

CONGRUENTIAL GENERATOR OF COMPLEX PSEUDO-RANDOM OF NUMBERS

Tran The Vinh (Odessa, Ukraine)

Communicated by Imre Kátai

(Received August 26, 2015; accepted September 15, 2015)

Abstract. The sequences of complex pseudo-random of numbers (PRN's) producing by powers of generating element of the norm group E_m in the residue class ring modulo p^m (p is a rational prime) over the ring of Gaussian integers are studied.

1. Introduction

We consider the sequence of complex numbers $\{z_n\}$, $|z_n| \leq 1$. Let $0 \leq \xi_1 < \xi_2 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 \leq 2\pi$, $N(z) = |z|^2$, and let $P(\xi, \varphi)$ denotes the sectorial region of unit circle $|z| \leq 1$

$$(1) \quad P = P(\xi, \varphi) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \xi_1 < N(z) \leq \xi_2, \varphi_1 < \arg z \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

Denote by \mathfrak{F} the collection of sectorial regions $P(\xi, \varphi)$ for all ξ and φ .

We say that the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is pseudo-random in the unit circle if it is induced by a determinative algorithm and its statistic properties are "similar" to the property of the sequence of the random numbers. The "similarity"

Key words and phrases: Gaussian integers, the sequence of pseudo-random numbers, discrepancy.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K45, 11T71, 94A60, 11L07, 11T23.

This work was completed with the support of the Hungarian and Vietnamese TET (grant agreement no. TET 10-1-2011-0645).

means that this sequence closely adjacent to uniformly distributed in the disk $|z| \leq 1$, and its elements are uncorrelated. On these properties of the sequence of pseudo-random numbers (abbreviation: PRN's) can define by value of discrepancy D_N of the points z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N :

$$(2) \quad D_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) := \sup_{P \subset \mathbb{C}_1} \left| \frac{A_N(P)}{N} - \frac{|P|}{\pi} \right|,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1\}$; $A_N(P)$ is the number of points among z_1, \dots, z_N falling into P , $|P|$ denotes the volume P ; supremum is extended over all sectorial region P of unit circle $|z| \leq 1$.

The similar definition of discrepancy D_N has for the s -dimensional sequence of complex points $Z_n^{(s)} = (z_1^{(s)}, \dots, z_n^{(s)})$, $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

We say that the sequence z_n passes the s -dimensional test on uncorrelatedness if it passes the s -dimensional test on equidistribution

(i.e. $D_N^{(s)}(z_1^{(s)}, \dots, z_N^{(s)}) \rightarrow 0$ at $N \rightarrow \infty$).

For the construction of the sequence of PRN's on $[0, 1)$ frequently the congruential recursion of the form

$$y_{n+1} \equiv f(y_n) \pmod{m},$$

is used, where $f(u)$ is an integral-valued function.

We will investigate the sequence of complex numbers produced by recursion

$$(3) \quad z_{n+1} \equiv z_0 \cdot (u + iv)^n \pmod{p^m}$$

where z_0 and $u + iv$ are Gaussian integers, $(z_0, p) = 1$; $u^2 + v^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^m}$.

For real sequences x_n produced by congruential recursion, an estimate for D_N can be obtained by the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality (see, [3, Th. 3.10]).

In our paper we get an analogue of the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality for the sequence of pseudorandom complex numbers. And then we show that the sequence generated by (3) is a sequence of PRN's in \mathbb{C}_1 .

2. Preliminary results

Notation. Let G denote the ring of the Gaussian integers, $G := \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$; $N(z) = |z|^2$ be the norm of $z \in G$. For $\gamma \in G$ denote G_γ (respectively, G_γ^*) the complete system of residues (respectively, reduced residues system) in G modulo γ ; p is a prime number in \mathbb{Z} ; \mathfrak{p} is a Gaussian prime number. If q is

a positive integer, $q > 1$, then we write $e_q(x) = e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{q}}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Symbols "O" and " \ll " are equivalent; $\nu_p(\alpha) = k$ if $\mathfrak{p}^k | \alpha$, $\mathfrak{p}^{k+1} \nmid \alpha$.

Let $M > 1$ be a positive integer and let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N be some sequence of points from G_M and let $Y_M = \{\frac{y_n}{M} | n = 0, \dots, N - 1\}$. For $P \in \mathfrak{F}$ denote $A(P, Y_M)$ the number of points from Y_M contained in P .

We will adapt the proof from [2] for an analogue of the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality.

