . Choose  $\kappa = x_4^{-1}$ ,  $\delta_x = 2\kappa$ . We obtain, that (2.14) tends to zero.

Instead of proving that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)R_x} \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}, n \leq x}} \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

we shall show that

$$\frac{1}{N(x)} \#\{n \leq x \mid \omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x, n \in \mathcal{N}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

To prove this we choose  $F_{-\kappa}$  instead of  $F_\kappa$ , and argue as earlier. We have

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} F_{-\kappa}(n) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{-\kappa\omega(n)} = \left( \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau e^{-\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\tau e^{-\kappa})} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{-\kappa}}{p-1} \right).$$

Since  $e^{-\kappa(\omega(n)-(1-\delta_x)R_x)} \geq 1$  if  $\omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x$ , therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ \omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x}} 1 \leq e^{(1-\delta_x)R_x\kappa} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} F_{-\kappa}(n).$$

Arguing as earlier, by using (2.16) we can get the relation (2.15).

## 2.6.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  be as in Section 2.5. For every  $K$  let  $p_1 < \dots < p_T$  be a finite sequence of primes from  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_K = \{p_1, \dots, p_T\}$ , and let*

$$\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_K}} 1, \quad A_K = \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{1}{p_j}, \quad A_K > K.$$

Then

$$(2.17) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K| \leq \sqrt{A_K}.$$

**Proof.** Since

$$N\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{N}, p|n\}$$

and from the theorem of E. Wirsing (Lemma 4) one can get easily that

$$N\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p}N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) &= A_K N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \\ \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}^2(n) &= \left( A_K^2 + A_K - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_K} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} (\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K)^2 &= \left( A_K^2 + A_K - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_K} \frac{1}{p^2} - 2A_K^2 + A_K^2 \right) N(x) + \\ &\quad + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(x)}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq \sqrt{A_K} + o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and so our assertion holds.

## 2.7.

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be as in 2.5. From the theorem of Wirsing (see Lemma 4) we obtain that

$$N\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \leq \frac{cN(x)}{y}$$

holds for  $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{x}$ . Let

$$(2.18) \quad \omega_1(n) := \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p < \exp((\log x)^{\epsilon_x})}} 1$$

where  $\epsilon_x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_1(n) < c\epsilon_x R_x N(x).$$

For some  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  consider all possible representations  $n = pm$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let

$$\omega_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{n=pm \\ m \leq x^{\epsilon_x}}} 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_2(n) &\leq \sum_{\substack{m \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ m \in \mathcal{N}}} \pi_P\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ m \in \mathcal{N}}} \frac{1}{m} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{1-1/p} \leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{p < x^{\epsilon_x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_2(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

### 3. Formulation and proof of Theorem 2

#### 3.1.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be as in 2.5. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_q$ ,  $f(bq^j) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  ( $b \in E$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Assume furthermore that there is a constant  $\lambda > 0$  for which  $D(x)/\log^\lambda x \rightarrow \infty$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ). Let*

$$F_x(y) = \frac{1}{N(x)} \#\{\nu(n) < y, n \in \mathcal{N}, n \leq x\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_x(y) = \Phi(y).$$

#### 3.2.

### Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Let  $n_1 < \dots < n_s$  ( $\leq x$ ) be the set all of the integers in  $\mathcal{N}$  up to  $x$ , for which  $\nu(n) < y$ . Thus  $F_x(y) = s/N(x)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H} = \#\{\{m, p\}, p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathcal{N}, m > x^{\epsilon_x}, p > e^{(\log x)^{\epsilon_x}}, mp < x\}.$$

Let  $Z$  be the number of those  $\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}$  for which  $\nu(mp) < y$ . It is clear that

$$Z \leq \omega(n_1) + \dots + \omega(n_s) \leq (1 + \delta_x)R_x s + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ \omega(n) > (1 + \delta_x)R_x}} \omega(n).$$

