

ADDITIVE UNIQUENESS SETS FOR A PAIR OF MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professor Ha Huy Khoai
on the occasion of his 70-th birthday*

Communicated by Jean-Marie De Koninck

(Received Jun 25, 2016; accepted August 2, 2016)

Abstract. We give all solutions of those multiplicative functions f, g which satisfy

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = g(n^2 + a) + g(m^2 + b) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where a, b are non-negative integers with $a + b > 0$. It is proved that if

$$g(a + 36) + 4g(a + 25) - g(a + 9) - g(a + 4) - 3g(a + 1) \neq 0,$$

then

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad g(m^2 + a) = m^2 + a, \quad g(m^2 + b) = m^2 + b$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n, 2(a + b)) = 1$.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{C} be the set of primes, positive integers and complex numbers, respectively. An arithmetic function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be multiplicative if $(n, m) = 1$ implies that $f(nm) = f(n)f(m)$. Let \mathcal{M} denote the class of all multiplicative functions f with $f(1) = 1$. For each non-negative integer a let

$$E_a = \{n^2 + a \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Key words and phrases: Multiplicative functions, the identity function, functional equation.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A07, 11A25, 11N25, 11N64.

C. Spiro said that $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an additive uniqueness set for \mathcal{M} if there is exactly one element $f \in \mathcal{M}$ which satisfies

$$f(n+m) = f(n) + f(m) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in E.$$

In 1992, C. Spiro [7] showed that $E = \mathcal{P}$ is an additive uniqueness set for \mathcal{M} . In 1997, J.-M. De Koninck, I. Kátai and B. M. Phong [1] proved that if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$f(n^2 + p) = f(n^2) + f(p) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathcal{P}$$

holds, then $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently, in [6] we improve this result for two multiplicative functions, namely it is proved that if $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy

$$f(p + m^2) = g(p) + g(m^2) \quad \text{and} \quad g(p^2) = g(p)^2$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then either

$$f(p + m^2) = 0, \quad g(p) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(m^2) = 1$$

for all primes p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad g(p) = p, \quad g(m^2) = m^2$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following we say that $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a pair of additive uniqueness sets (AU-sets) for \mathcal{M} if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \quad \text{for all } a \in A \quad \text{and} \quad b \in B,$$

implies $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We are interested in characterizing all non-negative integers a and b such that $A = E_a$ and $B = E_b$ are AU-sets. It is proved in [4] that if a function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ with $f(4)f(9) \neq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the condition

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + k) = f(n^2) + f(m^2 + k) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $f(n) = n$ for all positive integers n , $(n, 2k) = 1$. K.-H. Indlekofer and B.M. Phong [2] proved that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy $f(2)f(5) \neq 0$ and

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + k + 1) = f(n^2 + 1) + f(m^2 + k) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $f(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n, 2) = 1$.

Our main purpose in this paper is to give the answer for the general case.

Theorem. Assume that non-negative integers a, b with $a + b > 0$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy the condition

$$(1) \quad f(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = g(n^2 + a) + g(m^2 + b) \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$S_n = g(n^2 + a) \quad \text{and} \quad A = \frac{1}{120}(S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 3S_1).$$

Then the following assertions are true:

I. $A \in \{0, 1\}$.

II. If $A = 1$, then

$$(2) \quad g(m^2 + a) = m^2 + a, \quad g(m^2 + b) = m^2 + b \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(3) \quad f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (n, 2(a + b)) = 1.$$

III. If $A = 0$, then there is a $K \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $S_{n+K} = S_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

III.1. If $K = 1$, then

$$(f, g) \in \{(f_0, g_0), (f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)\},$$

where (f_i, g_i) are given in Table 1:

i	$g_i(n^2 + a)$	$g_i(n^2 + b)$	$f_i(n^2 + m^2 + a + b)$	for
0	$g_0(n^2 + a) = 0$	$g_0(n^2 + b) = 0$	$f_0(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = 0$	$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$
1	$g_1(n^2 + a) = 0$	$g_1(n^2 + b) = 1$	$f_1(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = 1$	$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$
2	$g_2(n^2 + a) = 1$	$g_2(n^2 + b) = 0$	$f_2(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = 1$	$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

Table 1

III.2. If $K = 2$, then

$$(f, g) \in \{(f_3, g_3), (f_4, g_4), (f_5, g_5), (f_6, g_6)\},$$

where (f_i, g_i) are defined as

$$g_i(n^2 + a) = \alpha_i \chi_2(n) + \beta_i, \quad g_i(n^2 + b) = \alpha_i \chi_2(n) + \gamma_i,$$

$$f_i(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = \alpha_i \chi_2(n) + \alpha_i \chi_2(m) + \delta_i$$

and $\chi_2(n)$ is the principal Dirichlet character (modulo 2). The values of $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i$ are given in Table 2:

i	(f_i, g_i)	α_i	β_i	γ_i	δ_i		<i>in the case</i>
3	(f_3, g_3)	c	$1 - c$	0	$1 - c$	$c \in \mathbb{C}$	$(a, b) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{2}$
4	(f_4, g_4)	c	0	$-c$	$-c$	$c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0$	$(a, b) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$
5	(f_5, g_5)	c	$-c$	0	$-c$	$c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0$	$(a, b) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$
6	(f_6, g_6)	c	1	$-c$	$1 - c$	$c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0$	$(a, b) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$

Table 2

Here we write $(a, b) \equiv (x, y) \pmod{m}$ if $a \equiv x$ and $b \equiv y \pmod{m}$.

III.3. If $K = 3$, then

$$(f, g) \in \{(f_7, g_7), (f_8, g_8), \dots, (f_{11}, g_{11})\},$$

where (f_i, g_i) are defined as

$$g_i(n^2 + a) = \alpha_i \chi_3(n) + \beta_i, \quad g_i(n^2 + b) = \alpha_i \chi_3(n) + \gamma_i,$$

$$f_i(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = \alpha_i \chi_3(n) + \alpha_i \chi_3(m) + \delta_i$$

and $\chi_3(n)$ is the principal Dirichlet character (modulo 3). The values of $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i$ are given in Table 3:

i	(f_i, g_i)	α_i	β_i	γ_i	δ_i		<i>in the case</i>
7	(f_7, g_7)	-2	1	1	2		$(a, b) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{3}$
8	(f_8, g_8)	-2	1	2	3		$(a, b) \equiv (1, 2), (2, 1) \pmod{3}$
9	(f_9, g_9)	1	-1	0	-1		$(a, b) \equiv (2, 3) \pmod{3}$
10	(f_{10}, g_{10})	1	0	-1	-1		$(a, b) \equiv (3, 2) \pmod{3}$
11	(f_{11}, g_{11})	-2	3	0	3		$(a, b) \equiv (3, 3) \pmod{3}$