We define the adequate approximation of sectorial region $P \in \mathfrak{F}$,

$$P := \left\{ \frac{z}{q} : z \in G, N_1 \leq N(z) \leq N_2, 0 \leq \varphi_1 < \arg z \leq \varphi_2 < 2\pi \right\}, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We say that the set $S(P)$ is the adequate approximation of P if

- (i) $A(P, Y_N(M)) = A(S(P), Y_N(M)) + O\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$,
- (ii) volumes $|P|$ and $|S(P)|$ are "similar",
- (iii) $A(S(P), Y_N(M))$ has a representation by an exponential sum.

Let $N_1, N_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be the parameters in the definition of P . For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_M$ we set $\bar{r} = \frac{r}{M}$, $\bar{s} = \frac{s}{M}$.

Determine

$$(4) \quad S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}} := \left\{ \beta = \frac{\alpha}{M} : \alpha \in G_M, \bar{r} < N(\beta) \leq \bar{r} + \frac{1}{M}, 2\pi\bar{s} < \arg \alpha \leq 2\pi \left(\bar{s} + \frac{1}{M} \right) \right\}.$$

Put

$$S(P) := \bigcup_{\substack{\bar{r}, \bar{s} \\ S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}} \subset P}} S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}}.$$

It is obvious that $S(P) = P(\bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2, \psi_1, \psi_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_1 &= \min \left\{ \frac{a}{M}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_M : N_1 \leq \frac{a}{M} \right\} \\ \bar{N}_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{b}{M}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_M : N_2 \leq \frac{b}{M} \right\} \\ \psi_1 &= \min \left\{ \frac{2\pi a}{M}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_M : \psi_1 \leq \frac{2\pi a}{M} \right\} \\ \psi_2 &= \min \left\{ \frac{2\pi b}{M}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_M : \psi_2 \leq \frac{2\pi b}{M} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We proved the following analogue of the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality (see, [3])

Theorem 1. *Let $M > 1$ be integer. Then for any sequence $\{y_n\}$, $y_n \in G_M$, the discrepancy D_N of points $\{\frac{y_n}{M}\}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$D_N \leq 2 \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{M} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in G_M \\ \gamma \neq 0}} \min \left(\frac{1}{|\sin \pi \Re(\gamma)|}, \frac{1}{|\sin \pi \Im(\gamma)|} \right) \frac{1}{N} \left(|S_N| + O \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right),$$

where $S_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e_M(\Re(\gamma y_n))$.

Proof. By an analogue with the work [2] we infer

$$(5) \quad R_N(S(P)) := \frac{A(S(P))}{N} - |S(P)| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi_{S(P)}(x_n) - |S(P)|,$$

where $x_n = \frac{y_n}{M}$, χ_Δ is the characteristic function of the set Δ .

By the equality

$$\chi_{S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}}}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}}} \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{\gamma \in G_M} e_M(\gamma(\alpha - x))$$

we get

$$(6) \quad \leq \sum_{0 \neq \gamma \in G_M} \frac{1}{M^2} \left| \sum_{z(r,s) \in S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}}} e_M(-\Re(\gamma z(r,s))) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e_M(\Re(\gamma y_n)) \right|,$$

where $z(r, s)$ is the complex number such that

$$N(z(r, s)) = \frac{r}{M}, \quad \arg z(r, s) = \frac{2\pi s}{M}.$$

In order to calculate the first inner sum over $S_{\bar{r}, \bar{s}}$ one needs an estimate of the sum

$$(7) \quad \sum_M = \sum_{\substack{N_1 < N(\omega) < N_2 \\ \varphi_1 \leq \arg \omega \leq \varphi_2}} e_M(\Re(\gamma \omega)), \quad (0 \neq \gamma \in G_M).$$

The sum \sum_M can be considered as a sum of coefficients of the next Dirichlet series for the Hecke Z -function over the Gaussian field $\mathbb{Q}(i)$:

$$Z_m(s, \delta_0, \delta_1) = \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in G} \frac{e^{2\pi i \Re(\omega \delta_1)}}{N(\omega + \delta_0)^s} e^{4mi \arg \omega}, \quad (\Re s > 1).$$

Putting $\delta_0 = 0, \delta_1 = \frac{\gamma}{M}$, we obtain for any $T > 1$ by a standard way the following estimates:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{N(\omega) \leq X} e_M(\gamma \omega) = (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \sum_{N(\omega) \leq x} N(\omega) \leq x e_M(\gamma \omega) + O\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{N(\omega) \leq x} 1\right) + O\left((\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \sum_{m=1}^T \left| \sum_{N(\omega) \leq x} e_M(\gamma \omega) e^{4mi \arg \omega} \right|\right).$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{N(\omega) \leq x} e_M(\gamma \omega) e^{4mi \arg \omega} \ll_\varepsilon \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}}{M^{\frac{1}{4}}} + M^{\frac{1}{2}} (|m| + 3)^{1 + \varepsilon}$$

(for the details, see Chapter 2 of [1], for example).