From Lemma 6 we obtain that

$$\frac{Z}{R_x} \leq (1 + \delta_x)s + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Similarly

$$Z \geq (1 - \delta_x)R_x s - \sum_{\omega(n) < (1 - \delta_x)R_x} \omega(n) - \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2,$$

where in  $\Sigma_1$  we sum over those  $\{m, p\}$  for which  $m < x^{\epsilon_x}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and in  $\Sigma_2$  over those for which  $p < e^{(\log x)^{\epsilon_x}}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{N}$ . As we have seen in 2.7.

$$\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = o(R_x N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

and Lemma 6 implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\omega(n) < (1 - \delta_x)R_x \\ n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) = o(R_x N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{Z}{R_x} \geq s(1 - \delta_x) + o_x(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let  $H(x) = \#\mathcal{H}_x$ . Let  $(1 \leq) l_1 < \dots < l_h \leq N$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_h \in E$  and

$$H\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right. \right) := \#\{\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x, \varepsilon_{l_j}(mp) = b_j, j = 1, \dots, h\}.$$

We can prove that for every fixed  $h$ , and every  $\alpha > 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \max_{\substack{N^\alpha \leq l_1 < \dots < l_h \leq N - N^\alpha \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \in E}} \left| q^h H\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right. \right) - H(x) \right| \leq c(h, \lambda) H(x) N^{-\lambda}$$

holds for every fixed  $\lambda$ .

The proof is very similar to that of the theorem in [1]. Let

$$U := [1 - \Delta, 1] \cup \bigcup_{b=1}^{q-1} \left[ \frac{b}{q} - \Delta, \frac{b}{q} + \Delta \right] \cup [0, \Delta],$$

$$E_j := \# \left\{ \{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x, \quad \left\{ \frac{mp}{q^{j+1}} \right\} \in U \right\},$$

further

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_h) := \phi_{b_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi_{b_h}(x_h),$$

$$t(y) := F\left(\frac{y}{q^{l_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{y}{q^{l_h+1}}\right).$$

Let

$$V = \left[ \frac{1}{q^{l_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{q^{l_h+1}} \right],$$

$\mathcal{M}$  the whole set of vectors

$$M = [m_1, \dots, m_h]$$

with integer entries. Let

$$VM = \frac{A_M}{H_M}, \quad (A_M, H_M) = 1.$$

It is clear that

$$t(y) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} T_M e(MVy),$$

where  $|T_M| = |c_{m_1}| \cdots |c_{m_h}|$ ,  $T[0, \dots, 0] = \frac{1}{q^h}$ .

We have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| H\left(x \mid \begin{matrix} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{matrix} \right) - \frac{1}{q^h} H(x) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{M \neq 0} |T_M| \left| \sum_{\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{A_M}{H_M} mp\right) \right| + E_{l_1} + \dots + E_{l_h}.$$

If  $M$  is such a vector for which  $q|m_j$  for some  $j$ , then  $T_M = 0$ . Let  $M = [m_1, \dots, m_h]$ ,  $q \nmid m_h$ . Then

$$H_M(m_h + q^{l_h-l_{h-1}}m_{h-1} + \dots + m_1q^{l_h-l_1}) = A_Mq^{l_h+1}.$$

Let  $q = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$  be the prime decomposition of  $q$ . Since  $q \nmid m_h$ , there exists a  $p_t$  for which  $p_t^{e_t} \nmid m_h$ . Thus there exists an  $\eta > 0$  depending only on  $q$  such that  $H_M \geq q^{\eta l_h} \geq q^{\eta N^\alpha}$ . On the other hand  $H_M \leq q^{l_h+1} < cxq^{-N^\alpha}$ .

By using the Davenport theorem (Lemma 4) we obtain that

$$\sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{A_M}{H_M} mp\right) \ll H(x) \log^{-B} x$$

holds for every fixed  $B$ . The constant implied by  $\ll$  on the right hand side does not depend on  $M$ . One can observe also that (see [1])

$$\sum |T_M| \leq \left(2 + 2 \log \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^h.$$

Finally we can estimate  $E_j$  by using the Erdős-Turán inequality (Lemma 3) for the discrepancy. Let

$$\psi_k := \sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(kmp \frac{1}{q^{l_j+1}}\right).$$

Then

$$|E_j| \leq (2q\Delta)H(x) + c \sum_{k=1}^T \frac{|\psi_k|}{k} + \frac{cH(x)}{T},$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant,  $T$  is arbitrary. Let  $K$  be an arbitrary large constant,

$$T = [\log^K x], \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{T}.$$

By the theorem of Davenport we obtain that  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq T} |\psi_k| \leq H(x) \log^{-K} x$  say.

