

ON GENERAL KLOOSTERMAN SUMS

S. Kanemitsu (Iizuka, Japan)

Y. Tanigawa (Nagoya, Japan)

Yi Yuang and Zhang Wenpeng (Xi'an, China)

*Dedicated to Professor Dr. Karl-Heinz Indlekofer
on his sixtieth birthday*

Abstract. The general Kloosterman sum

$$K(m, n; k; q) = \sum_{\substack{a \bmod (q) \\ (a, q) = 1}} e\left(\frac{ma^k + n\bar{a}^k}{q}\right)$$

was studied by the second and third authors in their research of a problem of D.H. Lehmer. In this paper, we shall improve the estimate of $K(m, n; k; q)$ with respect to q . We also consider the sum twisted by a Dirichlet character.

1. Introduction

In their research on a problem of D.H. Lehmer, Yi and Zhang [6] introduced the general Kloosterman sum defined for positive integers m, n and q by

$$(1) \quad K(m, n; k; q) = \sum_{a=1}^q{}^* e\left(\frac{ma^k + n\bar{a}^k}{q}\right),$$

where k is a fixed positive integer, $e(y) = \exp(2\pi iy)$, \sum^* means the summation over all $1 \leq a \leq q$ such that the greatest common divisor of a and q denoted by (a, q) is 1 and \bar{a} is the reciprocal to a modulo q .

When $k = 1$, $K(m, n; 1; q)$ is the classical Kloosterman sum usually denoted by $S(m, n; q)$ (cf. [3]):

$$S(m, n; q) = \sum_{a=1}^q e\left(\frac{ma + n\bar{a}}{q}\right).$$

The estimate of these sums plays important role in the theory of numbers, e.g. it is applied to the study of upper bounds of coefficients of modular forms [3]. The well-known estimate of $K(m, n; 1; q)$ is

$$(2) \quad K(m, n; 1; q) \leq (m, n, q)^{1/2} q^{1/2} d(q), \quad q > 2.$$

We note that the above estimate for $q = p^\alpha$ with a prime p and $\alpha \geq 2$ is proved by elementary means [3]. But for the prime modulus case the estimate is very difficult and was proved by Weil [5] through a deep consideration of algebraic geometry.

For a general Kloostermann sum Yi and Zhang [6] proved that

$$(3) \quad K(m, n; k; p^\alpha) \ll (m, n, p^\alpha)^{1/2} p^{3\alpha/4} \sqrt{d(p^\alpha)},$$

where $f(x) \ll g(x)$ means the same as $f(x) = O(g(x))$.

In this paper we shall improve the above estimate (3). In the sequel, we assume that

$$(4) \quad q \text{ is a positive odd integer, } (k, q) = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m, n \leq q - 1.$$

Theorem 1. *Let p be an odd prime and let k be a positive integer such that $(k, p) = 1$. Then we have*

$$(5) \quad |K(m, n, k; p^\alpha)| \leq 2k(m, n, p^\alpha)^{1/2} p^{\alpha/2},$$

where α is a positive integer.

For general modulus q , we have

Theorem 2. *Let q be a positive odd integer and k be a positive integer with $(k, q) = 1$. Then we have*

$$(6) \quad |K(m, n, k; q)| \leq d(q)^{\log 2k / \log 2} (m, n, q)^{1/2} q^{1/2}.$$

We shall also consider a Kloosterman sum twisted by a Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod q$:

$$(7) \quad K_\chi(m, n, k; q) = \sum_{a=1}^q \chi(a) e\left(\frac{ma^k + n\bar{a}^k}{q}\right).$$

The estimate $|K_\chi(m, n, k; q)| \ll \sqrt{q}$ does not hold in general. In fact, Professor Z.Y. Zheng established that $|K_\chi(m, n, 1; p^\alpha)| \gg p^{\frac{2}{3}\alpha}$ for some character $\chi \bmod p^\alpha$, where p is a prime and $\alpha \geq 3$ (see [9]). However in the case of prime modulus we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let p be an odd prime and let χ be a Dirichlet character mod p . Then*

$$(8) \quad K_\chi(m, n, k; p) \ll \sqrt{p},$$

where the implied constant depends only on k .

2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

We assume that $k \geq 2$ is a positive integer. First we shall treat the prime modulus case of Theorem 1.

A remarkable feature in this case is that by group-theoretic considerations, we may reduce the proof to the Weil estimate of the Kloosterman sums and to the Chowla-Salié estimate of the twisted Kloosterman sums.

