

UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION OF SOME ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS

Imre Kátai and Bui Minh Phong
(Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professor András Benczúr
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

Communicated by László Germán

(Received May 30, 2014; accepted July 15, 2014)

Abstract. We investigate the uniform distribution modulo 1 of sequence $\frac{a(n)}{b(n)}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), where $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ are suitable additive or multiplicative functions. We prove that if $\kappa(n)$ is any of $\frac{An^r}{\omega(n)^h}$, $\frac{An^r}{a^{\omega(n)}}$, $\frac{An^r}{\tau(n^h)}$ ($r, h, A \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(n)$ is an arbitrary additive function, then $\kappa(n) + f(n)$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

1. Notation and introductory definitions

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of additive, \mathcal{M} be the set of multiplicative functions. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to \mathcal{A} if $f(nm) = f(n) + f(m)$ holds for every coprime pairs of integers n, m . A function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is multiplicative if $g(1) = 1$ and $g(nm) = g(n)g(m)$ holds for every coprime pairs of integers n, m .

Let $\mathcal{M}_1 := \{g \in \mathcal{M} : |g(n)| \leq 1\}$.

Key words and phrases: Discrepancy, uniformly distributed mod 1, additive, multiplicative functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K65, 11N37, 11N64.

The authors has been supported for this project by the Hungarian and Vietnamese TET (grant agreement no. TET 10-1-2011-0645)

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of primes, $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of n , $\tau(n)$ be the number of divisors of n .

Let $\{x\} =$ fractional part of x . We shall write $e(x)$ instead of $e^{2\pi ix}$.

Let x_1, \dots, x_N be real numbers. The discrepancy of $\{x_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$) is

$$\sup_{[a,b] \subset [0,1]} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\{x_j\} \in [a,b]} 1 - (b-a) \right| =: \mathcal{D}_N(\{x_j\}, j = 1, \dots, N).$$

We say that an infinite sequence x_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) of real numbers is uniformly distributed modulo 1, if

$$\mathcal{D}_N(\{x_j\}, j = 1, \dots, N) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let p runs over \mathcal{P} . Let $P(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n .

Let $\pi(x, k, \ell) = \#\{p \leq x \mid p \equiv \ell \pmod{k}, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$. We shall write UD mod 1 for the abbreviation of uniformly distributed mod 1.

2. Formulation of the theorems

Several papers have been published on the uniform distribution modulo 1 of the sequences $\alpha(n) = \frac{a(n)}{b(n)}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), where $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ are either additive or multiplicative functions. See [5]–[9]. In [8] it is proved that $\frac{n}{\omega(n)}$, $\frac{n}{\tau(n)}$, $\frac{n}{a^{\omega(n)}}$ are UD mod 1. In [7] it is proved that $\nu(n) = \frac{\omega(n)}{g(n)}$, $\rho(n) = \frac{\omega(n+1)}{g(n)}$ are UD mod 1 if $g \in \mathcal{A}$, and $0 < g(p) < \frac{c_1}{p}$ and $0 < g(p^a) < c_2$ holds for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this paper we shall investigate similar questions.

Theorem 1. *Let $K(n) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be such a function for which*

$$(2.1) \quad K(n_1) = K(n_2) \text{ if } \frac{n_1}{P(n_1)} = \frac{n_2}{P(n_2)}.$$

Let

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa(n) = \frac{An^r}{K(n)},$$

where $A \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ are fixed integers.

Let

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta(n) := \text{GCD}(An^r, K(n)).$$

Assume that

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \#\{n \leq x \mid \Delta(n) > \sqrt{K(n)}\} = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \#\{n \leq x \mid \frac{K(n)}{\Delta(n)} < Y\} = 0 \text{ for every } Y > 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \#\{n \leq x \mid \Delta(n) > (\log n)^\rho\} \leq c(\rho),$$

and $\delta(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$.

Under the conditions (2.1)–(2.6) $\kappa(n) \pmod{1}$ is UD mod 1.

Theorem 2. Let

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{An^r}{\omega(n)^h}, \quad \beta(n) = \frac{An^r}{a\omega(n)}, \quad \gamma(n) = \frac{An^r}{\tau(n^h)} \quad (r, h, A \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $\kappa(n)$ be any of $\alpha(n)$, $\beta(n)$, $\gamma(n)$. Let $f(n)$ be an arbitrary additive function. Then $\kappa(n) + f(n)$ is UD mod 1.

