

## NUMBER SYSTEMS IN REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS

G. Farkas (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to the memory of I. Környei and B. Kovács*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  be a real quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $I$  be the set of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Let  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{0 = f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{|d|-1}\}$  be a complete residue system *mod*  $\alpha$ . Furthermore let  $d = \alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  the conjugate of  $\alpha$ .

In  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  for each  $\pi \in I$  exists a unique  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\bar{\pi}_1 \in I$  such that  $\pi = \alpha \bar{\pi}_1 + e$ . Let the function  $J : I \rightarrow I$  be defined by  $J(\pi) = \bar{\pi}_1$ .

If  $\pi \in I$  and  $\pi = J^k(\pi)$  holds for some  $k > 0$ , we say that  $\pi$  is a periodic element. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of periodic elements.

For some  $\alpha \in I$  and complete residue system  $\mathcal{A} \pmod{\alpha}$  it may happen that each  $\beta \in I$  has a finite expansion of form

$$\beta = e_0 + e_1\alpha + \dots + e_k\alpha^k,$$

where  $e_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Then we say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha)$  is a Number System (NS) with coefficient system  $\mathcal{A}$ .

I. Kátai [1] proved that if  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary integer in an imaginary quadratic extension field  $\mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{D})$ , such that  $|\alpha| > 1$  and  $|1 - \alpha| \neq 1$  holds, then  $(\mathcal{F}, \alpha)$  is a NS with a suitable coefficient set  $\mathcal{F}$ . Earlier this assertion for Gaussian integer has been proved by G. Steidl [2].

The purpose of this paper is to prove an assertion in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . It is a natural question to find all the possible NS bases in real quadratic extension fields. This seems to be a hard problem. As a partial result we shall prove our Theorem.

We remark that

- (1.1)  $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- (1.2) If  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $J(\pi) \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $G(\mathcal{P})$  is the directed graph defined by  $\pi \rightarrow J(\pi)$  for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $G(\mathcal{P})$  is a disjoint union of circles.
- (1.3)  $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha)$  is a NS over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P} = \{0\}$ .

## 2. Construction of the coefficient system

If  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\{1, \sqrt{D}\}$  is an integral basis in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ , while for  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$   $\{1, \omega\}$  is an integral base, where  $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a coefficient system, then for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  we can write  $\beta = \beta_1 \alpha + f$ , where  $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \bar{\alpha} &= f \bar{\alpha} + \beta_1 d \\ \text{and} \quad \bar{\beta} \alpha &= \bar{f} \alpha + \bar{\beta}_1 d. \end{aligned}$$

If  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = a - b\sqrt{D}$  and  $f = k + l\sqrt{D}$ , where  $a, b, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$f \bar{\alpha} = (k + l\sqrt{D})(a - b\sqrt{D}) = (ka - blD) + (la - kb)\sqrt{D}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} r &= ka - blD \quad \text{and} \\ s &= la - kb. \end{aligned}$$

If  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\alpha = a + b\omega = a + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = a + b\bar{\omega} = a + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D} = a + b - b\omega$  and  $f = k + l\omega = k + \frac{l}{2} + \frac{l}{2}\sqrt{D}$ , where  $a, b, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore we have

$$f \bar{\alpha} = (k + l\omega)((a + b) - b\omega) = (a + b)k + bl \frac{1 - D}{4} + (la - kb)\omega.$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} r &= (a + b)k + bl \frac{1 - D}{4} \\ \text{and} \quad s &= la - kb. \end{aligned}$$

Choose the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  so that the next conditions are valid for each  $\begin{bmatrix} k_i \\ l_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$(2.1) \quad r_i, s_i \in \left( -\frac{|d|}{2}, \frac{|d|}{2} \right],$$

$$(2.2) \quad r_i \equiv r_j \pmod{d} \ \& \ s_i \equiv s_j \pmod{d} \iff i = j.$$

We can do that always. This fact is well known in number theory.