Table 3

2. Lemmas

We shall use the following results:

Lemma 1. Let a and b be non-negative integers and F, G be arithmetical functions, for which the condition

$$(4) \quad F(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = G(n^2 + a) + G(m^2 + b)$$

is satisfied for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $S_j := G(j^2 + a)$. Then

$$(5) \quad S_{n+12} = S_{n+9} + S_{n+8} + S_{n+7} - S_{n+5} - S_{n+4} - S_{n+3} + S_n$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} S_7 &= 2S_5 - S_1, \\ S_8 &= 2S_5 + S_4 - 2S_1, \\ S_9 &= S_6 + 2S_5 - S_2 - S_1, \\ S_{10} &= S_6 + 3S_5 - S_3 - 2S_1, \\ S_{11} &= S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 2S_1, \\ S_{12} &= S_6 + 4S_5 + S_4 - S_2 - 4S_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is Lemma 1 in [5]. ■

Lemma 2. Let a and b be non-negative integers and F, G be arithmetical functions satisfying the condition (4). Let

$$A := \frac{1}{120}(S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 3S_1),$$

$$\Gamma_2 := \frac{-1}{8}(S_6 - 4S_5 + 4S_4 - S_3 + 3S_2 - 3S_1),$$

$$\Gamma_3 := \frac{-1}{3}(S_6 - 2S_5 + 2S_3 - S_2),$$

$$\Gamma_4 := \frac{1}{4}(S_6 - 2S_4 - S_3 + S_2 + S_1),$$

$$\Gamma_5 := \frac{1}{5}(S_6 - S_5 - S_3 - S_2 + 2S_1),$$

$$\Gamma := \frac{1}{4}(S_6 - 4S_5 + 2S_4 + 3S_3 + S_2 + S_1),$$

$$B_k := \Gamma_2\chi_2(k) + \Gamma_3\chi_3(k) + \Gamma_4\chi_4(k-1) + \Gamma_5\chi_5(k) + \Gamma,$$

where $\chi_2(k) \pmod{2}$, $\chi_3(k) \pmod{3}$ are the principal Dirichlet characters and $\chi_4(k) \pmod{4}$, $\chi_5(k) \pmod{5}$ are the real, non-principal Dirichlet characters, i.e.

$$\chi_2(0) = 0, \chi_2(1) = 1, \chi_3(0) = 0, \chi_3(1) = \chi_3(2) = 1, \chi_4(0) = \chi_4(2) = 0,$$

$$\chi_4(1) = 1, \chi_4(3) = -1, \chi_5(2) = \chi_5(3) = -1, \chi_5(1) = \chi_5(4) = 1.$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad S_k = Ak^2 + B_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. From the definition of B_k , we shall compute the values of B_k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 12$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= -\frac{1}{120}S_6 - \frac{1}{30}S_5 + \frac{1}{120}S_3 + \frac{1}{120}S_2 + \frac{41}{40}S_1, \\
B_2 &= -\frac{1}{30}S_6 - \frac{2}{15}S_5 + \frac{1}{30}S_3 + \frac{31}{30}S_2 + \frac{1}{10}S_1, \\
B_3 &= -\frac{3}{30}S_6 - \frac{3}{10}S_5 + \frac{43}{40}S_3 + \frac{3}{40}S_2 + \frac{9}{40}S_1, \\
B_4 &= -\frac{2}{15}S_6 - \frac{8}{15}S_5 + S_4 + \frac{2}{15}S_3 + \frac{2}{15}S_2 + \frac{2}{5}S_1, \\
B_5 &= -\frac{5}{24}S_6 + \frac{1}{6}S_5 + \frac{5}{24}S_3 + \frac{5}{24}S_2 + \frac{5}{8}S_1, \\
B_6 &= \frac{7}{10}S_6 - \frac{6}{5}S_5 + \frac{3}{10}S_3 + \frac{3}{10}S_2 + \frac{9}{10}S_1, \\
B_7 &= -\frac{49}{120}S_6 + \frac{11}{30}S_5 + \frac{49}{120}S_3 + \frac{49}{120}S_2 + \frac{9}{40}S_1, \\
B_8 &= -\frac{8}{15}S_6 - \frac{2}{15}S_5 + S_4 + \frac{8}{15}S_3 + \frac{8}{15}S_2 - \frac{2}{5}S_1, \\
B_9 &= \frac{13}{40}S_6 - \frac{7}{10}S_5 + \frac{27}{40}S_3 - \frac{13}{40}S_2 + \frac{41}{40}S_1, \\
B_{10} &= \frac{1}{6}S_6 - \frac{1}{3}S_5 - \frac{1}{6}S_3 + \frac{5}{6}S_2 + \frac{1}{2}S_1, \\
B_{11} &= -\frac{1}{120}S_6 - \frac{1}{30}S_5 + \frac{1}{120}S_3 + \frac{1}{120}S_2 + \frac{41}{40}S_1, \\
B_{12} &= -\frac{1}{5}S_6 - \frac{4}{5}S_5 + S_4 + \frac{6}{5}S_3 + \frac{1}{5}S_2 - \frac{2}{5}S_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain from (6) and $A = \frac{1}{120}(S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 3S_1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
A \cdot k^2 + B_k &= S_k \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq 6, \\
A \cdot 7^2 + B_7 &= 2S_5 - S_1 = S_7, \\
A \cdot 8^2 + B_8 &= 2S_5 + S_4 - 2S_1 = S_8, \\
A \cdot 9^2 + B_9 &= S_6 + 2S_5 - S_2 - S_1 = S_9, \\
A \cdot 10^2 + B_{10} &= S_6 + 3S_5 - S_3 - 2S_1 = S_{10}, \\
A \cdot 11^2 + B_{11} &= S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 2S_1 = S_{11}, \\
A \cdot 12^2 + B_{12} &= S_6 + 4S_5 + S_4 - S_2 - 4S_1 = S_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we proved that (7) holds for $1 \leq k \leq 12$.

