# A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR THE OPERATOR SPACE $L(L_0^{\infty}(X); Z)$

I. Csörgő (Budapest, Hungary)

To Professor J. Balázs on his 75-th birthday

# 1. Introduction

It is well-known that the dual of  $L^p$  built on an abstract measure space is  $L^q$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ , and that the dual of  $L^{\infty}$  is the space of finitely additive, absolutely continuous set functions, which have bounded variation.

A possible generalization is described in Benedek and Panzone [2], where the authors take successively the  $p_i$ -norm in the *i*-th variable (i = 1, ..., n; $1 \le p_i \le \infty)$  of a scalar-valued, measurable function defined on the Cartesian product of  $n \sigma$ -finite measure spaces, and the mixed norm space  $L^{(p_1,...,p_n)}$ consists of functions for which these values are finite. It is shown in [2] that the dual of  $L^{(p_1,...,p_n)}$  is  $L^{(q_1,...,q_n)}$   $(1 \le p_i < \infty, p_i^{-1} + q_i^{-1} = 1)$ .

Another generalization (that contains the previous one in a certain sense) is given in Diestel and Uhl [4], where the functions are defined on a finite measure space and take their values from a Banach-space X. The corresponding  $L^{p}$ space  $L^{p}(X)$  is defined similarly to the scalar-valued case, but the absolute value is replaced by the X-norm. In [4] it is shown that the dual of  $L^{p}(X)$ is  $L^{q}(X^{*})$ , whenever  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$  and  $X^{*}$  has the Radon-Nikodym property, that is every countably additive, absolutely continuous vector measure of bounded variation can be regarded as the indefinite integral of a Bochner-integrable function.

A third possibility for generalization is that instead of the functional space  $(L^p)^* = L(L^p; \mathbf{K})$  we model the linear operator space  $L(L^p; X)$ , where X is a Banach space and  $\mathbf{K}$  is the set of the real or complex numbers. Such a result for  $p = \infty$  is given in Diestel and Uhl [4] (cf. special cases 1) in this paper).

In this paper we shall deal with the representation of the operator space  $L(L_0^{\infty}(X); Z)$ , where X and Z are Banach spaces,  $L_0^{\infty}(X)$  is the closure of the subspace of simple functions in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ . This representation will be given using Y-valued, finitely additive, absolutely continuous and bounded vector measures, where Y is a Banach space, which is connected with X and Z by a bilinear operator satisfying the "bijection property". The paper contains a characterization of  $L_0^{\infty}(X)$ , and finally, two important special cases, too.

### 2. Definitions and preliminaries

Let  $(X, \|.\|_X)$ ,  $(Y, \|.\|_Y)$ ,  $(Z, \|.\|_Z)$  be Banach spaces over the same (real or complex) scalar field **K**, and  $B: X \times Y \to Z$  be a bounded bilinear operator, which satisfies the following "bijection property": the mapping  $Y \to L(X; Z)$ ,  $y \mapsto B(., y)$  is bijective, where L(X; Z) denotes the space of bounded linear operators from X to Z. We remark that the mapping  $y \mapsto B(., y)$  is injective if and only if B is nondegenerated, that is B(x, y) = 0 ( $x \in X$ ) implies y = 0(cf. Halmos [5]). If it does not give rise to confusion, we denote B(x, y) simply by xy and call it as the "product" of x and y, and B as the "multiplication".

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{\Omega}$  be a field. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable finite partitions of  $\Omega$ , and by  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$  or shortly  $\{E_i\}$ , the elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . So  $E_i \in \mathcal{A}, E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j, E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_m = \Omega$ . A set function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to Y$  is called Y-valued vector measure if it is finitely additive. Define the semivariation of  $\mu$  by

$$\|\mu\| := \sup\left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\mu(E_{i}) \right\|_{Z} : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_{i} \in X, \|x_{i}\|_{X} \le 1 \right\},\$$

and the total variation of  $\mu$  by

$$|\mu| := \sup\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\mu(E_i)\|_Y : \{E_i\} \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$$

as in Bartle [1]. Remark that these variations are not necessarily finite and that they are norms in the vector spaces of vector measures of finite semi- and total variations, respectively.

Proposition 1.

a)  $\|\mu\| \le \|B\| \cdot |\mu|.$ 

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|.$$

**Proof.** a) is obvious, for b) see Diestel and Uhl [4], Proposition 11. Proving c),  $\|\mu\| \leq |\mu|$  follows immediately from  $\|B\| \leq 1$  and a). To see the opposite inequality it is enough to show that

$$\left\{\sum_{i} \|\mu(E_{i})\|_{X^{*}} : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}\right\} \subset$$
$$\subset \left\{\left|\sum_{i} \mu(E_{i})(x_{i})\right| : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_{i} \in X, \|x_{i}\|_{X} \leq 1\right\}.$$

Really, since X is reflexive, by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists  $x_i \in X$  such that  $||x_i||_X = 1$  and  $\mu(E_i)(x_i) = ||\mu(E_i)||_{X^*}$ .