Next, we have a simple analogue of the estimate of linear exponential sum over G

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{N_1 < N(\omega) \leq N_2} 2^{2\pi i \Re(\alpha \omega)} \right| \leq (N_2 - N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\left((N_2 - N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{|\sin \pi \Re(\alpha)|}, \frac{1}{|\sin \pi \Im(\alpha)|}\right).$$

Now by (4)–(9), putting $T = x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and taking into account that $|P| = \frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}{2} (N_2 - N_1)$, we obtain our assertion. ■

3. Sequence of PRNs produced by the cyclic group E_n

Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be a prime integer. Consider the set of the classes of residue $(\text{mod } p^n)$ over G , such that for every $\alpha \in E_n$ we have $N(\alpha) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^n}$. Respectively for a convolution of multiplication the set E_n forms a group. It is well known that a regular generative element of E_1 (i.e. $u^2 + v^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, $u^2 + v^2 = -1 + ph$, $(h, p) = 1$) is a generative element for any E_ℓ ,

$\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, $|E_n| = 2(p+1)p^{n-1}$ ($|E_n|$ is the number of elements in E_n).

We fix the generative element of E_n and let some $z_0 \in G_n$, $(N(z_0), p) = 1$. We call z_0 an initial value for the sequence $\{z_m\}$, where $z_m = z_0(u+iv)^m$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

Lemma 1 ([4], pp. 232–233). *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $n > 3$, and let $u+iv$ is a generative element of the group E_n . Then for every $0 \leq \ell \leq p^{n-2}$, $0 \leq k < 2(p+1)$, we have*

$$(u+iv)^{2(p+1)p^{\ell+k}} \equiv A(\ell, k) + iB(\ell, k) \pmod{p^n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\ell, k) &\equiv A_0(k) + A_1(k)\ell + \dots + A_{n-1}(k)\ell^{n-1} \pmod{p^n}, \\ B(\ell, k) &\equiv B_0(k) + B_1(k)\ell + \dots + B_{n-1}(k)\ell^{n-1} \pmod{p^n}, \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(k) &= A_j u(k) - B_j v(k), \quad B_j(k) = A_j v(k) + B_j u(k), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; \\ A_0 &\equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad B_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ A_1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \quad A_2 = p^2 A'_2, \quad (A'_2, p) = 1; \\ B_1 &= p B'_1, \quad (B'_1, p) = 1, \quad B_2 \equiv A_3 \equiv B_3 \equiv \dots \equiv A_{n-1} \equiv B_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}; \\ u(0) &= 1, \quad v(0) = 0, \quad (u(p+1), p) = 1, \quad p \mid v(p+1); \\ (v(k), p) &= 1 \text{ for } k \neq \overline{0, p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.

$$\begin{aligned} p \mid A_1(k), \quad A_j(k) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots; \quad k \neq \overline{0, p+1}; \\ p^2 \mid A_1(0), \quad A_j(0) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots; \\ p^2 \mid A_1(p+1), \quad p^2 \mid A_2(k), \quad A_j(p+1) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \quad j = 3, 4, \dots; \\ p^2 \mid B_2(k) \text{ if } k &\neq \overline{0, p+1}; \quad B_2(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3} \text{ else}; \\ B_j(k) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}, \quad j = 3, 4, \dots; \quad \nu_p(B_1(k)) = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2p+1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $\alpha \in G_{p^n}$, $\alpha = p^h \alpha_0$, $(\alpha_0, p) = 1$, $h < n$, and let $z_m = z_0(uiv)^m \pmod{p^n}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, 2(p+1)p^{n-1} - 1$.*

Then

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e_{p^{n-1}}(\Re(\alpha z_j)) \right| \leq 2p^{\frac{n-h-r-1}{2}},$$

where r is determined from (13)(see, below) and depends on α .

