

## ON SOME RESULTS OF INDLEKOEFER FOR MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

**Erdener Kaya** (Mersin, Turkey)

**Robert Wagner** (Paderborn, Germany)

Communicated by Imre Kátai

(Received March 21, 2018; accepted May 5, 2018)

**Abstract.** In this paper we describe some results of Indlekofer for multiplicative functions. Especially we give the definition for the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of exp-log functions introduced by Indlekofer in [13]. Further, we compare Indlekofer's results with recent investigations [5, 6] by Granville et. al..

### 1. Multiplicative function on $\mathbb{N}$

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a multiplicative function, i.e.

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \quad \text{for } (m, n) = 1.$$

The mean value of  $f$  is defined by

$$M(f) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$$

if the limit exists.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Multiplicative functions, uniformly summable functions, Halász's theorem, exp-log functions, Tauberian theorems, additive arithmetical semigroups.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11K65, 11N37, 11N45, 11M45, 11T55, 20M14, 40E05, 60C05.

Delange [3] proved in 1961 under the assumption  $|f(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that the mean value  $M(f)$  exists and is different from zero if and only if the series

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_p \frac{1 - f(p)}{p}$$

converges, and for some positive  $k$ ,  $f(2^k) \neq -1$ .

Wirsing [18] showed in 1967, that if  $f$  is real-valued and the series (1.1) diverges, then  $M(f) = 0$ . This implies that  $M(f)$  always exists for all real-valued multiplicative function with  $|f| \leq 1$ .

Halász [7] proved in 1968 the following

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be multiplicative,  $|f| \leq 1$ . If there exists a real number  $a_0$  so that the series*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_p p^{-1} (1 - \operatorname{Re} f(p) p^{-ia})$$

converges for  $a = a_0$ , then, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{x^{ia_0}}{1 + ia_0} \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - p^{-1}) \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p^{-m(1+ia_0)} f(p^m) \right) + o(1).$$

If the series (1.2) diverges for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

In either case there are constant  $c, c_0$  and a slowly oscillating function  $L(u)$  with  $|L(u)| = 1$ , so that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = cx^{ia_0} L(\log x) + o(1).$$

The proof of the proposition is based on analytic methods. Elementary proofs of the Halász theorem were given by Daboussi and Indlekofer [1]. A simpler and shorter proof has been shown by Indlekofer in [11].

The wish to abandon the restriction on the size of  $f$  led to the investigation of multiplicative functions which belong to the class  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1$ . Here, for  $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha := \{f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \|f\|_\alpha < \infty\}$$

denotes the linear space of arithmetic functions with bounded seminorm

$$\|f\|_\alpha := \left\{ \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)|^\alpha \right\}^{1/\alpha}.$$

Obviously the functions considered by Delange, Wirsing and Halász belong to every class  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ .

A characterization of multiplicative functions  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$  ( $\alpha > 1$ ) which possess a non-zero mean value  $M(f)$  was independently given by Elliott and Daboussi in [4] and [2], respectively. Indlekofer [8] introduced the space  $\mathcal{L}^*$  of *uniformly summable* functions.  $f \in \mathcal{L}^*$  iff  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$  and

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{N \geq 1} N^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ |f(n)| > K}} |f(n)| = 0.$$

Obviously

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^* \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^1 \quad \text{if } \alpha > 1.$$

The idea of uniform summability turned out to provide the appropriate tools for describing the mean behaviour of multiplicative functions. Indlekofer proved in [8, 9, 10] generalizations of the results of Delange, Wirsing and Halász for multiplicative functions  $f \in \mathcal{L}^*$ .

In [9] Indlekofer described the connections of uniform summability with the existence of a limit distribution for *real-valued* multiplicative functions and the uniform distribution of *positive valued* multiplicative functions.

To be precise we say that the real-valued  $f$  has a *limiting distribution*  $F_f$  if the frequencies

$$F_{f,x}(y) := x^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ f(n) \leq y}} 1$$

converge to a limiting distribution  $F_f$  in the usual probabilistic sense. We call the distribution  $F_f$  *degenerate* if  $F_f(y) = 0$  for  $y < 0$  and  $F_f(y) = 1$  for  $y \geq 0$ , and *nondegenerate* otherwise.