The underlying group-theoretic structure is described as follows.

Let G be a finite abelian group, let N be its subgroup and let G/N be the quotient group. Also let $(G/N)^*$ denote the character group of G/N .

We extend a character $\varphi \in (G/N)^*$ to a homomorphism on G by defining

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(aN).$$

For any complex-valued function f on G consider the sum

$$S := \sum_{\varphi \in (G/N)^*} \sum_{a \in G} \varphi(a) f(a).$$

Inverting the order of summation and recalling the orthogonality of characters, we find that

$$S = (G : N) \sum_{\alpha \in N} f(\alpha),$$

where $(G : N) = \sharp G/N$ signifies the group index.

Now specialize N to be G^k , the subgroup of all k -th powers of elements of G . Also let G_k denote the subgroup of k -th roots of the identity element of G . As is apparent from the homomorphism theorem, we have $G/G_k \simeq G^k$, whence

$$\sharp G_k = \sharp G / \sharp G^k = (G : G^k).$$

Now consider the sum

$$S' = \sum_{a \in G} f(a^k) = \sum_{\alpha \in G^k} f(\alpha) \sum_{b^k = \alpha} 1.$$

Since $b^k = \alpha = a^k$ implies that $b \in aG_k$, it follows that the number of b 's such that $b^k = \alpha$ is $\sharp G_k$, which is, as shown above, $(G : G^k)$. Hence

$$S' = (G : G^k) \sum_{\alpha \in G^k} f(\alpha) = S.$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \sum_{a \in G} f(a^k) = \sum_{\varphi \in (G/G^k)^*} \sum_{a \in G} \varphi(a) f(a).$$

We apply (9) with $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and $f(a) = e\left(\frac{ma+n\bar{a}}{p}\right)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(m, n, k; p) &= \sum_{\varphi \in (G/G^k)^*} \sum_{a \in G} \varphi(a) e\left(\frac{ma+n\bar{a}}{p}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\varphi \in (G/G^k)^*} K_\varphi(m, n, 1; p). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $K(m, n, k; p)$ we apply the Weil estimate to K_{φ_0} , with φ_0 a trivial character and the Chowla-Salié estimate

$$|K_\varphi(m, n, 1; p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$$

to K_φ with non-trivial φ .

Thus we have

$$|K(m, n, k; p)| \leq (G : G^k) 2\sqrt{p} \leq 2k\sqrt{p},$$

where we need the fact that $(G : G^k) = (k, p-1) \leq k$. This proves Theorem 1 in the prime modulus case.

Following the method of Estermann [2], we consider the case of a prime power modulus p^α , $\alpha \geq 2$. We note that if $(m, n, p^\alpha) = p^\xi$, where $0 \leq \xi \leq \alpha-1$ by the assumption (4), then

$$(10) \quad K(m, n, k; p^\alpha) = p^\xi K\left(\frac{m}{p^\xi}, \frac{n}{p^\xi}, k; p^{\alpha-\xi}\right),$$

and so it is enough to consider the case $(m, n, p) = 1$.

Let $\beta = \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}\right]$ and $\gamma = \alpha - \beta$, hence $\alpha = \beta + \gamma \leq 2\gamma$. The element a of the reduced residue class mod p^α can be written as

$$a = u + vp^\gamma,$$

where $1 \leq u \leq p^\gamma - 1$, $(u, p) = 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq p^\beta - 1$. We choose \bar{u} so that

$$1 \leq \bar{u} \leq p^\alpha - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u\bar{u} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}.$$

Then we can easily see that

$$\bar{a} \equiv \bar{u} - \bar{u}^2 vp^\gamma \pmod{p^\alpha},$$

from which we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} ma^k + n\bar{a}^k &\equiv m(u + vp^\gamma)^k + n(\bar{u} - \bar{u}^2 vp^\gamma)^k \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ &\equiv (mu^k + n\bar{u}^k) + kvp^\gamma(m - \bar{u}^{2k}n)u^{k-1} \pmod{p^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

From (11) we have

$$(12) \quad K(m, n, k; p^\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u, p)=1}}^{p^\gamma-1} e\left(\frac{mu^k + n\bar{u}^k}{p^\alpha}\right) \sum_{v=0}^{p^\beta-1} e\left(\frac{kv(m - \bar{u}^{2k}n)u^{k-1}}{p^\beta}\right).$$

