ON CONJECTURE CONCERNING THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Bui Minh Mai Khanh (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Antal Iványi

Communicated by Imre Kátai (Received May 15, 2017; accepted June 15, 2017)

Abstract. We determine all solutions of those $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ for which $f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f^2(n) + Df^2(m)$ is satisfied for all positive integers n, m, where D is a given positive integer. This solves a problem of Kátai and Phong.

1. Introduction

Let, as usual, \mathcal{P} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{C} be the set of primes, positive integers, integers and complex numbers, respectively.

In 1992, C. Spiro [15] proved that if a multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the relations

$$f(p_0) \neq 0$$
 for some $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$

and

$$f(p+q) = f(p) + f(q)$$
 for every $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$,

then f(n) = n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 1997 J.-M. De Koninck, I. Kátai and B. M. Phong [5] proved that if a multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the relation

$$f(p+n^2) = f(p) + f(n^2)$$
 for every $p \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathbb{N},$

Key words and phrases: Multiplicative functions, the identity function, functional equation. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A07, 11A25, 11N25, 11N64.

then f is the identity function. K.-H. Indlekofer and B. M. Phong [6] proved that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy $f(2)f(5) \neq 0$ and $f(n^2 + m^2 + k + 1) =$ $= f(n^2+1)+f(m^2+k)$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then f(n) = n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (n, 2) = 1.

For some generalizations of the above results, we refer the other works of P. V. Chung [2], B. M. Phong [10], [11], [12].

In 2014 B. Bojan determined all solutions of those $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$f(n^2 + m^2) = f^2(n) + f^2(m)$$
 for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our purpose in this paper is to prove a conjecture of Kátai and Phong [3].

Theorem. Assume that the number $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and the arithmetical function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the equation

(1.1)
$$f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f^2(n) + Df^2(m) \quad for \ every \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then one of the following assertions holds:

a)
$$f(n) = 0$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
b) $f(n) = \frac{\epsilon(n)}{D+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$
c) $f(n) = \epsilon(n)n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $E := \{n^2 + Dm^2 \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \ \epsilon(n) = 1 \text{ if } n \in E \text{ and } \epsilon(n) \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus E.$

It is proved earlier for the case D = 2, 3 in [4] and for D = 4, 5 in [14] (see also [16]).

Corollary 1. Assume that the number $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and a multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the equation (1.1). Then

$$f(n) = \epsilon(n)n$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $E := \{n^2 + Dm^2 \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \epsilon(n) = 1 \text{ if } n \in E \text{ and } \epsilon(n) \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus E.$

Corollary 2. Assume that the number $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ and an arithmetic function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the relation

$$f(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_k^2) = f^2(n_1) + f^2(n_2) + \dots + f^2(n_k)$$

for all $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one of the following assertions holds:

a)
$$f(n) = 0$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
b) $f(n) = \frac{\epsilon(n)}{k}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
c) $f(n) = \epsilon(n)n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

where $F := \{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_k^2 \mid n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \epsilon(n) = 1 \text{ if } n \in F \text{ and } \epsilon(n) \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F.$

We note that Corollary 2 is proved by Park in [8] and [9] for multiplicative function and recently by Lee in [7] for general arithmetic functions.

2. Lemmas

In this section we assume that the function $F, G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ and the numbers $D \in \mathbb{N}, U \in \mathbb{C}, U \neq 0$ satisfy the relation

(2.1)
$$F(n^2 + Dm^2) = G(n) + UG(m) \text{ for every } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1. Assume that the function $F, G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ and the numbers $D \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathbb{C}, U \neq 0$ satisfy (2.1). Then

(2.2)
$$G(\ell + 12m) = G(\ell + 9m) + G(\ell + 8m) + G(\ell + 7m) - G(\ell + 5m) - G(\ell + 4m) - G(\ell + 3m) + G(\ell)$$

holds for every $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$(2.3) \begin{cases} G(7) &= 2G(5) - G(1) \\ G(8) &= 2G(5) + G(4) - 2G(1) \\ G(9) &= G(6) + 2G(5) - G(2) - G(1) \\ G(10) &= G(6) + 3G(5) - G(3) - 2G(1) \\ G(11) &= G(6) + 4G(5) - G(3) - G(2) - 2G(1) \\ G(12) &= G(6) + 4G(5) + G(4) - G(2) - 4G(1) \end{cases}$$

Proof. We note from (2.1) that

(2.4)
$$F(x^2 + Dy^2) = G(|x|) + UG(|y|) \text{ for every } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

First we prove the following assertion:

(2.5)
$$G(n+2m) - G(|n-2m|) = G(2n+m) - G(|2n-m|)$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 2m, m/2$.

