

DIGIT PATTERNS IN REAL NUMBERS CREATED FROM PERMUTATIONS

Jean-Marie De Koninck (Québec, Canada)

Imre Kátai (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to the memory of Dr. László Dringó

Communicated by Karl-Heinz Indlekofer

(Received January 24, 2020; accepted June 17, 2020)

Abstract. Given a positive integer k , we construct a binary number $0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$ having the property that any sequence $a_{m+1}\dots a_{m+k}$ of k consecutive digits from its binary expansion appears with a frequency directly related to the various permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$.

1. Introduction

Given a positive integer k , let Π_k be the set of the permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$. Various interesting aspects of this set Π_k can be studied; see for instance the book of Pemmaraju [1]. Here, we use this set to construct real numbers with an interesting property, as follows. Given $\pi \in \Pi_k$, let j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1} be defined by $\pi(i) = j_i$. Further set, for each $h = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$\rho(j_h, j_{h+1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_{h+1} > j_h, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_{h+1} < j_h. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, given $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$, set

$$D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) := \#\{\pi \in \Pi_k : \rho(\pi(i), \pi(i+1)) = \delta_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

Key words and phrases: Permutations, digit patterns.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A05, 20B05.

and

$$\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) := \frac{D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)}{(k+1)!}.$$

As we will see in Section 4,

$$\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k) \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

To illustrate the function $\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k)$, if we choose the case $k = 4$, we obtain the following table.

$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$	$D(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$	$\kappa(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$
(0,0,0,0)	1	1/120
(0,0,0,1)	4	1/30
(0,0,1,0)	9	3/40
(0,0,1,1)	6	1/20
(0,1,0,0)	9	3/40
(0,1,0,1)	16	2/15
(0,1,1,0)	11	11/120
(0,1,1,1)	4	1/30
(1,0,0,0)	4	1/30
(1,0,0,1)	11	11/120
(1,0,1,0)	16	2/15
(1,0,1,1)	9	3/40
(1,1,0,0)	6	1/20
(1,1,0,1)	9	3/40
(1,1,1,0)	4	1/30
(1,1,1,1)	1	1/120

Our purpose in this short paper is to construct some binary number

$$\alpha = 0.a_1a_2a_3 \dots,$$

that is, where each digit $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{m \leq N : a_{m+1} \dots a_{m+k} = \delta_1 \dots \delta_k\} = \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k).$$

To construct α , we proceed as follows. First we set

$$\mathcal{F}_N = [e^N, e^{N+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_N = [\log N, N] \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let $p(n) = p_N(n)$ stand for the smallest prime divisor of n which is located in the interval \mathcal{L}_N . Observe that the number of those $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ which do not contain any prime divisors in \mathcal{L}_N is bounded by

$$ce^N \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{L}_N \\ p \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq ce^N \frac{\log \log N}{\log N}.$$

To each number $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$, we associate the number

$$\epsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p(n+1) > p(n) \text{ and } n+1 \in \mathcal{F}_N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some absolute constant $c > 0$, where \wp stands for the set of all primes. Thus, $\epsilon_n = 0$ if $p(n+1) < p(n)$ or if $n < e^{N+1} < n+1$ or if either $p(n)$ or $p(n+1)$ does not exist. Then, to each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we associate the number

$$\xi_N = \text{Concat}(\epsilon_n : n \in \mathcal{F}_N),$$

and we then define

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha = 0.\xi_2\xi_3\xi_4 \dots$$

2. The distribution function of $(\{2^n \alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$

With α as in (1.1), let $0 < u < 1$ written as

$$(2.1) \quad u = \frac{t_1}{2} + \frac{t_2}{2^2} + \frac{t_3}{2^3} + \dots$$

Here, we may assume that $t_n = 0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can prove that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \leq N : \{2^n \alpha\} \leq u\} = F(u) \quad \text{exists.}$$

To see this, we proceed as follows. Let $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ be a sequence of integers such that $t_{r_j} = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and then set $u_j := \sum_{\nu=1}^{r_j-1} \frac{t_\nu}{2^\nu}$ and further define

$\tilde{u}_j := \frac{1}{2^{r_j}} + u_j$. It is clear that

$$u_j \leq u < \tilde{u}_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We then introduce the two functions

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(u) &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \leq N : \{2^n \alpha\} < u\}, \\ F_2(u) &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \leq N : \{2^n \alpha\} < u\}. \end{aligned}$$

With these definitions, we easily see that

$$(2.3) \quad F(u_j) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{r_j}}{2^{r_j}} \leq u_j} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_{r_j}) \leq F_1(u),$$

$$(2.4) \quad F(\tilde{u}_j) = \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{r_j}}{2^{r_j}} \leq \tilde{u}_j} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_{r_j}) \geq F_2(u).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.5) \quad F(\tilde{u}_j) - F(u_j) = \kappa(t_1, \dots, t_{r_j-1}, 1).$$

Also, observe that it will follow from Theorem 4.1 below that

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m \in \{0,1\}^m} \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m) = 0.$$

It then follows from (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) that $F_1(u) = F_2(u)$ and therefore that $F(u)$ exists, as claimed.

We can even prove that $F(u)$ is a continuous function. To show this, we first fix u and choose two sequences of numbers $(u_M)_{M \geq 1}$ and $(v_M)_{M \geq 1}$ such that $u_M < u < v_M$ for each $M \geq 1$, and such that $u_M \rightarrow u$ and $v_M \rightarrow u$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Then, let s be an integer such that $\lfloor u \cdot 2^M \rfloor = s$ and choose $u_M = \frac{s}{2^M}$ and $v_M = \frac{s+1}{2^M}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_M) &= \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{a_M}{2^M} \leq s/2^M} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_M), \\ F(v_M) &= \sum_{\frac{a_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{a_M}{2^M} \leq (s+1)/2^M} \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_M), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\frac{s+1}{2^M} = \frac{b_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{b_M}{2^M}.$$

Since $F(v_M) - F(u_M) = \kappa(b_1, \dots, b_M) \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, we therefore have that $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} F(u_M) = F(u)$ and $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} F(v_M) = F(u)$. Thus we proved that $F(u)$ is continuous at the points $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and also continuous from

the right at those points $u \in \mathbb{Q}$ of the form $u = s/(2^R)$, where s is an odd integer. Moreover, assuming that K is an integer larger than R and setting $u_K = u - 1/2^K$, we have $F(u) - F(u_K) = \kappa(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{R-1}, 0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ which tends to 0 as K tends to infinity, thereby establishing that $F(u)$ is continuous from the left, as well.

3. Main theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Given an integer $k \geq 2$ and an arbitrary k -tuple $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \# \left\{ m \leq M : \{2^m \alpha\} \in \left[\frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k}, \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right\} &= \\ &= \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k+1} be distinct primes located in the interval \mathcal{L}_N . Let us count those $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ for which $p(n+j) = p_j$. Also, let $\{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}\}$ be that particular permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ for which $p_{i_1} < p_{i_2} < \dots < p_{i_{k+1}}$. Then, set

$$Q_U := \prod_{\substack{\log N < p < U \\ p \in \wp}} p.$$

Since $n+j \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$, it follows that $n = m \cdot p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} + r$ with $(r, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}) = 1$. Moreover, $(n+i_1, Q_{p_{i_1}}) = 1$, $(n+i_2, Q_{p_{i_2}}) = 1, \dots, (n+i_{k+1}, Q_{p_{i_{k+1}}}) = 1$. Using standard asymptotic sieve techniques, we can write these conditions in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell=1}^{k+1} (n+i_\ell, Q_{p_{i_\ell}}) = 1, \quad \prod_{\ell=2}^{k+1} \left(n+i_\ell, \frac{Q_{p_{i_\ell}}}{Q_{p_{i_1}}} \right) = 1, \dots, \left(n+i_{k+1}, \frac{Q_{p_{i_{k+1}}}}{Q_{p_{i_k}}} \right) = 1, \\ n \equiv r \pmod{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of such numbers $n \in \mathcal{F}_N$ is, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1+o(1)) \frac{\#\mathcal{F}_N}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{\log N < q < p_{i_1} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{k+1}{q} \right) \cdot \\ \cdot \prod_{\substack{p_{i_1} < q < p_{i_2} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{q} \right) \cdots \prod_{\substack{p_{i_k} < q < p_{i_{k+1}} \\ q \in \wp}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \\ = (1+o(1)) \#\mathcal{F}_N \cdot \log \log \log N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{p_i \log \log p_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The important observation here is that this asymptotic behavior does not depend on the particular permutation of the primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k+1} we choose. We may therefore conclude that, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}_N} \# \left\{ m \in \mathcal{F}_N : \{2^m \alpha\} \in \left[\frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k}, \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \dots + \frac{\delta_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \right\} = \\ = (1 + o(1)) \kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to count those $\{2^m \alpha\}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor x \rfloor$) not only for the particular values $x = e^N$, but also for the more general values $x \in (e^N, e^{N+1})$.

So, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number and set $x = e^{N+\theta}$ with $0 < \theta < 1$. We now examine two separate cases. If $\theta < \varepsilon$, then

$$\#\{n : e^N \leq n < x\} < e^N(e^\varepsilon - 1) < 2\varepsilon e^N.$$

On the other hand, if $\theta > \varepsilon$, setting $S := [e^N, e^{N+\theta})$, we may then repeat the above argument for the interval S instead of \mathcal{F}_N and obtain the same result. Therefore, in both cases, the proof is complete. \blacksquare

4. The size of $\kappa(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}$ be given. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \leq \kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) < \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Proof. First, we prove the first inequality, namely

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)!}.$$

To do so, we let j_1, \dots, j_r be the indices of those a_i 's for which $a_{j_\nu} = 0$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, r$) and let t_1, \dots, t_s be the indices of those a_i 's for which $a_{t_\mu} = 1$ ($\mu = 1, \dots, s$). The case where one of the two sets $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ or $\{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$ is empty is much more simple. So, let $S = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $M = \{r+1, \dots, k+1\}$. Now, let $\{u(1), \dots, u(k+1)\}$ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ satisfying

1. $\{u(j_1 + 1), u(j_2 + 1), \dots, u(j_r + 1)\}$ is a permutation of S satisfying the condition

$$\text{If } j_{\ell+1} = j_\ell + 1 \text{ for some } \ell \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \text{ then } u(j_\ell + 1) > u(j_{\ell+1} + 1).$$

Observe that such a permutation clearly exists.

2. $\{u(t_1 + 1), u(t_2 + 1), \dots, u(t_{k-r} + 1)\}$ is a permutation of M satisfying the condition

If $t_{\nu+1} = t_\nu + 1$ for some $\nu \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, then $u(t_\nu + 1) < u(t_{\nu+1} + 1)$.

Such a permutation also clearly exists.

For such a permutation $\{u(1), \dots, u(k+1)\}$, we have that $\rho(u(\ell), u(\ell+1)) = a_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. The special case $S = \emptyset$ is very simple, because in this case, $u(j) = j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k+1$. On the other hand if $M = \emptyset$, then

$$u(1) = k + 1, u(2) = k, \dots, u(k + 1) = 1.$$

This completes the proof of (4.1).

We will now prove the second inequality in Theorem 4.1, namely

$$(4.2) \quad \kappa(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) < \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}.$$

Assume that $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k+1}\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ satisfying $\rho(j_\ell, j_{\ell+1}) = a_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. Assume first that $k+1$ is even and consider the pairs

$$(j_1, j_2), (j_3, j_4), \dots, (j_k, j_{k+1}).$$

If $a_1 = 1$, then $j_1 < j_2$; if $a_1 = 0$, then $j_2 > j_1$; if $a_3 = 1$, then $j_3 < j_4$; if $a_3 = 0$, then $j_3 > j_4$, and so on, up to if $a_k = 1$, then $j_k < j_{k+1}$; if $a_k = 0$, then $j_{k+1} < j_k$.

To sum up, this means that the number of associated permutations is no larger than $\frac{(k+1)!}{2^{(k+1)/2}}$.

The case where $k+1$ is odd can be treated in a similar manner, since we then have that k is even, in which case we consider the $k/2 + 1$ numbers

$$(j_1, j_2), (j_3, j_4), \dots, (j_{k-1}, j_k), j_{k+1},$$

which allows us in the end to conclude that the number of associated permutations is no larger than $\frac{(k+1)!}{2^{k/2}}$.

In both cases, we have proved (4.2) and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. \blacksquare

References

- [1] **Pemmaraju, S.**, *Computational Discrete Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, 2003, xiv+480 pages.

J.-M. De Koninck

Dép. math. et statistique
Université Laval
Québec
Québec G1V 0A6
Canada
jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

I. Kátai

Department of Computer Algebra
Eötvös Loránd University
H-1117 Budapest
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C
Hungary
katali@inf.elte.hu