3. Some lemmas

Lemma 1. (H. Weyl [10]) A sequence x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is UD mod 1 if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(kx_n) = 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2. (Siegel-Walfisz theorem [3]) We have

$$\pi(x, k, \ell) = \frac{\text{li}(x)}{\varphi(k)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^5}\right) \right)$$

uniformly as $x \geq 2$, $(k, \ell) = 1$, $k \leq (\log x)^c$, where c is an arbitrary positive constant. The constant implied by the error term may depend on c .

Lemma 3. (Erdős–Turán inequality [1]) We have

$$\mathcal{D}_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{k} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(kx_n) \right| \right) + \frac{c_1}{M},$$

where c_1 is an absolute constant, M is an arbitrary positive integer.

Lemma 4. ([4]) *Let $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that for every $K > 0$ there exists a finite set \mathcal{P}_K of primes $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^R \frac{1}{p_j} > K,$$

and that for the sequences

$$\eta_{i,j}(m) := t(p_i m) - t(p_j m),$$

the relation

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=1}^{[x]} e(\eta_{i,j}(m)) = 0$$

holds whenever $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$. Then there exists a function ρ_x which tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and such that

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(t(n)) \right| \leq \rho_x.$$

Lemma 5. (Hua [2]) *Let*

$$f(x) = a_r x^r + \dots + a_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad (a_r, \dots, a_1, q) = 1.$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^q e\left(\frac{f(x)}{q}\right) \right| \leq c_1(r, \epsilon) q^{1 - \frac{1}{r} + \epsilon},$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary positive number.

Lemma 6. *Let $r, A \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\varphi(q)}$ be the set of reduced residues modulo q ,*

$$y_\nu = \frac{A \ell_\nu^r}{q} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, \varphi(q)).$$

Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\varphi(q)} e(k y_\nu) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(q)}(y_1, \dots, y_{\varphi(q)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\varphi(q)} e(ky_{\nu}) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^q e\left(\frac{kA\ell^r}{q}\right) \sum_{\delta|(\ell,q)} \mu(\delta) = \sum_{\delta|q} \mu(\delta) \sum_{m=1}^{q/\delta} e\left(\frac{kA\delta^r m^r}{q}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\delta|q} \mu(\delta) \sum_{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{\delta} := \sum_{m=1}^{q/\delta} e\left(\frac{kA\delta^r m^r}{q}\right).$$

We shall estimate the sums for $\delta \leq z$.

Let

$$\frac{kA\delta^r}{q} = \frac{U}{V}, \quad (U, V) = 1.$$

Since $(Ak\delta^r, q) \leq Akz^r$, therefore $V \geq \frac{q}{Akz^r}$.

Let us apply Lemma 5. We have

$$\sum_{\delta} = \sum_{m=1}^{q/\delta} e\left(\frac{kA\delta^r m^r}{q}\right) = \frac{q}{\delta V} \sum_{m=1}^V e\left(\frac{Um^r}{V}\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\delta} \right| &\leq \frac{(Ak\delta^r, q)}{\delta} c_1(r, \epsilon) V^{1-\frac{1}{r}+\epsilon} \leq c_1(r, \epsilon) q^{1-\frac{1}{r}+\epsilon} \frac{(Ak\delta^r, q)^{\frac{1}{r}-\epsilon}}{\delta} \leq \\ &\leq c_2 c_1(r, \epsilon) \frac{1}{\delta^{\epsilon r}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 = Ak$. Since

$$\left| \sum_{\delta} \right| \leq q/\delta,$$

therefore

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\varphi(q)} e(ky_{\nu}) \right| \leq c_2 c_1(r, \epsilon) q^{1-\frac{1}{r}+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq z \\ \delta|q}} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta^{\epsilon r}} + q \sum_{\substack{\delta > z \\ \delta|q}} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta}.$$

Let $z = \sqrt{q}$. Observe that

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \leq z \\ \delta|q}} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta^{\epsilon r}} \leq 2^{\omega(q)} \leq c_3 q^{c/\log \log q},$$

and that

$$q \sum_{\substack{\delta > \sqrt{q} \\ \delta | q}} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta} \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\omega(q)} \leq Cq^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\varphi(q)} e(ky_\nu) \right| \leq \frac{cc_2c_1(r, \epsilon)q^{1-\frac{1}{r}+\epsilon}q^{c_3/\log \log q}}{\varphi(q)} + c\frac{q^{1/2+\epsilon}}{\varphi(q)}.$$