### 3. Formulation of our theorem and its proof in simple cases

**Theorem.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary integer in a real quadratic extension field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  such that  $|\alpha| \geq 2$  and  $|\bar{\alpha}| \geq 2$  holds. Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha)$  is a NS with coefficient set  $\mathcal{A}$  constructed in Section 2.*

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  or if  $\alpha = b\sqrt{D}$  in the case  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $\alpha = b\omega$  in the case  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha)$  is a NS for every extension field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha = a + 0 \cdot \sqrt{D}$  or  $\alpha = a + 0 \cdot \omega$ ,  $d = a^2$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  for which  $l, k \in \left( -\frac{|a|}{2}, \frac{|a|}{2} \right]$ . Then we can expand each  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  in a NS with base  $a$  and coefficient system  $\left\{ c \mid c \in \left( -\frac{|a|}{2}, \frac{|a|}{2} \right] \right\}$ . If  $m = \sum k_t a^t$ ,  $n = \sum l_t a^t$ , then

$$\beta = m + n\sqrt{D} = \sum (k_t + l_t\sqrt{D})a^t$$

$$\text{or} \quad \beta = m + n\omega = \sum (k_t + l_t\omega)a^t$$

is the corresponding expansion of the integers  $\beta \in I$ . In the case  $\alpha = b\sqrt{D}$  or  $\alpha = b\omega$  we can make the proof similarly. This completes the proof of the Lemma 1.

Further we assume, that  $a \neq 0$ , and  $b \neq 0$ .

#### 4. Investigation of $G(P)$

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that the conditions of the Theorem hold, and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the coefficient system constructed in Section 2. Then each nontrivial circle in  $G(P)$ , if any, contains an irrational node.*

**Proof.** The proof is indirect. Assume that there exists a circle

$$p_0 \rightarrow p_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow p_{k-1} \rightarrow p_k (= p_0),$$

where  $p_\nu \in P$  are rational integers  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . We can write

$$p_\nu = \alpha p_{\nu+1} + f^{(\nu)}.$$

##### 4.1. The case $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

We have

$$\bar{\alpha} p_\nu = d p_{\nu+1} + r^{(\nu)} + s^{(\nu)} \sqrt{D},$$

and from this

$$\alpha p_\nu - b p_\nu \sqrt{D} = d p_{\nu+1} + r^{(\nu)} + s^{(\nu)} \sqrt{D}.$$

Then

$$(4.1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha p_\nu - d p_{\nu+1} = r^{(\nu)}, \\ -b p_\nu = s^{(\nu)}. \end{cases}$$

**Assertion 1.**  $|d| > 2|a|$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2a$ ,  $|\alpha| > 2$ ,  $|\bar{\alpha}| > 2$ , therefore

$$(4.1.2) \quad 2|a| < |d|$$

always holds.

**Assertion 2.**  $|p_0| = |p_1| = \dots = |p_{k-1}|$ .

**Proof (indirect).** Assume that the Assertion 2 is not true. Then there exists  $\nu = l - 1$ , for which  $|p_l| > |p_{l-1}|$ . From (4.1.1)

$$|\alpha p_\nu| = |d p_\nu + r^{(l-1)}| \geq |d p_l| - |r^{(l-1)}| \geq |d| |p_l| - \frac{|d|}{2},$$

$$|\alpha p_\nu| \geq |d| \left( |p_l| - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad p_l \text{ is an integer, therefore}$$

$$|\alpha p_\nu| \geq |d| |p_{l-1}|, \quad |a| |p_{l-1}| \geq |d| |p_{l-1}|$$

and this contradicts to Assertion 1.

**Assertion 3.** *No such  $p \in \mathbb{Z} \cap P \setminus \{0\}$  exists for which  $J(p) = p$  or  $J(p) = -p$  holds.*

If there would exist  $p \rightarrow p$  circle in  $G(P)$ , i.e.  $J(p) = p$ , then

$$p = \alpha p + f, \quad f \in A,$$

whence

$$\bar{\alpha}p = dp + r + s\sqrt{D} \quad \text{would follow.}$$

We get

$$|a - d| \leq |r| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

Similarly if  $J(p) = -p$ , then we get

$$|a + d| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

Both cases contradict to Assertion 1, therefore we proved Assertion 3.

