ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS INVOLVING EXPONENTIAL DIVISORS: NOTE ON TWO PAPERS BY L. TÓTH

Y.-F.S. Pétermann (Geneva, Switzerland)

Abstract. Asymptotic estimates of L. Tóth [5, 6] on the summatory functions of three arithmetical functions involving exponential divisors are improved. For two of them the improvement is on the upper bound of the size of the remainder term (*O*-estimate), and is reached by appealing to lattice points estimates using exponent pairs due to Krätzel [1], and by having as well a closer look at the first terms of the generating Dirichlet series. For the third one, a lower bound on the size of the remainder term (Ω -estimate) is replaced by two-sided oscillation (Ω_{\pm} -estimate), by appealing to a method of Pétermann and Wu [2].

1. Notation and definitions

An exponential divisor (e-divisor) $d = p_1^{b_1} \cdots p_r^{b_r}$ of $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, satisfies by definition $b_i \mid a_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r)$. The integer n is thus called exponentially squarefree (e-squarefree) if all the a_i are squarefree. These two notions were introduced by M.V. Subbarao [4]. Other authors further extended the analogies with notions related to usual divisors. For instance, if n and m have the same prime divisors, we call $\kappa(n)(=\kappa(m)) := p_1 \cdots p_r$ their kernel, and then their greatest common exponential divisor (e-gcd) is defined as $(n,m)_{(e)} := \prod_{1 \le i \le r} p_i^{(a_i,b_i)}$. And if $(n,m)_{(e)} = \kappa(n) = \kappa(m)$ we say that nand m are exponentially-coprime (e-coprime).

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37

Several functions related to exponential divisors, as the number $\tau^{(e)}(n)$ and the sum $\sigma^{(e)}(n)$ of *e*-divisors of *n* to begin with, were studied by Subbarao and then by several other authors: see [5] for references.

In [5] and [6], L. Tóth studied some such functions, three of which are the subjects of this note. These are: (i) the number $t^{(e)}(n)$ of *e*-squarefree *e*-divisors of *n*, (ii) the number $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ of divisors *d* of *n* which are *e*-coprime with *n* (the *e*-analogue of the Euler function ϕ), and (iii) $\tilde{P}(n) := \sum_{1 \le j \le n, \kappa(j) = \kappa(n)} (j, n)_{(e)}$ (the *e*-analogue of the Pillai function $P(n) := \sum_{1 \le j \le n} (j, n)$ [3]).

Let ζ denote the Riemann zeta function. Let ϕ and μ be the Euler and Möbius functions. For a positive integer n put as usual $\omega(n)$ for the number of distinct prime divisors of n. For a positive integer k let $\mathbf{1}_k$ be the characteristic function of the integers n of the form $n = m^k$ (where m is an integer), and similarly let $\mu_k(n) = \mu(m)$ if $n = m^k$ and $\mu_k(n) = 0$ otherwise.

2. Results

Tóth proved the following estimates for the summatory functions of $t^{(e)}(n)$, $\phi^{(e)}(n)$ and $\tilde{P}(n)$.

Theorem A. We have

$$E_t(x) := \sum_{n \le x} t^{(e)}(n) - C_1 x - C_2 x^{1/2} = O(x^{1/4 + \epsilon})$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, where C_1 and C_2 are constants given by

$$C_{1} = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{2}} + \sum_{a \ge 6} \frac{2^{\omega(a)} - 2^{\omega(a-1)}}{p^{a}} \right),$$
$$C_{2} = \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{a \ge 4} \frac{2^{\omega(a)} - 2^{\omega(a-1)} - 2^{\omega(a-2)} + 2^{\omega(a-3)}}{p^{a/2}} \right).$$

Theorem B. We have

$$E_{\phi}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \phi^{(e)}(n) - C_3 x - C_4 x^{1/3} = O(x^{1/5 + \epsilon})$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, where C_3 and C_4 are constants given by

$$C_{3} = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{a \ge 3} \frac{\phi(a) - \phi(a-1)}{p^{a}} \right),$$
$$C_{4} = \zeta \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{a \ge 5} \frac{\phi(a) - \phi(a-1) - \phi(a-3) + \phi(a-4)}{p^{a/3}} \right).$$

Theorem C. We have

$$E_p(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \tilde{P}(n) - C_5 x^2 = \begin{cases} & O(x(\log x)^{5/3}), \\ & \\ & \\ & \Omega(x \log \log x), \end{cases}$$

where the constant C_5 is given by

$$C_5 := \frac{1}{2} \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{a \ge 2} \frac{\tilde{P}(p^a) - p\tilde{P}(p^{a-1})}{p^{2a}} \right).$$

Notes.

