

## A REMARK ON JOINT APPROXIMATION BY ONE DIRICHLET SERIES

**Antanas Laurinčikas** (Vilnius, Lithuania)

**Darius Šiaučiuonas** (Šiauliai, Lithuania)

Communicated by Imre Kátai

(Received April 19, 2023; accepted June 28, 2023)

**Abstract.** We consider one absolutely convergent series  $\zeta_{u_T}(s)$  connected to the Riemann zeta-function, and prove a theorem on joint approximation of analytic functions by shifts  $(\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_r}))$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers,  $t_\tau$  is the Gram function, and  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_T \ll T^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , is defined, for  $\sigma > 1$ , by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of all prime numbers, and has the analytic continuation to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point  $s = 1$  with residue 1. The function  $\zeta(s)$  is an important analytic object having a sequence of interesting properties and hypotheses. One property is related to the denseness of the set of values of  $\zeta(s)$ , and is called universality. More precisely, universality of  $\zeta(s)$  means that a wide class of analytic functions is approximated by shifts

---

*Key words and phrases:* Approximation of analytic functions, Gram function, Riemann zeta-function, universality.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11M41, 11M06.

$\zeta(s + i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . This was discovered by S.M. Voronin in [15]. For the last version of the Voronin universality theorem, the following notation is convenient. Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of compact sets of the strip  $D$  with connected complements, and by  $H_0(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the class of continuous non-vanishing functions on  $K$  that are analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Moreover, let  $\text{meas}A$  stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following statement is valid, see [3, 1, 8, 13, 9, 10].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Moreover, the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

exists and is positive for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Denote by  $H(D)$  the space of analytic on  $D$  functions endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Then Theorem 1.1 shows that the set  $\{\zeta(s + i\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in the subspace of  $H(D)$  of non-vanishing functions.

There are results on approximation of analytic functions by generalized shifts  $\zeta(s + i\varphi(\tau))$  for some classes of the function  $\varphi(\tau)$ . Using generalized shifts allows to consider a joint approximation of a tuple of analytic functions  $(f_1(s), \dots, f_r(s))$  by shifts  $(\zeta(s + i\varphi_1(\tau)), \dots, \zeta(s + i\varphi_r(\tau)))$ . The first result of such a type was obtained in [12] by using the functions  $\varphi_j(\tau) = \tau^{\alpha_j} (\log \tau)^{\beta_j}$ ,  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . As usual, denote by  $\Gamma(s)$  the Euler gamma-function. In [7], the functions  $\varphi_j(\tau)$  connected to the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ ,

$$\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C},$$

was applied. We see that the function  $h(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$  is the main ingredient of the above functional equation. Denote by  $\theta(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , the increment of the argument of the function  $h(s)$  along the segment connecting the points  $1/2$  and  $1/2 + it$ . The function  $\theta(t)$  is monotonically increasing and unbounded from above for  $t > t_1 = 6.289\dots$ . Hence, the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \theta(t) = (\tau - 1)\pi, \quad \tau \geq 0,$$

for  $t > t_1$  has the unique solution  $t_\tau$ . The equation (1.1), for  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ , was considered by J.-P. Gram [4] in connection with nontrivial zeros of the Riemann

zeta-function. Let  $\gamma_n$  be the imaginary part of the  $n$ th positive nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ . Then the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula for the number of nontrivial zeros implies that  $t_n \sim \gamma_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Gram observed [4] that each interval  $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$  with  $1 \leq n \leq 15$ , contains one zero of the function  $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ . However, for  $n > 15$ , this turned out not true. The numbers  $t_n$  are called the Gram points, they were studied by various authors, see, for example, [5] and [6]. We call  $t_\tau$  the Gram function, the theory of  $t_\tau$  can be found in [5].

For joint universality of  $\zeta(s)$ , the function  $t_\tau$  was used in [7].

**Theorem 1.2.** [7]. *Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers. For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j(s) \in H_0(K_j)$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j}) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Moreover, “lim inf” can be replaced by “lim” for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Our aim is to show that  $\zeta(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j})$  can be replaced by a certain absolutely convergent Dirichlet series depending on  $T$ . Let  $\theta > 1/2$  be a fixed number, and, for  $u > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_u(m) = \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{m}{u} \right)^\theta \right\}.$$

Then the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_u(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_u(m)}{m^s}$$

is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  with arbitrary  $\sigma_0$ . We will replace the shifts  $\zeta(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j})$  in Theorem 1.2 by  $\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j})$  for some  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and define the set

$$\Omega = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$\Omega^r = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_r,$$

where  $\Omega_j = \Omega$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega^r$  are compact topological Abelian groups, therefore, on  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  can be defined, and we have the probability space  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r), m_H)$ . Denote by  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ ,  $\omega_j \in \Omega_j$ ,  $\omega_j = (\omega_j(p) : p \in \mathbb{P})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , the elements

of  $\Omega^r$ . On the probability space  $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^r), m_H)$ , define the  $H^r(D)$ -valued random element

$$\zeta(s, \omega) = (\zeta(s, \omega_1), \dots, \zeta(s, \omega_r)),$$

where

$$\zeta(s, \omega_j) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega_j(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Note that the latter product, for almost all  $\omega_j \in \Omega_j$ , converges uniformly on compact subsets of the strip  $D$ , see, for example [8]. The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers, and  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_T \ll T^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j(s) \in H_0(K_j)$ . Then the limit*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j}) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} = \\ & = m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

exists and is positive for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Theorem 1.3 shows that, for sufficiently large  $T$ , there are infinitely many shifts  $(\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_r}))$  of absolutely convergent Dirichlet series  $\zeta_{u_T}(s)$  that approximate simultaneously a given tuple  $(f_1(s), \dots, f_r(s))$  of analytic functions. Using for approximation the shifts of absolutely convergent series is a new type of universality, and is main advantage of Theorem 1.3 against Theorem 1.2.

We will derive Theorem 1.3 from a probabilistic limit theorem in the space  $H^r(D)$ .

## 2. Distance between $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta_{u_T}(s)$

Denote by  $\rho_r$  the metric in the space  $H^r(D)$  which induces its product topology, i. e., for  $\underline{g}_k = (g_{k1}, \dots, g_{kr}) \in H^r(D)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$\rho_r(\underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \rho(g_{1j}, g_{2j}),$$

where  $\rho$  is the metric in  $H(D)$  inducing the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. For brevity, let  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ ,

$$\underline{\zeta}(s + it_\tau^{\underline{\alpha}}) = (\zeta(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \zeta(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_r}))$$

and

$$\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^\alpha) = (\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_r})).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_T \ll T^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for all  $\alpha$ ,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_r \left( \zeta(s + it_\tau^\alpha), \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^\alpha) \right) d\tau = 0.$$

**Proof.** From the definition of the metrics  $\rho_r$  and  $\rho$ , it follows that it suffices to show that, for every compact set  $K \subset D$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + it_\tau^\alpha) - \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^\alpha)| d\tau = 0$$

with every positive  $\alpha$ . Let

$$l_{u_T}(s) = \frac{1}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) u_T^s,$$

where the number  $\theta$  is from the definition of  $v_u(m)$ . Then, see, for example, [8], the integral representation

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_{u_T}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta - i\infty}^{\theta + i\infty} \zeta(s + z) l_{u_T}(z) dz$$

is valid. Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact set of  $D$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $s = \sigma + it \in K$ ,  $1/2 + 2\varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ . Take

$$\theta_1 = \sigma - \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon.$$

Then the integrand in (2.1) has simple poles at  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1 - s$  lying in the strip  $-\theta_1 \leq \text{Re} z \leq \theta$ . Therefore, by the residue theorem,

$$\zeta_{u_T}(s) - \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\theta_1 - i\infty}^{-\theta_1 + i\infty} \zeta(s + z) l_{u_T}(z) dz + l_{u_T}(1 - s).$$

