

SOME CONDITION OF ρ -STABILITY AND THE NON-OSCILLATION OF THE LINEAR PARABOLIC PROBLEM

H.A. Haroten (Basrah, Iraq)

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the problem of linear parabolic equations by means of the finite element method. We give an upper bound for the maximal eigenvalue of the fully discrete problem. We formulate some sufficient condition of decreasing to zero and the non-oscillation of the numerical solution. Finally, we compare our results with the other ones and we give numerical results for choosing of the discretization parameters.

2. Formulation of the problem

In the following we consider the linear parabolic partial differential equation of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = p \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} - qU, \quad x \in [0, \pi], \quad t > 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad U(0, t) = U(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in [0, \pi],$$

$$(2.3) \quad U(x, 0) = u_0(x),$$

where the constants $p > 0$, $q \geq 0$, $u_0(x)$ is a given function. This problem can represent physically the heat conduction in a rod. In this case $U(x, t)$ means the temperature at the point $x \in [0, \pi]$ and at time $t > 0$. Then the task is to find the temperature's distribution, associated with a given initial temperature u_0 .

The problem (2.1)-(2.3) can be written in a weak form:

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - p \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + qU \right) v dx = 0,$$

where v is an arbitrary function from the Sobolev-space $H_0^1(0, \pi)$. We use Galerkin's principle which is flexible to apply also to initial value problems. Let us seek the numerical solution in the form [1]

$$U_h(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i(t) \phi_i(x),$$

where n is the number of the intervals and $\phi_i(x)$ are piecewise linear functions with equidistantly spaced nodes $x_i = ih$ ($h = \pi/n$). Further, we shall use the standard linear roof functions $\phi_i(x)$ as a basis, defined by

$$\phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - h(i-1)}{h}, & h(i-1) \leq x \leq ih, \\ \frac{(i+1)h - x}{h}, & ih < x \leq (i+1)h, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Here $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\alpha_i(t)$ are unknown functions to be determined later.

Using the Galerkin's semidiscretization method by the above spline function we get

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i'(t) \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) dx + p \int_0^\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i(t) \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx +$$

$$+ q \int_0^\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i(t) \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) dx = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i(0) \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) dx = \int_0^\pi u_0(x) \phi_j(x) dx$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. These relations can be rewritten in the form

$$(2.7) \quad M\alpha'(t) + pN\alpha(t) + qM\alpha(t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad M\alpha(0) = \alpha_0,$$

respectively, where

$$M = \frac{h}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \dots & & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \dots & & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are given matrices of dimension $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ and $\alpha(t)$ is an unknown vector function of dimension $(n-1)$ with the components $\alpha_i(t)$, α_0 is a vector with $(n-1)$ components given in the right side of (2.6). For the sake of simplicity let us suppose that $p = 1$ and $q = 0$. To the numerical solution of the Cauchy problem (2.7), (2.8) we apply the single step method with the discretization parameter τ . Then we get some linear system of algebraic equations at each time level with respect to α^{j+1} , which is the approximation of $\alpha(\tau(j+1))$:

$$(2.9) \quad M \frac{\alpha^{j+1} - \alpha^j}{\tau} + (\gamma N \alpha^{j+1} + (1-\gamma)N \alpha^j) = 0,$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\alpha^0 = \alpha(0)$ is obtained from (2.8), γ is any fixed parameter from $[0, 1]$. Obviously, (2.9) is equivalent to the problem

$$(2.10) \quad (M + \tau\gamma N)\alpha^{j+1} = (M - \tau(1-\gamma)N)\alpha^j.$$

Let us denote by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ and V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the problem

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda M\alpha + N\alpha = 0.$$

It is well-known [6] that λ_i are real and negative. The eigenvectors V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} are linearly independent. Therefore it is possible to represent the vectors α^{j+1} and α^j in the form of the linear combination

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha^{j+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m^{j+1} V_m, \quad \alpha^j = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} Y_m^j V_m,$$

where Y_m^j, Y_m^{j+1} ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) are unknown numbers. Putting (2.12) into (2.10) and using the relation (2.11) we get

$$(2.13) \quad Y_m^{j+1} = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau} + (1-\gamma)\lambda_m}{\frac{1}{\tau} - \gamma \cdot \lambda_m} Y_m^j.$$