On the other hand, following Erdős, we say that the values of a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  are *uniformly distributed* in  $(0, \infty)$  (briefly,  $f$  is u.d. in  $(0, \infty)$ ) if  $f(n)$  tends to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and if there exists a positive  $c$  such that as  $y \rightarrow \infty$

$$N(y, f) := \sum_{\substack{n \\ f(n) \leq y}} 1 \sim cy \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

With these notations Indlekofer proved the following three results.

**Proposition 1.2.** (See [9], Theorem 1.) *Let the real-valued multiplicative function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^*$ . Then*

- (i)  *$f$  possesses a limiting distribution  $F_f$  if and only if the mean-value  $M(|f|)$  exists (and has the value  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |y| dF_f(y)$  then), and*
- (ii) *this limiting distribution is degenerate if and only if  $M(|f|) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 1.3.** (See [9], Theorem 2.) *Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be multiplicative and uniformly summable. Then the existence of  $M(|f|)$  implies the existence of  $M(f)$ .*

**Proposition 1.4.** (See [9], Theorem 4.) *Let  $f$  be multiplicative and  $> 0$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$1/f \in \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $f$  possesses a non-degenerate limiting distribution.*
- (ii) *( $\alpha$ )  $f \cdot id$  is uniformly distributed in  $(0, \infty)$ .  
( $\beta$ ) There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ f(n) \leq K}} 1 \gg x \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

- ( $\gamma$ ) *For all positive  $x$*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} 1/f(n) \ll x.$$

Let us come back to the investigations of  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$  for multiplicative functions  $|f| \leq 1$ . Indlekofer, Kátai and Wagner [12] used the methods of [11] to compare  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$  with  $\sum_{n \leq x} g(n)$  where  $g \geq 0$  is multiplicative and  $|f| \leq g$ . They showed

**Proposition 1.5.** (See [12], Theorem.) *Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function which assumes real nonnegative values only. Let*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} g(p) \sim \tau \log x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

*hold with a constant  $\tau > 0$ . Furthermore, let  $g(p) = O(1)$  for all primes  $p$ , and let*

$$\sum_{p, k \geq 2} p^{-k} g(p^k) < \infty.$$

Besides this, if  $\tau \leq 1$ , then let

$$\sum_{p^k \leq x, k \geq 2} g(p^k) = O(x(\log x)^{-1}).$$

Let  $f$  be a complex-valued function, which satisfies  $|f(n)| \leq g(n)$  for every positive integer  $n$ . If there exists a real number  $a_0$  such that the series

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_p p^{-1}(g(p) - \operatorname{Re} f(p)p^{-ia})$$

converges for  $a = a_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) &= \frac{x^{ia_0}}{1 + ia_0} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^m)}{p^{m(1+ia_0)}} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(p^m)}{p^m} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) + o\left( \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If the series (1.3) diverges for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = o\left( \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) \right), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

In both cases, there are constants  $c, a_0$  and a slowly oscillating function  $\tilde{L}$  with  $|\tilde{L}(u)| = 1$  such that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left( cx^{ia_0} \tilde{L}(\log x) + o(1) \right) \sum_{n \leq x} g(n).$$

As an example let us consider the generalized divisor function  $d_\kappa$  for  $\kappa > 0$ . Here the multiplicative function  $d_\kappa$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_\kappa(n)n^{-s} = \zeta^\kappa(s).$$

It is well known that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d_\kappa(n) \sim c_\kappa x(\log x)^{\kappa-1} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously,  $g = d_\kappa$  fulfills all conditions of Proposition 1.5. Thus we have

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be multiplicative such that  $|f| \leq d_\kappa$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ). Then, if there exists a real number  $a_0$  such that the series*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_p p^{-1}(\kappa - \operatorname{Re} f(p)p^{-ia})$$

*converges for  $a = a_0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) &= \frac{x^{ia_0}}{1 + ia_0} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^m)}{p^{m(1+ia_0)}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^\kappa \sum_{n \leq x} d_\kappa(n) + \\ &\quad + o \left( \sum_{n \leq x} d_\kappa(n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

*as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If the series (1.4) diverges for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = o \left( \sum_{n \leq x} d_\kappa(n) \right), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

*In both cases, there are constants  $c, a_0$  and a slowly oscillating function  $\tilde{L}$  with  $|\tilde{L}(u)| = 1$  such that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left( cx^{ia_0} \tilde{L}(\log x) + o(1) \right) \sum_{n \leq x} d_\kappa(n).$$

**Remark 1.1.** In a recent paper Granville et.al. [6] gave upper estimates for  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$  where  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is multiplicative and  $|f| \leq d_\kappa$ .

## 2. Multiplicative function on additive arithmetical semigroups

Let  $(G, \partial)$  be an additive arithmetical semigroup that is, by definition,  $G$  is a free abelian semigroup with identity element 1 such that  $G$  has a countable free generating set  $\mathcal{P}$  of "primes" and  $\partial : G \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is a "degree mapping" satisfying

- (i)  $\partial(ab) = \partial(a) + \partial(b)$  for all  $a, b \in G$ ,
- (ii) the total number  $G(n)$  of elements of degree  $n$  in  $G$  is finite for each  $n \geq 0$ .

In particular, if we assume  $G(n) \ll q^n n^\varrho$  with some  $\varrho$  and  $q > 1$  then

$$\hat{Z}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n)z^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^m)^{-P(m)}$$

is the zeta function associated with  $G$ , where  $P(m)$  denotes the total number of primes of degree  $m$  in  $G$ . (See for details Knopfmacher [15], Knopfmacher and Zhang [16]).

Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^m)^{-P(m)} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(m) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} z^{jm} = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} dP(d) z^m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\Lambda}(m)}{m} z^m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\Lambda}(m) = \sum_{d|m} dP(d).$$

Then, since  $P(d) \leq G(d) \ll q^d d^\varrho$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}(m) &= mP(m) + O\left(mG\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \sum_{r \leq m} \frac{1}{r}\right) = \\ &= mP(m) + O\left(mq^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^\varrho \log m\right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $y = qz$ ,  $\lambda(m) = q^{-m} \bar{\Lambda}(m)$  and  $\gamma(n) = q^{-n} G(n)$  leads to

$$Z(y) := \hat{Z}(yq^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(n) y^n = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} y^m\right).$$

Observe

$$\frac{\lambda(m)}{m} = q^{-m} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ \partial(p)=m}} 1 + O\left(q^{-m/2} m^\varrho \log m\right).$$

Let  $\tilde{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a multiplicative function on  $G$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f}(1) = 1$  and

$$\tilde{f}(ab) = \tilde{f}(a)\tilde{f}(b) \text{ for all coprime } a, b \in G.$$

Put

$$f(n) := q^{-n} \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ \partial(a)=n}} \tilde{f}(a).$$

Then the generating function of  $f$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)y^n = \sum_{a \in G} \tilde{f}(a)q^{-\partial(a)}y^{\partial(a)} = \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(p^k)q^{-k\partial(p)}y^{k\partial(p)} \right) = \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m} y^m \right). \end{aligned}$$

This holds at least in a *formal* sense since  $f(0) = 1$  ( $\neq 0$ ). It is also valid for complex values  $y$ ,  $|y| < 1$  in terms of *ordinary* convergence if, for example, the function  $\tilde{f}$  is multiplicative of modulus  $\leq 1$ . Then  $|\lambda_f(m)| \leq \lambda(m)$  and  $|f(n)| \leq \gamma(n)$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Furthermore, here we consider additive arithmetical semigroups satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{Z}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n)z^n = \frac{H(z)}{(1-qz)^\delta} = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} q^m z^m \right)$$

where  $\delta$  is a positive number, and we assume that  $0 \leq \lambda(m) \ll 1$ ,  $H(z) = O(1)$  for  $|z| < q^{-1}$  and

$$\lim_{z \nearrow q^{-1}} H(z) = A > 0.$$

It is well known [14] that under these conditions

$$q^{-n}G(n) \sim A \frac{n^{\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\delta)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Example 2.1.** Let  $F_q[X]$  denote the polynomial ring in an indeterminate  $X$  over the finite Galois field  $F_q$  with  $q$  elements ( $q$  prime power). The subset  $G_q = G(q, X)$  consisting of all monic polynomials in  $F_q[X]$  forms a semigroup under multiplication. In particular,  $G_q$  together with the usual degree mapping on polynomials defines an additive arithmetical semigroup such that

$$G_q(n) = q^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

The generating function  $\hat{Z}_q$  of  $G_q$  is given by ( $|z| < q^{-1}$ )

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{Z}_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_q(n)z^n = \frac{1}{1-qz} = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^m}{m} z^m \right).$$



For more general investigations Indlekofer [13] introduced the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of exp-log functions. For this let

$$(2.3) \quad Z(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(n)y^n = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} y^m \right)$$

be holomorphic for  $|y| < 1$  where

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq \lambda(m) = O(1), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad |Z(y)| \ll Z(|y|) \left| \frac{1-|y|}{1-y} \right|^{\varepsilon}, \quad (|y| < 1)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Further, putting

$$B(n) = \exp \left( \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} \right),$$

we assume that

$$(2.6) \quad n\gamma(n) \asymp B(n)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad B(m) = o(B(n)) \quad \text{if } m = o(n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we say that the function  $Z$  given in (2.3) belongs to the exp-log class  $\mathcal{F}$  in case (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) hold.

**Example 2.2.** The generating functions  $Z(y) = \hat{Z}(q^{-1}z)$  (see (2.1)) of additive arithmetical semigroups belong to the class  $\mathcal{F}$ . Observe that, for  $r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B(n) &= \exp \left( \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} \right) \asymp \exp \left( \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} r^m \right) \\ &\asymp Z(r) \asymp (1-r)^{-\delta} = n^{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{B(m)}{B(n)} \asymp \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{\delta} = o(1) \quad \text{if } m = o(n).$$

As a further example we mention (see [13], Example 4)

$$Z(y) = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} y^m \right)$$

where

$$0 < c_1 \leq \lambda(m) \leq c_2 < \infty \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then, obviously

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(y)| &= Z(|y|) \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m)}{m} |y|^m (\cos(mt) - 1) \right) \leq \\ &\leq Z(|y|) \exp \left( c_1 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^m}{m} (\cos(mt) - 1) \right) = \\ &= Z(|y|) \left| \frac{1 - |y|}{1 - y} \right|^{c_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{B(m)}{B(n)} = \exp \left( - \sum_{m < l \leq n} \frac{\lambda(l)}{l} \right) \ll \exp \left( c_1 \log \frac{m}{n} \right) = o(1)$$

if  $m = o(n)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Elementary estimates immediately yield

$$n\gamma(n) \asymp B(n),$$

where the constants involved in  $\asymp$  only depend on  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  (see Manstavičius [17], Lemma 3.1).

Now, if the function

$$(2.8) \quad F(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)y^n = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(m)}{m} y^m \right)$$

( $|y| < 1$ ) is given then the following result holds.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $Z$  be an element of the exp-log class  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $F(y)$  in (2.8) satisfy  $|\lambda_f(m)| \leq \lambda(m)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following two assertions hold*

(i) *Let*

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(m) - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_f(m) e^{ima}}{m}$$

*converge for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Put*

$$A_n := \exp \left( -ina + \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{\lambda_f(m) e^{ima} - \lambda(m)}{m} \right).$$

*Then*

$$f(n) = A_n \gamma(n) + o(\gamma(n)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) Let (2.9) diverge for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$f(n) = o(\gamma(n)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We apply Theorem 2.1 to multiplicative functions on  $G_q$ , where  $G_q$  is the additive arithmetical semigroup of monic polynomials over the Galois field with  $q$  elements.

Define the generalized divisor function  $\bar{d}_\kappa$  on  $G_q$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_\kappa(n) z^n &= (\hat{Z}_q(z))^\kappa = \frac{1}{(1 - qz)^\kappa} = \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa q^m}{m} z^m\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_\kappa(n) = \sum_{\substack{a \in G_q \\ \partial(a)=n}} \bar{d}_\kappa(a)$ . Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-n} d_\kappa(n) &= \binom{\kappa + n - 1}{n} \sim \\ &\sim \frac{n^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $\kappa > 0$ . Let  $\tilde{f} : G_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be multiplicative such that  $|\lambda_f(m)| \leq \kappa$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following two assertions hold.