Assume that the numbers $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the conditions $n \neq 2m, n \neq m/2$. If $Dn - 2m \neq 0$, then we infer from (2.4) and the next relations

$$(Dn + 2m)^2 + D(n - 2m)^2 = (Dn - 2m)^2 + D(n + 2m)^2$$

and

$$(Dn + 2m)^2 + D(2n - m)^2 = (Dn - 2m)^2 + D(2n + m)^2$$

that

$$G(Dn + 2m) + UG(|n - 2m|) = G(|Dn - 2m|) + UG(n + 2m)$$

and

$$G(Dn + 2m) + UG(|2n - m|) = G(|Dn - 2m|) + UG(2n + m).$$

These prove (2.5) in the case $Dn - 2m \neq 0$.

If Dn - 2m = 0, then $2Dn - m \neq 0$. In this case, we infer from (2.4) and the next relations

$$(2Dn + m)^{2} + D(n - 2m)^{2} = (2Dn - m)^{2} + D(n + 2m)^{2}$$

and

$$(2Dn + m)^{2} + D(2n - m)^{2} = (2Dn - m)^{2} + D(2n + m)^{2}$$

that

$$G(2Dn + m) + UG(|n - 2m|) = G(|2Dn - m|) + UG(n + 2m)$$

and

$$G(2Dn + m) + UG(|2n - m|) = G(|2Dn - m|) + UG(2n + m).$$

These prove (2.5) in the case Dn - 2m = 0, and so (2.5) is proved.

Applications of (2.5) in the cases $(n,m) \in \{(1,3); (2,3); (1,4); (1,5); (3,4); (2,5)\}$ prove that (2.3) holds for G(7), G(8), G(9), G(11), G(10) and G(12). Thus, (2.3) is proved.

Now we prove (2.2).

By applying (2.5) with $n = \ell + 2m$, we have

$$G(2\ell + 5m) - G(2\ell + 3m) = G(\ell + 4m) - G(\ell) \text{ for every } \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This shows that

$$G(\ell + 12m) - G(\ell) = G(2\ell + 15m) - G(2\ell + 9m)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(2\ell + 15m) - G(2\ell + 9m) &= \left[G\left(2(\ell + 5m) + 5m\right) - G\left(2(\ell + 5m) + 3m\right) \right] + \\ &+ \left[G\left(2(\ell + 4m) + 5m\right) - G\left(2(\ell + 4m) + 3m\right) \right] + \\ &+ \left[G\left(2(\ell + 3m) + 5m\right) - G\left(2(\ell + 3m) + 3m\right) \right] = \\ &= \left[G\left(\ell + 9m\right) - G\left(\ell + 5m\right) \right] + \\ &+ \left[G\left(\ell + 8m\right) \right) - G\left(\ell + 4m\right) \right] + \\ &+ \left[G\left(\ell + 7m\right) \right) - G\left(\ell + 3m\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which prove (2.2).

Lemma 1 is proved.

In the proof of the next lemma we shall follow a method in part similar to the one used in the proof of Lemma 2 of the paper [13].

Lemma 2. Assume that the function $F, G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ and the numbers $D \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathbb{C}, U \neq 0$ satisfy (2.1). Let