#### 4.2. The case $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Now we get  $\bar{\alpha}p_\nu = dp_{\nu+1} + r^{(\nu)} + s^{(\nu)}\omega$ , and from this

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{cases} (a + \frac{b}{2})p_\nu = dp_{\nu+1} + r^{(\nu)} + \frac{s^{(\nu)}}{2}, \\ -\frac{b}{2}p_\nu = \frac{s^{(\nu)}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

**Assertion 1'.**  $|d| > 2|a + \frac{b}{2}|$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha = (a + \frac{b}{2}) + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = (a + \frac{b}{2}) - \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}$ , therefore  $\max(|\alpha|, |\bar{\alpha}|) = |a + \frac{b}{2}| + \frac{|b|}{2}\sqrt{D} < \frac{|d|}{2}$ , which implies Assertion 1'.

**Assertion 2'.**  $|p_0| = |p_1| = \dots = |p_{k-1}|$ .

**Proof (indirect).** Arguing the earlier we may assume that there exists  $\nu = l - 1$ , for which  $|p_l| > |p_{l-1}|$ . From (4.2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (a + \frac{b}{2})p_\nu \right| &= \left| a + \frac{b}{2} \right| |p_\nu| = \left| dp_{\nu+1} + r^{(\nu)} + \frac{s^{(\nu)}}{2} \right| \geq |d| |p_{\nu+1}| - \left| r^{(\nu)} + \frac{s^{(\nu)}}{2} \right|, \\ \left| \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) \right| |p_\nu| &\geq |d| |p_{\nu+1}| - \left| \frac{3}{4}d \right| = |d| \left( |p_{\nu+1}| - \frac{3}{4} \right) \geq |d| |p_\nu|, \\ \left| a + \frac{b}{2} \right| &\geq |d|, \end{aligned}$$

but this contradicts to Assertion 1'.

**Assertion 3'.** *No such  $p \in \mathbb{Z} \cap P \setminus \{0\}$  exists for which  $J(p) = p$  or  $J(p) = -p$  holds.*

**Proof.** Observe that if  $J(p) = p$ , then

$$p = \alpha p + f,$$

where  $f \in A$ , from this

$$\bar{\alpha}p = dp + r + s\omega.$$

We get

$$p \left( \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) - d \right) = r + \frac{s}{2}.$$

We know that

$$\left| a + \frac{b}{2} \right| < \frac{|d|}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| r + \frac{s}{2} \right| \leq \frac{3}{4}|d|.$$

Hence

$$|p| \left| \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) - d \right| > |p| \frac{|d|}{2}$$

and

$$\left| r + \frac{s}{2} \right| \leq \frac{3}{4}|d|.$$

We got

$$|p| \frac{|d|}{2} < \frac{3}{4}|d|,$$

and from this

$$|p| < \frac{3}{2}.$$

It follows that  $|p| = 1$ . The case  $J(p) = -p$  yields the same result. Observe that with these conditions  $p \in A$ , because if  $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in A$ , then

$$r = a + b$$

$$\text{and} \quad s = -b.$$

If  $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in A$ , then

$$r = -(a + b),$$

$$s = b$$

and in both cases  $r, s \in (-\frac{|d|}{2}, \frac{|d|}{2}]$ . This follows from the inequalities

$$\frac{|d|}{2} > \left| a + \frac{b}{2} \right| + \frac{|b|}{2} \sqrt{D}, \quad D > 4.$$

Then we can write  $p = \alpha \cdot 0 + p$ , therefore  $p \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $p$  is not a periodic element. We proved the Assertion 3' and the Lemma 2.