(i) Let

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_f(m) e^{ima}}{m}$$

converge for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Put

$$A_n := \exp\left(-ina + \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_f(m) e^{ima} - \kappa}{m}\right).$$

Then

$$f(n) := q^{-n} \sum_{\substack{a \in G_q \\ \partial(a)=n}} \tilde{f}(a) = A_n \frac{n^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} + o(n^{\kappa-1}).$$

(ii) Let (2.10) diverge for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$f(n) = o(n^{\kappa-1}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remark 2.1.** In a recent paper Granville et. al. [5] investigated upper estimates for  $q^{-n} \sum_{\substack{a \in G_q \\ \partial(a)=n}} \tilde{f}(a)$  where  $|\lambda_f(m)| \leq \kappa$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## References

- [1] **Daboussi H., and K.-H. Indlekofer**, Two elementary proofs of Halász's theorem, *Math. Z.*, **209** (1990), 43–52.
- [2] **Daboussi H.**, Sur les fonctions multiplicatives ayant une valeur moyenne non nulle, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **109** (1981), 183–206.
- [3] **Delange, H.**, Sur les fonctions arithmétiques multiplicatives, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3)*, **78** (1961), 273–304.
- [4] **Elliott, P.D.T.A.**, Mean value theorems for multiplicative functions bounded in mean  $\alpha$ -power,  $\alpha > 1$ , *J. Australian Math. Soc. (Ser. A)*, **29** (1980), 177–205.
- [5] **Granville A., A.J. Harper and K. Soundarajan**, Mean values of multiplicative functions over function fields, *Research in number theory* 1:25, (2015), doi:10.1007/s40993-015-0023-5.
- [6] **Granville A., A.J. Harper and K. Soundarajan**, A new proof of Halász's theorem, and its consequences, *eprint arXiv:1706.03749, origin Arxiv*, (2017), 1–36.
- [7] **Halász G.**, Über die Mittelwerte multiplikativer zahlentheoretischer Funktionen, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **19** (1968), 365–403.
- [8] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, A mean-value theorem of multiplicative arithmetical function, *Math. Z.*, **172**, (1980), 255–271.
- [9] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Limiting distributions and mean-values of multiplicative arithmetical functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **328**, (1981), 116–127.
- [10] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Properties of uniformly summable multiplicative functions, *Period. Math. Hungar.*, **17**, (1986), 143–161.
- [11] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Remarks on an elementary proof of Hálász's theorem, *Liet. Mat. Rink.*, **33**, (1993), 417–423.
- [12] **Indlekofer, K.-H., I. Kátai and R. Wagner**, A comparative result for multiplicative functions, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, **41**, No. 2, (2001), 143–157.
- [13] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Tauberian theorems with applications to arithmetical semigroups and probabilistic combinatorics, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **34**, (2011), 135–177.
- [14] **Indlekofer, K.-H.**, Remarks on Tauberian theorems for exp-log functions, *Šiauliai Math. Semin.*, **8** 16, (2013), 83–93.
- [15] **Knopfmacher, J.**, *Analytic Arithmetic of Algebraic Function Fields*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1979.
- [16] **Knopfmacher, J. and W.-B. Zhang**, *Number Theory Arising from Finite Fields. Analytic and Probabilistic Theory* **241**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

- [17] **Manstavičius, E.**, An analytic method in probabilistic combinatorics, *Osaka J. Math.*, **46**, (2009), 273–290.
- [18] **Wirsing, E.**, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen II, *Acta. math. Sci. Hungar.*, **18**, (1967), 411–467.

**E. Kaya**

Faculty of Maritime  
Department of Basic Sciences  
Mersin University  
Mersin-Turkey  
`kayaerdener@mersin.edu.tr`

**R. Wagner**

Faculty of Computer Science  
Electrical Engineering and Mathematics  
University of Paderborn  
Warburger Str. 100  
D-33098 Paderborn, Germany  
`Robert.Wagner43@gmx.de`

