

# **$q$ -DEFORMED AND $\lambda$ -PARAMETRIZED HYPERBOLIC TANGENT FUNCTION BASED BANACH SPACE VALUED MULTIVARIATE MULTI LAYER NEURAL NETWORK APPROXIMATIONS**

**George A. Anastassiou** (Memphis, U.S.A.)

Communicated by László Szili

(Received December 20, 2022; accepted January 20, 2023)

**Abstract.** Here we study the multivariate quantitative approximation of Banach space valued continuous multivariate functions on a box or  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the multivariate normalized, quasi-interpolation, Kantorovich type and quadrature type neural network operators. We investigate also the case of approximation by iterated multilayer neural network operators of the last four types. These approximations are achieved by establishing multidimensional Jackson type inequalities involving the multivariate modulus of continuity of the engaged function or its partial derivatives. Our multivariate operators are defined by using a multidimensional density function induced by a  $q$ -deformed and  $\lambda$ -parametrized hyperbolic tangent function, which is a sigmoid function. The approximations are pointwise and uniform. The related feed-forward neural network are with one or multi hidden layers.

## **1. Introduction**

The author in [2] and [3], see Chapters 2–5, was the first to establish neural network approximations to continuous functions with rates by very specifically

---

*Key words and phrases:* Multi layer approximation,  $q$ -deformed and  $\lambda$ -parametrized hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function, multivariate neural network approximation, quasi-interpolation operator, Kantorovich type operator, quadrature type operator, multivariate modulus of continuity, iterated approximation.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 41A17, 41A25, 41A30, 41A36.

defined neural network operators of Cardaliaguet-Euvrard and "Squashing" types, by employing the modulus of continuity of the engaged function or its high order derivative, and producing very tight Jackson type inequalities. He treats there both the univariate and multivariate cases. The defining these operators "bell-shaped" and "squashing" functions are assumed to be of compact support. Also in [3] he gives the  $N$ th order asymptotic expansion for the error of weak approximation of these two operators to a special natural class of smooth functions, see chapters 4-5 there.

Motivations for this work are the article [14] of Z. Chen and F. Cao, also by [4]–[12], [15], [16].

Here we perform a  $q$ -deformed and  $\lambda$ -parametrized,  $q, \lambda > 0$ , hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function based neural network approximations to continuous functions over boxes or over the whole  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and also iterated, multi layer approximations. All convergences here are with rates expressed via the multivariate modulus of continuity of the involved function or its partial derivatives and given by very tight multidimensional Jackson type inequalities.

We come up with the "right" precisely defined multivariate normalized, quasi-interpolation neural network operators related to boxes or  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , as well as Kantorovich type and quadrature type related operators on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Our boxes are not necessarily symmetric to the origin. In preparation to prove our results we establish important properties of the basic multivariate density function induced by the  $q$ -deformed and  $\lambda$ -parametrized hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function.

Feed-forward neural networks (FNNs) with one hidden layer, the only type of networks we deal with in this article, are mathematically expressed as

$$N_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \sigma(\langle a_j \cdot x \rangle + b_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N},$$

where for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$  are the thresholds,  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^s$  are the connection weights,  $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$  are the coefficients,  $\langle a_j \cdot x \rangle$  is the inner product of  $a_j$  and  $x$ , and  $\sigma$  is the activation function of the network. In many fundamental network models, the activation function is a kind of hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function. About neural networks read [17]–[19].

## 2. About $q$ -deformed and $\lambda$ -parametrized hyperbolic tangent function $g_{q,\lambda}$

We will study in detail  $g_{q,\lambda}$ , see (1), and prove that it is a sigmoid function and we will give several of its properties related to the approximation by neural network operators.

So, let us consider the function

$$(1) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(x) := \frac{e^{\lambda x} - qe^{-\lambda x}}{e^{\lambda x} + qe^{-\lambda x}}, \quad \lambda, q > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have that

$$g_{q,\lambda}(0) = \frac{1 - q}{1 + q}.$$

We notice also that

$$(2) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(-x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda x} - qe^{\lambda x}}{e^{-\lambda x} + qe^{\lambda x}} = \frac{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\lambda x} - e^{\lambda x}}{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\lambda x} + e^{\lambda x}} = -\frac{\left(e^{\lambda x} - \frac{1}{q}e^{-\lambda x}\right)}{e^{\lambda x} + \frac{1}{q}e^{-\lambda x}} = -g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x).$$

That is

$$(3) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(-x) = -g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x) = -g_{q,\lambda}(-x),$$

hence

$$(4) \quad g'_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x) = g'_{q,\lambda}(-x).$$

It is

$$g_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{e^{2\lambda x} - q}{e^{2\lambda x} + q} = \frac{1 - \frac{q}{e^{2\lambda x}}}{1 + \frac{q}{e^{2\lambda x}}} \xrightarrow{(x \rightarrow +\infty)} 1,$$

i.e.

$$(5) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(+\infty) = 1,$$

Furthermore

$$g_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{e^{2\lambda x} - q}{e^{2\lambda x} + q} \xrightarrow{(x \rightarrow -\infty)} \frac{-q}{q} = -1,$$

i.e.

$$(6) \quad g_{q,\lambda}(-\infty) = -1.$$

We find that

$$(7) \quad g'_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{4q\lambda e^{2\lambda x}}{(e^{2\lambda x} + q)^2} > 0,$$

therefore  $g_{q,\lambda}$  is strictly increasing.

Next we obtain ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$(8) \quad g''_{q,\lambda}(x) = 8q\lambda^2 e^{2\lambda x} \left( \frac{q - e^{2\lambda x}}{(e^{2\lambda x} + q)^3} \right) \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

We observe that

$$q - e^{2\lambda x} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow q \geq e^{2\lambda x} \Leftrightarrow \ln q \geq 2\lambda x \Leftrightarrow x \leq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}.$$

So, in case of  $x < \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , we have that  $g_{q,\lambda}$  is strictly concave up, with  $g''_{q,\lambda}\left(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}\right) = 0$ .

And in case of  $x > \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , we have that  $g_{q,\lambda}$  is strictly concave down.

Clearly,  $g_{q,\lambda}$  is a shifted sigmoid function with  $g_{q,\lambda}(0) = \frac{1-q}{1+q}$ , and  $g_{q,\lambda}(-x) = -g_{q^{-1},\lambda}(x)$ , (a semi-odd function), see also [13].