The sum over v vanishes unless

$$m \equiv \bar{u}^{2k}n \pmod{p^\beta},$$

so that we have only to consider the case $(mn, p) = 1$. In this case the general Kloosterman sum is expressed as

$$(13) \quad K(m, n, k; p^\alpha) = p^\beta \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u, p)=1 \\ mu^{2k} \equiv n \pmod{p^\beta}}}^{p^\gamma-1} e\left(\frac{mu^k + n\bar{u}^k}{p^\alpha}\right).$$

(i) *The case $\beta = \gamma$*

We consider the congruence equation

$$(14) \quad mu^{2k} \equiv n \pmod{p^\beta}.$$

From the assumption $(k, p) = 1$ each solution of $mu^{2k} \equiv n \pmod{p}$ can be extended uniquely to the solution of (14) and vice versa. Therefore there are at most $2k$ solutions of (14). This gives us

$$|K(m, n, k; p^\alpha)| \leq 2kp^\beta = 2kp^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

(ii) *The case $\beta = \gamma - 1$*

In (13) u runs from 1 to $p^\gamma - 1$ with the condition

$$(15) \quad mu^{2k} \equiv n \pmod{p^\beta}.$$

Let u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r ($r \leq 2k$) be all the solutions of (15). If we write

$$u = u_j + vp^\beta \quad (0 \leq v \leq p-1),$$

then we find that

$$\bar{u} \equiv \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_j^2 vp^\beta + \bar{u}_j^3 v^2 p^{2\beta} \pmod{p^\alpha},$$

where $u_j \bar{u}_j \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\alpha}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} mu^k + n\bar{u}^k &\equiv (mu_j^k + n\bar{u}_j^k) + kvp^\beta(mu_j^{2k} - n)\bar{u}_j^{k+1} + \\ &+ kv^2 p^{2\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}m(k-1)u_j^{k-2} + n\bar{u}_j^{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}n(k-1)\bar{u}_j^{k+2} \right\} \pmod{p^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The element in the braces on the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}m(k-1)u_j^{k-2} + \frac{1}{2}n(k+1)\bar{u}_j^{k+2} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\{k(mu_j^{k-2} + n\bar{u}_j^{k+2}) - (mu_j^{k-2} - n\bar{u}_j^{k+2})\} \equiv \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2}\{k\bar{u}_j^{k+2}(mu_j^{2k} + n) - \bar{u}_j^{k+2}(mu_j^{2k} - n)\} \equiv \\
&\equiv k\bar{u}_j^{k+2}n \not\equiv \\
&\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the summation over v is a Gauss sum, hence its absolute value is bounded by \sqrt{p} . Hence we have

$$|K(m, n, k; p^\alpha)| \leq p^\beta 2k\sqrt{p} = 2kp^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

Collecting these estimates and (10), we finally get

$$|K(m, n, k; p^\alpha)| \leq 2k(m, n, p^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

for $1 \leq m, n \leq p^\alpha - 1$ and $(k, p) = 1$, which proves Theorem 1.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we recall the multiplicative property of general Kloosterman sum shown in [6]:

$$K(m, n, k; q) = K(m\bar{v}, n\bar{v}, k; u)K(m\bar{u}, n\bar{u}, k; v),$$

where $q = uv$, $(u, v) = 1$, $v\bar{v} \equiv 1 \pmod{u}$ and $u\bar{u} \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$. Theorem 1 and the above property imply that

$$|K(m, n, k; q)| \leq (2k)^{\nu(q)}(m, n, q)^{1/2}q^{1/2},$$

where $\nu(q)$ denotes the number of different prime factors of q . The assertion of Theorem 2 follows immediately from the fact $2^{\nu(q)} \leq d(q)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 3

We shall prove Theorem 3 by induction on k .

As noticed above, the assertion (8) in the case $k = 1$ is due to Chowla and Salié [1, 4].

Now suppose $k > 1$ and that the assertion of Theorem 3 is valid for all $l < k$.

First we consider the case that k and $p - 1$ are coprimes. Then k is invertible mod $p - 1$, hence there exists an integer k_1 such that $kk_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p - 1}$. Since

$$\chi(a) = \chi^{k_1}(a^k),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\chi(m, n, k; p) &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi^{k_1}(a^k) e\left(\frac{ma^k + \bar{a}^k}{p}\right) = \\ &= K_{\chi^{k_1}}(m, n, 1; p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|K_\chi(m, n, k; p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$$

for $(k, p - 1) = 1$.

Next we consider the case $k_0 := (k, p - 1) > 1$. Put $k = k_0 l$.