Hence we obtain (3.2). Our sequence  $\mathcal{H}_x$  is  $q$ -ary smooth of type  $\alpha$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ , therefore Theorem 1 can be applied for every  $\alpha$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

#### 4. A remark to a theorem of H. Daboussi

##### 4.1.

The famous theorem of H. Daboussi [7, 8] asserts that if  $\alpha$  is an irrational number,  $\mathcal{M}_1$  be the set of complex valued multiplicative functions  $f$  satisfying the condition  $|f(n)| \leq 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

There are a lot of generalizations of this theorem, see e.g. [9, 11].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}$  be as in 2.5. Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number for which*

$$\min_{1 \leq k \leq \log^B x} \|k\alpha\| > \frac{\log^B x}{x}$$

*holds for every  $B$  and  $x > x_0(B)$ . Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{N(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

## 4.2.

### Proof of Theorem 3

We shall prove only that

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e(\alpha nk) \right| = 0$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ . The deduction of (4.1) from (4.2) can be done in the same way as which was used in [10].

Let  $\tau = \frac{x}{\log^B x}$ . Then there is an integer  $Q$  such that  $Q \leq \tau$ , and  $\|Q\alpha\| < \frac{1}{\tau}$ . Due to the condition of the theorem  $Q \geq \log^{2B} x$ , consequently for a suitable integer  $A$ ,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{A}{Q} \right| < \frac{1}{Q\tau} \leq \frac{1}{x \log^B x},$$

$(A, Q) = 1$  and so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e(\alpha nk) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Ak}{Q}n\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{kN(x)}{\log^B x}\right).$$

To prove (4.2) we shall estimate

$$S = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Akn}{Q}\right).$$

By using Lemma 6, it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{1}{R_x N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Akn}{Q}\right) \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

and by repeating the argument used in 2.7 that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_x} \sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{Akm p}{Q}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

(4.3) follows from the theorem of Davenport.

We note that Lemma 7 is a tool to deduce the theorem from (4.3).

## References

- [1] **Kátai, I.**, Distribution of digits of primes in  $q$ -ary canonical form, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **47** (1986), 341-359.
- [2] **Davenport, H.**, On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions II., *Quart. J. Math.*, **8** (1937), 313-320.
- [3] **Bassily, N.L. and Kátai, I.**, Distribution of the values of  $q$ -additive functions on polynomial sequences, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **68** (1995), 353-361.
- [4] **Erdős, P. and Turán, P.**, On a problem in the theory of uniform distributions I, II., *Indagationes Math.*, **10** (1948), 370-378, 406-413.
- [5] **Wirsing, E.**, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **143** (1) (1961), 75-102.
- [6] **Frechet, M., and Shohat, A.**, A proof of the generalized second limit theorem in the theory of probability, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **33** (1931), 533-544.
- [7] **Daboussi, H. and Delange, H.**, Quelques propriétés des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus égal à 1, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A*, **178** (1974), 657-660.



- [8] **Daboussi, H. and Delange, H.**, On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose module does not exceed one, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1982), 245-264.
- [9] **KátaI, I.**, A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **47** (1986), 223-225.
- [10] **KátaI, I.**, On the distribution mod 1 of  $\alpha\sigma(n)$ , *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **77** (1-2) (2010), 1-14.
- [11] **KátaI, I.**, Some remarks on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **19** (2008), 71-80.

**I. KátaI**

Department of Computer Algebra  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány Péter sét. 1/C  
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary  
katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu

**L. Germán**

Faculty of Computer Science,  
Electrical Engineering and Mathematics  
University of Paderborn  
Warburger Straße 100  
D-33098 Paderborn, Germany  
laszlo@math.uni-paderborn.de