Assume that $Ak^2 + B_k = S_k$ holds for $n \leq k \leq n + 11$, where $n \geq 1$. Then we deduce from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{n+12} &= S_{n+9} + S_{n+8} + S_{n+7} - S_{n+5} - S_{n+4} - S_{n+3} + S_n = \\
&= A \left[(n+9)^2 + (n+8)^2 + (n+7)^2 - (n+5)^2 - (n+4)^2 - (n+3)^2 + n^2 \right] + \\
&+ \left[B_{n+9} + B_{n+8} + B_{n+7} - B_{n+5} - B_{n+4} - B_{n+3} + B_n \right] = \\
&= A(n+12)^2 + B_{n+12},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that (7) holds for $n+12$ and so it is true for all n . In the last relation we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{n+9} + B_{n+8} + B_{n+7} - B_{n+5} - B_{n+4} - B_{n+3} + B_n &= \\
&= \Gamma_2 \left[\sum_{k=n+6}^{n+9} \chi_2(k) - \sum_{k=n+3}^{n+6} \chi_2(k) + \chi_2(n) \right] + \\
&+ \Gamma_3 \left[\sum_{k=n+7}^{n+9} \chi_3(k) - \sum_{k=n+3}^{n+5} \chi_3(k) + \chi_3(n) \right] + \\
&+ \Gamma_4 \left[\sum_{k=n+6}^{n+9} \chi_4(k-1) - \sum_{k=n+3}^{n+6} \chi_4(k-1) + \chi_4(n-1) \right] + \\
&+ \Gamma_5 \left[\sum_{k=n+6}^{n+10} \chi_5(k) - \sum_{k=n+2}^{n+6} \chi_5(k) - \chi_5(n+10) + \chi_5(n+2) + \chi_5(n) \right] + \Gamma = \\
&= \Gamma_2 \chi_2(n) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(n) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(n-1) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(n+2) + \Gamma = \\
&= \Gamma_2 \chi_2(n+12) + \Gamma_3 \chi_3(n+12) + \Gamma_4 \chi_4(n+11) + \Gamma_5 \chi_5(n+12) + \Gamma = B_{n+12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved. ■

3. Proof of the parts (I) and (II) of Theorem

Proof of (I). Assume that non-negative integers a, b with $a+b > 0$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy the condition (1). For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$I_\ell := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (2n+1, 4\ell+1) = 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$\left[n^2 + a \right] \left[(n+1)^2 + a \right] = \left[n(n+1) + a \right]^2 + a$$

and

$$(n^2 + a, (n+1)^2 + a) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in I_a.$$

Now we apply Lemma 2 with $F = f$ and $G = g$. Then for $n \in I_a$, we have

$$g(n^2 + a)g((n+1)^2 + a) = g\left[(n^2 + a)((n+1)^2 + a)\right] = g\left[\left(n(n+1) + a\right)^2 + a\right],$$

which proves

$$(8) \quad S_n S_{n+1} = S_{n(n+1)+a} \quad \text{for all } n \in I_a,$$

therefore we get from (7) that

$$(9) \quad (An^2 + B_n)(A(n+1)^2 + B_{n+1}) = A[n(n+1) + a]^2 + B_{n(n+1)+a}$$

holds for all $n \in I_a$. By the definition of B_k we have

$$B_{k+60} = B_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

consequently

$$|B_k| \leq L := \max(|B_1|, \dots, |B_{60}|).$$

Thus, (9) implies

$$\left(A + \frac{B_n}{n^2}\right)\left(A + \frac{B_{n+1}}{(n+1)^2}\right) = A\left[1 + \frac{a}{n(n+1)}\right]^2 + \frac{B_{n(n+1)+a}}{n^2(n+1)^2},$$

which with $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$A^2 = A, \text{ i.e. } A \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Proof of (II). $A = 1$. We obtain from (9) that

$$(B_n + B_{n+1} - 2a)n^2 + 2(B_n - a)n + B_n + B_n B_{n+1} - B_{n(n+1)+a} - a^2 = 0,$$

holds for all $n \in I_a$. For each $n \in I_1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$N(n, m) := 60(4a + 1)m + n.$$

Since $N(n, m) \in I_a$ and $B_{N(n, m)} = B_n$, we infer from the above relation that

$$(B_n + B_{n+1} - 2a)N(n, m)^2 + 2(B_n - a)N(n, m) + B_n + B_n B_{n+1} - B_{n(n+1)+a} - a^2 = 0$$

is satisfied for all $n \in I_a$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that

$$B_n = a \quad \text{for all } n \in I_a.$$

Let

$$J := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid (2j + 1, 60) = 1\} = \{3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, \dots\}.$$

For each $j \in J$ let

$$n_j := 60x_j + j \quad (x_j \in \mathbb{N})$$

such that

$$2n_j + 1 = 120x_j + (2j + 1) \in \mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad 2n_j + 1 > 4a + 1.$$

Thus, $n_j \in I_a$, and so $B_{n_j} = a$ for all $j \in J$. Since the sequence $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a periodic (modulo 60), therefore

$$B_j = B_{60x_j+j} = B_{n_j} = a \quad \text{for all } j \in J.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{cases} (B_3 =) & -\frac{3}{30}S_6 - \frac{3}{10}S_5 + \frac{43}{40}S_3 + \frac{3}{40}S_2 + \frac{9}{40}S_1 = a, \\ (B_5 =) & -\frac{5}{24}S_6 + \frac{1}{6}S_5 + \frac{5}{24}S_3 + \frac{5}{24}S_2 + \frac{5}{8}S_1 = a, \\ (B_6 =) & \frac{7}{10}S_6 - \frac{6}{5}S_5 + \frac{3}{10}S_3 + \frac{3}{10}S_2 + \frac{9}{10}S_1 = a, \\ (B_8 =) & -\frac{8}{15}S_6 - \frac{2}{15}S_5 + S_4 + \frac{8}{15}S_3 + \frac{8}{15}S_2 - \frac{2}{5}S_1 = a, \\ (B_{11} =) & -\frac{1}{120}S_6 - \frac{1}{30}S_5 + \frac{1}{120}S_3 + \frac{1}{120}S_2 + \frac{41}{40}S_1 = a \\ (A =) & \frac{1}{120}S_6 + \frac{1}{30}S_5 - \frac{1}{120}S_3 - \frac{1}{120}S_2 - \frac{1}{40}S_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

The solutions of this system are:

$$S_1 = 1+a, S_2 = 2^2+a, S_3 = 3^2+a, S_4 = 4^2+a, S_5 = 5^2+a \quad \text{and} \quad S_6 = 6^2+a.$$