$$\begin{split} A &:= \frac{1}{120} \Big(G(6) + 4G(5) - G(3) - G(2) - 3G(1) \Big), \\ \Gamma_2 &:= \frac{-1}{8} \Big(G(6) - 4G(5) + 4G(4) - G(3) + 3G(2) - 3G(1) \Big), \\ \Gamma_3 &:= \frac{-1}{3} \Big(G(6) - 2G(5) + 2G(3) - G(2) \Big), \\ \Gamma_4 &:= \frac{1}{4} \Big(G(6) - 2G(4) - G(3) + G(2) + G(1) \Big), \\ \Gamma_5 &:= \frac{1}{5} \Big(G(6) - G(5) - G(3) - G(2) + 2G(1) \Big), \\ \Gamma &:= \frac{1}{4} \Big(G(6) - 4G(5) + 2G(4) + 3G(3) + G(2) + G(1) \Big), \\ B_k &:= \Gamma_2 \chi_2(k) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(k) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(k - 1) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(k) + \Gamma, \end{split}$$

where $\chi_2(k) \pmod{2}$, $\chi_3(k) \pmod{3}$ are the principal Dirichlet characters and $\chi_4(k) \pmod{4}$, $\chi_5(k) \pmod{5}$ are the real, non-principal Dirichlet characters, *i.e.*

$$\begin{split} \chi_2(0) &= 0, \chi_2(1) = 1, \chi_3(0) = 0, \chi_3(1) = \chi_3(2) = 1, \\ \chi_4(0) &= \chi_4(2) = 0, \chi_4(1) = 1, \chi_4(3) = -1, \\ \chi_5(2) &= \chi_5(3) = -1, \chi_5(1) = \chi_5(4) = 1. \end{split}$$

Then we have

(2.6)
$$G(\ell) = A\ell^2 + B_\ell \quad \text{for every} \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof. By computation, we proved that (2.6) holds for $1 \le k \le 12$.

Assume that $G(k) = Ak^2 + B_k$ holds for $\ell \le k \le \ell + 11$, where $\ell \ge 1$. By applying (2.2) with m = 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\ell+12) &= G(\ell+9) + G(\ell+8) + G(\ell+7) - \\ &- G(\ell+5) - G(\ell+4) - G(\ell+3) + G(\ell) = \\ &= A \Big[(\ell+9)^2 + (\ell+8)^2 + (\ell+7)^2 - \\ &- (\ell+5)^2 - (\ell+4)^2 - (\ell+3)^2 + \ell^2 \Big] + \\ &+ \Big[B_{\ell+9} + B_{\ell+8} + B_{\ell+7} - B_{\ell+5} - B_{\ell+4} - B_{\ell+3} + B_{\ell} \Big] = \\ &= A(\ell+12)^2 + B_{\ell+12}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that (2.6) holds for $\ell+12$ and so it is true for all $\ell.$ In the last relation we have used

$$\begin{split} B_{\ell+9} + B_{\ell+8} + B_{\ell+7} - B_{\ell+5} - B_{\ell+4} - B_{\ell+3} + B_{\ell} &= \\ &= \Gamma_2 \Big[\sum_{k=\ell+6}^{\ell+9} \chi_2(k) - \sum_{k=\ell+3}^{\ell+6} \chi_2(k) + \chi_2(\ell) \Big] + \\ &+ \Gamma_3 \Big[\sum_{k=\ell+7}^{\ell+9} \chi_3(k) - \sum_{k=\ell+3}^{\ell+5} \chi_3(k) + \chi_3(\ell) \Big] + \\ &+ \Gamma_4 \Big[\sum_{k=\ell+6}^{\ell+9} \chi_4(k-1) - \sum_{k=\ell+3}^{\ell+6} \chi_4(k-1) + \chi_4(\ell-1) \Big] + \\ &+ \Gamma_5 \Big[\sum_{k=\ell+6}^{\ell+10} \chi_5(k) - \sum_{k=\ell+2}^{\ell+6} \chi_5(k) - \chi_5(\ell+10) + \chi_5(\ell+2) + \chi_5(\ell) \Big] + \Gamma = \\ &= \Gamma_2 \chi_2(\ell) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(\ell) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(\ell-1) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(\ell+2) + \Gamma = \\ &= \Gamma_2 \chi_2(\ell+12) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(\ell+12) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(\ell+11) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(\ell+12) + \Gamma = B_{\ell+12}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

3. Proof of the Theorem

Assume that the numbers $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and the arithmetical function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the equation (1.1), that is

$$f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f^2(n) + Df^2(m)$$
 for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $G(n) := f^2(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and U = D. We shall use the notations of Lemmas 1-2. From (2.6) we have

$$G(\ell) = f^2(\ell) = A\ell^2 + B_\ell$$
 for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

where

$$B_{\ell} := \Gamma_2 \chi_2(\ell) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(\ell) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(\ell-1) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(\ell) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(\ell-1) +$$