Hence, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^\alpha) - \zeta(s + it_\tau^\alpha) &\ll \\ &\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it_\tau^\alpha + iu\right) \right| \sup_{s \in K} \left| l_{u_T}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon - s + iu\right) \right| du + \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in K} |l_{u_T}(1 - s - it_\tau^\alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + it_\tau^\alpha) - \zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^\alpha)| \, d\tau \ll I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it_\tau^\alpha + iu \right) \right| \, d\tau \right) \sup_{s \in K} \left| l_{u_T} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon - s + iu \right) \right| \, du$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sup_{s \in K} |l_{u_T}(1 - s - it_\tau^\alpha)| \, d\tau.$$

By the definition of  $l_{u_T}(s)$ , using the estimate

$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) \ll \exp\{-c|t|\}, \quad c > 0,$$

we find that, for  $s \in K$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} l_{u_T} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon - s + iu \right) &\ll_\varepsilon u_T^{1/2+\varepsilon-\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{c}{\theta} |u - t| \right\} \ll_{\varepsilon, K} \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon, K} u_T^{-\varepsilon} \exp\{-c_1|u|\}, \quad c_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.2 of [7] yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it_\tau^\alpha + iu \right) \right| \, d\tau &\leq \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it_\tau^\alpha + iu \right) \right|^2 \, d\tau \right)^{1/2} \ll_{\varepsilon, \alpha} \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon, \alpha} (1 + |u|)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This and (2.3) show that

$$I_1 \ll_{\varepsilon, K, \alpha} u_T^{-\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |u|)^{1/2} \exp\{-c_1|u|\} \, du \ll_{\varepsilon, K, \alpha} u_T^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly as above, we obtain that, for all  $s \in K$ ,

$$l_{u_T}(1 - s - it_\tau^\alpha) \ll_\varepsilon u_T^{1-\sigma} \exp\{-c_2|t + t_\tau^\alpha|\} \ll_{\varepsilon, K} u_T^{1/2-2\varepsilon} \exp\{-c_3 t_\tau^\alpha\}$$

with positive  $c_2$  and  $c_3$ . Thus, since, by [5],

$$t_\tau = \frac{2\pi\tau}{\log \tau} (1 + o(1)), \quad \tau \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.4) \quad I_2 \ll_{\varepsilon, K, \alpha} \frac{u_T^{1/2-2\varepsilon} u_T^\varepsilon}{T} + u_T^{1/2-2\varepsilon} \exp\{-c_4 t_{u_T^\alpha}\} \ll_{\varepsilon, K, \alpha} u_T^{-\varepsilon}, \quad c_4 > 0.$$

The estimates (2.2) – (2.4) prove the lemma. ■

### 3. Limit theorem

In this section, we prove a limit theorem for  $\zeta_{\underline{u}_T}(s)$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D))$ , define

$$P_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \zeta_{\underline{u}_T}(s + it_{\tau}^{\underline{\alpha}}) \in A \right\}.$$

Denote by  $P_\zeta$  the distribution of the random element  $\zeta(s, \omega)$ , i. e.,

$$P_\zeta(A) = m_H \{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \zeta(s, \omega) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D)).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers, and  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_T \ll T^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $P_{T, \underline{\alpha}}$  converges weakly to the measure  $P_\zeta$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on a limit lemma for

$$\widehat{P}_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \zeta(s + it_{\tau}^{\underline{\alpha}}) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^r(D)),$$

obtained in [7], Theorem 5.4.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers. Then,  $\widehat{P}_{T, \underline{\alpha}}$  converges weakly to the measure  $P_\zeta$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** On a certain probability space  $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  define the random variable  $\xi_T$  uniformly distributed on  $[0, T]$ , and introduce two  $H^r(D)$ -valued random elements

$$\widehat{X}_{T, \underline{\alpha}} = \widehat{X}_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(s) = \zeta(s + it_{\xi_T}^{\underline{\alpha}})$$

and

$$X_{T, \underline{\alpha}} = X_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(s) = \zeta_{\underline{u}_T}(s + it_{\xi_T}^{\underline{\alpha}}).$$