Proof. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(\alpha) &= h, \quad 0 \leq h < n - 1, \quad \alpha = p^h \alpha_0, \quad (\alpha_0, p) = 1; \\ M_h &= 2(p + 1)p^{n-1-h}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad & \left| \sum_{m=0}^{M_0-1} e_{p^{n-h-1}}(\Re(\alpha_0 z^m)) \right| = p^{2h} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{M_h-1} e_{p^{n-h-1}}(\Re(\alpha_0 z^m)) \right| = \\ & = p^{2h} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2p+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{p^{n-h-1}-1} e_{p^{n-h-1}}(aA_k(\ell) - bB_k(\ell)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2p + 1$, we consider the polynomial

$$aA_k(\ell) - bB_k(\ell) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j(k) \ell^j,$$

where

$$c_j(k) = (aA_j - bB_j)u(k) + (bA_j - aB_j)v(k), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad c_1(k) &= (aA_1 - bB_1)u(k) + (bA_1 - aB_1)v(k) = \\ &= (au(k) + bv(k))A_1 - (bu(k) - av(k))B_1, \\ c_2(k) &= (aA_2 - bB_2)u(k) + (bA_2 - aB_2)v(k) = \\ &= (au(k) + bv(k))A_2 - (bu(k) - av(k))B_2. \end{aligned}$$

We see that for all values of $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2p + 1$

$$\nu_p(A_1(k)) \neq \nu_p(B_1(k)), \quad \nu_p(A_2(k)) \neq \nu_p(B_2(k)).$$

Now if for given α_0 and k the inequality

$$(13) \quad \nu_p(c_1(k)) \geq \nu_p(c_2(k)) = r$$

holds, then the inner sum over ℓ in (11) can be estimated as $p^{\frac{n-h+r-1}{2}}$ (such sum by consequent slope leads to the Gaussian sum).

In other cases (i.e., $\nu_p(c_1(k)) < \nu_p(c_2(k))$) this sum is vanishes.

Hence, from (10)-(12) we infer the assertion of lemma. ■

Lastly we prove the main result

Theorem 2. *Let the sequence $\{z_n\}$ be generated by the recursion*

$$z_{m+1} \equiv z_m(u + iv) \pmod{p^n},$$

where $z_0 \in G_{p^m}$, $u + iv$ is a generative element of the group E_n of classes of residue modulo p^n with the norms that $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^n}$. Then the discrepancy of the points $\left\{ \frac{z_m}{p^n} \right\}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, $N \leq 2(p + 1)p^{n-1}$ satisfies the inequality

$$D_N \leq 2 \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{p^n} \right)^2 \right) + N^{-1} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \log p^n.$$

Proof. Indeed, for every h , $0 \leq h \leq n-1$ there is at most $O(p^{n-h-r})$ numbers α_0 , $\alpha_0 \in G_{p^{n-h}}$ for which $\nu_p(c_1(k)) \geq \nu_p(c_2(k)) = r$, where $c_1(k)$, $c_2(k)$ are determined by (11).

Now, by Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 we immediately obtain the theorem. ■

If $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(B, p) = 1$, then for $A \cdot B^{-1} \pmod{p^n}$ we shall write $\left[\frac{A}{B} \right]_{p^n}$

Remark 1. The characterization of elements for the sequence $\{z_m\}$ (producing by (3)) permits to construct the new sequences of PRN's in interval $[0, 1]$ (for example, $\left\{ \frac{1}{p^n} \Re(z_m) \right\}$, $\left\{ \frac{1}{p^n} \Im(z_m) \right\}$, $\left\{ \frac{1}{p^n} \left[\frac{\Re(z_m)}{\Im(z_m)} \right]_{p^n} \right\}$).

Remark 2. It is possible to deduce from Theorem 1 that the sequence of complex numbers z_n produced by the recursion

$$z_{m+1} \equiv \alpha z_m^{-1} + \beta + \gamma z_m \pmod{p^n},$$

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z_0 \in G$, $(\alpha, p) = (z_0, p) = 1$, $\beta \equiv \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, passes the s -dimensional test for the equidistribution and unpredictability.

References

- [1] **Baker R. C.**, *Diophantine Inequalities*, LMS Monographs (New Series), v.1(Clarendon Press, Oxford), 1986.
- [2] **Hellekalek P.**, General discrepancy estimates: the Walsh function system, *Acta Arith.*, **67** (1994), 209–218.

- [3] **Niederreiter H.**, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [4] **Varbanets S.**, The norm Kloosterman sums over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, in: *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory*, Proc. Forth. International Conference in Honour of J. Kubilius, Palanga, Lithuania, 25–29 September, 213-224, 2006.

Tran The Vinh

Department of Computer Algebra and Discrete Mathematics
I.I. Mechnikov Odessa National University
65026 Odessa, Dvoryanskaya street 2
Ukraine
ttvinhcntt@yahoo.com.vn