Let g be a primitive root mod p , i.e. $G := (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times = \langle g \rangle$ and let h be an integer defined by $\chi(g) = e^{\frac{2\pi i h}{p-1}}$.

If $k_0 (= (G : G^{k_0}))$ divides h , i.e. $h = k_0 f$ with an integer f , then we have $\chi(a) = \chi'(a^{k_0})$ for any a and χ' is a character such that $\chi'(g) = e^{\frac{2\pi i f}{p-1}}$. Hence we may write

$$K_\chi(m, n, k; p) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi'(a^{k_0}) e\left(\frac{m(a^{k_0})^l + n(\bar{a}^{k_0})^l}{p}\right).$$

Hence, by (9)

$$\begin{aligned} K_\chi(m, n, k; p) &= \sum_{\varphi \in (G/G^{k_0})^*} \sum_{a \in G} \varphi(a) \chi'(a) e\left(\frac{ma^l + n\bar{a}^l}{p}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\varphi \in (G/G^{k_0})^*} K_{\varphi\chi'}(m, n, l; p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have, by the induction hypothesis,

$$K_\chi(m, n, k; p) \ll \sqrt{p},$$

where the implied constant depends only on k .

When k_0/h , we shall show that the Kloosterman sum in question is equal to zero. For this purpose we consider the mean square of $K_\chi(m, n, k; p)$ with respect to m . Expanding $|K_\chi(m, n, k; p)|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\chi(m, n, k; p)|^2 &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \bar{\chi}(b) e\left(\frac{m(a^k - b^k) + n(\bar{a}^k - \bar{b}^k)}{p}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{mb^k(a^k - 1) + n\bar{b}^k(\bar{a}^k - 1)}{p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{a} and \bar{b} are integers such that $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $b\bar{b} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, respectively. Therefore

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |K_\chi(m, n, k; p)|^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{n\bar{b}^k(\bar{a}^k - 1)}{p}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{mb^k(a^k - 1)}{p}\right).$$

Since the last summation is equal to p if $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and 0 otherwise, we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |K_\chi(m, n, k; p)|^2 = p(p-1) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}}}^{p-1} \chi(a).$$

When $a \equiv g^j \pmod{p}$ with some j , then

$$a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Leftrightarrow j = rm \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, \dots, k_0 - 1,$$

therefore we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_{\substack{a=0 \\ a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}}}^{p-1} \chi(a) = \sum_{m=0}^{k_0-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i h r m}{p-1}} = \sum_{m=0}^{k_0-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i h m}{k_0}}$$

$$(18) \quad = 0.$$

The equations (19) and (17) show that $K_\chi(m, n, k; p) = 0$ when $k_0 \nmid h$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark. The above argument shows that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |K_\chi(m, n, k; p)|^2 = p(p-1)k_0,$$

when $k_0|h$.

References

- [1] **Chowla S.**, On Kloosterman's sum, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. (Trondheim)*, **40** (1967), 70-72.
- [2] **Estermann T.**, On Kloosterman's sum, *Mathematica*, **8** (1961), 83-86.
- [3] **Iwaniec H.**, *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [4] **Salié H.**, Über die Kloostermanschen Summen $S(u, v; q)$, *Math. Z.*, **34** (1931), 91-109.
- [5] **Weil A.**, On some exponential sums, *Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci.*, **34** (1948), 204-207.
- [6] **Yi Yuan and Zhang Wenpeng**, On the generalization of a problem of D.H. Lehmer, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **56** (2002), 235-241.
- [7] **Zhang Wenpeng**, A problem of D.H. Lehmer and its generalization, *Compositio Math.*, **86** (1993), 307-316.
- [8] **Zhang Wenpeng**, A problem of D.H. Lehmer and its generalization II., *Compositio Math.*, **91** (1994), 47-56.
- [9] **Zhang Wenpeng**, The first power mean of the inversion of L -functions and general Kloosterman sums, *Monatsh. Math.*, **136** (2002), 259-267.

S. Kanemitsu

Graduate School of Advanced Technology,
University of Kinki
Iizuka, Fukuoka, 820-8555, Japan
kanemitsu@fuk.kindai.ac.jp

Y. Tanigawa

Graduate School of Mathematics
Nagoya University
Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan
tanigawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Yi Yuan and Zhang Wenpeng

Research Center for Basic Science
Xi'an Jiaotong University
Xi'an Shaanxi, China
yiyuan74@163.com and wpzhang@nwu.edu.cn