These relations with the next lemma prove (II) of our theorem. \blacksquare

Lemma 3. (Theorem 1, [5]) *Assume that non-negative integers a, b with $a + b > 0$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy the condition (1). If either*

$$g(i^2 + a) = i^2 + a \quad \text{or} \quad g(j^2 + b) = j^2 + b \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$$

then

$$g(m^2 + a) = m^2 + a, \quad g(m^2 + b) = m^2 + b \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$f(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (n, 2(a+b)) = 1.$$

The proof of (II) is completed. \blacksquare

4. Proof of the part (III): $A = 0$.

From (7) we have

$$S_n = g(n^2 + a) = B_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since

$$A = \frac{1}{120}(S_6 + 4S_5 - S_3 - S_2 - 3S_1) = 0,$$

we have

$$S_6 = -4S_5 + S_3 + S_2 + 3S_1,$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \frac{1}{2}(2S_5 - S_4 - S_2)\chi_2(n) + (2S_5 - S_3 - S_1)\chi_3(n) + \\ (10) \quad &+ \frac{1}{2}(-2S_5 - S_4 + S_2 + 2S_1)\chi_4(n-1) + (-S_5 + S_1)\chi_5(n) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(-4S_5 + S_4 + 2S_3 + S_2 + 2S_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $S_{n+60} = S_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4. *Let a and b be non-negative integers and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the condition (1). Assume that $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$S_{n+K} = S_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$J_\ell(K) := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid (2j+1, K, 4\ell+1) = 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}(K) := \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in \mathbb{N}, (2u+1, K, 4(v^2+a+b)+1) = 1\}$$

and

$$D := g(b+1) - g(a+1).$$

Then

$$(11) \quad S_j S_{j+1} = S_{j(j+1)+a} \quad \text{for all } j \in J_a(K),$$

$$(12) \quad (S_j + D)(S_{j+1} + D) = S_{j(j+1)+b} + D \quad \text{for all } j \in J_b(K),$$

and

$$(13) \quad (S_u + S_v + D)(S_{u+1} + S_v + D) = S_{u(u+1)+v^2+a+b} + S_v + D$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}(K)$.

Proof of Lemma 4. First we prove (11). For each $j \in J_a(K)$ we have $(2j + 1, K, 4a + 1) = 1$, consequently there is a $x_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2Kx_j + (2j + 1), 4a + 1) = 1.$$

Let $n_j := Kx_j + j$. Then $(2n_j + 1, 4a + 1) = 1$ and so $n_j \in I_a$. From (8) we have

$$S_{n_j} S_{n_j+1} = S_{n_j(n_j+1)+a},$$

which with $n_j \equiv j \pmod{K}$ proves (11).

Now we prove (12) and (13). First we deduce from (1) that

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = g(n^2 + a) + g(m^2 + b) = g(n^2 + b) + g(m^2 + a),$$

consequently

$$g(n^2 + b) - g(n^2 + a) = g(m^2 + b) - g(m^2 + a) = g(b + 1) - g(a + 1) := D$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} g(n^2 + b) = S_n + D & \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ f(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = S_n + S_m + D & \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

For each $j \in J_b(K)$ we have

$$(2j + 1, K, 4b + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (2Kx_j + (2j + 1), 4b + 1) = 1$$

for some $x_j \in \mathbb{N}$. As we seen above, for $n_j := Kx_j + j$, we have $(2n_j + 1, 4b + 1) = 1$ and

$$\left(n_j^2 + b, (n_j + 1)^2 + b \right) = (2n_j + 1, 4b + 1) = 1.$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{M}$, we obtain

$$g(n_j^2 + b)g((n_j + 1)^2 + b) = g\left[(n_j^2 + b)((n_j + 1)^2 + b) \right] = g\left[\left(n_j(n_j + 1) + b \right)^2 + b \right],$$

which with (14) and the fact $n_j \equiv j \pmod{K}$ proves (12).

Now we prove (13). For each pair $(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, there is a $x_u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(2Kx_u + 2u + 1, 4(v^2 + a + b) + 1) = 1$. Let $n_u = Kx_u + u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n_u^2 + v^2 + a + b, (n_u + 1)^2 + v^2 + a + b) &= \\ &= (n_u^2 + v^2 + a + b, 2n_u + 1) = (2n_u + 1, 4(v^2 + a + b) + 1) = \\ &= (2Kx_u + 2u + 1, 4(v^2 + a + b) + 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so $f \in \mathcal{M}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_u^2 + v^2 + a + b)f((n_u + 1)^2 + v^2 + a + b) &= \\ &= f((n_u^2 + v^2 + a + b)((n_u + 1)^2 + v^2 + a + b)) = \\ &= f\left[\left(n_u(n_u + 1) + v^2 + a + b\right)^2 + v^2 + a + b\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This with (14) shows that

$$(S_{n_u} + S_v + D)(S_{n_u+1} + S_v + D) = S_{n_u(n_u+1)+v^2+a+b} + S_v + D,$$

and so (13) is proved because the condition $n_u \equiv u \pmod{K}$ implies

$$S_{n_u} = S_u \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n_u(n_u+1)+v^2+a+b} = S_{u(u+1)+v^2+a+b}.$$

Lemma 4 is proved. ■

Lemma 5. *Let a and b be non-negative integers and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the condition (1). Let $S_n = g(n^2 + a)$. If $S_{n+1} = S_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(15) \quad (f, g) \in \{(f_0, g_0), (f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)\},$$

where $(f_0, g_0), (f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)$ are given in Table 1.

Proof. By our assumption, we have $S_n = s$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2n + 1, 4a + 1) = (2n + 1, 4b + 1) = (2n + 1, 4(a + b + 1) + 1) = 1.$$

Then we have

$$(n^2 + a, (n + 1)^2 + a) = 1, (n^2 + b, (n + 1)^2 + b) = 1$$

and

$$(n^2 + a + b + 1, (n + 1)^2 + a + b + 1) = 1,$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} S_n S_{n+1} &= S_{n(n+1)+a}, \\ (S_n + D)(S_{n+1} + D) &= S_{n(n+1)+a} + D \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(S_n + S_1 + D)(S_{n+1} + S_1 + D) = S_{n(n+1)+a+b+1} + S_1 + D.$$

Since

$$g(n^2 + a) = s, \quad g(m^2 + D) = s + D$$

and

$$f(n^2 + m^2 + a + b) = 2s + D \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get from the above relations that

$$s^2 = s, \quad (s + D)^2 = s + D \quad \text{and} \quad (2s + D)^2 = 2s + D.$$

It is clear to see that all solutions of this system are:

$$(s, D) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, -1)\}.$$

Thus, (15) is true and Lemma 5 is proved. \blacksquare

In the following we say that the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is trivial, if there is a number s such that $S_n = s$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 6. *Let a and b be non-negative integers and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the condition (1). Let $S_n = g(n^2 + a)$. If $S_{n+4} = S_n$ and $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not trivial, then $S_{n+2} = S_n$ is satisfied for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$(f, g) \in \{(f_3, g_3), (f_4, g_4), (f_5, g_5), (f_6, g_6)\},$$

where $(f_3, g_3), (f_4, g_4), (f_5, g_5), (f_6, g_6)$ are given in Table 2.