- (1) Theorem A is Theorem 4 in [6], which however contains two misprints: the term 1/p² is missing in the factor defining C₁, and the rightmost exponent in the factors defining C₂ is incorrect (ω(a 4) instead of ω(a 3); the same mistake is repeated in the proof on p.164). Theorem B is Theorem 1 in [5], which also contains misprints: the rightmost term in the factor defining C₄ is incorrect (-φ(a 4) instead of +φ(a 4)), and the product symbol Π is missing. The O-estimate in Theorem C is Theorem 3 in [5], and the Ω-estimate is a direct consequence of Theorem 4 in [5], which states that lim sup P̃(n)/(n log log n) = 6e^γ/π².
- (2) The proofs of Theorems A and B make use of estimates due to Krätzel for

$$\Delta(a,b;x) := \sum_{n_1^a n_2^b \le x} 1 - \zeta(b/a) x^{1/a} - \zeta(a/b) x^{1/b}$$

in the case where a and b are integers with $1 \leq a < b$. The elementary Theorem 5.3 in [1] yields $\Delta(a, b; x) = O(x^{1/(2a+b)})$, and is applied to the case a = 1, b = 2 for the proof of Theorem A, and to the case a = 1, b = 3 for the proof of Theorem B.

But, from more elaborate arguments involving exponent pairs in this same Chapter 5 of [1], we see that $\Delta(1,2;x) = O(x^{\tau})$ with $\tau < 1/4$ and $\Delta(1,3;x) = O(x^{\varphi})$ with $\varphi < 1/5$. This will be used in the Proof of Theorem 1 below.

[For the best known values of τ and φ : Theorem 5.11 p.223 yields $\Delta(1,2;x) = O(x^{37/167+\epsilon}) \ (x \to \infty)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ (see the Note on Section 5.3 on p.230), and Theorem 5.12 p.227 yields $\Delta(1,3;x) = O(x^{0.175}(\log x)^2) \ (x \to \infty)$ with the exponent pair (1/14, 11/14) (as indicated in the small table at the bottom of page 227)].

There are two objects to this note. The first one is to refine the argument yielding Theorems A and B, and to prove

Theorem 1. We have $E_t(x) = O(x^{1/4})$ and $E_{\phi}(x) = O(x^{1/5} \log x)$.

The other object is to replace the Ω -estimate in Theorem C by an oscillation estimate.

Theorem 2. We have

$$E_P(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(x \log \log x).$$

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. We begin with E_t . The proof of Theorem A in [6] exploits the expression

$$T(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{t^{(e)}(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(2s)V(s) \quad (\sigma > 1),$$

where, for $v(p^a) := 2^{\omega(a)} - 2^{\omega(a-1)} - 2^{\omega(a-2)} + 2^{\omega(a-3)}$ $(a \ge 4)$ and $v(p^a) = 0$ $(1 \le a \le 3)$, the series

$$V(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{v(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{4s}} + \sum_{a \ge 5} \frac{v(p^a)}{p^{as}} \right)$$

is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1/4$.

A closer look thus easily shows that $V(s) = H(s)/\zeta(4s)$, with

$$H(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^{6s}} + \sum_{a \ge 7} \frac{h(p^a)}{p^{as}} \right).$$

Since $|h(p^a)| = |(\mathbf{1}_4 * v)(p^a)| \le \sum_{i \le a} 2^{\omega(i)} = O(a^2)$, we see that H(s) converges

absolutely for $\sigma > 1/6$.