## 5. Estimating the absolute values of the periodic elements

**Lemma 3.** *If  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\pi = p + q\sqrt{D} \in P$ , then*

$$(5.1) \quad |\pi| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right)},$$

$$(5.2) \quad |\bar{\pi}| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\bar{\alpha}|}\right)}.$$

*If  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\pi = p + q\omega \in P$ , then*

$$(5.3) \quad |\pi| \leq \frac{1 + \omega}{2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right)},$$

$$(5.4) \quad |\bar{\pi}| \leq \frac{1 + |\bar{\omega}|}{2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\bar{\alpha}|}\right)}.$$

**Proof.** We try to estimate the value of  $f\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{f}\alpha$ . We know that if  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $f\bar{\alpha} = r + s\sqrt{D}$ , where  $r, s \in (-\frac{|d|}{2}, \frac{|d|}{2}]$ . From this  $|f\bar{\alpha}| = |r + s\sqrt{D}| \leq \frac{|d|}{2} + \frac{|d|}{2} \sqrt{D} = \frac{(1+\sqrt{D})|d|}{2}$ , consequently

$$(5.5) \quad |f| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2} |\alpha|,$$

and similarly

$$(5.6) \quad |\bar{f}| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2} |\bar{\alpha}|.$$

Now let  $\pi$  be an arbitrary periodic element. Then

$$\pi = f + \alpha\pi_1, \quad \text{where } \pi_1 \in P \text{ and } f \in A.$$

From this

$$\pi\bar{\alpha} = f\bar{\alpha} + d\pi_1.$$

We will give an upper bound of the absolute value of the periodic elements. Let  $\pi_1$  be such that  $|\pi_1| = \max_{x \in P} |x|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi| &\leq |\pi_1|, \\ \pi_1 &= \frac{\pi\bar{\alpha} - f\bar{\alpha}}{d}, \\ |\pi_1| &\leq \frac{|\pi||\bar{\alpha}|}{|d|} + \frac{|f\bar{\alpha}|}{|d|}, \\ |\pi_1| &\leq \frac{|\pi_1|}{|\alpha|} + \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2}, \\ |\pi_1| &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{2(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|})}. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove the further assertion of Lemma 3 in a similar way.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\pi = p + q\sqrt{D}$  in the case  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , or  $\pi = p + q\omega$  in the case  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a periodic element, then neither  $|q| > 1$  nor  $|q| > 0$  &  $|p| > 0$  holds.*

**Proof.** If  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , Lemma 3 implies that  $|\pi| < 1 + \sqrt{D}$  and  $|\bar{\pi}| < 1 + \sqrt{D}$ . Hence  $\max(|\pi|, |\bar{\pi}|) = |p| + |q|\sqrt{D} < 1 + \sqrt{D}$ . The second assertion is true.

If  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we can proceed similarly. From Lemma 3 it follows that  $|\pi| < 1 + \omega$  and  $|\bar{\pi}| < 1 + |\bar{\omega}|$ . If  $\text{sign}(p) = \text{sign}(q)$ , then  $|\pi| = |p| + |q|\omega$ ,  $|p| > 0$  &  $|q| > 0$  cannot hold, because  $|p| + |q|\omega < 1 + \omega$  is impossible. If  $\text{sign}(p) \neq \text{sign}(q)$ , then  $|\bar{\pi}| = |p| + |q\bar{\omega}|$  hold, because  $\bar{\omega} < 0$ .  $|p| > 0$  &  $|q| > 0$  implies that  $|p| + |q\bar{\omega}| \geq 1 + |\bar{\omega}|$ . We got that  $|p| > 0$  &  $|q| > 0$  cannot hold. If  $|p| > 0$  &  $|q| > 1$ , then  $|\pi| = |q\omega| \geq |2\omega| > 1 + \omega$ . This contradicts to  $|\pi| < 1 + \omega$ , therefore we proved Lemma 4.

Hence we know that the irrational node, mentioned in Lemma 4, can only be  $\sqrt{D}$  or  $-\sqrt{D}$  if  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\omega$  or  $-\omega$  if  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .



## 6. Completing the proof of the Theorem for $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

**Assertion 4.** *If  $|q_1| = |q_2| = 1$ , then  $J(q_1\sqrt{D}) = q_2\sqrt{D}$  never holds.*

**Proof (indirect).** Assume that  $J(q_1\sqrt{D}) = q_2\sqrt{D}$  is true, then

$$q_1\sqrt{D} = \alpha q_2\sqrt{D} + f$$

for some  $f \in A$ . We get

$$\bar{\alpha}q_1\sqrt{D} = \alpha q_1\sqrt{D} - bq_1D = dq_2\sqrt{D} + r + s\sqrt{D}.$$

From this it follows that  $\alpha q_1 - dq_2 = s$ , whence  $|\alpha q_1 - dq_2| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}$ . This is a contradiction, because  $|\alpha q_1 - dq_2| > \frac{|d|}{2}$ . We proved the Assertion 4.

**Lemma 5.** *No such  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap P \setminus \{0\}$  exist for which  $J(p_2) = q\sqrt{D}$  and  $J(q\sqrt{D}) = p_1$  hold simultaneously, where  $|q| = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Assume indirectly

$$(6.1) \quad J(p_2) = q\sqrt{D}$$

$$(6.2) \quad \text{and} \quad J(q\sqrt{D}) = p_1,$$

where  $|q| = 1$  and  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap P \setminus \{0\}$ . Then from (6.1)  $p_2 = dq\sqrt{D} + f$  for some  $f \in A$ , whence

$$\bar{\alpha}p_2 = \alpha p_2 - bp_2\sqrt{D} = dq\sqrt{D} + r + s\sqrt{D}.$$

We get  $bp_2 + dq = -s$  and from this it follows that

$$|bp_2| = |-s - dq| \geq |d| - \frac{|d|}{2} = \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

Hence

$$(6.3) \quad 2|bp_2| \geq |d|.$$

On the other hand  $\max(|\alpha|, |\bar{\alpha}|) = |a| + |b|\sqrt{D} < \frac{|d|}{2}$  implies that  $|d| > 2|a| + 2|b|\sqrt{D}$ . Thus from (6.3)  $2|bp_2| > 2|a| + 2|b|\sqrt{D}$  follows. We get  $|p_2| - \sqrt{D} > \frac{|a|}{|b|}$ , and from this

$$(6.4) \quad |p_2| > \sqrt{D}.$$

From (6.2) we get that  $q\sqrt{D} = p_1 + f'$ , where  $f' \in A$ . Hence

$$\bar{\alpha}q\sqrt{D} = a\sqrt{D}q - bDq = dp_1 + r' + s'\sqrt{D}.$$

Hence  $dp_1 + bDq = -r'$ . If we assume that  $|p_1| > \sqrt{D}$ , then

$$|dp_1| > (|a| + 2|b|\sqrt{D})\sqrt{D} > |bD|, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{|d|}{2} \geq |-r'| = |dp_1 + bDq| \geq |dp_1| - |bD|$$

holds. We got that  $|d|(|p_1| - \frac{1}{2}) \leq |bD|$ , but this contradicts to  $|p_1| > \sqrt{D}$ , therefore we can state that  $|p_1| < \sqrt{D}$ .

Observe that if our directed circle contains a transition of type  $p_2 \rightarrow \sqrt{D} \rightarrow p_1$ , or a transition  $p_2 \rightarrow (-\sqrt{D}) \rightarrow p_1$ , then it must contain a transition  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ , where  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap P \setminus \{0\}$  and  $|t_1| < |t_2|$ . It is clear, because in the case  $p_2 \rightarrow q\sqrt{D} \rightarrow p_1$  we have  $|p_2| > |p_1|$ , and on the other hand  $q\sqrt{D} \rightarrow p_2$  implies that  $|p_2| < \sqrt{D}$ , and this contradicts to  $|p_2| > \sqrt{D}$ . But, if there exists  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ , transition with the abovementioned conditions, then  $t_1 = \alpha t_2 + f$  holds from some  $f \in A$ . We get  $\bar{\alpha}t_1 = at_1 - bt_1\sqrt{D} = dt_2 + r + s\sqrt{D}$ , whence

$$(6.5) \quad |at_1 - dt_2| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

Since  $|d| > 2|a|$  and  $|t_2| > |t_1|$  hold, consequently  $|at_1 - dt_2| > \frac{|d|}{2}$ , and this contradicts to (6.5). We proved the Lemma 5.