Proof. From our assumption and Lemma 4, we have $K = 4$ and (11)–(13) hold for all $j, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we obtain from (11) and (12) that

$$S_2(S_3 - S_1) = S_2S_3 - S_1S_2 = S_{a+2} - S_{a+2} = 0,$$

$$S_4(S_3 - S_1) = S_3S_4 - S_4S_5 = S_a - S_a = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (S_2 + D)(S_3 - S_1) &= [(S_2 + D)(S_3 + D) - D] - [(S_1 + D)(S_2 + D) - D] = \\ &= S_{b+2} - S_{b+2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that

$$(16) \quad S_3 = S_1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_4 = S_2.$$

Assume that $S_3 \neq S_1$. Then the above relations imply that $S_2 = S_4 = 0$ and $D = 0$. By applying (13) with $(u, v) = (3, 1), (3, 3), (4, 1)$ and $(u, v) = (4, 3)$, we have

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_3 + S_1 + D)(S_4 + S_1 + D) - (S_1 + D) := x_1,$$

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_3 + S_3 + D)(S_4 + S_3 + D) - (S_3 + D) := x_2,$$

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_4 + S_1 + D)(2S_1 + D) - (S_1 + D) := x_3$$

and

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_4 + S_3 + D)(S_1 + S_3 + D) - (S_3 + D) := x_4.$$

Consequently

$$(S_3 - S_1)(S_1 + 2S_3 + S_4 + 2D - 1) = x_1 - x_2 = 0,$$

$$(S_3 - S_1)(S_1 + S_4 + D) = x_1 - x_3 = 0,$$

and

$$(S_3 - S_1)(S_1 + S_3 + D - 1) = x_4 - x_1 = 0.$$

Since $S_3 \neq S_1$, $S_2 = S_4 = D = 0$, we have $2S_3 - 1 = 0$, $S_1 = 0$, $S_3 - 1 = 0$, which are impossible. Thus, the first assertion of (16) is proved.

Assume that $S_3 = S_1$. Now we apply (13) with $(u, v) = (1, 2), (1, 4), (3, 2)$ and $(u, v) = (3, 4)$ to get

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_2 + D) - (S_2 + D),$$

$$S_{a+b+2} = (S_1 + S_4 + D)(S_2 + S_4 + D) - (S_4 + D),$$

$$S_{a+b} = (S_3 + S_2 + D)(S_4 + S_2 + D) - (S_2 + D)$$

and

$$S_{a+b} = (S_3 + S_4 + D)(2S_4 + D) - (S_4 + D).$$

The first two relations imply that

$$(S_2 - S_4)(S_4 + 2S_2 + S_1 + 2D - 1) = 0$$

and the last two equations give

$$(S_2 - S_4)(2S_4 + S_2 + S_1 + 2D - 1) = 0.$$

Since

$$(S_2 - S_4)(2S_4 + S_2 + S_1 + 2D - 1) - (S_2 - S_4)(S_4 + 2S_2 + S_1 + 2D - 1) = (S_2 - S_4)^2,$$

we have $S_4 = S_2$. Thus, (16) is proved.

In the following we may assume that (16) is true. Then the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the condition $S_{n+2} = S_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we infer from (10) that

$$S_n = (-S_2 + S_1)\chi_2(n) + S_2 \in \{S_1, S_2\},$$

where $S_1 \neq S_2$, because the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not trivial. We obtain from (11)-(13) that

$$S_a = S_1 S_2, S_b = (S_1 + D)(S_2 + D) - D$$

and

$$S_{a+b} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_2 + D) - S_2 - D, S_{a+b+1} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_1 + D) - S_1 - D.$$

The solutions of S_n are given in the parities of a and b .

(Ia) If $(a, b) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{2}$, then $(f, g) = (f_3, g_3)$.

In this case, $(S_a, S_b, S_{a+b}, S_{a+b+1}) = (S_2, S_2, S_2, S_1)$ and so

$$\begin{cases} S_a = S_1 S_2 & = S_2 \\ S_b = (S_1 + D)(S_2 + D) - D & = S_2 \\ S_{a+b} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_2 + D) - S_2 - D & = S_2 \\ S_{a+b+1} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_1 + D) - S_1 - D & = S_1. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} S_2(S_1 - 1) & = 0 \\ (S_2 + D)(S_1 + D - 1) & = 0 \\ (2S_2 + D)(S_1 + S_2 + D - 1) & = 0 \\ (2S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_2 + D - 1) & = 0, \end{cases}$$

and the last two equations with the condition $S_1 \neq S_2$ imply that $S_1 + S_2 + D - 1 = 0$. If $S_2 = 0$, then $D(D - 1) = 0$ and $S_1 + D - 1 = 0$, which imply that $DS_1 = 0$. But $S_1 \neq S_2 = 0$, we have $D = 0$ and $S_1 = -D + 1 = 1$. Thus we proved that $S_2 = 0$ implies $(S_1, S_2, D) = (1, 0, 0)$. If $S_2 \neq 0$, then $S_1 = 1$ and $S_2 + D = 0$. Thus, $g(n^2 + a) = S_n = c\chi_2(n) + (1 - c) = g_3(n^2 + a)$, $g(n^2 + b) = S_n + D = c\chi_2(n) = g_3(n^2 + b)$. The case (Ia) is proved.

(Ib) If $(a, b) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$, then $(f, g) = (f_4, g_4)$.

Assume that $(a, b) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$.