The right hand side tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 6 follows from Lemma 4.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that the function $K(n)$ and positive integers A, r satisfy (2.1)–(2.6), where $\kappa(n) = \frac{An^r}{K(n)}$. From Lemma 1 it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} e(k\kappa(n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $J_x = \{n | x \leq n < 2x\}$. Let ϵ, Y, ρ be positive integers. Let $\mathcal{R}_x(\epsilon, Y, \rho)$ be the set of those $n \in J_x$ for which at least one of the next conditions hold:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{K(n)}{\Delta(n)} < Y,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta(n) > \sqrt{K(n)},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta(n) > (\log n)^\rho,$$

$$(4.4) \quad P(n) < x^\epsilon,$$

$$(4.5) \quad n \text{ has two prime divisors } p, q \text{ such that } Y < p < q < 2p.$$

Taking into account the relations (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) the number of $n \in J_x$ for which one of (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) holds is less than $O_Y(1)x + c\epsilon x + c\delta(\rho)x$. The number of $n \in J_x$ satisfying (4.4) is less than

$$cx \prod_{x^\epsilon < p < x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq c\epsilon x.$$

The number of $n \in J_x$ for which (4.5) holds is less than

$$2x \sum_{p>Y} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p<q<2p} \frac{1}{q} \leq cx \sum_{p>Y} \frac{1}{p \log p} \leq \frac{c_1 x}{\log Y}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{x} \# \mathcal{R}_x(\epsilon, Y, \rho) \leq o_Y(1) + c\epsilon + c\delta(\rho).$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_x = J_x \setminus \mathcal{R}_x(\epsilon, Y, \rho)$.

Let us classify the elements of \mathcal{J}_x .

Let $n \in \mathcal{J}_x, p = P(n)$. Then $n = pm$. Let us consider the primes q in $[\frac{x}{m}, \frac{2x}{m}]$. We have $P(m) \leq \frac{x}{m}$, since in the opposite case $mP(m) \geq x, mp < 2x$, and so $P(m) < p \leq 2P(m)$, thus n is in $\mathcal{R}_x(\epsilon, Y, \rho)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_x = \left\{ mp \in \mathcal{J}_x \mid p \in \left[\frac{x}{m}, \frac{2x}{m} \right] \right\}.$$

Let

$$T_k(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{T}_k(m)} e(k\kappa(n)).$$

If $n = pm \in \mathcal{T}_k(m), p = P(m)$, then $\kappa(n) = \frac{Am^r p^r}{K(mp)}$ and $K(mp)$ depends only on m . Let

$$\frac{Am^r}{\Delta(n)} : \frac{K(mp)}{\Delta(n)} = \frac{B_m}{D_m}, \quad kB_m \pmod{D_m} \equiv H_m \pmod{m},$$

$$\frac{H_m}{D_m} \equiv \frac{U_m}{V_m} \pmod{1}, \quad (U_m, V_m) = 1, \quad 1 \leq U_m < V_m.$$

Since $V_m \leq K(mp) \leq (\log n)^\rho$, therefore we can apply the Siegel–Walfisz theorem. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(m) &= \sum_{\substack{\ell \pmod{V_m} \\ (\ell, V_m) = 1}} e\left(\frac{U_m \ell^n}{V_m}\right) \cdot \sum_{\ell} e^{\ell}, \\ \sum_{\ell} e^{\ell} &= \sum_{\substack{p \equiv \ell \pmod{V_m} \\ p \in [\frac{x}{m}, \frac{2x}{m}]}} 1 = \pi\left(\frac{2x}{m}, V_m, \ell\right) - \pi\left(\frac{x}{m}, V_m, \ell\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(V_m)} \left(\pi\left(\frac{2x}{m}\right) - \pi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\varphi(V_m)m(\log x)^5 \epsilon^5}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\# \mathcal{T}_k(m) = \left(\pi\left(\frac{2x}{m}\right) - \pi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right)$. So

$$\frac{T_k(m)}{\# \mathcal{T}_k(m)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(V_m)} \sum_{\substack{\ell \pmod{V_m} \\ (\ell, V_m) = 1}} e\left(\frac{U_m \ell^n}{V_m}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^5 \epsilon^5}\right).$$

Since $V_m > Y$, from Lemma 6 we obtain that the right hand side is less than $O_Y(1) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^4 \epsilon^5}\right)$.