Since the function  $t_\tau$  is differentiable [5], it is continuous, hence, it is measurable. Therefore  $t_{\xi_T}$  is a random variable. In view of Lemma 3.1, the random element converges in distribution to  $P_\zeta$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . We apply the equivalent of weak convergence of probability measures in terms of closed sets, see, for example, Theorem 2.1 of [2]. Let  $F \subset H^r(D)$  be an arbitrary closed set,

$\rho_r(g, F)$  the distance between  $g \in H^r(D)$  and  $F$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the set  $F_\varepsilon = \{g \in H^r(D) : \rho_r(g, F) \leq r\}$  is closed as well. Thus, by Lemma 3.1,

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F_\varepsilon) \leq P_\zeta(F_\varepsilon).$$

Observe that

$$\{X_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F\} \subset \{\widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F_\varepsilon\} \cup \{\rho_r(X_{T,\underline{\alpha}}, \widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad \mu\{X_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F\} \leq \mu\{\widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F_\varepsilon\} + \mu\{\rho_r(X_{T,\underline{\alpha}}, \widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

By the definition of  $X_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$  and  $\widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$ ,

$$\mu\{\rho_r(X_{T,\underline{\alpha}}, \widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{T\varepsilon} \int_0^T \rho_r(X_{T,\underline{\alpha}}, \widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}) d\tau = o(1)$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in view of Lemma 2.1. Since

$$\mu\{\widehat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F_\varepsilon\} = \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F_\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\mu\{X_{T,\underline{\alpha}} \in F\} = P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F),$$

this and (3.2) show that

$$P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F) \leq \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F_\varepsilon) + o(1), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, by (3.1),

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F) \leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{P}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F_\varepsilon) = P_\zeta(F_\varepsilon)$$

Now let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ . Then  $F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F$ , and we have, by the last inequality,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(F) \leq P_\zeta(F),$$

i. e.,  $P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , define

$$Q_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta_{u_T}(s + it_\tau^{\alpha_j}) - f_j(s)| \in A \right\}.$$



**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  are fixed different positive numbers, and  $u_T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_T \ll T^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j(s) \in H_0(K_j)$ . Then  $Q_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$  converges weakly to*

$$m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** The mapping  $v : H^r(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$v(g_1, \dots, g_r) = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |g_j(s) - f_j(s)|, \quad (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in H^r(D),$$

is continuous, and, for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$Q_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(A) = P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(v^{-1}A).$$

This means that  $Q_{T,\underline{\alpha}} = P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}v^{-1}$ . Therefore, Theorem 5.1 of [2] and Theorem 3.1 imply that  $Q_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta v^{-1}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and the definition of the mapping  $v$  gives the assertion of the lemma. ■

For the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will use the language of distribution functions. Therefore, we rewrite Lemma 3.2 in terms of distribution functions. We recall that the weak convergence of probability measures on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  is equivalent to that of corresponding distribution functions, and the distribution function  $F_n(x)$  converges weakly to a distribution function  $F(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$$

for every continuity point  $x$  of the function  $F(x)$ .

The corresponding distribution functions of the measures  $P_{T,\underline{\alpha}}$  and  $P_\zeta$  are

$$F_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta_{u_T}(s + it\tau^{\alpha_j}) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

and

$$F_\zeta(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\},$$

respectively. Therefore from Lemma 3.2, we have

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied. Then  $F_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(\varepsilon)$  converges weakly to  $F_\zeta(\varepsilon)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We will use the explicit form of the support of the measure  $P_\zeta$ . We recall that the support of  $P_\zeta$  is a minimal closed set  $S_\zeta \subset \mathcal{B}(H^r(D))$  such that  $P_\zeta(S_\zeta) = 1$ . Set

$$S = \{g \in H(D) : \text{either } g(s) \neq 0, \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *The support  $S_\zeta$  coincides with  $S^r$ .*

**Proof.** The lemma is Lemma 6.8 of [7]. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By the Mergelyan theorem on approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [11], there exist polynomials  $p_1(s), \dots, p_r(s)$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |f_j(s) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

The requirements on the sets  $K_j$  and  $f_j(s)$  come from using of the Mergelyan theorem. Clearly,  $(e^{p_1(s)}, \dots, e^{p_r(s)}) \in S_\zeta$  in view of Lemma 4.1. Hence, by the support property,

$$(4.2) \quad m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} > 0.$$

Inequality (4.1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \supset \\ & \supset \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - e^{p_j(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (4.2),

$$(4.3) \quad m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

It is well known that the set of discontinuity points of distribution functions is at most countable. This, Lemma 3.3 and (4.3) prove that the limit

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta_{u_T}(s + it\tau^{\alpha_j}) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} = \\ & = m_H \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^r : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |\zeta(s, \omega_j) - f_j(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

exists and is positive for all but at most countably many  $\varepsilon > 0$ . ■

## References

- [1] **Bagchi, B.**, *The Statistical Behaviour and Universality Properties of the Riemann Zeta-function and Other Allied Dirichlet Series*, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] **Billingsley, P.**, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [3] **Gonek, S.M.**, *Analytic Properties of Zeta and L-Functions*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1975.
- [4] **Gram, J.-P.**, Sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann, *Acta Math.*, **27** (1903), 289–304.
- [5] **Korolev, M.A.**, Gram’s law in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function. Part 1, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **292**, No. 2 (2016), 1–146.
- [6] **Korolev, M.A.**, Gram’s law in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function. Part 2, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **294**, No. 1 (2016), 1–78.
- [7] **Korolev, M. and A. Laurinćikas**, Joint approximation of analytic functions by shifts of the Riemann zeta-function twisted by the Gram function, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **39** (2023), 175–187.
- [8] **Laurinćikas, A.**, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
- [9] **Matsumoto, K.**, A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and  $L$ -functions, in: *Number Theory: Plowing and Starring Through High Wave Forms*, Proc. 7th China - Japan Seminar (Fukuoka 2013), Series on Number Theory and its Appl., M. Kaneko, Sh. Kanemitsu and J. Liu (eds), World Scientific Publishing Co., New Jersey, London, Singapore, Beijing, Shanghai, Hong Kong, Taipei, Chennai, 2015, pp. 95–144.
- [10] **Mauclaire, J.-L.**, Universality of the Riemann zeta function: Two remarks, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Comput.*, **39** (2013), 311–319.
- [11] **Mergelyan, S.N.**, Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable, *Uspekhi Matem. Nauk*, **7**, No. 2 (1952), 31–122 (in Russian)  $\equiv$  *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 1*, **3**, Series and Approximation, Amer. Math. Soc. (1969), 294–391.
- [12] **Pańkowski, Ł.**, Joint universality for dependent  $L$ -functions, *Ramanujan J.*, **45** (2018), 181–195.
- [13] **Steuding, J.**, *Value-Distribution of L-Functions*, Lecture Notes Math. vol. 1877; Springer: Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, Germany, 2007.
- [14] **Trudgian, T.S.**, On the success and failure of Gram’s law and the Rosser rule, *Acta Arith.*, **143** (2011), 225–256.

- [15] **Voronin, S.M.**, Theorem on the “universality” of the Riemann zeta-function, *Math. USSR Izv.*, **9** (1975), 443–453.

**A. Laurinčikas**

Institute of Mathematics  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Vilnius University  
Vilnius  
Lithuania  
`antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt`

**D. Šiaučiūnas**

Regional Development Institute  
Šiauliai Academy  
Vilnius University  
Šiauliai  
Lithuania  
`darius.siauciunas@sa.vu.lt`