Then $(S_a, S_b, S_{a+b}, S_{a+b+1}) = (S_2, S_1, S_1, S_2)$ and we have the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} S_2(S_1 - 1) & = 0 \\ (S_1 + D)(S_2 + D - 1) & = 0 \\ (2S_2 + D - 1)(S_1 + S_2 + D) & = 0 \\ (2S_1 + D - 1)(S_1 + S_2 + D) & = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly as above, the last two equations imply $S_1 + S_2 + D = 0$. If $S_2 \neq 0$, then $S_1 = 1$, $(1 + D)(S_2 + D - 1) = 0$, $1 + S_2 + D = 0$, and from this we obtain

$$-2(D + 1) = (1 + D)(S_2 + D + 1) - 2(D + 1) = (1 + D)(S_2 + D - 1) = 0.$$

This implies $D = -1$ and $S_2 = 1 + S_2 - 1 = S_1 + S_2 + D = 0$, which is impossible. Thus we proved that $S_2 = 0$, consequently $S_1 + D = 0$ and $(S_1, S_2, D) = (c, 0, -c)$, where $c \neq 0$. Thus, $(S_n, D) = (c\chi_2(n), -c)$ and the assertion (Ib) is proved.

(Ic) If $(a, b) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$, then $(f, g) = (f_5, g_5)$.

In this case we have $(S_a, S_b, S_{a+b}, S_{a+b+1}) = (S_1, S_2, S_1, S_2)$, and similarly we get

$$\begin{cases} S_1(S_2 - 1) & = 0 \\ (S_1 + D - 1)(S_2 + D) & = 0 \\ S_1 + S_2 + D & = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that if $S_1 = 0$, then $S_2 + D = 0$. Thus $(f, g) = (f_5, g_5)$ and (Ic) is true. If $S_1 \neq 0$, then $S_2 = 1$, and $(S_1 + D - 1)(1 + D) = 0, S_1 + 1 + D = 0$ imply $D = -1, S_1 = 0$. This is impossible.

(Id) If $(a, b) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$, then $(f, g) = (f_6, g_6)$.

Assume that $(a, b) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$.

Then $(S_a, S_b, S_{a+b}, S_{a+b+1}) = (S_1, S_1, S_2, S_1)$ and the system of equations is the following:

$$\begin{cases} S_1(S_2 - 1) & = 0 \\ (S_1 + D)(S_2 + D - 1) & = 0 \\ S_1 + S_2 + D - 1 & = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly as in the case (Ia), if $S_1 = 0$, then $D = 0$ and $S_2 = 1$. If $S_1 \neq 0$, then $S_2 = 1$ and $S_1 + D = 0$. Consequently $S_n = (S_1 - 1)\chi_2(n) + 1$, $g(n^2 + b) = (S_1 - 1)\chi_2(n) + 1 - S_1$ and so (Id) holds for $c = S_1 - 1 \neq 0$.

The proof of Lemma 6 is completed. ■

Lemma 7. Let a and b be non-negative integers and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the condition (1). Let $S_n = g(n^2 + a)$. If $S_{n+3} = S_n$ and $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not trivial, then

$$(f, g) \in \{(f_7, g_7), \dots, (f_{11}, g_{11})\},$$

where $(f_7, g_7), \dots, (f_{11}, g_{11})$ are given in Table 3.

Proof. Assume that $S_{n+3} = S_n$. Then $K = 3$ and it is obvious that $2, 3 \in J_a(3)$, $2, 3 \in J_b(3)$. We prove that

$$(17) \quad S_2 = S_1.$$

Assume that $S_2 \neq S_1$. Then we infer from (11) that

$$S_3(S_2 - S_1) = S_2S_3 - S_3S_4 = S_a - S_a = 0$$

and

$$(S_3+D)(S_2-S_1) = [(S_2+D)(S_3+D)-D]-[(S_3+D)(S_4+D)-D] = S_b - S_b = 0,$$

which imply $S_3 = 0$, $D = 0$.

On the other hand, we have $(2, 1), (3, 1), (2, 2), (3, 2) \in \mathcal{L}(3)$ and so we get from (13) that

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_2 + S_1 + D)(S_3 + S_1 + D) - S_1 - D,$$

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_3 + S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_1 + D) - S_1 - D,$$

$$S_{a+b+1} = (2S_2 + D)(S_3 + S_2 + D) - S_2 - D$$

and

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_3 + S_2 + D)(S_1 + S_2 + D) - S_2 - D$$

The first and second relations imply that

$$S_1(S_2 - S_1) = (S_3 + S_1 + D)(S_2 - S_1) = S_{a+b+1} - S_{a+b+1} = 0$$

and so

$$S_1 = 0, S_{a+b+1} = (S_3 + S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_1 + D) - S_1 - D = 0.$$

This with the third relation gives $S_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, because

$$0 = S_{a+b+1} = (2S_2 + D)(S_3 + S_2 + D) - S_2 - D = S_2(2S_2 - 1).$$

Then the last relation implies

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_3 + S_2 + D)(S_1 + S_2 + D) - S_2 - D = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{4},$$

which is contradicted by the fact that $S_{a+b+1} = 0$. Therefore, (17) is proved.

Since $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not trivial sequence, we assume that $S_3 \neq S_1$. Then we have

$$S_n = (S_1 - S_3)\chi_3(n) + S_3 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\chi_3(n)$ is the principal Dirichlet character $(\text{mod } 3)$.

One can check by using (11)-(12) that

$$(18) \quad S_a = S_1 S_3, \quad S_b = (S_1 + D)(S_3 + D) - D,$$

furthermore by applying (14) with $(u, v) = (2, 3), (2, 1)$, we have

$$(19) \quad S_{a+b} = (S_1 + S_3 + D)(2S_3 + D) - S_3 - D$$

and

$$(20) \quad S_{a+b+1} = (2S_1 + D)(S_3 + S_1 + D) - S_1 - D.$$

◦ First we consider the case when $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. It is obvious that $(1, 2) \in \mathcal{L}(3)$, and so

$$(21) \quad S_{a+b} = (2S_1 + D)^2 - S_1 - D.$$

This with (19) leads to $(S_1 - S_3)(2S_3 + 4S_1 + 3D - 1) = 0$, and so

$$(22) \quad 2S_3 + 4S_1 + 3D - 1 = 0.$$

On other hand, $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ gives

$$S_{a+b} - S_3 = (2S_3 + D)(S_1 + S_3 + D - 1) = 0$$

and

$$S_{a+b+1} - S_1 = (2S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_3 + D - 1) = 0.$$

These imply that

$$(23) \quad S_1 + S_3 + D - 1 = 0.$$

One can check from (22) and (23) that

$$S_3 = S_1 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad D = -2S_1 - 1.$$

Since $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, there are three possibilities:

- (i) $(a, b) \equiv (1, 2) \pmod{3}$,
- (ii) $(a, b) \equiv (2, 1) \pmod{3}$,
- (iii) $(a, b) \equiv (3, 3) \pmod{3}$.