We know from the Lemma 2 that there no exists nontrivial circle in  $G(P)$ , therefore  $P = \{0\}$ . This completes the proof of the Theorem for  $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

## 7. Completing the proof of the Theorem for $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

**Assertion 5.** *If  $|q_1| = |q_2| = 1$ , then  $J(q_1\omega) = q_2\omega$  never holds.*

**Proof (indirect).** Assume that  $|q_1| = |q_2| = 1$  and  $J(q_1\omega) = q_2\omega$  is true, then

$$q_1\omega = \alpha q_2\omega + f$$

where  $f \in A$ . Thus  $\bar{\alpha}q_1\omega = dq_2\omega + r + s\omega$ . From this we get that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) q_1 - \frac{b}{4} q_1 - \frac{d}{2} q_2 = \frac{s}{2},$$

whence

$$|aq_1 - dq_2| = |s| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

From  $|\alpha| = |a+b\omega|$  &  $|\bar{\alpha}| = |a+b\bar{\omega}|$  it follows that  $|\alpha| > a$  or  $|\bar{\alpha}| > a$ , therefore  $|d| > 2|a|$  and then  $|aq_1 - dq_2| > \frac{|d|}{2}$ . This contradicts to  $|aq_1 - dq_2| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}$ . Hence the Assertion 5 follows.

We got that there are not  $\omega \rightarrow \omega$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow (-\omega)$ ,  $(-\omega) \rightarrow \omega$ ,  $(-\omega) \rightarrow (-\omega)$  transitions. Therefore we must to verify only those circles, which contain  $p_2 \rightarrow z \rightarrow p_1$  transitions, where  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  are rational integers and  $|z| = \omega$ .

**Lemma 6.** *No circle of periodic elements exist, which contain  $p_2 \rightarrow z \rightarrow p_1$  transitions, where  $|z| = \omega$  and  $p_1, p_2$  nonzero rational integers.*

**Proof (indirect).** Assume there exists  $p_2 \rightarrow q\omega \rightarrow p_1$  with the above-mentioned conditions, further  $|q| = 1$  and  $p_1, p_2 \neq 0$ . Then, from  $q\omega = \alpha p_1 + f_1$  it follows that

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}q \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) - q\frac{b}{4}D - dp_1 = r_1 + \frac{s_1}{2},$$

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}q \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) - q\frac{b}{4} = q\frac{a}{2} = \frac{s_1}{2},$$

and from  $p_2 = \alpha q\omega + f_2$  we obtain

$$(7.3) \quad \left( a + \frac{b}{2} \right) p_2 - q\frac{d}{2} = r_2 + \frac{s_2}{2},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{b}{2}p_2 - q\frac{d}{2} = \frac{s_2}{2},$$

where  $f_1, f_2 \in A$ . (7.4) implies that

$$|p_2| = \left| \frac{qd + s_2}{b} \right| \geq \left| \frac{d}{b} \right| - \left| \frac{s_2}{b} \right| \geq \left| \frac{b}{d} \right| - \left| \frac{d}{2b} \right| = \left| \frac{d}{2b} \right|.$$

On the other hand assume that there is an arbitrary  $\pi \in P$ ,  $\pi = p + 0 \cdot \omega$  for which  $|p| > \omega$ . Since  $\pi \in P$ ,  $\bar{\pi} \in P$  and  $|\bar{\pi}| < 1 + |\bar{\omega}|$ , therefore  $|p| < 1 + |\bar{\omega}|$ .

Hence  $|p| < 1 - \bar{\omega} = \omega$ . This is impossible, therefore we can state in a concrete case that  $|p_2| < \omega$ . We get

$$(7.5) \quad \omega > |p_2| \geq \frac{|d|}{2|b|}.$$

We have  $\alpha = a + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} = a + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}$ . Observe that either  $|\alpha| > |\frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}|$  or  $|\bar{\alpha}| > |\frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D}|$  holds with the exception of two cases:

$$(7.6) \quad b > 0 \ \& \ \alpha > 2 \ \& \ \bar{\alpha} < -2 \ \& \ a < 0,$$

$$(7.7) \quad b < 0 \ \& \ \alpha < -2 \ \& \ \bar{\alpha} > 2 \ \& \ a > 0.$$

If neither (7.6) nor (7.7) hold, then  $|d| > 2|b\omega| > 2|b|\omega$ . This contradicts to (7.5).

