# ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PARETO DISTRIBUTION BY HAZARD RATE OF RECORD VALUE

Min-Young Lee (Cheonan, Republic of Korea) Young Ik Kim (Cheonan, Republic of Korea)

Dedicated to Janos Galambos on the occasion of his 70th birthday

**Abstract.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed non negative random variables which has absolutely continuous distribution function F(x) with F(1) = 0 and probability density function f(x). Let F(x) < 1 for all x > 1 and let F belong to class  $C_2^+$ . Then  $X_k \in PAR(\alpha)$  if and only if for some fixed  $n, n \ge 1$ , the hazard rate r of  $X_k$  is the same as the hazard rate  $r_1$  of  $W_{n,n+1}$  or the hazard rate  $r_2$  of  $Z_{n,n+1}$ , where  $W_{n,n+1} = \frac{X_{U(n+1)}}{X_{U(n)}}$ ,  $Z_{n,n+1} = X_{U(n)} \cdot X_{U(n+1)}$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with cumulative density function(cdf) F(x) and probability density function(pdf) f(x). Let  $Y_n = max(min)\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  for  $n \ge 1$ . We say that  $X_j$  is an upper(lower) record value of this sequence that if  $Y_j > (<)Y_{j-1}$  for j > 1.

<sup>2000</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60E05, 62E10.

Key words and phrases: Characterization, hazard rate, independent and identically distributed, Pareto distribution, upper record values.

By definition,  $X_1$  is an upper as well as a lower record value. The indices at which the upper record values occur are given by the record times  $\{U(n), n \ge 1\}$ , where  $U(n) = min\{j \mid j > U(n-1), X_j > X_{U(n-1)}, n \ge 2\}$  with U(1) = 1. We assume that all upper record values  $X_{U(i)}$  for  $i \ge 1$  occur at a sequence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  of i.i.d. random variables. If F(x) has density f(x), the ratio  $r(x) = \frac{f(x)}{F(x)}$ , for 0 < F(x) < 1, is called the hazard rate. We will say that F belongs to the class  $C_2$ , if r(x) is either monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing and F belongs to the class  $C_2^+$ if r(x) is monotonically increasing.

By definition the random variable  $X \in PAR(\alpha)$  if the corresponding probability cdf F(x) of X is of the form

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x^{-\alpha}, & x > 1, \ \alpha > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Ahsanullah(1995) characterized those  $X_k$ 's that belong to the class  $C_2$ . Then  $X_k \in E(x, \sigma)$  if and only if for some fixed  $n, n \ge 1$ , the hazard rate  $r_1$  of  $X_{U(n+1)} - -X_{U(n)}$  is the same as the hazard rate r of  $X_k$ .

In this paper we show characterizations of Pareto distribution by hazard rate of record value. Namely  $X_k \in PAR(\alpha)$  if and only if for some fixed  $n, n \ge 1$ , the hazard rate r of  $X_k$  is the same as the hazard rate  $r_1$  of  $W_{n,n+1}$  or the hazard rate  $r_2$  of  $Z_{n,n+1}$  where  $W_{n,n+1} = \frac{X_{U(n+1)}}{X_{U(n)}}, Z_{n,n+1} = X_{U(n)} \cdot X_{U(n+1)}$ .

#### 2. Results

We prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d non negative random variables which has absolutely continuous cdf F(x) with F(1) = 0 and pdf f(x). Let F(x) < 1 for all x > 1 and let F belong to class  $C_2^+$ . Then  $X_k$  has the Pareto distribution if and only if for some fixed  $n, n \ge 1$ , the hazard rate r of  $X_k$  is the same as the hazard rate  $r_1$  of  $W_{n,n+1}$  where  $W_{n,n+1} = \frac{X_{U(n+1)}}{X_{U(n)}}$ .

**Proof.** If  $X_k \in PAR(\alpha)$ , then it can easily be shown that r is the same as  $r_1$ . We need to prove sufficiency only. Suppose  $r = r_1$ . Now we can write the joint pdf of  $X_{U(n+1)}$  and  $X_{U(n)}$  as

$$f_{n,n+1}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\{R(x)\}^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} r(x)f(y), & 1 < x < y < \infty\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Substituting  $W_{n,n+1} = \frac{X_{U(n+1)}}{X_{U(n)}}$  and  $U_n = X_{U(n)}$ , we get the joint pdf of  $W_{n,n+1}$  and  $U_n$  as

(2.1) 
$$f_1(u,w) = \frac{\{R(u)\}^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} r(u) f(uw) u$$

Thus by (2.1), we can write

$$r_1(w) = \frac{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) f(uw) u du}{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) \bar{F}(uw) du}$$

for all w > 1.