In the case (i), we have $1 \in J_a(3)$, $S_a = S_1$ and $S_b = S_1$, consequently

$$S_1(S_3 - 1) = S_1(S_1 + 1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_1^2 - S_1 - 2 = S_1 S_2 - S_3 = S_{a+2} - S_3 = 0.$$

These imply that $S_1 = -1$, and so $S_3 = S_1 + 2 = 1$, $D = -2S_1 - 1 = 1$. Thus $(S_1, S_3, d) = (-1, 1, 1)$ and $(f, g) \in \{(f_8, g_8)\}$.

In the case (ii), we also have $1 \in J_b(3)$, $S_a = S_1$, $S_b = S_1$, and so it follows that $(f, g) \in \{(f_8, g_8)\}$.

In the case (iii), we have $1 \in J_a$, consequently $S_a = S_1 S_3 = S_3$ and $S_1^2 = S_{a+2} = S_1$. It is obvious from $S_3 \neq S_1$ and $S_1 S_3 = S_3$ that $S_1 \neq 0$. Therefore, $S_1^2 = S_1$ implies that $S_1 = 1$, and so $S_3 = S_1 + 2 = 3$, $D = -2S_1 - 1 = -3$. This shows that $g(n^2 + a) = S_n = -2\chi_3(n) + 3 = g_{11}(n^2 + a)$, $g(n^2 + b) = -2\chi_3(n) = g_{11}(n^2 + b)$.

◦ Now we consider the case when $a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We have $(1, v) \in \mathcal{L}(3)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore (21) and (22) are true, furthermore $(1, 3) \in \mathcal{L}(3)$ with (13) gives

$$(24) \quad S_{a+b+2} = (S_1 + S_3 + D)^2 - S_3 - D.$$

Thus, we have

$$S_{a+b} - S_1 = (S_2 + S_1 + D)(2S_3 + D) - S_3 - S_1 - D = (2S_3 + D - 1)(S_1 + S_3 + D) = 0,$$

$$S_{a+b} - S_1 = (2S_1 + D)^2 - 2S_1 - D = (2S_1 + D)(2S_1 + D - 1) = 0,$$

$$S_{a+b+1} - S_1 = (2S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_3 + D - 1) = 0$$

and

$$S_{a+b+2} - S_3 = (S_1 + S_3 + D)^2 - 2S_3 - D = 0.$$

It is clear to see from the second and third relation that $S_1 = -\frac{D}{2}$, and so we have

$$(2S_3 + D - 1)(2S_3 + D) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (2S_3 + D)(2S_3 + D - 4) = 0.$$

Since $S_3 \neq S_1 = -\frac{D}{2}$, we have $2S_3 + D \neq 0$. Consequently

$$2S_3 + D - 1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2S_3 + D - 4 = 0,$$

which are impossible.

◦ Finally we consider the case when $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then we have

$$S_{a+b} - S_1 = (S_1 + S_3 + D)(2S_3 + D) - S_3 - D - S_1 = (2S_3 + D - 1)(S_1 + S_3 + D) = 0$$

and

$$S_{a+b+1} - S_3 = (2S_1 + D)(S_3 + S_1 + D) - S_3 - S_1 - D = (2S_1 + D - 1)(S_1 + S_3 + D) = 0,$$

which show that $S_1 + S_3 + D = 0$.

Since $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, there are three possibilities:

(iv) $(a, b) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{3}$,

$$(v) \quad (a, b) \equiv (2, 3) \pmod{3},$$

$$(vi) \quad (a, b) \equiv (3, 2) \pmod{3}.$$

In the case (iv), we have $S_a = S_1, S_b = S_1$. It is clear to see that if $S_1 = 0$, then $S_3 + D = 0$ and $D = 0$, consequently $S_3 = 0$, which is impossible. If $S_1 \neq 0$, then $S_3 = 1$, which implies that $S_1 + 1 + D = 0$ and $(S_1 + D)D = 0$. Thus, $-D = (S_1 + 1 + D)D - D = (S_1 + D)D = 0$ and $S_1 = -D - 1 = -1$. Hence we have $(f, g) = (f_7, g_7)$.

In the case (v), we have $S_a = S_1 S_3 = S_1, S_b = S_3$ and if $S_1 \neq 0$, then $S_1 S_3 = S_1$ implies $S_3 = 1$ and $S_1 + D + 1 = 0$. We infer from the fact

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = S_b - S_3 &= (S_1 + D)(S_3 + D) - D - S_3 = (S_1 + D - 1)(S_3 + D) = \\ &= (S_1 + D + 1)(S_3 + D) - 2(S_3 + D) = -2(S_3 + D) \end{aligned}$$

that $D = -S_3 = -1$, which is contradicted by the fact that $S_1 = -(S_3 + D) = 0$.

Thus, $S_1 = 0$ and $S_3 = -D, D \neq 0$. Since $1 \in J_b(3)$, we infer from (12) that

$$(S_1 + D)^2 - D = S_{b+2} = S_1, \quad (S_1 + D)(S_1 + D - 1) = 0,$$

which with $D \neq 0$ implies that $D = 1$. Then $(S_1, S_3, D) = (0, -1, 1)$ and $(f, g) = (f_9, g_9)$.

In the case (vi), we have $1 \in J_a, S_a = S_1 S_3 = S_3, S_b = S_1$ and $S_1^2 = S_{a+2} = S_1$. Then $S_1 S_3 = S_3$ and $S_1 \neq S_3$ imply $S_1 \neq 0$. Then $S_1^2 = S_1$ implies $S_1 = 1$, and so $S_3 + D = -S_1 = -1$. Finally, we infer from

$$0 = S_b - S_1 = (S_1 + D)(S_3 + D) - D - S_1 = (S_3 + D - 1)(S_1 + D) = -2(S_1 + D)$$

that $D = -S_1 = -1$ and $S_3 = -S_1 - D = 0$. Thus, we have $(S_1, S_3, D) = (1, 0, -1)$ and $(f, g) = (f_{10}, g_{10})$.

Lemma 7 is proved. ■

Proof of (III).

Assume that the non-negative integers a and b and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfy the condition (1), furthermore $A = 0$ and (10) hold. Let $S_n = g(n^2 + a), D = g(b + 1) - g(a + 1)$.