If (7.6) or (7.7) are valid, then  $|a + \frac{b}{2}| < \frac{|b|}{2}\sqrt{D} - 2$ , because either  $a + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D} > 2 \ \& \ a + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D} < -2$  or  $a + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D} < -2 \ \& \ a + \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{D} > 2$  are true. Hence  $(a + \frac{b}{2})^2 < |\frac{b^2}{4}D - 2|b|\sqrt{D} + 4|$ , therefore we get

$$(7.8) \quad |d| > 2|b|\sqrt{D} - 4.$$

Then (7.5) and (7.8) imply, that  $2|b|\omega \geq |d| > 2|b|\sqrt{D} - 4$ , from this we get

$$(7.9) \quad 0 > |b|(\sqrt{D} - 1) - 4.$$

(7.9) never holds if  $D > 21$  or  $D > 5 \ \& \ |b| > 1$  or in the case  $D = 5 \ \& \ |b| > 3$ . This the exceptional cases remained to prove.

(1)  $D = 5 \ \& \ |b| = 1$ . Then (7.6), (7.7) imply that  $a > 0 \ \& \ a < 0$ , but this is impossible.

(2)  $D = 5 \ \& \ |b| = 2$ . Then from (7.6)  $a = -1$  follows, and from (7.7) we obtain  $a = 1$ . Subtracting (7.1) from (7.2), we deduce

$$q\frac{b}{4}D + dp_1 - q\frac{b}{4} = -r_1,$$

from this we have

$$q\frac{b}{4}(D - 1) + dp_1 = -r_1,$$

whence

$$(7.10) \quad \left| q\frac{b}{4}(D - 1) + dp_1 \right| \leq \frac{|d|}{2}.$$

Hence  $|a| = 1$ ,  $|b| = 2$ ,  $\text{sgn}(a) \neq \text{sgn}(b)$  and  $D = 5$  hold, therefore  $|q^{\frac{b}{4}}(D-1) + dp_1| \geq |dp_1| - |q^{\frac{b}{4}}(D-1)| = |5p_1| - 2 \geq 3$ . But  $\frac{|d|}{2} = 2.5$  and this contradicts to (7.10).

(3)  $D = 5$  &  $|b| = 3$ . Then from (7.6) and (7.7) it follows that  $|a| = 1$  or  $|a| = 2$ . Hence  $|d| = 11$ , therefore  $|q^{\frac{b}{4}}(D-1) + dp_1| \geq |11p_1| - 3 \geq 8$ , and  $\frac{|d|}{2} = 5.5$ . This also contradicts to (7.10).

(4)  $13 \leq D \leq 21$  &  $|b| = 1$ . From (7.6) we obtain that

$$(7.11) \quad a > 2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D},$$

and from (7.7)

$$(7.12) \quad a < -2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D}$$

follows.

Observe that (7.11) contradicts to (7.6), because  $2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D} > -1$  and (7.12) contradicts to (7.7), because  $-2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D} < 1$ .

Since we conducted to contradiction in all cases, we obtained that neither  $p_2 \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow p_1$  nor  $p_2 \rightarrow (-\omega) \rightarrow p_1$  transition exist. We proved the Lemma 6.

Hence a circle of periodic elements contains only rational integers, and the Lemma 2 implies that  $P = \{0\}$ .

The proof of the Theorem is completed.

## References

- [1] **Kátai I.**, Number systems in imaginary quadratic fields, *Annales Univ. Sci. Bud. Sect. Comp.*, **14** (1994), 91-103.
- [2] **Steidl G.**, On symmetric representation of Gaussian integers, *BIT*, **29** (1989), 563-571.

**G. Farkas**

Department of Computer Algebra

Eötvös Loránd University

P.O.B. 32

H-1518 Budapest, Hungary

farkasg@compalg.inf.elte.hu