Consequently, we obtain from (1.1) that

$$G(n^{2} + Dm^{2}) = f^{2}(n^{2} + Dm^{2}) = \left(G(n) + DG(m)\right)^{2},$$

and so (2.6) implies

(3.1)
$$A(n^{2} + Dm^{2})^{2} + B_{n^{2} + Dm^{2}} = \left(A(n^{2} + Dm^{2}) + B_{n} + DB_{m}\right)^{2}$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$|B_{\ell}| \leq |\Gamma_2| + |\Gamma_3| + |\Gamma_4| + |\Gamma_5| + |\Gamma| \quad \text{for every} \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$n^2 + Dm^2 \to \infty$$
 as $n, m \to \infty$,

we infer from (3.1) that

$$A = \lim_{n,m\to\infty} \left[A + \frac{B_{n^2+Dm^2}}{(n^2+Dm^2)^2} \right] =$$
$$= \lim_{n,m\to\infty} \left(A + \frac{B_n+DB_m}{n^2+Dm^2} \right)^2 = A^2$$

Therefore, we have $A \in \{0, 1\}$.

Case I. A = 1. From (3.1) we obtain that

(3.2)
$$(n^2 + Dm^2)^2 + B_{n^2 + Dm^2} - \left((n^2 + Dm^2) + B_n + DB_m \right)^2 = = (-2B_n - 2DB_m)n^2 + W(n,m) = 0,$$

holds for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, where

(3.3)
$$W(n,m) := B_{n^2+Dm^2} - B_n^2 - D^2 B_m^2 - 2D^2 m^2 B_m - 2Dm^2 B_n - 2DB_n B_m.$$

Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \equiv a \pmod{60}$ with some $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq a < 60$. Then $B_n = B_a$ and

$$|W(n,m)| < \infty$$

and so we obtain from (3.2) that

$$B_a + DB_m = \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \equiv a \pmod{60}}} \frac{-W(n,m)}{2n^2} = 0,$$

consequently

(3.4)
$$B_m = \frac{-B_a}{D} = c \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is some fixed constant. This shows that

(3.5)
$$c(D+1) = 0$$
 and $c = 0$.

This proves

$$B_m = c = 0$$
 and $G(m) = m^2$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$

and in the case A = 1, we proved that

$$f^{2}(m) = m^{2}$$
 and $f(n^{2} + Dm^{2}) = f^{2}(n) + Df^{2}(m) = n^{2} + Dm^{2}$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The part (c) of the theorem is proved.

Case II. A = 0. In this case, we have

(3.6)
$$T(n,m) := B_{n^2 + Dm^2} - (B_n + DB_m)^2 = 0$$
 for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We prove now that

$$(3.7) \Gamma_4 = 0$$

Assume that $\Gamma_4 \neq 0$. By applying (3.6) with T(2,2) and T(30,30), we obtain that

$$B_{4+4D} = (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma + D(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma))^2$$

and

$$B_0 = (\Gamma_4 + \Gamma + D(\Gamma_4 + \Gamma))^2.$$

Consequently

$$T(8,8) = 4(D+1)^2 \Gamma_4(-\Gamma_5 + \Gamma + \Gamma_3) = 0$$

and

$$T(60, 60) = 4\Gamma_4\Gamma(D+1)^2 = 0,$$

which with $(D+1)^2\Gamma_4 \neq 0$ imply that

$$\Gamma = 0$$
 and $\Gamma_5 - \Gamma_3 = 0$.

Finally, we obtain (3.6) that

$$0 = T(2,8) = B_{2^2+D8^2} - (B_2 + DB_8)^2 = B_{4+4D} - (B_2 + DB_8)^2 =$$

= $(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma + D(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma))^2 -$
 $- (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma + D(\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_4 - \Gamma_5 + \Gamma))^2$
= $(\Gamma_4(D+1))^2 - (\Gamma_4(D-1))^2 = 4D\Gamma_4^2.$

This is impossible, because $\Gamma_4 \neq 0$. Thus, (3.4) is proved.