First we note from (10) that $K = 60$ and $S_{11} = S_1, S_{12} = S_4 + S_3 - S_1$. Since $3, 11 \in J_a(60)$, we infer from (11) that

$$(S_4 - S_1)(S_3 - S_1) = S_3 S_4 - S_{11} S_{12} = S_{a+12} - S_{a+12} = 0.$$

There are two possibilities: (I) $S_4 \neq S_1, S_3 = S_1$ and (II) $S_4 = S_1$.

Case I: $S_4 \neq S_1, S_3 = S_1$.

We shall prove that $S_5 = S_1$.

One can check from (10) that

$$S_8 = 2S_5 + S_4 - 2S_1, S_9 = -2S_5 + 3S_1, S_{23} = 2S_5 - S_1$$

and

$$S_{24} = -2S_5 + S_4 + 2S_1,$$

which with (11) imply

$$4(S_4 - S_1)(S_5 - S_1) = S_{23}S_{24} - S_8S_9 = S_{a+12} - S_{a+12} = 0,$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} S_{23}S_{24} - S_8S_9 &= (2S_5 - S_1)(-2S_5 + S_4 + 2S_1) - \\ &- (2S_5 + S_4 - 2S_1)(-2S_5 + 3S_1) = 4(S_4 - S_1)(S_5 - S_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved that $S_5 = S_1$.

Since $S_5 = S_3 = S_1$, the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has the form $\{S_1, S_2, S_1, S_4, \dots\}$, and so $K = 4$. Consequently, all solutions are given in Lemma 6.

Case II: $S_4 = S_1$.

We deduce from (10) that $K = 60$, furthermore

$$S_8 = 2S_5 - S_1, S_9 = -2S_5 + S_3 + 2S_1, S_{10} = -S_5 + S_2 + S_1$$

and

$$S_{14} = -2S_5 + S_2 + 2S_1, S_{15} = -S_5 + S_3 - S_1.$$

Since $3, 8, 9, 14 \in J_a(60)$, we infer from (11) that

$$2(S_5 - S_1)(2S_5 - S_3 - S_1) = S_3S_4 - S_8S_9 = S_{a+12} - S_{a+12} = 0$$

and

$$(S_5 - S_1)(S_3 - S_2) = S_9S_{10} - S_{14}S_{15} = S_{a+30} - S_{a+30} = 0.$$

Case (II.a): $S_4 = S_1, S_5 \neq S_1$.

In this case the above relations imply

$$S_3 = S_2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_5 = \frac{S_3 + S_1}{2}$$

and so we get from (10) that

$$(25) \quad S_n = \left(\frac{S_1 - S_2}{2}\right)\chi_5(n) + \left(\frac{S_1 + S_2}{2}\right) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $S_2 = S_1$ then $S_n = S_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence by Lemma 5 we get all solutions of (f, g) .

Assume now that $S_2 \neq S_1$. Since $(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}(5)$ for $(u, v) \in \{(1, 2), (4, 1), (2, 5)\}$, an application of (13) for these pairs, we have

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_1 + S_2 + D)(2S_2 + D) - (S_2 + D) := y_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{a+b+1} &= (S_4 + S_1 + D)(S_5 + S_1 + D) - (S_1 + D) = \\ &= (2S_1 + D)(S_1 + S_5 + D) - (S_1 + D) := y_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{a+b+1} = (S_2 + S_5 + D)(S_3 + S_5 + D) - (S_5 + D) = (S_2 + S_5 + D)^2 - (S_5 + D) := y_3,$$

which imply

$$y_1 - y_2 = \frac{1}{2}(S_2 - S_1)(4S_2 + 6S_1 + 5D - 2) = 0$$

and

$$y_1 - y_3 = \frac{-1}{4}(S_2 - S_1)(S_2 - S_1 + 2) = 0.$$

These imply $S_1 = 1 - \frac{D}{2}$ and $S_2 := -1 - \frac{D}{2}$, consequently we get from (25) that

$$S_n = \chi_5(n) - \frac{D}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is obvious that $(5, 5) \in \mathcal{L}(5)$, we get from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{a+b} &= (S_5 + S_5 + D)(S_6 + S_5 + D) - (S_5 + D) = \\ &= (2S_5 + D)(S_1 + S_5 + D) - (S_5 + D) = -\frac{D}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

consequently $5|a+b$. Thus, we have $(5, 4(a+b+2 \cdot 3+2^2)+1) = (5, 41) = 1$ and $(2, 2) \in \mathcal{L}(5)$. An application of (13) with $(u, v) = (2, 2)$ implies

$$S_{a+b} = (S_2 + S_2 + D)(S_3 + S_2 + D) - (S_2 + D) = (-2)(-2) - (-1 + \frac{D}{2}) = 5 - \frac{D}{2}.$$

This is impossible.

Case (II.b): $S_5 = S_4 = S_1$

The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has the form $\{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_1, \dots\}$, and so $K = 12$. We have

$$(S_2 - S_1)(S_3 - S_1) = S_9 S_{10} - S_5 S_6 = S_{a+6} - S_{a+6} = 0,$$

and so there are two possibilities:

- (i) $S_2 = S_1$ and ◦ (ii) $S_3 = S_1$.

In the case (i), we have

$$S_n = (S_1 - S_3)\chi_3(n) + S_3 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\chi_3(n)$ is the principal Dirichlet character (mod 3). Thus, $S_{n+3} = S_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consequently Lemma 7 gives all solutions of (f, g) .

Now assume that (ii) is true. Then the sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has the form $\{S_1, S_2, S_1, S_1, \dots\}$, and so $K = 4$. Lemma 6 gives all solutions of (f, g) .

The proof of (III) is completed and the theorem is proved. \blacksquare

References

- [1] **De Koninck, J.-M., I. Kátai and B. M. Phong**, A new characteristic of the identity function, *Journal of Number Theory*, **63** (1997), 325–338.
- [2] **Indlekofer, K.-H. and B.M. Phong**, Additive uniqueness set for multiplicative functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **26** (2006), 65–77.
- [3] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of the identity function, *Acta Acad. Paedag. Agriensis (Eger), Sec. Mathematicae*, (1997), 1–9.
- [4] **Phong, B.M.**, On sets characterizing the identity function, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comput.* **24** (2004), 295–306.
- [5] **Phong, B.M.**, Note on the identity function *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **41** (2013), 235–242.
- [6] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of the identity with functional equations II., *J. Number Theory*, 2013.
- [7] **Spiro, C.**, Additive uniqueness set for arithmetic functions, *J. Number Theory*, **42** (1992), 232–246.

B. M. Phong

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C

Hungary

bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu