SOME NOTES ON MULTISTEP ITERATION METHODS

F.Szidarovszky (Budapest. Hungary) K.Okuguchi (Tokyo, Japan)

Abstract. This paper generalizes well-known results given previously for single-step iterations. Three types of convergence conditions are presented. Contraction-type results are first given for multistep iterations, for both cases of explicit and implicit processes. Then, conditions for the monotone convergence of the explicit method are introduced, and in the third part of the paper generalized Newton-type methods are analysed.

1. Introduction

During the last years an increasing attention has been given to the convergence analysis of iteration procedures for solving nonlinear equations (see for example Schmidt [4], Potra and Ptak [2], Potra and Rheinboldt [3]). Most of the works are concerned with single-step methods, but multistep iteration processes are very important not only

for computing solutions of nonlinear equations, but also in investigating stability of equilibria in dynamic games. An application of multistep iterations in such problems is presented by Szidarovszky and Okuguchi (1987).

In this paper the well-known convergence and monotone convergence criteria (Ortega and Rheinboldt [1]) will be generalized for multistep processes. The development of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 the convergence of explicit and implicit multistep processes is investigated. In Section 3 conditions for the monotone convergence of the same processes are discussed, and in Section 4 generalized monotone Newton-type iterarions are analysed.

2. Convergence criteria

In this section the convergence of the iteration process

(2.1)
$$x_{k+1} = G_{k+1}(x_k, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell})$$

will be analysed, where $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}$ are the initial approximations.

Let $D \subset R^n, G_{k+1}: D^{\ell} \to R^n, G: D^{\ell} \to R^n$ (where $D^{\ell} = D \times D \times \ldots \times D$). Assume that there exists a set D_0 such that $\tilde{D}_0 \subset D$, furthermore

- (a) $x_k \in D_0$ for all k > 0;
- (b) for all $s_1, s_2, ..., s_{\ell+1} \in D_0$, and $k \ge 0$,

$$(2.2) || G_{k+1}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{\ell}) - G_k(s_2, \dots, s_{\ell}, s_{\ell+1}) || \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i || s_i - s_{i+1} ||,$$

(c)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} < l, \quad \alpha_{i} \geq 0 \quad (i = l, 2, ..., \ell);$$

(d) for all
$$x \in D$$
, $G_k(x, \ldots, x) \to G(x, \ldots, x)$ $(k \to \infty)$.

The main convergence result can be given as

Theorem 1. Under conditions (a)-(d) the iteration process (2.1) converges to the unique solution of equation x=G(x,...,x) in \bar{D}_0 .

Since the proof of this theorem is a simple extension of the proof of Weinitschke [6], the details are omitted.

Remark. The stationary version of the theorem has been proven by Weinitschke [6]. The single step version of this theorem is given in Ortega and Rheinboldt ([1] p. 389).

In many applications the new iterate x_{k+1} cannot be expressed as an explicit function of the previous iterates $x_k, \ldots, x_{k+1-\ell}$. In such cases a generalized version of the iteration scheme (2.1) is applied. This generalized implicit iteration is as follows:

$$(2.3) x_{k+1} = G_{k+1}(x_{k+1}, x_k, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}),$$

where $G_{k+1}: D^{\ell+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that there exists a subset $D_o \subset D$ such that

(i) For arbitrary $s_1, ..., s_{\ell+1}, t_1, ..., t_{\ell+1} \in D_0$,

$$|G_k(s_{\ell+1},\ldots,s_1)-G_k(t_{\ell+1},\ldots,t_1)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} P_i |s_i-t_i|,$$

where for any vector $u = (u_i)$, $|u| = (|u_i|)$, and the matrices P_i are nonnegative;

(ii) Let
$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} P_i$$
 and $P = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{\ell} & Q_{\ell-1} & Q_2 & Q_1 \\ I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

with $Q_i = (I - P_{\ell+1})^{-1} P_i$, then $\rho(T)$ and $\rho(P)$ are less than unity. Here $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius of real matrices;

- (iii) Define $H_k: D \to R^n, H_k(x) = |G_k(x, ..., x) G(x)|$, where G(x) = G(x, ..., x) and assume that for all $x \in D_0$ and $k \to \infty, H_k(x) \to 0$. (Here $G: D^l \to R^n$);
- (iv) Assume that initial approximations $x_0, \ldots, x_{\ell-1} \in D_0$ are selected so that equation $x = G_{\ell}(x, x_{\ell-1}, \ldots, x_0)$ has a unique solution x_{ℓ} in D_0 , furthermore

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x - x_{\ell}| < \varepsilon\} \subset D_0,$$

where ε is selected so that

(2.4)
$$T\varepsilon + 2v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i \mid x_{\ell} - x_{i-1} \mid \leq \varepsilon$$

with $v \geq H_k(x_\ell), k \geq \ell$.

The convergence of the implicit scheme (2.3) is guaranteed by

Theorem 2. If assumptions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) hold, then for all $k \ge \ell$ implicit iteration $x = G_k(x, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_{k-\ell})$ has a unique solution $x_k \in S$, $\lim x_k = x^*$, where $x^* \in S$ is the unique fixed point of G in D_0 , that is $x^* = G(x^*, x^*, \ldots, x^*)$.

Proof. We shall proceed in several stages.

(a) Let $x, y \in D_0$ arbitrary, then for all $k \ge 1$,

$$|G(x) - G(y)| \le |G(x) - G_k(x, \ldots, x)| + |G_k(x, \ldots, x) - G_k(y, \ldots, y)| +$$

+
$$|G_k(y,\ldots,y) - G(y)| \le H_k(x) + H_k(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} P_i |x-y|$$
.

For $k \to \infty$ the first two terms tend to zero, and therefore $\rho(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} P_i) < 1$ implies that $G(\cdot)$ is a P-contraction on D_0 (see Ortega and Rheinboldt [1]).

(b) We shall now show that $G(\cdot)$ maps S into itself. Let $x \in S$, then

$$|G(x) - x_{\ell}| \leq |G(x) - G(x_{\ell})| + |G(x_{\ell}) - G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell})| + + |G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell}) - G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, x_{\ell-1}, \dots, x_{0})| \leq T \cdot |x - x_{\ell}| + H_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) + + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i} |x_{\ell} - x_{i-1}| \leq T \cdot \varepsilon + v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i} |x_{\ell} - x_{i-1}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence x = G(x) has a unique fixed point $x^* (\in S)$ on D_0 .

(c) Next we verify that for $x, y_1, \ldots, y_\ell \in S$, $G_k(x, y_\ell, \ldots, y_1) \in S$ $(k \ge \ell)$. For this purpose consider the following inequality:

$$|G_{k}(x, y_{\ell}, \dots, y_{1}) - x_{\ell}| \leq$$

$$\leq |G_{k}(x, y_{\ell}, \dots, y_{1}) - G_{k}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell})| + |G_{k}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell}) - G(x_{\ell})| +$$

$$+ |G(x_{\ell}) - G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell})| + |G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, \dots, x_{\ell}) - G_{\ell}(x_{\ell}, x_{\ell-1}, \dots, x_{0})| \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i} |y_{i} - x_{\ell}| + P_{\ell+1} |x - x_{\ell}| + H_{k}(x_{\ell}) + H_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i} |x_{\ell} - x_{i-1}| \leq$$

$$\leq T\varepsilon + 2v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i} |x_{\ell} - x_{i-1}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence equation $x = G_k(x, y_\ell, ..., y_1)$ is a P-contraction on S for any fixed $y_\ell, ..., y_1 \in S$, therefore it has a unique solution in S, which is the unique solution in D_0 .

(d) Finally, consider

$$|x_k - x^*| \le |G_k(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k-\ell}) - G_k(x^*, \dots, x^*)| +$$

 $+ |G_k(x^*, \dots, x^*) - G(x^*)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} P_i |x_{k-\ell+i-1} - x^*| + H_k(x^*).$

Denote $u_k = |x_k - x^*|$, then we have

$$u_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} P_i u_{k-\ell+i-1} + v_k,$$

where $v_k = H_k(x^*)$. Since $P_{\ell+1} \leq T$, $\rho(P_{\ell+1}) < 1$ and $(I - P_{\ell+1})^{-1}$ is nonnegative. Hence

$$u_k \le (I - P_{\ell+1})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i u_{k-\ell+i-1} + w_k$$

with $w_k = (I - P_{\ell+1})^{-1} v_k$. Define $z_{k-1}^{(1)} = u_{k-1}, \dots, z_{k-1}^{(\ell)} = u_{k-\ell}$,

$$z_{k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{k-1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ z_{k-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_k = \begin{pmatrix} w_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$z_k \leq P z_{k-1} + s_k.$$

Since $\rho(P) < 1$, there exists a norm such that ||P|| < 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary, and assume that $||s_k|| \le \varepsilon$ for $k \ge K$, and define $k_0 = \max\{K, \ell\}$. Then

$$z_k \le P^i z_{k-i} + s_k + P s_{k-1} + \ldots + P^{i-1} s_{k+1-i}$$
 $(i \ge 1).$

If one selects $k_0 = k + 1 - i$, that is, $i = k + 1 - k_0$, then we have

$$z_k \leq P^{k+1-k_0} z_{k_0-1} + s_k + P s_{k-1} + \ldots + P^{k-k_0} s_{k_0}$$

Hence

$$||z_k|| < ||P||^{k+1-k_0} ||z_{k_0-1}|| + (||I|| + ||P|| + \ldots + ||P||^{k-k_0}) \cdot \varepsilon \le$$

$$\leq ||P||^{k+1-k_0}||z_{k_0-1}|| + \frac{1}{1-||P||} \cdot \varepsilon,$$

which shows that $||z_k|| \to 0$, so $z_k \to 0$ and therefore $u_k \to 0$, that is $x_k \to x^*$. Thus, the proof is completed.

Remarks.

1. Condition (iii) implies that sequence $\{H_k(x_\ell)\}$ is bounded, therefore there exists a vector v which satisfies (iv). Inequality (2.4) is equivalent to

$$(I-T)\varepsilon \geq 2v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i \mid x_{\ell} - x_{i-1} \mid .$$

We can easily show that (2.4) is satisfied by selecting

(2.5)
$$\varepsilon_0 = (I - T)^{-1} \left[2v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i \mid x_{\ell} - x_{i-1} \mid \right].$$

Since $\rho(T) < 1$ and $T \ge 0$, matrix $(I - T)^{-1}$ is nonnegative. Consequently $\varepsilon_0 \ge 0$. Furthermore premultiplying (2.5) by (I - T), we conclude that (2.4) is satisfied with equality.

2. Consider now the special case of $\ell=1$, which corresponds to one-step iterations. Condition (ii) now means that

$$\rho(P_2) < 1$$
, $\rho(P_2 + P_1) < 1$, and $\rho(P) < 1$,

where $P = Q_1 = (I - P_2)^{-1} P_1$. We can easily show that $\rho(P) < 1$ and $\rho(P_2) < 1 \Rightarrow \rho(P_2 + P_1) < 1$. For, observe that $P_2 + P_1 \ge 0$, furthermore

$$I - P_1 - P_2 = (I - P_2)(I - (I - P_2)^{-1}P_1) = (I - P_2)(I - P)$$

This implies

$$(I - P_1 - P_2)^{-1} = (I - P)^{-1}(I - P_2)^{-1} \ge 0,$$

and therefore $\rho(P_1 + P_2) < 1$.

This case of $\ell=1$ corresponds to the single-step iteration process, which was analysed in Ortega and Rheinboldt [1]. Our theorem for the multistep process is a straightforward generalization of that classical result.

3. If G_{k+1} and G do not depend on their first argument, then theorem gives conditions for the convergence for explicit iterations.

3. Monotone convergence of multistep iterations

In this section sufficient conditions will be given for the monotone convergence of the iteration scheme (2.1). In R^n we say that $x \leq y$ if and only if $x_i \leq y_i$, where x_i and y_i are the components of x and y, respectively.

Definition 1. Mapping $G: D^{\ell} \to R^n$ is called *increasingly isotone* on D, if for arbitrary $s_i \in D$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., \ell + 1)$ such that $s_{\ell+1} \ge s_{\ell} \ge ... \ge s_2 \ge s_1$,

(3.1)
$$G(s_{\ell+1}, s_{\ell}, \ldots, s_2) \geq G(s_{\ell}, s_{\ell-1}, \ldots, s_1).$$

In the literature we say that mapping G is isotone, if $x_i, x_i' \in D, x_i \leq x_i'$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$) imply $G(x_\ell, ..., x_1) \leq G(x_\ell', ..., x_1')$. In analysing monotone convergence of iteration processes it is usually assumed that mapping G is isotone. We can however easily show that if G is isotone, then it is also increasingly isotone, but if G is increasingly isotone, then it is not necessarily isotone. The first statement is obvious, and the second statement is verified by the following example.

Example 1. Define

$$D=[0,1]\subset R^1,$$

and let

$$G(x,y) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } y \ge 2x - 1, \\ y - x + 1, & \text{if } y < 2x - 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the function is continuous on D^2 .

First we show that G is increasingly isotone. Select $x \ge y \ge z$, then we have to prove that $G(x, y) \ge G(y, z)$. First observe that $G(y, z) \le y$. For, if $z \ge 2y - 1$, then

G(y,z)=y, and if z<2y-1, then G(y,z)=z-y+1<2y-1-y+1=y. On the other hand, we can prove that $G(x,y)\geq y$. For if $y\geq 2x-1$, then $G(x,y)=x\geq y$, and if y<2x-1, then $G(x,y)=y-x+1\geq y$, since $x\leq 1$.

But G is not isotone. Take points (1, y) and $(1 - \varepsilon, y)$ where $0 < y < 1 - 2\varepsilon < 1$. Then G(1, y) = y, and $G(1 - \varepsilon, y) = y - 1 + \varepsilon + 1 = y + \varepsilon$. That is, by decreasing the first variable the functional value increases.

This example shows that the property "increasingly isotone" is more general than the property "isotone" of functions.

(A) Assume that mapping G is increasingly isotone on D.

Consider the iteration scheme

$$(3.2) x_{k+1} = G(x_k, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell})$$

starting from initial approximations $x_i \in D(0 \le i \le \ell - 1)$, such that $x_0 \le x_1 \le \ldots \le x_\ell$. Assume that $x_k \in D$ $(k \ge \ell)$.

Theorem 3. In the case of the iteration (3.2), $x_{k+1} \ge x_k$ for all $k \ge 0$.

Proof. By induction, assume that for i $(i < k), x_{i+1} \ge x_i$. Then (3.1) implies that

$$x_{k+1} = G(x_k, \ldots, x_{k+1-\ell}) \ge G(x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_{k-\ell}) = x_k.$$

Since the assertion is true for $k < \ell + 1$, the theorem is proven.

Consider next the iteration scheme

$$(3.3) y_{k+1} = G(y_{k+1-\ell}, \ldots, y_k)$$

starting from initial approximations $y_i \in D$ $(0 \le i \le \ell - 1)$, such that $y_0 \ge y_1 \ge \ldots \ge y_\ell$. Assume that $y_k \in D$ $(k \ge \ell)$.

Theorem 4. In the case of the iteration (3.3), $y_{k+1} \leq y_k$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume again that for i $(i < k), y_{i+1} \le y_i$. Then, from (3.1) we may conclude that

$$y_{k+1} = G(y_{k+1-\ell}, \ldots, y_k) \le G(y_{k-\ell}, \ldots, y_{k-1}) = y_k$$

Since the assertion holds for $k < \ell + 1$, the theorem is proven.

Before presenting further conditions for the monotonicity of iteration sequences a lemma is presented, it can be proven by the repeated application of (3.1).

Lemma 1. Assume that G is increasingly isotone on D, then for arbitrary $s_{\ell} \geq s_{\ell-1} \geq \ldots \geq s_1 \geq t_{\ell} \geq t_{\ell-1} \geq \ldots \geq t_1 \ (t_i \in D, \ s_i \in D, \ i = 1, \ldots, \ell),$

$$G(s_{\ell}, s_{\ell-1}, \ldots, s_1) \geq G(t_{\ell}, t_{\ell-1}, \ldots, t_1).$$

(B) Assume now that mappings $K: D^{\ell} \to R^n$ and $H: D^{\ell} \to R^n$ are increasingly isotone on D. Starting from initial approximations

$$x_0 \le x_1 \le \ldots \le x_{\ell-1} \le y_{\ell-1} \le \ldots \le y_1 \le y_0$$

 $(x_0 \in D, y_0 \in D)$ consider the iteration sequences

$$x_{k+1} = K(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}) - H(y_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k)$$

$$(3.4) y_{k+1} = K(y_{k+1-1}, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k) - H(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-1}).$$

Assume that $x_{\ell-1} \le x_{\ell} \le y_{\ell} \le y_{\ell-1}$ and

$$\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_0 \le x \le y_0\} \subset D.$$

Theorem 5. Under the above assumptions

- a) $x_k \leq x_{k+1} \leq y_{k+1} \leq y_k \qquad (k \geq 0);$
- b) if z is a fixed point of G = K H in $\langle x_{\ell}, y_{\ell} \rangle$, then for all $k, x_{k} \leq z \leq y_{k}$.

Proof. By induction, assume that $x_{i+1} \geq x_i$ and $y_{i+1} \leq y_i$ (i < k). Then obviously

$$K(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_{k+1-\ell}) \ge K(x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, \ldots, x_{k-\ell})$$

and

$$H(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \ldots, y_k) \leq H(y_{k-\ell}, y_{k-\ell-1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}).$$

By subtracting these inequalities, we obtain that $x_{k+1} \ge x_k$. Similarly by subtracting the first equation from the second one after exchanging functions K and H we conclude that $y_{k+1} \le y_k$.

Next we shall prove that for all k, $x_k \leq y_k$. Assume now using finite induction again, that $x_i \leq y_i$ $(i \leq k)$. Then

$$x_{k+1} = K(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}) - H(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, y_k) \le$$

$$\le K(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, y_k) - H(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}) = y_{k+1},$$

which proves the assertion for k + 1.

Assume now that z is a fixed point of G in $\langle x_{\ell}, y_{\ell} \rangle$, then by induction we can verify that for all $k > \ell$, $x_{k} \leq z \leq y_{k}$. For, assume that $z \in \langle x_{i}, y_{i} \rangle$ $(i \leq k)$, then

$$x_{k+1} = K(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}) - H(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, y_k) \le K(z, z, \dots, z) - H(z, z, \dots, z) = G(z, z, \dots, z) \le$$

$$\leq K(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, y_k) - H(x_k, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k+1-\ell}) = y_{k+1},$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary (Generalized Kantorovich Lemma). Assume that G is increasingly isotone on D, and the initial approximations satisfy $x_0 \le x_1 \le \ldots \le x_\ell \le y_\ell \le \ldots \le y_2 \le y_1$, and assume that $x_0, y_0 \in D$, $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \subset D$. Then for (3.2) and (3.3), $x_k \uparrow x^*$ and $y_k \downarrow y^*$ for some $x^*, y^* \in D, x^* \le y^*$. Moreover, if G is continuous (as an ℓ -variable function) on $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle \times \ldots \times \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle$ (= $\langle x_0, y_0 \rangle^{\ell}$), then x^* and y^* are fixed points of G and any fixed point $z \in \langle x_\ell, y_\ell \rangle$ satisfies $z \in \langle x^*, y^* \rangle$.