Now if
$$H_0(s) := \zeta(s)\zeta(2s)/\zeta(4s) =: \sum_{n\geq 1} h_0(n)n^{-s}$$
, we have $h_0 = \mathbf{1}*\mathbf{1}_2*\mu_4$,
hence by using the fact that $\Delta(1,2;x) = O(x^{\tau})$ for some $\tau < 1/4$ (see Note

whence by using the fact that $\Delta(1,2;x) = O(x^{\tau})$ for some $\tau < 1/4$ (2) above) we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} h_0(n) = \sum_{n=n_1 n_2^2 N^4 \le x} \mu(N) =$$
$$= \sum_{N \le x^{1/4}} \mu(N) \left(\zeta(2) \frac{x}{N^4} + \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{1/2}}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\tau}}{N^{4\tau}}\right) \right).$$

From the prime number theorem under the form $\sum_{n \ge y} \mu(n)/n = o(1) \ (y \to \infty)$ it follows that, if $\ell > 1$, $\sum_{n < y} \mu(n)/n^{\ell} = 1/\zeta(\ell) + o(y^{1-\ell})$, whence $\sum_{n \le x} h_0(n) = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)}x + \frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\zeta(2)}x^{1/2} + O(x^{1/4}).$

Finally, with $t^{(e)} = h * h_0$, we see that

$$\sum_{n \le x} t^{(e)}(n) = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)} H(1)x + \frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\zeta(2)} H(1/2)x^{1/2} + O(x^{1/4}).$$

The proof of $E_{\phi}(x) = O(x^{1/5})$ is similar. Instead of considering as in [5] the expression

$$\Phi(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\phi^{(e)}(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(3s)U(s) =$$
$$= \zeta(s)\zeta(3s) \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^{5s}} + \sum_{a \ge 6} \frac{u(p^a)}{p^{as}}\right) \quad (\sigma > 1),$$

where the Dirichlet series for U(s) converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1/5$, we note that

$$U(s) = (\zeta(5s))^2 J(s) = (\zeta(5s))^2 \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{3}{p^{6s}} + \sum_{a \ge 7} \frac{j(p^a)}{p^{as}}\right),$$

where the Dirichlet series for J(s) converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1/6$. Indeed $j = \mu_5 * \mu_5 * u$ where $u(p^a) = \phi(a) - \phi(a-1) - \phi(a-3) + \phi(a-4)$ $(a \ge 5)$ and $u(p^a) = 0$ $(1 \le a \le 4)$, whence $j(p^a) = O(a)$ (more precisely, $|j(p^a)| \le 8a$). Thus by using the fact that $\Delta(1,3;x) = O(x^{\varphi})$ for some $\varphi < 1/5$ we obtain, similarly as before,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \phi^{(e)}(n) = \zeta(3)(\zeta(5))^2 J(1)x + \zeta(1/3)(\zeta(5/3))^2 J(1/3)x^{1/3} + O(x^{1/5}\log x).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We leave this proof to the reader, whom we refer to the proof of Theorem 3 in [2], since the argument there may be very closely followed with only minor adaptations. Indeed the latter theorem establishes that $\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma^{(e)}(n) = Dx^2 + \Omega_{\pm}(x \log \log x)$ for some constant D by exploiting the expression $\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma^{(e)}(n)n^{-s} = \zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-1)(\zeta(3s-2)^{-1}K(s))$, where the Dirichlet series for K(s) absolutely converges for $\sigma > 3/4$; and similarly we have (see Lemma 3 of [5]) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{P}(n)n^{-s} = \zeta(s-1)\zeta(2s-1)(\zeta(3s-2)^{-1}W(s))$, where the Dirichlet series for W(s) absolutely converges for $\sigma > 3/4$.

References

- [1] Krätzel E., Lattice points, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.
- [2] Pétermann Y.-F.S. and Wu Jie, On the sum of the exponential divisors of an integer, Acta Math. Hungar., 77 (1997), 159-175.
- [3] Pillai S.S., On an arithmetic function, Journ. Annamalai Univ., 2 (1933), 243-248.
- [4] Subbarao M.V., On some arithmetical convolutions, The theory of arithmetical functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 251, Springer Verlag, 1972, 247-271.
- [5] Tóth L., On certain arithmetical functions involving exponential divisors, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp., 24 (2004), 285-294.

 [6] Tóth L., On certain arithmetical functions involving exponential divisors II, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp., 27 (2007), 155-166.

(Received February 11, 2009)

Y.-F.S. Pétermann

Section de Mathématiques Université de Genève Case Postale 64 1211 Genève 4, Suisse Yves-Francois.Petermann@unige.ch