By  $1 > -1$ ,  $x+1 > x-1$ , we consider the activation function

$$(9) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(x) := \frac{1}{4} (g_{q,\lambda}(x+1) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1)) > 0,$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $q, \lambda > 0$ . Notice that  $M_{q,\lambda}(\pm\infty) = 0$ , so the  $x$ -axis is horizontal asymptote.

We have that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{q,\lambda}(-x) &= \frac{1}{4} (g_{q,\lambda}(-x+1) - g_{q,\lambda}(-x-1)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (g_{q,\lambda}(-(x-1)) - g_{q,\lambda}(-(x+1))) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( -g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x-1) + g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x+1) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x+1) - g_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x-1) \right) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(-x) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad q, \lambda > 0,$$

a deformed symmetry.

Next, we have that

$$(12) \quad M'_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4} (g'_{q,\lambda}(x+1) - g'_{q,\lambda}(x-1)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $x < \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1$ , then  $x - 1 < x + 1 < \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$  and  $g'_{q,\lambda}(x + 1) > g'_{q,\lambda}(x - 1)$  (by  $g_{q,\lambda}$  being strictly concave up for  $x < \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ ), that is  $M'_{q,\lambda}(x) > 0$ . Hence  $M_{q,\lambda}$  is strictly increasing over  $(-\infty, \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)$ .

Let now  $x - 1 > \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , then  $x + 1 > x - 1 > \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , and  $g'_{q,\lambda}(x + 1) < g'_{q,\lambda}(x - 1)$ , that is  $M'_{q,\lambda}(x) < 0$ .

Therefore  $M_{q,\lambda}$  is strictly decreasing over  $(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1, +\infty)$ .

Let us next consider,  $\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1 \leq x \leq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1$ . We have that

$$(13) \quad M''_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4} (g''_{q,\lambda}(x + 1) - g''_{q,\lambda}(x - 1)) = \\ = 2q\lambda^2 \left[ e^{2\lambda(x+1)} \left( \frac{q - e^{2\lambda(x+1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(x+1)} + q)^3} \right) - e^{2\lambda(x-1)} \left( \frac{q - e^{2\lambda(x-1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(x-1)} + q)^3} \right) \right].$$

By  $\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1 \leq x \Leftrightarrow \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} \leq x + 1 \Leftrightarrow \ln q \leq 2\lambda(x + 1) \Leftrightarrow q \leq e^{2\lambda(x+1)} \Leftrightarrow q - e^{2\lambda(x+1)} \leq 0$ .

By  $x \leq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1 \Leftrightarrow x - 1 \leq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} \Leftrightarrow 2\lambda(x - 1) \leq \ln q \Leftrightarrow e^{2\lambda(x-1)} \leq q \Leftrightarrow q - e^{2\lambda(x-1)} \geq 0$ .

Clearly by (13) we get that  $M''_{q,\lambda}(x) \leq 0$ , for  $x \in [\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1]$ .

More precisely  $M_{q,\lambda}$  is concave down over  $[\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1]$ , and strictly concave down over  $(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)$ .

Consequently  $M_{q,\lambda}$  has a bell-type shape over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Of course it holds  $M''_{q,\lambda}(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}) < 0$ .

At  $x = \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , we have

$$(14) \quad M'_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4} (g'_{q,\lambda}(x + 1) - g'_{q,\lambda}(x - 1)) = \\ = q\lambda \left( \frac{e^{2\lambda(x+1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(x+1)} + q)^2} - \frac{e^{2\lambda(x-1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(x-1)} + q)^2} \right).$$

Thus

$$(15) \quad M'_{q,\lambda}\left(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}\right) = q\lambda \left( \frac{e^{2\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)} + q)^2} - \frac{e^{2\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)}}{(e^{2\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)} + q)^2} \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q\lambda \left( \frac{qe^{2\lambda}}{(qe^{2\lambda} + q)^2} - \frac{qe^{-2\lambda}}{(qe^{-2\lambda} + q)^2} \right) = \\
&= \lambda \left( \frac{e^{2\lambda}}{(e^{2\lambda} + 1)^2} - \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{(e^{-2\lambda} + 1)^2} \right) = \\
&= \lambda \left( \frac{e^{2\lambda} (e^{-2\lambda} + 1)^2 - e^{-2\lambda} (e^{2\lambda} + 1)^2}{(e^{2\lambda} + 1)^2 (e^{-2\lambda} + 1)^2} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$  is the only critical number of  $M_{q,\lambda}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence at  $x = \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ ,  $M_{q,\lambda}$  achieves its global maximum, which is

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad M_{q,\lambda} \left( \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} \right) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ g_{q,\lambda} \left( \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1 \right) - g_{q,\lambda} \left( \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1 \right) \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{e^{\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)} - qe^{-\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)}}{e^{\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)} + qe^{-\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} + 1)}} \right) - \left( \frac{e^{\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)} - qe^{-\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)}}{e^{\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)} + qe^{-\lambda(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} - 1)}} \right) \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}e^\lambda - qq^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{q}e^\lambda + qq^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\lambda}} \right) - \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}e^{-\lambda} - qq^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^\lambda}{\sqrt{q}e^{-\lambda} + qq^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^\lambda} \right) \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{e^\lambda - e^{-\lambda}}{e^\lambda + e^{-\lambda}} \right) - \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda} - e^\lambda}{e^{-\lambda} + e^\lambda} \right) \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{2(e^\lambda - e^{-\lambda})}{e^\lambda + e^{-\lambda}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{e^\lambda - e^{-\lambda}}{e^\lambda + e^{-\lambda}} \right) = \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion:** The maximum value of  $M_{q,\lambda}$  is

$$(18) \quad M_{q,\lambda} \left( \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} \right) = \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

We give

**Theorem 2.1.** *We have that*

$$(19) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(x-i) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

**Proof.** We notice that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) + \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)).$$

Furthermore ( $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ )

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) = \\ & = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\rho} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) \quad (\text{telescoping sum}) \\ & = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x) - g_{q,\lambda}(x - (\rho + 1))) = 1 + g_{q,\lambda q}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) = \\ & = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) = \\ (21) \quad & = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x + \rho) - g_{q,\lambda}(x)) = 1 - g_{q,\lambda}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By adding the last two limits we derive

$$(22) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) = 2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently we get

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x+1-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-i)) = 2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore it holds

$$(23) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (g_{q,\lambda}(x+1-i) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1-i)) = 4, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

proving the claim. ■

Thus

$$(24) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx-i) = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, it holds

$$(25) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q}, \lambda}(x-i) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But  $M_{\frac{1}{q}, \lambda}(x-i) \stackrel{(11)}{=} M_{q, \lambda}(i-x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence

$$(26) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q, \lambda}(i-x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q, \lambda}(i+x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows

**Theorem 2.2.** *It holds*

$$(28) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q, \lambda}(x) dx = 1, \quad \lambda, q > 0.$$

**Proof.** We observe that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q, \lambda}(x) dx &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_j^{j+1} M_{q, \lambda}(x) dx = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 M_{q, \lambda}(x+j) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q, \lambda}(x+j) \right) dx = \int_0^1 1 dx = 1. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

So that  $M_{q, \lambda}$  is a density function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;  $\lambda, q > 0$ .

We need the following result

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\alpha} > 2$ ;  $q, \lambda > 0$ . Then*

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha} \end{array} \right\}} M_{q, \lambda}(nx - k) < \\ &< \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda} e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}} = T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T := \max \left\{ q, \frac{1}{q} \right\} e^{4\lambda}$ .



**Proof.** Let  $x \geq 1$ . That is  $0 \leq x - 1 < x + 1$ . Applying the mean value theorem we obtain

$$M_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4} [g_{q,\lambda}(x+1) - g_{q,\lambda}(x-1)] = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{4q\lambda e^{2\lambda\xi}}{(e^{2\lambda\xi} + q)^2},$$

that is

$$(31) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(x) = \frac{2q\lambda e^{2\lambda\xi}}{(e^{2\lambda\xi} + q)^2},$$

for some  $0 \leq x - 1 < \xi < x + 1$ ;  $\lambda, q > 0$ .

But  $e^{2\lambda\xi} < e^{2\lambda\xi} + q$ , and

$$(32) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(x) < \frac{2q\lambda(e^{2\lambda\xi} + q)}{(e^{2\lambda\xi} + q)^2} = \frac{2q\lambda}{(e^{2\lambda\xi} + q)} < \frac{2q\lambda}{(e^{2\lambda(x-1)} + q)} < \frac{2q\lambda}{e^{2\lambda(x-1)}}, \quad x \geq 1.$$

That is

$$M_{q,\lambda}(x) < \frac{2q\lambda}{e^{2\lambda(x-1)}}, \quad \forall x \geq 1,$$

or, better

$$(33) \quad M_{q,\lambda}(x) < 2q\lambda e^{2\lambda} e^{-2\lambda x}, \quad \forall x \geq 1.$$

Thus, we observe that

$$(34) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|) < \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha} \end{array} \right. < 2q\lambda e^{2\lambda} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda|nx-k|} \leq 2q\lambda e^{2\lambda} \int_{n^{1-\alpha-1}}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda x} dx = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha} \end{array} \right. = qe^{2\lambda} \int_{n^{1-\alpha-1}}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda x} d(2\lambda x) \stackrel{(y=2\lambda x)}{=} \stackrel{(y=2\lambda x)}{=} qe^{2\lambda} \int_{n^{1-\alpha-1}}^{\infty} e^{-y} dy = qe^{2\lambda} \left\{ -e^{-y} \Big|_{n^{1-\alpha-1}}^{\infty} \right\} = qe^{2\lambda} \left\{ e^{-2\lambda x} \Big|_{\infty}^{n^{1-\alpha-1}} \right\} = qe^{2\lambda} \left\{ e^{-2\lambda(n^{1-\alpha-1})} - e^{-2\lambda\infty} \right\} = qe^{2\lambda} e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}} = qe^{4\lambda} e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Therefore it holds

$$(35) \quad \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|) < qe^{4\lambda}e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

If  $(nx - k) > 0$ , then

$$(36) \quad \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) < qe^{4\lambda}e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Similarly, it is valid (by (35))

$$(37) \quad \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(|nx - k|) < \frac{1}{q}e^{4\lambda}e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

Assume now that  $nx - k \leq 0$ , then

$$(38) \quad \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \stackrel{(11)}{=} \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(-(nx - k)) < \frac{1}{q}e^{4\lambda}e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

Therefore, it holds (by (36), (38))

$$(39) \quad \sum_{\substack{k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \geq n^{1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) < \max\left\{q, \frac{1}{q}\right\}e^{4\lambda}e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

The claim is proved. ■

Let  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  the ceiling of the number, and  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  the integral part of the number.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $x \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\lceil na \rceil \leq \lfloor nb \rfloor$ . For  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , we consider the number  $\lambda_q > z_0 > 0$  with  $M_{q,\lambda}(z_0) = M_{q,\lambda}(0)$  and*

$\lambda_q > 1$ . Then

$$(40) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} < \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q)}, \frac{1}{M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)} \right\} =: \Delta(q).$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(x - i) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0,$$

and by (26), we have that

$$(41) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(i - x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

Therefore we get

$$(42) \quad \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(|x - i|) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

Hence

$$(43) \quad 1 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|) > \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|) > M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k_0|),$$

$\forall k_0 \in [\lceil na \rceil, \lfloor nb \rfloor] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .

We can choose  $k_0 \in [\lceil na \rceil, \lfloor nb \rfloor] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $|nx - k_0| < 1$ .

Notice that  $|nx - k_0|$  could be  $\leq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ . If  $0 \leq |nx - k_0| < \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , by down concavity of  $M_{q,\lambda}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can choose  $z \in [\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$  such that  $M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k_0|) = M_{q,\lambda}(z)$ . If  $|nx - k_0| \geq \frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$  we just set  $z := |nx - k_0|$ . Next, we can choose large enough  $\lambda_q > 1$ , and such that  $\lambda_q > z_0 > 0$  where  $M_{q,\lambda}(z_0) = M_{q,\lambda}(0)$ . Clearly, it is  $z \leq z_0 < \lambda_q$ .

Since  $M_{q,\lambda}$  is decreasing over  $[\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$  we get that  $M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k_0|) \geq M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q)$ .

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|) > M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q),$$

and

$$(44) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(|nx - k|)} < \frac{1}{M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q)},$$

$\forall \lambda, q > 0$ .

If  $nx - k > 0$ , by (44), we get

$$(45) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} < \frac{1}{M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q)}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

We have also that

$$(46) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(|nx - k|)} < \frac{1}{M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)}, \quad \forall \lambda, q > 0.$$

Let now  $nx - k \leq 0$ , then

$$(47) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(-(nx - k))} \stackrel{(46)}{<} \frac{1}{M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)},$$

$\forall \beta, q > 0$ .

