

# ON THE REGULARITY OF ADDITIVE ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS WITH VALUES IN A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP

J.-L. Mauclaire (Paris, France)

*Dedicated to Professor Karl-Heinz Indlekofer  
on the occasion of his fiftieth birthday*

## 1. Introduction

### *Notation*

$\mathbb{N}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ) is the set of ordinary integers (resp. positive integers, and  $P$  (resp.  $p$ ) is the set of the prime integers (resp. a generic element of  $P$ ).

For any  $p$  in  $P$   $v_p(n)$  is the exponent of  $p$  in  $n$ .

### *Position of the problem*

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be a group, and denote by  $*$  the group operation. A function  $f$  is a  $G$ -valued additive arithmetical function if  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow G$  function such that  $f(mn) = f(m) * f(n)$  when  $(m, n) = 1$ .

Throughout this article we shall assume that  $G$  is a topological group. Then it is a classical problem to give a characterization of the  $G$ -valued additive arithmetical functions satisfying the following condition (C):

$$(C) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (f(n+1) * \overline{f(n)}) = e,$$

where  $e$  is the neutral element of  $G$  and  $\overline{f(n)}$  is the inverse of  $f(n)$ .

This problem has been considered at first by P. Erdős in [2] in 1946 in the case  $G = \mathbb{R}$ , he proved that any real valued additive arithmetical function  $f$  satisfies the condition (C) if and only if there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$f(n) = c \cdot \log n$  for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $G = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  the solution has been provided by E. Wirsing [6] in 1984; in this case we have  $f(n) = c \cdot \log n$  modulo 1. Extending results of Z. Daróczy and I. Kátai [1] who solved this problem for metrical compactly generated locally compact abelian group, I proved in [3] that if  $G$  is an abelian locally compact group, an additive function  $f$  satisfies the condition (C) if and only if there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  such that  $f(n) = \varphi(\log n)$  for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . This cannot be extended to all groups. I. Z. Ruzsa and R. Tijdeman proved in [4] that there exists a topology on the group of integers (with no continuous characters) and an integer-valued function  $f$  satisfying the condition (C), and I. Z. Ruzsa [5] has an example in which  $f$  is a real-valued function and the group of the reals has a topology such that the continuous characters separate the elements of this group. In this paper a characterization of arithmetical additive function  $f$  with values in a general locally compact group satisfying the condition (C) is given.

## 2. The result

The result presented in this paper is the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. An additive arithmetical function with values in  $G$  satisfies the condition (C) if and only if there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  such that for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f(n) = \varphi(\log n)$ .*

## 3. Proof of the theorem

I. It is clear that if there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  such that for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f(n) = \varphi(\log n)$ , by continuity, the additive function  $f(n)$  will satisfy the condition (C) since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(n+1) * \overline{f(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\log(n+1)) * \overline{\varphi(\log n)} = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\log(n+1)) * \varphi(-\log n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\log(n+1) - \log n) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi\left(\log\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) = \varphi(\log(1)) = \varphi(0) = e. \end{aligned}$$

II. We assume now that  $f$  satisfies the condition (C).

II-1. We shall prove the following

**Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $f$  a  $G$ -valued additive arithmetical function satisfying the condition (C). Then  $f$  is a completely additive function, i.e. for any  $m, n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  we have*

$$f(mn) = f(m) * f(n).$$

**Proof of the proposition.**

a) We have the following

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $m, n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $(m, n) = 1$  we have*

$$f(m) * f(n) = f(n) * f(m).$$

**Proof.** Since  $f(m \cdot n) = f(n \cdot m)$  and  $(m, n) = 1$ , we have  $f(m) * f(n) = f(m \cdot n) = f(n \cdot m) = f(n) * f(m)$ .

b) We say that  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis (H) if

$$\text{given any } k \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, f(2^k) = (f(2))^k.$$

From the Lemma 1 we shall deduce

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis (H) then for any  $p$  in  $P$  and any  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$f(2)^k * f(p)^\ell = f(p)^\ell * f(2)^k.$$

**Proof.** We remark that the hypothesis (H) gives

$$f(2)^k * f(p)^\ell = f(2^k) * f(p)^\ell.$$

Now we prove the result by induction. Since Lemma 1 gives the result if  $\ell = 1$ , assume that Lemma 2 is true for some  $\ell \geq 1$ . We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f(2)^k * f(p)^{\ell+1} &= f(2^k) * f(p)^{\ell+1} = f(2^k) * (f(p) * f(p)^\ell) = \\ &= (f(2^k) * f(p)) * f(p)^\ell = (f(p) * f(2^k)) * f(p)^\ell = \\ &= f(p) * (f(2^k) * f(p)^\ell) = f(p) * (f(p)^\ell * f(2^k)) = \\ &= (f(p) * f(p)^\ell) * f(2^k) = f(p)^{\ell+1} * f(2^k) = \\ &= f(p)^{\ell+1} * f(2)^k. \end{aligned}$$

c) Now we prove

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis (H), then for any  $p$  in  $P$  and any  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$(f(2p))^k = f(2)^k * f(p)^k = f(p)^k * f(2)^k.$$

**Proof.** Lemma 2 gives that

$$f(2)^k * f(p)^k = f(p)^k * f(2)^k.$$

Now, due to the hypothesis (H), the case  $p = 2$  is immediate. Moreover, if  $p > 2$  we remark that if  $k = 0$ , the result is trivial, and if  $k = 1$ , Lemma 1 gives that

$$f(2p) = f(2) * f(p) = f(p) * f(2)$$

and so Lemma 3 is true for  $k = 0$  or  $1$ .

We prove the result by induction. Assume that Lemma 3 is true for some  $k \geq 1$ . We have the equalities

$$(f(2p))^{k+1} = (f(2p) * f(2p)) * (f(2p)^{k-1}).$$

Now, since  $(2, p)=1$ , we have

$$f(2p) = f(2) * f(p) = f(p) * f(2),$$

and using (H), Lemma 2 and the induction hypothesis, this gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(2p))^{k+1} &= ((f(2) * f(p)) * (f(2p))) * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= ((f(p) * f(2)) * (f(2p))) * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= ((f(p) * f(2)) * (f(2) * f(p))) * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= [f(p) * (f(2) * f(2)) * f(p)] * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= [f(p) * (f(2)^2) * f(p)] * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= [f(p) * (f(p) * f(2)^2)] * (f(2p)^{k-1}) = \\ &= [f(p)^2 * f(2)^2] * [f(p)^{k-1} * f(2)^{k-1}] = \\ &= [f(2)^2 * f(p)^2] * [f(p)^{k-1} * f(2)^{k-1}] = \\ &= [f(2)^2] * [f(p)^{k+1} * f(2)^{k-1}] = \\ &= [f(2)^2] * [f(2)^{k-1} * f(p)^{k+1}] = \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &= f(2)^{k+1} * f(p)^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

d) We prove that  $f$  is a completely additive function, i.e. for any  $m, n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  we have

$$f(mn) = f(m) * f(n).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that if  $p$  is any prime and  $k$  any element of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then we have

$$f(p^k) = f(p)^k.$$

e) We introduce some notations.  $a$  is an even integer, and if  $k$  is a positive integer we put

$$S_k(a) = a^{k-1} + a^{k-2} + \dots + a + 1 = \frac{a^k - 1}{a - 1}.$$

Then, if  $n$  tends to infinity, we have by hypothesis

$$f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a^k n + S_k(a) - 1)} \rightarrow e,$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} a^k n + S_k(a) - 1 &= a^k n + \frac{a^k - 1}{a - 1} - 1 = a^k n + a^{k-1} + a^{k-2} + \dots + a = \\ &= a \cdot (a^{k-1} n + S_{k-1}(a)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(a, a^{k-1} n + S_{k-1}(a)) = 1,$$

we get that

$$f(a^k n + S_k(a) - 1) = f(a) * f(a^{k-1} n + S_{k-1}(a)),$$

and this implies that, if  $n$  tends to infinity,

$$f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(a^{k-1} n + S_{k-1}(a))} \rightarrow e.$$

Now if  $k$  is a given positive integer,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n$  tends to infinity, then for any  $\ell$  satisfying  $2 \leq \ell \leq k$  we have

$$f(a^\ell n + S_\ell(a)) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(a^{\ell-1} n + S_{\ell-1}(a))} \rightarrow e,$$

and as a consequence we get that

$$(f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(a^{k-1} n + S_{k-1}(a))}) *$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( f(a^{k-1}n + S_{k-1}(a)) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(a^{k-2}n + S_{k-2}(a))} \right) * \\
& \quad * \dots * \overline{f(a^2n + S_2(a))} * \\
& \quad * \left( f(a^2n + S_2(a)) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(an + S_1(a))} \right) \rightarrow e.
\end{aligned}$$

By cancellation we obtain that

$$\left( f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \overline{f(an + S_1(a))} \right) \rightarrow e,$$

and since we have  $S_1(a) = 1$ , we get that

$$(i) \quad \left( f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \overline{f(an + 1)} \right) \rightarrow e.$$

Now we set

$$n = S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1).$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(a^k n + S_k(a)) &= f(a^k (S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)) + S_k(a)) = \\
&= f(S_k(a) \cdot [a^k (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] + S_k(a)) = \\
&= f(S_k(a) \cdot [a^k (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1]).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
[a^k (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1] &= a^{k+1} \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + (a^k + 1) = \\
&= a^{k+1} \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + [(a^k - 1) + 2] = \\
&= a^{k+1} \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + [(a - 1) \cdot S_k(a) + 2] = \\
&= S_k(a) \cdot (a^{k+1} \cdot m + (a - 1)) + 2,
\end{aligned}$$

and so, since  $a$  is even,  $S_k(a)$  is odd and we deduce that

$$(S_k(a), [a^k (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1]) = 1,$$

which implies by Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad f(a^k (S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)) + S_k(a)) &= \\
&= f(S_k(a)) * f(a^k (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

But, if  $m$  tends to infinity, we have

$$f(a^k(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1) * \overline{f(a^k(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1))} \rightarrow e,$$

and so, since

$$(a^k, a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) = 1,$$

we obtain that

$$(iii) \quad f(a^k(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1) * \overline{f(a^k)} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)} \rightarrow e.$$

Now in our case where

$$n = S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1),$$

we have also

$$an + 1 = a \cdot [S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] + 1,$$

and so

$$f(an + 1) = f(a \cdot [S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] + 1),$$

$$f(an) = f(a \cdot [S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)]) =$$

$$(iv) \quad = f(a) * f(S_k(a)) * f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1),$$

since  $(a, S_k(a)) = (S_k(a), a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) = (a, a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) = 1$ .

Moreover, since

$$f(an + 1) * \overline{f(an)} \rightarrow e$$

when  $n$  tends to infinity, if we replace  $n$  by the special sequence defined by

$$n = S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1),$$

by (iv), we obtain that when  $m$  tends to infinity

$$(v) \quad f(a \cdot [S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] + 1) * \overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(S_k(a))} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)} \rightarrow e.$$

This gives that, since

$$\left( f(a^k n + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \overline{f(an + 1)} \right) \rightarrow e \quad \text{by (i),}$$

if

$$n = S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1),$$

by substituting in (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( f(a^k(S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)) + S_k(a)) * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \right. \\ & \left. * f(\overline{a(S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)) + 1}) \right) \rightarrow e \quad \text{by (i),} \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & f(S_k(a)) * f(a^k(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) + 1) * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \\ & \overline{f(a \cdot [S_k(a) \cdot (a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] + 1)} \rightarrow e, \end{aligned}$$

and (iii) and (v) give us

$$\begin{aligned} & f(S_k(a)) * [f(a^k) * f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] * \overline{f(a)}^{k-1} * \\ & * [\overline{f(a)} * \overline{f(S_k(a))} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)}] \rightarrow e, \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (vi) \quad & f(S_k(a)) * [f(a^k) * f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] * \overline{f(a)}^k * \\ & \overline{f(S_k(a))} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)} \rightarrow e. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude we shall use the following

**Lemma 4.** *If  $(m, n) = 1$  then for any  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$f(m) * f(n)^k = f(n)^k f(m).$$

**Proof.** If  $k = 1$  this is Lemma 1. Assume that for a given positive integer  $k$  we have

$$f(m) * f(n)^k = f(n)^k * f(m).$$

Then for  $k + 1$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(m) * f(n)^{k+1} &= f(m) * [f(n)^k * f(n)] = \\ &= [f(m) * f(n)^k] * f(n) = \\ &= [f(n)^k * f(m)] * f(n) = & \text{(by our induction hypothesis)} \\ &= f(n)^k * [f(m) * f(n)] = \\ &= f(n)^k * [f(n) * f(m)] = & \text{(by Lemma 1)} \\ &= f(n)^{k+1} * f(m), \end{aligned}$$



and Lemma 4 is proved. We now remark that since

$$(a, S_k(a)) = (S_k(a), a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) = (a, a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) = 1$$

the relation

$$\begin{aligned} f(S_k(a)) * [f(a^k) * f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)] * \overline{f(a)}^k * \\ * \overline{f(S_k(a))} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)} \rightarrow e \end{aligned}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [f(a^k) * f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1) * f(S_k(a))] * \\ * [\overline{f(S_k(a))} * \overline{f(a \cdot S_k(a) \cdot m + 1)} * \overline{f(a)}^k] \rightarrow e \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, which can be reduced by cancellation to the short expression

$$f(a^k) * \overline{f(a)}^k \rightarrow e,$$

which means that

$$f(a^k) = f(a)^k.$$

So we have obtained

**Lemma 5.** *If  $a$  is even and  $k$  is any positive integer we have*

$$f(a^k) = f(a)^k.$$

Now, if  $a = 2$ , we get evidently

$$f(2^k) = f(2)^k.$$

And if  $a = 2p$ , where  $p$  is any odd prime, we obtain that

$$f((2p)^k) = (f(2p))^k.$$

But  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis (H) since

$$\text{any given } k \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, f(2^k) = (f(2))^k.$$

So, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, remarking that

$$f(2^k \cdot p^k) = f(2^k) * f(p^k),$$

we get

$$f(2^k) * f(p^k) = f((2p)^k) = (f(2p))^k = f(2)^k * f(p)^k = f(2^k) * f(p)^k.$$

This gives that

$$f(2^k) * f(p^k) = f(2^k) * f(p)^k,$$

and so we obtain that

$$f(p^k) = f(p)^k.$$

This ends the proof of the complete additivity of  $f$ .

II-2. We finish the proof of the theorem.

Consider  $F$ , the closure in  $G$  of the group generated by the values of  $f$  on  $P$ , the set of primes. This group is abelian by construction, since  $f$  is completely additive, and since  $G$  is locally compact. The complete additivity of  $f$  implies that as a  $F$ -valued additive function,  $f$  satisfies the condition (C), and by [3], since  $F$  is an abelian locally compact group, there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$  such that  $f(n) = \varphi(\log n)$  for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  [3]. A fortiori, this homomorphism is a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$  such that  $f(n) = \varphi(\log n)$  for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , and this ends the proof of the theorem.

## References

- [1] **Daróczy Z. and Kátai I.**, On additive arithmetical functions with values in topological groups I., *Publ. Math.*, **33** (1986), 287-291.
- [2] **Erdős P.**, On the distribution function of additive functions, *Annals of Math.*, **47** (1946), 1-20.
- [3] **Maucilaire J.-L.**, On the regularity of group-valued additive arithmetical functions, submitted to *Publ. Math. Debrecen* (see also: Distribution des valeurs d'une fonction arithmétique additive à valeurs dans un groupe abélien localement compact métrisable, *C.R.Acad.Sci.*, Paris, Sér. I., **313** (1991), 345-348, Théorème 2.)
- [4] **Ruzsa I.Z.**, Private communication.
- [5] **Ruzsa I.Z. and Tijdeman R.**, On the difference of integer-valued functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **39** (1991), 353-358.
- [6] **Wirsing E.**, Unpublished result presented in a colloquium at Oberwolfach in April 1984. (See pages 22-23 of the Tagungsbericht 16/1984)

**J.-L. Maucilaire**

C.N.R.S., U.R.A. 212, Théories géométriques  
 Université Paris VII  
 2, Place Jussieu  
 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France