Proof. Selecting K = G and H = 0 Theorem 5 implies that for all $k \ge 1, x_k \le y_0$ and $y_k \ge x_0$. Then sequences $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ are convergent, $x_k \uparrow x^*$ and $y_k \downarrow y^*$. Since for all k, $x_k \le y_k$, we have that $x^* \le y^*$. For continuous G the iterations

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = G(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{k+1-\ell})$$

$$y_{k+1} = G(y_{k+1-\ell}, y_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, y_k)$$

imply that x^* and y^* are fixed points. Statement b) of Theorem 5 implies that for all k,

$$x_k \leq z \leq y_k$$

where $z \in \langle x_{\ell}, y_{\ell} \rangle$ is any fixed point of G. Thus

$$x^* < z < y^*,$$

which completes the proof.

Remark. The above theorems remain valid in more general function spaces and partial orders.

4. Monotone Newton-type iterations

In this section monotone Newton-type iterarions will be investigated.

(A) Consider equation F(y, ..., y) = 0, where $F: D^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that there exists a mapping $A: D^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$(4.1) F(s_{\ell-1},\ldots,s_0) - F(s_{\ell},\ldots,s_1) < A(s_{\ell-1},\ldots,s_0)(s_{\ell-1}-s_{\ell})$$

for all $s_i \in D$, $s_i \leq s_{i-1} \leq \ldots \leq s_1 \leq s_0$.

Consider now the iteration scheme

$$(4.2) y_{k+1} = y_k - P_k(y_k, \ldots, y_{k+1-\ell}) F(y_k, \ldots, y_{k+1-\ell})$$

where $P_k(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative right subinverse of $A(\cdot)$. Assume that the initial approximations from D are selected so that $y_{\ell-1} \leq y_{\ell-2} \leq \ldots \leq y_1 \leq y_0$ and $F(y_{\ell-1},\ldots,y_0) \geq 0$. Then we are able to verify

Theorem 6. If $y_k \in D$ for all $k \geq 0$, then

- a) $y_k \leq y_{k-1}$ for all k, $F(y_k, \ldots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq \ell 1$.
- b) If sequence $\{y_k\}$ is bounded below, that is $y_k \geq x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then there exits an y^* such that $y_k \downarrow y^*$.
 - c) If in addition, there exists a nonsingular $P \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$P_k(y_k,\ldots,y_{k+1-\ell}) \geq P \geq 0, \quad (\forall k \geq k_0)$$

and F is continuous at (y^*, \ldots, y^*) , then $F(y^*, \ldots, y^*) = 0$.

Proof. (a) First we shall prove by induction that a) holds. The statement holds for $k \leq \ell - 1$. Assume that it is valid for a given k. Then (4.2) implies that $y_{k+1} \leq y_k$, furthermore

$$F(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{k+2-\ell}) \ge$$

$$\ge F(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell}) + A(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell})(y_{k+1} - y_k) =$$

$$= [I - A(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell})P_k(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell})]F(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \ge 0.$$

Thus the induction completed.

- (b) If sequence $\{y_k\}$ is bounded from below, then the monotonicity of the sequence implies the convergence.
 - (c) Iteration (4.2) implies that for $k \geq k_0$,

$$y_k - y_{k+1} = P_k(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell}) F(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \ge P \cdot F(y_k, \dots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \ge 0.$$

Since $y_k - y_{k+1} \to 0$ for $k \to \infty$, and P is invertable, $F(y_k, \ldots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \to 0$. The continuity of F at (y^*, \ldots, y^*) implies that $F(y_k, \ldots, y_{k+1-\ell}) \to F(y^*, \ldots, y^*) = 0$.

(B) A monotonically increasing sequence will be now constructed. Assume that there exists a mapping $B: D^{\ell} \to R^n$ such that

$$(4.3) F(s_{\ell-1},\ldots,s_0) - F(s_{\ell},\ldots,s_1) \leq B(s_{\ell-1},\ldots,s_0)(s_0-s_1)$$

for all $s_i \in D$, $s_{\ell} \leq s_{\ell-1} \leq \ldots \leq s_1 \leq s_0$.

Consider now the iteration scheme

$$(4.4) x_{k+1} = x_k - Q_k(x_{k+1-\ell}, \ldots, x_k) F(x_{k+1-\ell}, \ldots, x_k),$$

where $Q_k(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative right subinverse of $B(\cdot)$. Assume that the initial approximations from D are selected so that $x_{\ell-1} \geq x_{\ell-2} \geq \ldots \geq x_1 \geq x_0$ and $F(x_0, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}) \leq 0$. Then we can prove the following

Theorem 7. If $x_k \in D$ for all $k \geq 0$, then

- (a) $x_k \ge x_{k-1}$ for all k, $F(x_{k+1-\ell}, \ldots, x_k) \le 0$ (for $k \ge \ell 1$);
- (b) If sequence $\{x_k\}$ is bounded from above, that is, $x_k \leq y$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there exists an x^* such that $x_k \uparrow x^*$;
 - (c) If in addition, there exists a nonsigular $Q \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$Q_k(x_{k+1-\ell},\ldots,x_k)\geq Q\geq 0 \qquad (\forall k\geq k_0)$$

and F is continuous at (x^*, \ldots, x^*) , then $F(x^*, \ldots, x^*) = 0$.

Proof. Assertion (a) can be again proven by induction. If $k = \ell - 1$, then the assertion is true. Assume now that it holds for a k. Then (4.4) implies that $x_{k+1} \ge x_k$. Furthermore from (4.3) we have that

$$F(x_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, x_{k+1}) \le F(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k) + B(x_{k+1-\ell}, x_{k+2-\ell}, \dots, x_k) \cdot (x_{k+1} - x_k)$$

$$= (I - B(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k)Q_k(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k))F(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k) \le 0,$$

thus the induction is completed.

- (b) If $\{x_k\}$ is bounded from above, then $x_k \uparrow x^*$ for some x^* .
- (c) Since for $k \geq k_0$,

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = Q_k(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k)(-F(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k)) \ge$$

> $-QF(x_{k+1-\ell}, \dots, x_k) > 0,$

and $x_{k+1}-x_k\to 0$ for $k\to\infty$, we may conclude that for $k\to\infty$ $F(x_{k+1-\ell},\ldots,x_k)\to F(x^*,\ldots,x^*)=0$.

Remark. If $x^* = y^*$, then Theorems 6 and 7 imply that for all $k \ge 0$, $x_k \le x^* \le y_k$. Consequently,

$$\max\{|x_k - x^*|, |y_k - x^*|\} \leq |y_k - x_k|,$$

which gives a practically applicable error estimate for the iteration error of x_k and y_k .

References

[1] Ortega J.M. and Rheinboldt W.C., Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.

- [2] Potra F.A. and Ptak V., Sharp Error Bounds for Newton Process, Numer. Math., 34(1980), 63-72.
- [3] Potra F.A. and Rheinboldt W.C., On the Monotone Convergence of Newton's Method, *Computing*, 36(1986), 81-90.
- [4] Schmidt J.W., Überlinear Konvergente Mehrschrittverfahren von Regula-falsi und Newton-Typ, ZAAM, 53(1973), 103-104.
- [5] Szidarovszky F. and Okuguchi K., On Multistep and Monotone Stability of Equilibria in a Multiproduct Oligopoly Market, *Mimeo*, Department of Economics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan, 1987.
- [6] Weinitschike H., Über eine Klasse von Iterationsverfahren, Numer. Math., 6(1964), 395-404.

F. Szidarovszky

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science Karl Marx University of Economics Budapest, IX. Dimitrov tér 8. H-1093 Hungary

Systems and Industrial Engineering Department University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721 USA

K. Okuguchi

Department of Economics Tokyo Metropolitan University 1-1-1 Yakumo, Meguro-ku Tokyo, Japan

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science Karl Marx University of Economics Budapest, IX. Dimitrov tér 8. H-1093 Hungary