Consequently, it holds

$$(48) \quad \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} < \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M_{q,\lambda}(\lambda_q)}, \frac{1}{M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)} \right\},$$

$\forall \lambda, q > 0$ .

The claim is proved. ■

We make

**Remark 2.1.** (i) We also notice for  $q \geq 1$  that

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lceil na \rceil - 1} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor nb \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) > \\ &> M_{q,\lambda}(nb - \lfloor nb \rfloor - 1) = \end{aligned}$$

(call  $\varepsilon := nb - \lfloor nb \rfloor, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ )

$$= M_{q,\lambda}(\varepsilon - 1) = M_{q,\lambda}(-(1 - \varepsilon)) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1 - \varepsilon) \geq$$

$(0 < \frac{1}{q} \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 < 1 - \varepsilon \leq 1)$

$(M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}$  is decreasing on  $[0, +\infty)$ ).

$$\geq M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1) > 0.$$

Therefore

$$(50) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) \right) > 0, \quad q \geq 1, \lambda > 0.$$

(ii) Let now  $0 < q \leq 1$ , then we work as in (i), and we have

$$(51) \quad 1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) > M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1 - \varepsilon)$$

$(\varepsilon := nb - \lfloor nb \rfloor, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1)$ .

That is  $\frac{1}{q} \geq 1$ , and choose  $\bar{\lambda} : 0 < 1 - \varepsilon \leq 1 < \bar{\lambda}$ , where  $\bar{\lambda} > \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} = -\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ .

First assume that  $1 - \varepsilon \in [-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$ . Hence

$$(52) \quad M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1 - \varepsilon) > M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(\bar{\lambda}) > 0,$$

by  $M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}$  being decreasing on  $[-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$ .

If  $0 < 1 - \varepsilon < -\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}$ , then we use the concavity-bell shape of  $M_{q,\lambda}$ .

So, there exists  $z_\varepsilon \in (-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$  such that  $M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1 - \varepsilon) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(z_\varepsilon)$ . We also consider  $z_0 \in (-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$  such that  $M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(z_0) = M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(0)$ . Clearly it holds  $-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda} < z_\varepsilon \leq z_0$  and we choose  $\bar{\lambda} : z_0 < \bar{\lambda}$ . Therefore, it holds

$$M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(1 - \varepsilon) \geq M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(0) \geq M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}(\bar{\lambda}) > 0,$$

by  $M_{\frac{1}{q},\lambda}$  being decreasing on  $[-\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}, +\infty)$ .

Again it holds

$$(53) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nb - k) \right) > 0, \quad 0 < q \leq 1, \lambda > 0.$$

(iii) Similarly, ( $q > 0$ )

$$1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(na - k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lceil na \rceil - 1} M_{q,\lambda}(na - k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor nb \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(na - k) >$$

$$> M_{q,\lambda}(na - \lceil na \rceil + 1) =$$

$$(54) \quad (\text{call } \eta := \lceil na \rceil - na, 0 \leq \eta < 1)$$

$$= M_{q,\lambda}(1 - \eta), \text{ etc.}$$

Acting as in (i), (ii) we derive that

$$(55) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(na - k) \right) > 0.$$

**Conclusion:** (i) We have that

$$(56) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \neq 1, \text{ for at least some } x \in [a, b],$$

where  $\lambda, q > 0$ .

(ii) Let  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . For large  $n$  we always have  $\lceil na \rceil \leq \lfloor nb \rfloor$ . Also  $a \leq \frac{k}{n} \leq b$ , iff  $\lceil na \rceil \leq k \leq \lfloor nb \rfloor$ . In general it holds

$$(57) \quad \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \leq 1.$$

We make

**Remark 2.2.** We introduce

$$(58) \quad Z_{q,\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) := Z_{q,\lambda}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(x_i), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$\lambda, q > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It has the properties:

$$(i) \quad Z_{q,\lambda}(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(ii)  
 (59) 
$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(x-k) := \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(x_1-k_1, \dots, x_N-k_N) = 1,$$

where  $k := (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , hence

(iii)  
 (60) 
$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) = 1,$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N; n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

(iv)  
 (61) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Z_{q,\lambda}(x) dx = 1,$$

that is  $Z_q$  is a multivariate density function.

Here denote  $\|x\|_{\infty} := \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_N|\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , also set  $\infty := (\infty, \dots, \infty), -\infty := (-\infty, \dots, -\infty)$  upon the multivariate context, and

(62) 
$$\begin{aligned} \lceil na \rceil &:= (\lceil na_1 \rceil, \dots, \lceil na_N \rceil), \\ \lfloor nb \rfloor &:= (\lfloor nb_1 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor nb_N \rfloor), \end{aligned}$$

where  $a := (a_1, \dots, a_N), b := (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ .

We obviously see that

(63) 
$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) &= \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k_1=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_1 \rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_N=\lceil na_N \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_N \rfloor} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{k_i=\lceil na_i \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_i \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \beta^* < 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have that

(64) 
$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{[nb] \\ k = \lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) + \sum_{\substack{[nb] \\ k = \lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k).$$

In the last two sums the counting is over disjoint vector sets of  $k$ 's, because the condition  $\left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}$  implies that there exists at least one  $\left| \frac{k_r}{n} - x_r \right| > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}$ , where  $r \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

(v) By Theorem 2.3 and as in [10], pp. 379-380, we derive that

$$(65) \quad \sum_{\substack{[nb] \\ k = \lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) < T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}}, \quad 0 < \beta^* < 1,$$

with  $n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $x \in \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]$ .

(vi) By Theorem 2.4 we get that

$$(66) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{[nb]} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} < (\Delta(q))^N,$$

$\forall x \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is also clear that

(vii)

$$(67) \quad \sum_{\substack{\infty \\ k = -\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) < T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}},$$

$0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Furthermore it holds

$$(68) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{[nb]} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \neq 1,$$

for at least some  $x \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$ .

Here  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$  is a Banach space.

Let  $f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lceil na_i \rceil \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .



We introduce and define the following multivariate linear normalized neural network operator ( $x := (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ ):

$$(69) \quad A_n(f, x_1, \dots, x_N) := A_n(f, x) := \frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} = \\ = \frac{\sum_{k_1=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_1 \rfloor} \sum_{k_2=\lceil na_2 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_2 \rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_N=\lceil na_N \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_N \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i)\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{k_i=\lceil na_i \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_i \rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i)\right)}.$$

For large enough  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we always obtain  $\lceil na_i \rceil \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Also  $a_i \leq \frac{k_i}{n} \leq b_i$ , iff  $\lceil na_i \rceil \leq k_i \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

When  $g \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$  we define the companion operator

$$(70) \quad \tilde{A}_n(g, x) := \frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)}.$$

Clearly  $\tilde{A}_n$  is a positive linear operator. We have that

$$\tilde{A}_n(1, x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right).$$

Notice that  $A_n(f) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$  and  $\tilde{A}_n(g) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ .

Furthermore it holds

$$(71) \quad \|A_n(f, x)\|_\gamma \leq \frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \|f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)} = \tilde{A}_n\left(\|f\|_\gamma, x\right),$$

$\forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]$ .

Clearly  $\|f\|_\gamma \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ .

So, we have that

$$(72) \quad \|A_n(f, x)\|_\gamma \leq \tilde{A}_n\left(\|f\|_\gamma, x\right),$$

$\forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ .

Let  $c \in X$  and  $g \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ , then  $cg \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ .

Furthermore it holds

$$(73) \quad A_n(cg, x) = c\tilde{A}_n(g, x), \quad \forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i].$$

Since  $\tilde{A}_n(1) = 1$ , we get that

$$(74) \quad A_n(c) = c, \quad \forall c \in X.$$

We call  $\tilde{A}_n$  the companion operator of  $A_n$ .

For convenience we call

$$(75) \quad \begin{aligned} A_n^*(f, x) &:= \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\ &= \sum_{k_1=\lceil na_1 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_1 \rfloor} \sum_{k_2=\lceil na_2 \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_2 \rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_N=\lceil na_N \rceil}^{\lfloor nb_N \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n}\right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall x \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right).$$

That is

$$(76) \quad A_n(f, x) := \frac{A_n^*(f, x)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)},$$

$$\forall x \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$(77) \quad A_n(f, x) - f(x) = \frac{A_n^*(f, x) - f(x) \left( \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \right)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)}.$$

Consequently we derive

$$(78) \quad \|A_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \stackrel{(66)}{\leq} (\Delta(q))^N \left\| A_n^*(f, x) - f(x) \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \right\|_{\gamma},$$

$$\forall x \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right).$$

We will estimate the right hand side of (78).

For the last and others we need

**Definition 2.1.** ([11], p. 274) Let  $M$  be a convex and compact subset of  $(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_p)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , and  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$  be a Banach space. Let  $f \in C(M, X)$ . We define the first modulus of continuity of  $f$  as

$$(79) \quad \omega_1(f, \delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in M : \\ \|x - y\|_p \leq \delta}} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_\gamma, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \text{diam}(M).$$

If  $\delta > \text{diam}(M)$ , then

$$(80) \quad \omega_1(f, \delta) = \omega_1(f, \text{diam}(M)).$$

Notice  $\omega_1(f, \delta)$  is increasing in  $\delta > 0$ . For  $f \in C_B(M, X)$  (continuous and bounded functions)  $\omega_1(f, \delta)$  is defined similarly.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([11], p. 274) We have  $\omega_1(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , iff  $f \in C(M, X)$ , where  $M$  is a convex compact subset of  $(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_p)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

Clearly we have also:  $f \in C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$  (uniformly continuous functions), iff  $\omega_1(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , where  $\omega_1$  is defined similarly to (79). The space  $C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$  denotes the continuous and bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Let now  $f \in C^m\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ ,  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $f_\alpha$  denotes a partial derivative of  $f$ ,  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = l$ , where  $l = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . We write also  $f_\alpha := \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^n}$  and we say it is of order  $l$ .

We denote

$$(81) \quad \omega_{1,m}^{\max}(f_\alpha, h) := \max_{|\alpha|=m} \omega_1(f_\alpha, h).$$

Call also

$$(82) \quad \|f_\alpha\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} := \max_{|\alpha|=m} \{\|f_\alpha\|_\infty\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the supremum norm.

When  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$  we define,

$$(83) \quad \begin{aligned} B_n(f, x) &:= B_n(f, x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) := \\ &:= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \frac{k_2}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i)\right), \end{aligned}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the multivariate quasi-interpolation neural network operator.

Also for  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$  we define the multivariate Kantorovich type neural network operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_n(f, x) &:= C_n(f, x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\
 &= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_{\frac{k_1}{n}}^{\frac{k_1+1}{n}} \int_{\frac{k_2}{n}}^{\frac{k_2+1}{n}} \dots \int_{\frac{k_N}{n}}^{\frac{k_N+1}{n}} f(t_1, \dots, t_N) dt_1 \dots dt_N \right) \cdot \\
 (84) \quad &\cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Again for  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X), N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the multivariate neural network operator of quadrature type  $D_n(f, x), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as follows.

Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N, r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, w_r = w_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N} \geq 0$ , such that  $\sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r = \sum_{r_1=0}^{\theta_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\theta_2} \dots \sum_{r_N=0}^{\theta_N} w_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N} = 1; k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (85) \quad \delta_{nk}(f) &:= \delta_{n, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N}(f) := \sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r f\left(\frac{k}{n} + \frac{r}{n\theta}\right) = \\
 &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{\theta_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\theta_2} \dots \sum_{r_N=0}^{\theta_N} w_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n} + \frac{r_1}{n\theta_1}, \frac{k_2}{n} + \frac{r_2}{n\theta_2}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n} + \frac{r_N}{n\theta_N}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{r}{\theta} := \left(\frac{r_1}{\theta_1}, \frac{r_2}{\theta_2}, \dots, \frac{r_N}{\theta_N}\right)$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (86) \quad D_n(f, x) &:= D_n(f, x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{nk}(f) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\
 &= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{n, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N}(f) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N M_{q,\lambda}(nx_i - k_i) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

In this article we study the approximation properties of  $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$  neural network operators and as well of their iterates, that is acting with multilayer neural networks. Thus the quantitative pointwise and uniform convergence of these operators to the unit operator  $I$ .

### 3. Multivariate general Neural Network Approximations

Here we present several vectorial neural network approximations to Banach space valued functions given with rates.

We give

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ ,  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1) \\
 & \qquad \|A_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma \leq \\
 (87) \quad & \leq (\Delta(q))^N \left[ \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \right] =: \lambda_1(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2) \\
 (88) \quad & \left\| \|A_n(f) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \lambda_1(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(f) \stackrel{\|\cdot\|_\gamma}{=} f$ , pointwise and uniformly.

Above  $\omega_1$  is with respect to  $p = \infty$ .

**Proof.** We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (89) \quad & \bar{\Delta}(x) := A_n^*(f, x) - f(x) \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\
 & = \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f(x) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\
 & = \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left( f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (90) \quad & \|\overline{\Delta}(x)\|_\gamma \leq \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) = \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{k=\lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) + \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{k=\lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) \stackrel{(60)}{\leq} \\
 & \stackrel{(60)}{\leq} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + 2 \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \sum_{\substack{k=\lceil na \rceil \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) \stackrel{(65)}{\leq} \\
 & \stackrel{(65)}{\leq} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$(91) \quad \|\overline{\Delta}(x)\|_\gamma \leq \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty.$$

Now using (78) we finish the proof. ■

When  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , next we discuss the high order of approximation.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in C^m\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $n, m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n^{1-\beta^*} \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$ . Then

i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (92) \quad & \left| \tilde{A}_n(f, x) - f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left( \frac{f_\alpha(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \tilde{A}_n\left(\prod_{i=1}^N (\cdot - x_i)^{\alpha_i}, x\right) \right) \right| \leq \\
 & \leq (\Delta(q))^N \left\{ \frac{N^m}{m! n^{m\beta^*}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max}\left(f_\alpha, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + \frac{\|b-a\|_\infty^m \|f_\alpha\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^m}{m!} 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

ii)

$$(93) \quad \left| \tilde{A}_n(f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq (\Delta(q))^N \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left( \frac{|f_\alpha(x)|}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{n^{\beta^* j}} + \left( \prod_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i)^{\alpha_i} \right) T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right] \right) + \frac{N^m}{m! n^{m\beta^*}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max} \left( f_\alpha, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + \left( \frac{\|b-a\|_\infty^m \|f_\alpha\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^m}{m!} \right) 2T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right\}.$$

iii)

$$(94) \quad \left\| \tilde{A}_n(f) - f \right\|_\infty \leq (\Delta(q))^N \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left( \frac{\|f_\alpha\|_\infty}{\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha_i!} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{n^{\beta^* j}} + \left( \prod_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i)^{\alpha_i} \right) T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right] \right) + \frac{N^m}{m! n^{m\beta^*}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max} \left( f_\alpha, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + \left( \frac{\|b-a\|_\infty^m \|f_\alpha\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^m}{m!} \right) 2T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right\}.$$

iv) Assume  $f_\alpha(x_0) = 0$ , for all  $\alpha : |\alpha| = 1, \dots, m; x_0 \in \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$ . Then

$$(95) \quad \left| \tilde{A}_n(f, x_0) - f(x_0) \right| \leq \leq (\Delta(q))^N \left\{ \frac{N^m}{m! n^{m\beta^*}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max} \left( f_\alpha, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + \frac{\|b-a\|_\infty^m \|f_\alpha\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^m}{m!} 2T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \right\},$$

notice in the last the extremely high rate of convergence at  $n^{-\beta^*(m+1)}$ .

**Proof.** As similar to [10], pp. 389–391, is omitted. ■

We continue with

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $\omega_1$  is for  $p = \infty$ . Then

1)

$$(96) \quad \|B_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma \leq \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2T e^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty =: \lambda_2(n),$$

2)

$$(97) \quad \left\| \|B_n(f) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \lambda_2(n).$$

Given that  $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(f) = f$ , uniformly.

**Proof.** We have that

$$(98) \quad \begin{aligned} B_n(f, x) - f(x) &\stackrel{(60)}{=} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) - f(x) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(99) \quad \begin{aligned} \|B_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) + \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \stackrel{(60)}{\leq} \\ &\stackrel{(60)}{\leq} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + 2 \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_\infty > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \stackrel{(67)}{\leq} \\ &\stackrel{(67)}{\leq} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. ■

We give



**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $\omega_1$  is for  $p = \infty$ . Then*

$$(100) \quad 1) \quad \|C_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma \leq \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty =: \lambda_3(n),$$

$$(101) \quad 2) \quad \left\| \|C_n(f) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \lambda_3(n).$$

Given that  $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n(f) = f$ , uniformly.

**Proof.** We notice that

$$(102) \quad \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt = \int_{\frac{k_1}{n}}^{\frac{k_1+1}{n}} \int_{\frac{k_2}{n}}^{\frac{k_2+1}{n}} \dots \int_{\frac{k_N}{n}}^{\frac{k_N+1}{n}} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_N =$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \dots \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, t_2 + \frac{k_2}{n}, \dots, t_N + \frac{k_N}{n}\right) dt_1 \dots dt_N = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt.$$

Thus it holds (by (84))

$$(103) \quad C_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k).$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|C_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma = \\ & = \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \right\|_\gamma = \\ & = \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) - f(x) \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \right\|_\gamma = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(104) \quad &= \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( f \left( t + \frac{k}{n} \right) - f(x) \right) dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) \right\|_{\gamma} \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f \left( t + \frac{k}{n} \right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) = \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f \left( t + \frac{k}{n} \right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) + \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f \left( t + \frac{k}{n} \right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} \left( n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_1 \left( f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx-k) + \\
&\quad + 2 \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}}^{\infty} Z_{q,\lambda}(|nx-k|) \right) \leq \\
(105) \quad &\leq \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. ■

We also present

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $\omega_1$  is for  $p = \infty$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(106) \quad &1) \\
&\|D_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \leq \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} = \lambda_4(n),
\end{aligned}$$

2)

$$(107) \quad \left\| \|D_n(f) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \lambda_4(n).$$

Given that  $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n(f) = f$ , uniformly.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, as such is omitted. ■

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$  is a Banach space. We define the general neural network operator

$$(108) \quad F_n(f, x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) Z_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) = \begin{cases} B_n(f, x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \\ C_n(f, x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = n^N \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt, \\ D_n(f, x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = \delta_{nk}(f). \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $l_{nk}(f)$  is an  $X$ -valued bounded linear functional such that  $\|l_{nk}(f)\|_\gamma \leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty$ .

Hence  $F_n(f)$  is a bounded linear operator with  $\left\| \|F_n(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty$ .

We need

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ ,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda, q > 0$ . Then  $F_n(f) \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $F_n(f)$  is a bounded function.