In the next part, we assume that $\Gamma_4 = 0$, and so

(3.8)
$$B_k := \Gamma_2 \chi_2(k) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(k) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(k) + \Gamma \quad \text{for every} \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

furthermore

$$(3.9) B_k = B_{k+30} for every k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since

$$T(30, 30) = \Gamma - (\Gamma + D\Gamma)^2 = 0,$$

consequently

(3.10)
$$\Gamma \in \{0, \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}\}.$$

Lemma 3. Assume that (3.6) and (3.8) hold. If $\Gamma = 0$, then $B_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We deduce from $\Gamma = 0$, (3.6) and (3.8) that

$$B_{30} = \Gamma_2 \chi_2(30) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(30) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(30) + \Gamma = 0$$

and

$$B_{n^2} - B_n^2 = B_{n^2 + D.30^2} - \left(B_n + DB_{30}\right)^2 = T(n, 30) = 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since

$$B_{n^2} = \Gamma_2 \chi_2(n^2) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(n^2) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(n^2) = \Gamma_2 \chi_2^2(n) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3^2(n) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5^2(n),$$

we have

$$\Gamma_2 \chi_2^2(n) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3^2(n) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5^2(n) = \left(\Gamma_2 \chi_2(n) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(n) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(n)\right)^2$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This with n = 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15 gives the following equations

$$\Gamma_{3} + \Gamma_{5} = (\Gamma_{3} - \Gamma_{5})^{2}$$
$$\Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{5} = (\Gamma_{2} - \Gamma_{5})^{2}$$
$$\Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{3} = (\Gamma_{2} + \Gamma_{3})^{2}$$
$$\Gamma_{5}^{2} = \Gamma_{5}$$
$$\Gamma_{3}^{2} = \Gamma_{3}$$
$$\Gamma_{2}^{2} = \Gamma_{2}.$$

Solve this systems of equations, the solutions $(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5)$ are

ł

$$(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$$

Now we prove that $(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5) = (0, 0, 0)$. Assume that $(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_5) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. Then

$$B_k := \chi_i(k) \quad (i = 2, 3, 5),$$

and by applying (3.6) for the case n = m = 1, we have

$$B_{D+1} = (B_1 + DB_1)^2,$$

which implies

$$\chi_i(D+1) = (D+1)^2$$

This is impossible, because $1 \ge |\chi_i(D+1)| = (D+1)^2 \ge 4$. Lemma 3 is proved.

Thus we proved the part (a) of the theorem.

Lemma 4. Assume that (3.6) and (3.8) hold. If

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{(D+1)^2},$$

then $B_n = \Gamma = \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We shall prove that $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_5 = 0$. We infer from (3.6) that

$$T(6,30) = B_{6^2 + D.30^2} - \left(B_6 + D.B_{30}\right)^2 = B_6 - \left(B_6 + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2}\right)^2 = 0$$

and

$$T(12,30) = B_{12^2+D.30^2} - \left(B_{12}+D.B_{30}\right)^2 = B_{24} - \left(B_{12}+\frac{D}{(D+1)^2}\right)^2 = 0$$

Since

$$B_6 = B_{24},$$

$$B_6 = \Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}, B_6 + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2} = \Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{D+1}$$

and

$$B_{12} = -\Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}, B_{12} + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2} = -\Gamma_5 + \frac{1}{D+1},$$

we obtain that

$$(D\Gamma_5 - D + \Gamma_5 + 1)\Gamma_5 = 0, \quad (D\Gamma_5 - D + \Gamma_5 - 3)\Gamma_5 = 0.$$

These relations show that $\Gamma_5 = 0$. Thus, we have

$$B_k = \Gamma_2 \chi_2(k) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(k) + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}$$

and

$$B_{k+6} = B_k$$

hold for $k\in\mathbb{N}.$ By using (3.6) for (n,m)=(1,6),(2,6),(3,6), we have

$$T(1,6) = B_{1^2+D.6^2} - \left(B_1 + DB_6\right)^2 = B_1 - \left(B_1 + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2}\right)^2 =$$
$$= -\frac{(\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3)(D\Gamma_2 + D\Gamma_3 - D + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + 1)}{D+1} = 0,$$
$$T(2,6) = B_{2^2+D.6^2} - \left(B_2 + DB_6\right)^2 = B_4 - \left(B_2 + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2}\right)^2 =$$
$$= -\frac{(D\Gamma_3 - D + \Gamma_3 + 1)\Gamma_3}{D+1} = 0$$

and

$$T(3,6) = B_{3^2+D.6^2} - \left(B_3 + DB_6\right)^2 = B_3 - \left(B_1 + \frac{D}{(D+1)^2}\right)^2 = -\frac{(D\Gamma_2 - D + \Gamma_2 + 1)\Gamma_2}{D+1} = 0.$$

Solving the above system of equations, we obtain

$$(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3) \in \left\{ (0,0), \left(\frac{D-1}{D+1}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{D-1}{D+1}\right) \right\}.$$

Let $H := \frac{D-1}{D+1}$. If $(\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3) \neq (0, 0)$, then $B_k = H\chi_i(k) + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}$ (i = 2, 3). Therefore

$$T(1,1) = B_{1^2+D^{1^2}} - \left(B_1 + DB_1\right)^2 =$$

= $H\chi_i(D+1) + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2} - (D+1)^2(H + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2})^2 =$
= $H\chi_i(D+1) + \frac{1}{(D+1)^2} - \frac{D^4}{(D+1)^2} =$
= $H\left(\chi_i(D+1) - (D^2+1)\right) = 0.$

This is impossible, because $H \neq 0$ and $|\chi_i(D+1)| \le 1 < D^2 + 1$.

Lemma 4 is proved.

Thus we proved the part (b) of the theorem, and so the proof of the theorem is completes.

4. Proof of Corollaries

Corollary 1 follows from the theorem, because if f is multiplicative, then $f(n) \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f^2(m) \neq \frac{1}{(D+1)^2}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2 is a consequence of the theorem by applying $x_2 = \cdots = x_k$ and D = k - 1.

References

- Bojan, Basic, Characterization of arithmetic functions that preserve the sum-of-squares operation, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 30 (2014), Issue 4, 689–695.
- [2] Chung, P. V., Multiplicative functions satisfying the equation $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$, Math. Slovaca, 46 (1996), 165–171.
- [3] Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong, Some unsolved problems on arithmetical functions, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 44 (2015), 233–235.
- [4] Khanh, B.M.M., On the equation $f(n^2 + Dm^2) = f(n)^2 + Df(m)^2$, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput., 44 (2015), 59–68.

- [5] De Koninck, J.-M., I. Kátai and B.M. Phong, A new characteristic of the identity function, *Journal of Number Theory*, 63 (1997), 325–338.
- [6] Indlekofer, K.-H. and B.M. Phong, Additive uniqueness sets for multiplicative functions, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 26 (2006), 65–77.
- [7] Lee, J., Arithmetic functions commutable with sums of squares, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.03511
- [8] Park, P.S., Multiplicative functions preserving the sum-of-three-squares operation, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.01474v1
- [9] Park, P.S., Multiplicative functions commutable with sums of squares, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.08270v1
- [10] Phong, B.M., A characterization of the identity function, Acta Acad. Paedag. Agriensis (Eger), Sec. Matematicae, (1997), 1–9.
- [11] Phong, B.M., On sets characterizing the identity function, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 24 (2004), 295–306.
- [12] Phong, B.M., A characterization of the identity function with functional equations, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 32 (2010), 247–252.
- [13] Phong, B.M., Additive uniqueness sets for a pair of multiplicative functions, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 45 (2016), 199–221.
- [14] N. T. Nghia, Aritmetikai függvény vizsgálata számítógép segítségével, 2014, ELTE, Diploma.
- [15] Spiro, C., Additive uniqueness set for arithmetic functions, J. Number Theory, 42 (1992), 232–246.
- [16] You, L., Y. Chen, P. Yuan and J. Shen, A characterization of arithmetic functions satisfying $f(u^2 + kv^2) = f^2(u) + kf^2(v)$, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.05039

Bui Minh Mai Khanh

Department of Computer Algebra Faculty of Informatics Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C Hungary mbuiminh@yahoo.com