It is clear that the numerical solution is strictly decreasing in time only on the condition

$$(2.14) \quad |Y_m^{j+1}| < |Y_m^j|,$$

which implies the inequalities

$$(2.15) \quad -1 < \frac{\frac{1}{\tau} + (1-\gamma)\lambda_m}{\frac{1}{\tau} - \gamma \cdot \lambda_m} < 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

We remark that this property of monotonic decreasing in time, given in [6], is very characteristic for the solution of the original problem. We call the fact of strict decay of the numerical solution in time ρ -stability [5]. It is clear that ρ -stability is sharper than the condition of stability. At the same time we know that the solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.3) approaches to zero without any oscillation, that is the numerical solution cannot change its sign step by step at some fixed node point x_i . This property (which is called non-oscillation [6]) yields

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{Y_m^{j+1}}{Y_m^j} = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau} + (1-\gamma)\lambda_m}{\frac{1}{\tau} - \gamma \cdot \lambda_m} > 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Condition (2.15) means that the scheme (2.10) is ρ -stable if and only if the condition

$$(2.17) \quad (1-2\gamma)|\lambda_{\max}|\tau < 2$$

is fulfilled, where $|\lambda_{\max}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |\lambda_i|$. It gives the restriction

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau &< \frac{2}{(1-2\gamma)|\lambda_{\max}|} \quad \text{if } \gamma \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \tau &\text{ is arbitrary if } \gamma \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Analogically, the scheme has non-oscillation if

$$(2.19) \quad \tau < \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)|\lambda_{\max}|}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1),$$

τ is arbitrary, if $\gamma = 1$.

Now it is clear from (2.18) and (2.19) that the condition of ρ -stability and non-oscillation of the numerical scheme depend on $|\lambda_{\max}|$. This is the reason why further we seek some bound for $|\lambda_{\max}|$. To this end we can use the fact that the maximum eigenvalue of the global system must not exceed the maximum eigenvalue of the local system [2]. For our case it means the following. For the local elements we have

$$M_i = h \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_i = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The local eigenvalue problem has the form

$$(2.20) \quad \lambda^i \cdot M_i \alpha^{(i)} + N_i \alpha^{(i)} = 0.$$

So we get

$$\lambda_1^i = 0, \quad \lambda_2^i = -\frac{12}{h^2}.$$

Using the above fact it yields the upper bound

$$|\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{12}{h^2}.$$

So the condition of the ρ -stability is

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\tau}{h^2} < \frac{1}{6(1-2\gamma)}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Analogically the condition of non-oscillation is

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{\tau}{h^2} < \frac{1}{12(1-\gamma)}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1).$$

3. Condition of ρ -stability and non-oscillation of the single step schemes

In this part we shall give a new estimation to $|\lambda_{\max}|$ by some fixed space-division. Let us consider the eigenvalue of matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 & & \\ b & a & b & 0 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

and let us determine the eigenvalues of this matrix. We have

$$(3.1) \quad Ay = \eta y,$$

where

$$y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]^T$$

is some vector. Then using the form of A we get the problem

$$(3.2) \quad b \cdot y_{i+1} + (a - \eta)y_i + b \cdot y_{i-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad y_0 = 0, \quad y_n = 0.$$

We seek the solution of (3.2), (3.3) in the form

$$(3.4) \quad y_i = \sin(\beta x_i),$$

where β is some unknown number to be determined later.

Using the elementary relation

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot y_{i+1} + b \cdot y_{i-1} &= b \cdot \sin \beta(x + h) + b \cdot \sin \beta(x - h) = \\ (3.5) \quad &= 2b \cdot \sin(\beta x) \cos(\beta h) \end{aligned}$$

and substituting (3.4) into (3.2) we get

$$2b \cdot \sin(\beta x) \cos(\beta h) = (\eta - a) \sin(\beta x).$$

For the eigenvalue it results

$$(3.6) \quad \eta = a + 2b \cdot \cos(\beta h).$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$y_0 = 0, \quad y_n = \sin(\beta\pi) = 0,$$

that is the corresponding β_k are

$$(3.7) \quad \beta_k = k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Finally, substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we get the eigenvalues

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_k = a + 2b \cdot \cos(kh).$$

So the eigenvalues of the matrices M and N are

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_k^M = \frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos(kh))$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda_k^N = \frac{2}{h}(1 - \cos(kh))$$

respectively. We want to give some estimation for $|\lambda_{\max}|$, that is for the maximum eigenvalue in absolute value of the problem (2.11). Since M and N have the same eigenvectors [3], it is easy to see that this problem has the same eigenvector with the eigenvalues

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_k = \frac{\lambda_k^N}{\lambda_k^M}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

respectively. Indeed, denoting by y_k the k -th eigenvector, we have

$$(3.12) \quad My_k = \lambda_k^M y_k, \quad Ny_k = \lambda_k^N y_k.$$

Substituting these relations into the problem

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda_k My_k = Ny_k,$$

we get immediately (3.11). So for the eigenvalues of (2.11) we have

$$(3.14) \quad |\lambda_k| = \frac{\frac{2}{h}(1 - \cos(kh))}{\frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos(kh))} = \frac{6}{h^2} \cdot \frac{(1 - \cos(kh))}{(2 + \cos(kh))}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

It is easy to check that λ_k take their maxima by choosing $k = n-1$. So

$$(3.15) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| = |\lambda_{n-1}| = \frac{6}{h^2} \cdot \frac{1 - \cos((n-1)h)}{2 + \cos((n-1)h)} = \frac{6}{h^2} \cdot \frac{(1 - \cos(\pi - h))}{(2 + \cos(\pi - h))}.$$

Because

$$1 \leq 2 + \cos(\pi - h) \leq 3$$

we have

$$(3.16) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{6}{h^2}(1 + \cos(h)).$$

Using the elementary inequality

$$(1 + \cos(h)) < 1 + 1 - \frac{h^2}{2} + \frac{h^4}{24}$$

we get

$$(3.17) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{12}{h^2} - 3 + \frac{h^2}{4},$$

that is we have the estimation

$$(3.18) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{12}{h^2} - C(h),$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad C(h) = \frac{12 - h^2}{4}.$$

Knowing the upper bound of $|\lambda_{\max}|$ we can give some sufficient condition for the ρ -stability of the numerical solution. By substituting (3.18) into (2.18) we get

$$(3.20) \quad \tau < \frac{2}{(1 - 2\gamma) \left(\frac{12}{h^2} - C(h) \right)}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1/2).$$

Let us compare our result with (2.21). Dividing (3.20) by (2.21) we get the number

$$(3.21) \quad k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{C(h)h^2}{12}},$$

which is always greater than 1. It means that the estimation (3.20) is sharper than (2.21) for all fixed given space-divisions. Similarly, we can give some condition of non-oscillation by putting (3.18) into (2.19):

$$(3.22) \quad \tau < \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma) \left(\frac{12}{h^2} - C(h) \right)}, \quad \gamma \in [0, 1).$$

It shows the efficiency of our estimation.

Remark 1. If $p \neq 1$ and $q \neq 0$ on the interval $[0, \pi]$ then

$$|\lambda_k| = \frac{p \left| \frac{2}{h}(1 - \cos(kh)) \right| + q \left| \frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos(kh)) \right|}{\frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos(kh))}$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. So

$$|\lambda_{\max}| = |\lambda_{n-1}| = \frac{p \left| \frac{2}{h}(1 - \cos((n-1)h)) \right| + q \left| \frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos((n-1)h)) \right|}{\frac{h}{3}(2 + \cos((n-1)h))},$$

that is we have the bound

$$(3.23) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{12p}{h^2} - C(h)p + q,$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad C(h) = \frac{12 - h^2}{4}.$$

Remark 2. Let us replace the interval of the original problem with the interval $[0, L]$. In this case, using the linear transformation

$$(3.25) \quad Z = \frac{X}{L} \pi, \quad (0 < Z < \pi),$$

we get

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{P\pi^2}{L^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z^2} \right) - qU.$$

It results estimation for the case $p = 1$ and $q = 0$:

$$(3.27) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \left(\frac{12}{h^2} - C(h) \right),$$

where

$$(3.28) \quad C(h) = \frac{12 - h^2}{4}.$$

Remark 3. Let us consider the boundary condition of second kind at the end point π . For the computation of the eigenvalues we can repeat the same procedure as earlier. Then instead of (3.7) we get $\beta_k = \frac{2k-1}{2}$. Therefore our estimation for $|\lambda_{\max}|$ will be replaced by

$$(3.29) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| = |\lambda_n| = \frac{6}{h^2} \cdot \frac{1 - \cos((n - \frac{1}{2})h)}{2 + \cos((n - \frac{1}{2})h)}.$$

Analogically we get the similar upper bound

$$(3.30) \quad |\lambda_{\max}| < \frac{12}{h^2} - C(h),$$

where

$$(3.31) \quad C(h) = \frac{48 - h^2}{64}.$$

Remark 4. There is the possibility to give some lower bound to the eigenvalues using the eigenvalues of the original problem (2.1)-(2.3) [4].

$N \setminus \gamma$	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.49
1	2.922	3.653	4.871	7.307	14.61	146.1
2	0.807	1.009	1.345	2.018	4.037	40.37
3	0.243	0.304	0.406	0.609	1.218	12.18
4	0.120	0.150	0.200	0.301	0.602	6.026
5	0.072	0.091	0.121	0.182	0.364	3.640
6	0.049	0.061	0.081	0.122	0.245	2.450
7	0.035	0.044	0.058	0.088	0.176	1.767
8	0.026	0.033	0.044	0.066	0.133	1.337
9	0.020	0.026	0.034	0.052	0.104	1.047
10	0.016	0.021	0.028	0.042	0.084	0.843
15	0.007	0.009	0.012	0.018	0.036	0.369
20	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.010	0.020	0.207
25	.0026	0.003	0.004	0.006	0.013	0.132
30	.0018	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.009	0.091

Table 1.

Sufficient condition for τ to guarantee the ρ -stability of the numerical scheme (2.10) by using (3.20).

$N \setminus \gamma$	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	1.461	1.623	1.826	2.087	2.435	2.922	3.653	4.871	7.307	14.61
2	0.403	0.448	0.504	0.576	0.672	0.807	1.009	1.345	2.018	4.037
3	0.121	0.135	0.152	0.174	0.203	0.243	0.304	0.406	0.609	1.218
4	0.060	0.066	0.075	0.086	0.100	0.120	0.150	0.200	0.301	0.602
5	0.036	0.040	0.045	0.052	0.060	0.072	0.091	0.121	0.182	0.364
6	0.024	0.027	0.030	0.035	0.040	0.049	0.061	0.081	0.122	0.245
7	0.017	0.019	0.022	0.025	0.029	0.035	0.044	0.058	0.088	0.176
8	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.019	0.022	0.026	0.033	0.044	0.066	0.133
9	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.014	0.017	0.020	0.026	0.034	0.052	0.104
10	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.021	0.028	0.042	0.084
15	.0036	0.004	.0046	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.009	0.012	0.018	0.036
20	.0020	.0023	.0025	.0029	.0034	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.010	0.020
25	.0013	.0014	.0016	.0018	.0022	.0026	.0033	.0044	.0066	0.013
30	.0009	.0010	.0011	.0013	.0015	.0018	.0022	.0030	.0045	.0091

Table 2.

Sufficient condition for τ to guarantee the non-oscillation of the numerical scheme (2.10) by using (3.22).

References

- [1] **Faragó I.**, Véges elemek módszere lineáris parabolikus típusú feladatok megoldására, *Alk. Mat. Lapok*, **11** (1985), 123-155.
- [2] **Irons B.M.**, *Applications of a theorem on eigenvalues to finite element problems*, Depart. of Civil Eng., Swansea, Report CR/1321/70, 1970.
- [3] **Rózsa P.**, *Lineáris algebra és alkalmazásai*, Műszaki Kiadó, Budapest, 1976.
- [4] **Strang G.J. and Fix G.J.**, *An analysis of the finite element method*, Prentice Hall, Englewood, 1973.
- [5] **Самарский А.А.**, *Теория разностных схем*, Наука, Москва, 1977.
- [6] **Zienkiewicz O.C. and Morgan K.**, *Finite elements and approximation*, Wiley and Sons, 1983.

(Received March 18, 1992)

H.A. Haroten

Department of Applied Analysis

Eötvös Loránd University

VIII. Múzeum krt. 6-8.

H-1088 Budapest, Hungary