Next we prove the continuity of  $F_n(f)$ . Notice for  $N = 1$ ,  $Z_{q,\lambda} = M_{q,\lambda}$  by (9).

We will use the generalized Weierstrass  $M$  test: If a sequence of positive constants  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots$ , can be found such that in some interval

(a)  $\|u_n(x)\|_\gamma \leq M_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(b)  $\sum M_n$  converges,

then  $\sum u_n(x)$  is uniformly and absolutely convergent in the interval.

Also we will use:

If  $\{u_n(x)\}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  are continuous in  $[a, b]$  and if  $\sum u_n(x)$  converges uniformly to the sum  $S(x)$  in  $[a, b]$ , then  $S(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ . I.e. a

uniformly convergent series of continuous functions is a continuous function. First we prove claim for  $N = 1$ .

We will prove that  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$  is continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

There always exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx \in [-\lambda, \lambda]$ . Call  $\lambda^* := \lambda + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil$ ,  $\lambda_* := -\lambda + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor$ .

Since  $nx \leq \lambda$ , then  $-nx \geq -\lambda$  and  $k - nx \geq k - \lambda \geq \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil$ , when  $k \geq \lambda^*$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) &= \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k - nx) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k - \lambda) = \sum_{k'=\left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k') \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So for  $k \geq \lambda^*$  we get

$$(109) \quad \|l_{nk}(f)\|_{\gamma} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \leq \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \| M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k - \lambda),$$

and

$$(110) \quad \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty} \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k - \lambda) \leq \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty}.$$

Hence by the generalized Weierstrass  $M$  test we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$$

is uniformly and absolutely convergent on  $[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}]$ .

Since  $l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$  is continuous in  $x$ , then

$$\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$$

is continuous on  $[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}]$ .

Because  $nx \geq -\lambda$ , then  $-nx \leq \lambda$ , and  $k - nx \leq k + \lambda \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor$ , when

$k \leq \lambda_*$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k - nx) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k + \lambda) = \sum_{k'=-\infty}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k') \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So for  $k \leq \lambda_*$  we get

$$(111) \quad \|l_{nk}(f)\|_{\gamma} M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \leq \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k + \lambda),$$

and

$$(112) \quad \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k + \lambda) \leq \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Hence by Weierstrass  $M$  test we obtain that  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(nx - k)$  is uniformly and absolutely convergent on  $[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}]$ . Since  $l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$  is continuous in  $x$ , then  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$  is continuous on  $[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}]$ .

So we proved that

$$\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \text{ and } \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$$

are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\sum_{k=\lambda^*+1}^{\lambda^*-1} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k)$  is a finite sum of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is also a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Writing

$$(113) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=\lambda^*+1}^{\lambda^*-1} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) + \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx - k) \end{aligned}$$

we have it as a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $F_n(f)$ , when  $N = 1$ , is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

When  $N = 2$  we have

$$F_n(f, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) =$$

$$= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) \left( \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) \right) =$$

(there always exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nx_1 \in [-\lambda_1, \lambda_1]$  and  $nx_2 \in [-\lambda_2, \lambda_2]$ , also call  $\lambda_1^* := \lambda_1 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil$ ,  $\lambda_{1*} := -\lambda_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor$ ,  $\lambda_2^* := \lambda_2 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil$ , and  $\lambda_{2*} := -\lambda_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) \left[ \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) + \sum_{k_2=\lambda_2^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) \right] = \\ (114) \quad &= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) + \\ &+ \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) + \\ &+ \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_2^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) =: (*). \end{aligned}$$

(For convenience call

$$F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) := l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2).)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\lambda_{1*}} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \\ &+ \sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\lambda_{1*}} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \\ &+ \sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \end{aligned}$$

$$(115) \quad + \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\lambda_{1*}} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_2^*}^{\infty} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_2^*}^{\infty} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) + \\ + \sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_2^*}^{\infty} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2).$$

Notice that the finite sum of continuous functions  $F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2)$ :

$\sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1} \sum_{k_2=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1} F_q(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2)$  is a continuous function.

The rest of the summands of  $F_n(f, x_1, x_2)$  are treated all the same way and similarly to the case of  $N = 1$ . The method is demonstrated as follows.

We will prove that  $\sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2)$  is continuous in  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The continuous function

$$\|l_{nk}(f)\|_{\gamma} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) \leq \\ \leq \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_1 - \lambda_1) M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2),$$

and

$$\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty} \sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_1 - \lambda_1) M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2) = \\ = \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty} \left( \sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_1 - \lambda_1) \right) \left( \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2) \right) \leq \\ (116) \quad \leq \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty} \left( \sum_{k'_1=\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{\lambda} \rceil}^{\infty} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k'_1) \right) \left( \sum_{k'_2=-\infty}^{\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{2\lambda}}{\lambda} \rfloor} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k'_2) \right) \leq \| \|f\|_{\gamma} \|_{\infty}.$$

So by the Weierstrass  $M$  test we get that

$\sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2)$  is uniformly and absolutely convergent. Therefore it is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Next we prove continuity on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of

$$\sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}(f) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2).$$

Notice here that

$$(117) \quad \|l_{nk}(f)\|_{\gamma} M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q,\lambda}(nx_2 - k_2) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty M_{q,\lambda}(nx_1 - k_1) M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2) \leq \\
&\leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty M_{q,\lambda}\left(\frac{\ln q}{2\lambda}\right) M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2) = \\
&= \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(118) \quad &\frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \left( \sum_{k_1=\lambda_{1^*}+1}^{\lambda_{1^*}^*-1} 1 \right) \left( \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2^*}} M_{q^{-1},\lambda}(k_2 + \lambda_2) \right) = \\
&= \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \left( 2\lambda_1 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \left( \sum_{k'_2=-\infty}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor} M_{q,\lambda}(k'_2) \right) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{\tanh(\lambda)}{2} \left( 2\lambda_1 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{2\lambda} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So the double series under consideration is uniformly convergent and continuous. Clearly  $F_n(f, x_1, x_2)$  is proved to be continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Similarly reasoning one can prove easily now, but with more tedious work, that  $F_n(f, x_1, \dots, x_N)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , for any  $N \geq 1$ . We choose to omit this similar extra work.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.1.** By (69) it is obvious that  $\| \|A_n(f)\|_\gamma \|_\infty \leq \| \|f\|_\gamma \|_\infty < \infty$ , and  $A_n(f) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ , given that  $f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ .

Call  $L_n$  any of the operators  $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$ .

Clearly then

$$(119) \quad \left\| \|L_n^2(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty = \left\| \|L_n(L_n(f))\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|L_n(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty,$$

etc.

Therefore we get

$$(120) \quad \left\| \|L_n^k(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

the contraction property.



Also we see that

$$(121) \quad \left\| \|L_n^k(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|L_n^{k-1}(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \dots \leq \left\| \|L_n(f)\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty.$$

Here  $L_n^k$  are bounded linear operators.

**Notation 3.1.** Here  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ . Denote by

$$(122) \quad c_N := \begin{cases} (\Delta(q))^N, & \text{if } L_n = A_n, \\ 1, & \text{if } L_n = B_n, C_n, D_n, \end{cases}$$

$$(123) \quad \varphi(n) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}, & \text{if } L_n = A_n, B_n, \\ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}, & \text{if } L_n = C_n, D_n, \end{cases}$$

$$(124) \quad \Omega := \begin{cases} C \left( \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X \right), & \text{if } L_n = A_n, \\ C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X), & \text{if } L_n = B_n, C_n, D_n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(125) \quad Y := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], & \text{if } L_n = A_n, \\ \mathbb{R}^N, & \text{if } L_n = B_n, C_n, D_n. \end{cases}$$

We give the following combined result.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $f \in \Omega$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ,  $x \in Y$ ;  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ . Then*

$$(126) \quad (i) \quad \|L_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\gamma \leq c_N \left[ \omega_1(f, \varphi(n)) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \right] =: \tau(n),$$

where  $\omega_1$  is for  $p = \infty$ ,

and

$$(127) \quad (ii) \quad \left\| \|L_n(f) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \tau(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For  $f$  uniformly continuous and in  $\Omega$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(f) = f,$$

pointwise and uniformly.

**Proof.** By Theorems 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5. ■

Next we talk about iterated multilayer neural network approximation (see also [9]).

We give

**Theorem 3.8.** *All here as in Theorem 3.7 and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tau(n)$  as in (126). Then*

$$(128) \quad \left\| \|L_n^r f - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq r\tau(n).$$

*So that the speed of convergence to the unit operator of  $L_n^r$  is not worse than of  $L_n$ .*

**Proof.** As similar to [12], pp. 172–173, is omitted. ■

We also present the more general

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $f \in \Omega$ ;  $q > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $N, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N} : m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_r$ ,  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ ;  $m_i^{1-\beta^*} > 2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $x \in Y$ , and let  $(L_{m_1}, \dots, L_{m_r})$  as  $(A_{m_1}, \dots, A_{m_r})$  or  $(B_{m_1}, \dots, B_{m_r})$  or  $(C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_r})$  or  $(D_{m_1}, \dots, D_{m_r})$ ,  $p = \infty$ . Then*

$$(129) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| L_{m_r} (L_{m_{r-1}} (\dots L_{m_2} (L_{m_1} f))) (x) - f(x) \right\|_\gamma \leq \\ & \leq \left\| \|L_{m_r} (L_{m_{r-1}} (\dots L_{m_2} (L_{m_1} f))) - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left\| \|L_{m_i} f - f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \leq \\ & \leq c_N \sum_{i=1}^r \left[ \omega_1(f, \varphi(m_i)) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \right] \leq \\ & \leq rc_N \left[ \omega_1(f, \varphi(m_1)) + 2Te^{-2\lambda n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \left\| \|f\|_\gamma \right\|_\infty \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Clearly, we notice that the speed of convergence to the unit operator of the multiply iterated operator is not worse than the speed of  $L_{m_1}$ .*

**Proof.** As similar to [12], pp. 173–175, is omitted. ■

## References

- [1] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Moments in Probability and Approximation Theory*, Pitman Research Notes in Math., Vol. 287, Longman Sci. & Tech., Harlow, U.K., 1993.

- 
- [2] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Rate of convergence of some neural network operators to the unit-univariate case, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **212** (1997), 237–262.
  - [3] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Quantitative Approximations*, Chapman and Hall (CRC Press), Boca Raton, New York, 2001.
  - [4] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Intelligent Systems: Approximation by Artificial Neural Networks*, Intelligent Systems Reference Library, Vol. 19, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
  - [5] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Univariate hyperbolic tangent neural network approximation, *Mathematics and Computer Modelling*, **53** (2011), 1111–1132.
  - [6] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Multivariate hyperbolic tangent neural network approximation, *Computers and Mathematics*, **61** (2011), 809–821.
  - [7] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Multivariate sigmoidal neural network approximation, *Neural Networks*, **24** (2011), 378–386.
  - [8] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Univariate sigmoidal neural network approximation, *J. of Computational Analysis and Applications*, **14**(4) (2012), 659–690.
  - [9] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, Approximation by neural networks iterates, In: *Advances in Applied Mathematics and Approximation Theory*, pp. 1-20, Springer Proceedings in Math. & Stat., Springer, New York, 2013, Eds. G. Anastassiou, O. Duman.
  - [10] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Intelligent Systems II: Complete Approximation by Neural Network Operators*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2016.
  - [11] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Intelligent Computations: Abstract Fractional Calculus, Inequalities, Approximations*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2018.
  - [12] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *Banach Space Valued Neural Network*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2023.
  - [13] **Anastassiou, G.A.**, *General sigmoid based Banach space valued neural network approximation*, *J. of Computational Analysis and Applications*, accepted, 2022.
  - [14] **Chen, Z. and Cao, F.**, *The approximation operators with sigmoidal functions*, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **58** (2009), 758–765.
  - [15] **Costarelli, D. and Spigler, R.**, *Approximation results for neural network operators activated by sigmoidal functions*, *Neural Networks* **44** (2013), 101-106.
  - [16] **Costarelli, D. and Spigler, R.**, *Multivariate neural network operators with sigmoidal activation functions*, *Neural Networks* **48** (2013), 72-77.
  - [17] **Haykin, S.**, *Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation* (2 ed.), Prentice Hall, New York, 1998.

- [18] **McCulloch, W. and Pitts, W.**, *A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity*, Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics, 7 (1943), 115-133.
- [19] **Mitchell, T.M.**, *Machine Learning*, WCB-McGraw-Hill, New York, 1997.

**G. A. Anastassiou**

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of Memphis,

Memphis, TN 38152

U.S.A.

ganastss@memphis.edu