Since  $r_1(w) = r(w)$  for all w, we have

(2.2) 
$$\frac{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) f(uw) u du}{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) \bar{F}(uw) du} = \frac{f(w)}{\bar{F}(w)}$$

for all w > 1. By simplifying (2.2) we obtain

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} R(u)^{n-1} r(u)\bar{F}(w)\bar{F}(uw)\{r(uw)u - r(w)\}du = 0$$

for all w > 1.

Since F belongs to class  $C_2^+$ , the following equation

$$(2.3) r(uw)u = r(w)$$

holds for almost all u and for any fixed w > 1. Integrating (2.3) with respect to w from 1 to  $w_1$ , we get

(2.4) 
$$\bar{F}(uw_1) = \bar{F}(u)\bar{F}(w_1), \text{ for all } w_1 > 1.$$

By the theory of functional equations[see Aczél (1966)], the only continuous solution of (2.4) with the boundary condition F(1) = 0 is

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha}$$

for all x > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ . Consequently,  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$ .

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d non negative random variables which has absolutely continuous cdf F(x) with F(1) = 0 and pdf f(x). Let F(x) < 1 for all x > 1 and let F belong to class  $C_2^+$ . Then  $X_k$  has the Pareto distribution if and only if for some fixed  $n, n \ge 1$ , the hazard rate r of  $X_k$  is the same as the hazard rate  $r_2$  of  $Z_{n,n+1}$  where  $Z_{n,n+1} = X_{U(n)} \cdot X_{U(n+1)}$ .

**Proof.** The necessary condition is easy to establish. We will prove here the sufficiency of the condition. Suppose that r is the same as  $r_2$ .

Now we can write the joint pdf of  $X_{U(n+1)}$  and  $X_{U(n)}$  as

$$f_{n,n+1}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\{R(x)\}^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} r(x)f(y), & 1 < x < y < \infty \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Substituting  $Z_{n,n+1} = X_{U(n)} \cdot X_{U(n+1)}$  and  $U_n = X_{U(n)}$ , we get the pdf of  $Z_{n,n+1}$  and  $U_n$  as

(2.5) 
$$f_2(u,z) = \frac{\{R(u)\}^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} r(u) f(\frac{z}{u}) u^{-1}.$$

Thus by (2.5), we can write

$$r_2(z) = \frac{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) f(\frac{z}{u}) u^{-1} du}{\int_1^\infty \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) \bar{F}(\frac{z}{u}) du}$$

for all z > 1.

Since  $r_2(z) = r(z)$  for all z, we have

(2.6) 
$$\frac{\int_{1}^{\infty} \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) f(\frac{z}{u}) u^{-1} du}{\int_{1}^{\infty} \{R(u)\}^{n-1} r(u) \bar{F}(\frac{z}{u}) du} = \frac{f(z)}{\bar{F}(z)}$$

for all z > 1. By simplifying (2.6) we have

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} R(u)^{n-1} r(u)\bar{F}(z)\bar{F}(\frac{z}{u})\{r(\frac{z}{u})u^{-1} - r(z)\}du = 0$$

Thus if  $F \in C_2^+$ , then above equation holds if

(2.7) 
$$r(\frac{z}{u})u^{-1} = r(z)$$

for almost all u and for any fixed z > 1. Integrating (2.7) with respect to z from 1 to  $z_1$  we get

(2.8) 
$$\bar{F}(\frac{z_1}{u}) = \bar{F}(\frac{1}{u})\bar{F}(z_1), \text{ for all } z_1 > 1.$$

By the theory of functional equations[see Aczel(1966)], the only continuous solution of (2.8) with the boundary condition F(1) = 0 is

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha}$$

for all x > 1 and  $\alpha > 0$ . Hence  $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$ .

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] Aczél, J., Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York 1966.
- [2] Ahsanullah, M., Record Statistics, Inc. Dommack, New York 1995.

### Min-Young Lee and Young Ik Kim

Department of Mathematics Dankook University Cheonan 330-714 Republic of Korea leemy@dankook.ac.kr ykbell@dankook.ac.kr