In the remainder part of this paper  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  denotes an arbitrary probability measure space. A vector measure  $\mu$  is called absolutely continuous with respect to P (briefly  $\mu \ll P$ ) if P(E) = 0 implies  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Denote by  $BA(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P; Y)$  or simply by BA(Y) the vector space

$$BA(Y) := \{ \mu : \mathcal{A} \to Y : \mu \text{ is additive, } \|\mu\| < \infty, \mu \ll P \}.$$

Endowing this space with the norm  $\|\mu\|$ , it is a Banach-space.

Let

$$S(X) := S(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P; X) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot 1_{E_i} : x_i \in X, \{E_i\} \in \mathcal{P} \right\}$$

be the vector space of the X-valued simple functions, where  $1_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . We say that a function  $f : \Omega \to X$  is P-measurable if it is the P-almost everywhere limit of a sequence of simple functions, i.e. there exist  $f_n \in S(X)$   $(n \in \mathbf{N})$  such that

$$P\left(\left\{\omega\in\Omega:\lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_n(\omega)-f(\omega)\|_X=0\right\}\right)=1.$$

Let us introduce the vector space of all (equivalence classes of) X-valued, Pmeasurable, essentially bounded functions, the space  $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P; X)$  or shortly  $L^{\infty}(X)$  (cf. Diestel and Uhl [4], IV.1.). This is a Banach space under the norm

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(X)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} ||f(\omega)||_{X}.$$

Finally, let  $L_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P; X)$ , or shortly  $L_0^{\infty}(X)$  be the closure of S(X) in  $L^{\infty}$ -norm.

**Proposition 2.** Let X be a Banach space having Schauder-basis  $(e_j : j \in \mathbb{N})$ ,  $||e_j||_X = 1$ , further  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ . Then  $f \in L^{\infty}_0(X)$  if and only if

(1) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} e_j^*(f(.)) \cdot e_j \right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} = 0.$$

(Here  $e_i^* (j \in \mathbf{N})$  denote the coordinate functionals.)

**Proof.** First let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot 1_{E_i} \in S(X)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for every  $i = 1, \ldots, m$  there exist  $N_i \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(x_i) \cdot e_j \right\|_X < \varepsilon \qquad (N \ge N_i).$$

For every  $N \ge \max\{N_1, \ldots, N_m\} \ge N_i$  and for every  $\omega \in E_i \subset \Omega$  we have

$$\left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f(\omega)) \cdot e_j\right\|_X = \left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(x_i) \cdot e_j\right\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

Taking ess sup it follows (1).

Now let  $f \in L_0^{\infty}(X)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $f_n \in S(X)$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  with the property

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} = 0.$$

Denoting by C the supremum of the norms of the partial sum operators in X (which is depending only on the chosen Schauder-basis), we deduce for any  $n, N \in \mathbf{N}, N \geq 2$  and for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ 

$$\left\|f(\omega)-\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}e_j^*(f(\omega))\cdot e_j\right\|_X\leq$$

$$\leq \left\| f(\omega) - f_n(\omega) - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} e_j^*(f(\omega) - f_n(\omega)) \cdot e_j \right\|_X + \\ + \left\| f_n(\omega) - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} e_j^*(f_n(\omega)) \cdot e_j \right\|_X \leq \\ \leq (C+1) \cdot \|f(\omega) - f_n(\omega)\|_X + \left\| f_n(\omega) - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} e_j^*(f_n(\omega)) \cdot e_j \right\|_X$$

Taking ess sup we obtain

$$\left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f(.)) \cdot e_j\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \le (C+1) \cdot \|f - f_n\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} + \left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f_n(.)) \cdot e_j\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)},$$

which shows (1).

Conversely, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$  satisfying (1). Then there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{N}, N \ge 2$  with the property

(2) 
$$\left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f(.)) \cdot e_j\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since  $||e_j^*|| \leq 2C$   $(j \in \mathbf{N})$ , it follows from the estimation

$$|e_j^*(f(\omega))| \le ||e_j^*|| \cdot ||f(\omega)|| \le 2C \cdot ||f(\omega)||$$

that  $e_j^*(f(.)) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K})$ . Since  $S(\mathbf{K})$  is dense in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K})$ , one can find for every  $j \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$  a simple function  $\varphi_j \in S(\mathbf{K})$  such that

(3) 
$$\|e_j^*(f(.)) - \varphi_j\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K})} < \varepsilon/2(N-1).$$

The functions  $\varphi_j$  (j = 1, ..., N - 1) can be assumed to be generated by the same partition  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ . It is easy to see that the function

$$g(\omega) := \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \varphi_j(\omega) \cdot e_j \qquad (\omega \in \Omega)$$

is in S(X). The independence of  $e_1, \ldots, e_{N-1}$  implies that for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  holds  $e_j^*(g(\omega)) = \varphi_j(\omega)$  and

$$\|f(\omega) - g(\omega)\|_X \le$$
  
$$\le \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} e_j^*(f(\omega) - g(\omega)) \cdot e_j\right\|_X + \left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f(\omega) - g(\omega)) \cdot e_j\right\|_X \le$$
  
$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} |e_j^*(f(\omega)) - \varphi_j(\omega)| + \left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_j^*(f(\omega)) \cdot e_j\right\|_X.$$

Taking ess sup and using (2) and (3) we obtain  $||f-g||_{L^{\infty}(X)} < \varepsilon$ , which implies  $f \in L_0^{\infty}(X)$ .

This proposition makes us possible to give example for a function being in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , but not in  $L_{0}^{\infty}(X)$ , provided that X has Schauder-basis  $(e_{j}: j \in \mathbf{N})$ ,  $||e_{j}||_{X} = 1$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  can be written as union of a countably infinite set family  $\{E_{j} \in \mathcal{A} : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , where the sets  $E_{j}$  are disjoint, and  $P(E_{j}) > 0$ . (For example the intervals  $E_{j} := \left[1 - \frac{1}{j}, 1 - \frac{1}{j+1}\right)$   $(j \in \mathbf{N})$  in [0, 1).) The function  $f := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{j} \cdot 1_{E_{j}}$  is obviously *P*-measurable, moreover, for every  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  holds that  $\left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_{j}^{*}(f(\omega)) \cdot e_{j}\right\|_{X} = 1$ , if  $\omega \in \bigcup_{j=N}^{\infty} E_{j}$ , and 0 otherwise. Since  $P\left(\bigcup_{j=N}^{\infty} E_{j}\right) > 0$ , we obtain that ess  $\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\|\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} e_{j}^{*}(f(\omega)) \cdot e_{j}\right\|_{X} = 1$ . This relation shows on one hand (for N = 1) that  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ , and it implies on the

other hand that  $f \notin L_0^{\infty}(X)$ , because (1) is not true.

Note that for finite dimensional X we have  $L_0^{\infty}(X) = L^{\infty}(X)$ .

#### 3. Integration and model for the operator space

Following the way in Bartle [1] let us introduce the integral of an X-valued simple function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \cdot 1_{E_i} \in S(X)$  with respect to the vector measure

 $\mu \in BA(Y)$  using the "multiplication" defined by the bilinear operator B, as

$$I_{\mu}(f) := \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \mu(E_i).$$

One can easily verify that  $I_{\mu}: S(X) \to Z$  is a well-defined linear operator (cf. [1]).

**Proposition 3.** The operator  $I_{\mu}$  is bounded, and  $||I_{\mu}|| = ||\mu||$ .

**Proof.** Define the following real number sets:

$$H_{1} := \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mu(E_{i}) \right\|_{Z} : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_{i} \in X, \max_{i=1,...,m} \|x_{i}\|_{X} \le 1 \right\},\$$

$$H_{2} := \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mu(E_{i}) \right\|_{Z} : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_{i} \in X, \max_{i:P(E_{i})\neq 0} \|x_{i}\|_{X} \le 1 \right\},\$$

$$H_{3} := \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mu(E_{i}) \right\|_{Z} : \{E_{i}\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_{i} \in X, \max_{i:\mu(E_{i})\neq 0} \|x_{i}\|_{X} \le 1 \right\}.$$

It is plausible that  $H_3 \subset H_1 \subset H_2$  and - using  $\mu \ll P$  - that  $H_2 \subset H_3$ . he mapping

(4) 
$$\Phi: BA(Y) \to L(L_0^{\infty}(X); Z), \qquad \Phi(\mu) := I_{\mu}$$

is a well-defined linear isometry, consequently it is an injection.

**Proposition 4.** Every  $F \in L(L_0^{\infty}(X); Z)$  has the form  $I_{\mu}$  with a suitable  $\mu \in BA(Y)$ .

**Proof.** For a given  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  the mapping  $x \mapsto F(x \cdot 1_E)$  is in L(X; Z). Since our "multiplication" (i.e. the operator B) satisfies the "bijection property", there exists a unique element  $\mu(E) \in Y$  such that

$$B(x,\mu(E)) = F(x \cdot 1_E) \qquad (x \in X).$$

So, we have defined a set function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to Y$ . To see its additivity, after verifying  $B(x, \mu(E \cup F)) = B(x, \mu(E) + \mu(F))$   $(E \cap F = \emptyset, x \in X)$  use that *B* is nondegenerated. To see  $\mu \ll P$  take any  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  with P(E) = 0 and any  $x \in X$ . It follows from  $\|x \cdot 1_E\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} = 0$  that

$$B(x, \mu(E)) = F(x \cdot 1_E) = F(0) = 0 \qquad (x \in X),$$

which implies  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Let us prove that  $\|\mu\| < \infty$ . Let  $\{E_i\} \in \mathcal{P}, x_i \in X, \|x_i\|_X \leq 1$ . Then

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mu(E_{i})\right\|_{Z} = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} F(x_{i} \cdot 1_{E_{i}})\right\|_{Z} \le \|F\| \cdot \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \cdot 1_{E_{i}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X)} \le \|F\|,$$

which shows us the desired result. Now we have proved that  $\mu \in BA(Y)$ . Since

$$I_{\mu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \cdot 1_{E_{i}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\mu(E_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} F(x_{i} \cdot 1_{E_{i}}) = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \cdot 1_{E_{i}}\right),$$

the equality  $I_{\mu} = F$  holds on the dense subspace S(X), consequently on  $L_0^{\infty}(X)$ , too.

We can summarize our results in the following

**Theorem 1.** A model for the operator space  $L(L_0^{\infty}(X); Z)$  is BA(Y) by the isometric isomorphism (4).

### 4. Special cases

1) Let  $X := \mathbf{K}$ , Y be an arbitrary Banach space over  $\mathbf{K}$ , Z := Y,  $B(x, y) := x \cdot y$  ( $x \in X, y \in Y$ ).

*B* is obviously nondegenerated, and for any  $f \in L(X; Z) = L(\mathbf{K}; Y)$ holds B(., f(1)) = f, therefore *B* satisfies the "bijection property". Moreover,  $dim X = dim \mathbf{K} = 1 < \infty$  implies  $L_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{K}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K})$ . Applying Theorem 1, we obtain (cf. Diestel and Uhl [4]) as special case the following

**Theorem 2.** There is a one-to-one linear and isometric correspondence between the Banach spaces BA(Y) and  $L(L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K});Y)$  defined by

$$\Phi: BA(Y) \to L(L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K}); Y), \qquad \Phi(\mu) := I_{\mu}.$$

Remark that choosing  $Y = \mathbf{K}$ , we obtain the well-known duality theorem  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{K})^* \cong BA(\mathbf{K}).$ 

2) Let X be an arbitrary Banach space over **K**,  $Y := X^*$ ,  $Z := \mathbf{K}$ ,  $B(x,y) := y(x) \ (x \in X, y \in Y)$ .

91

In this case B(., y) = y(.), that is the mapping  $y \mapsto B(., y)$  is the identity of Y, therefore B satisfies the "bijection property". Applying Theorem 1, we obtain as special case the following

**Theorem 3.** The dual of  $L_0^{\infty}(X)$  is  $BA(X^*)$  and the mapping

$$\Phi: BA(X^*) \to L_0^\infty(X)^*, \qquad \Phi(\mu) := I_\mu$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Remark that for reflexive X the semivariation can be exchanged by the total variation (see Proposition 1). This special case is applied in Csörgő [3] and Weisz [6] for  $X = \ell^2$ .

Note that in case  $Y = \mathbf{K}$ , Z = X,  $B(x, y) := y \cdot x$  the "bijection property" is not satisfied.

## References

- Bartle R.G., A general bilinear vector integral, Studia Mathematica, 15 (1956), 337-352.
- [2] Benedek A. and Panzone R., The spaces L<sup>P</sup> with mixed norm, Duke Math. Journal, 28 (1961), 301-324.
- [3] Csörgő I. On a type of mixed norm spaces (manuscript)
- [4] Diestel J. and Uhl J.J. Jr., Vector measures, Math. Surveys 15, American Mathematical Society, 1977.
- [5] Halmos P.R., Finite-dimensional vector spaces, Springer, 1974.
- [6] Weisz F. Dyadic martingale Hardy and VMO spaces on the plane, Acta Math. Hung., 56 (1990), 143-154.

## I. Csörgő

Department of Numerical Analysis Eötvös Loránd University VIII. Múzeum krt. 6-8 H-1088 Budapest, Hungary e-mail: csorgoi@ludens.elte.hu