Since this is true uniformly for every m , we obtain that

$$\left| \sum_{n \in J_x} e(k\kappa(n)) \right| \leq [o_y(1) + c\epsilon + c(\rho)]x.$$

Since

$$[1, 2x] = J_x \cup J_{x/2} \cup \cdots \cup J_{x/2^L}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < x/2^L \leq 1,$$

we obtain immediately that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} e(k\kappa(n)) \right| \leq o_Y(1) + c\epsilon + c(\rho).$$

Since the right hand side holds for every ϵ, Y and ρ , therefore the right hand side is zero.

Theorem 1 is true. ■

5. Proof of Theorem 2

We shall prove it only for $\gamma(n)$. The proof for $\alpha(n), \beta(n)$ is similar, therefore they are omitted.

Let T be a large constant. We modify the function τ . Let $\tau_T(n^h)$ be a multiplicative function, such that

$$\tau_T(p^{\alpha h}) = \begin{cases} \alpha h + 1 & \text{if } p \leq T \\ (h + 1)^\alpha & \text{if } p > T \end{cases}.$$

Let

$$\gamma_T(n) = \frac{An^r}{\tau_T(n^h)}.$$

Let $t_T(n) = k\gamma_T(n)$. Let K be a large number, \mathcal{P}_K be a set of primes

$$(T <) p_1 < \cdots < p_R$$

such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^R \frac{1}{p_j} > K.$$

Let

$$\eta_{i,j}(m) = t_T(p_i m) - t_T(p_j m).$$

Then

$$\eta_{i,j}(m) = \frac{A(p_i^r - p_j^r)k}{h+1} \frac{m^r}{\tau_T(m^h)}.$$

Repeating the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 with small changing we obtain that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} e(\eta_{i,j}(m)) = 0.$$

From Lemma 4, we obtain that

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{g \in \mathcal{M}_1} \left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) e(k\gamma_T(n)) \right| \leq \rho_x, \quad \rho_x \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Choose $g(n) = e(kf(n))$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n \leq x} e(kf(n)) e(k\gamma(n)) - \sum_{n \leq x} e(kf(n)) e(k\gamma_T(n)) \right| \leq \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \gamma(n) \neq \gamma_T(n)}} 1 \leq 2x \sum_{p > T} \frac{1}{p^2} \leq \frac{cx}{T \log T}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, and from (5.1), we have that

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} e(kf(n)) e(k\gamma(n)) \right| \leq \rho_x + \frac{c}{T \log T}.$$

Thus

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} e(k[f(n) + \gamma(n)]) \right| \leq \frac{c}{T \log T}.$$

Since this holds for every T , therefore the right hand side of (5.2) is zero. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

References

- [1] **Erdős, P. and P. Turán**, On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution I, *Indag. Math.*, **10** (1948), 406–413.

- [2] **Hua, L.K.**, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1965 xiii+190 pp.
- [3] **Iwaniec, H. and E. Kowalski**, *Analytic Number Theory*, Corollary 5.29 on page 124, Colloquium Publications, Vol. **53**, Providence, Rhode Island.
- [4] **Kátai, I.**, A remark on theorem of H. Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **49** (1986), 223–225.
- [5] **Kátai, I.**, On the average prime divisors, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **27** (2007), 137–144.
- [6] **Kátai, I.**, Some remark on a result of F. Luca and J. Shparlinski, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **32** (2010), 121–230.
- [7] **Kátai, I. and F. Luca**, Uniform distribution modulo 1 of the harmonic prime factor of an integer, *Uniform Distribution Theory*, **4** (2009), 115–132.
- [8] **Luca, F. and I.E. Shparlinski**, Uniformity of distribution modulo 1 of the geometric mean prime divisor, *Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana*, **12** (2006), 155–164.
- [9] **Luca, F. and I.E. Shparlinski**, Uniformity of distribution some ratios involving the number of prime and integer divisors, *Uniform Distribution Theory*, **1** (2006), 15–26.
- [10] **Weyl, H.**, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, *Math. Ann.*, **77** (1916), 313–352.

I. Kátai and B.M. Phong

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C

Hungary

katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu

bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu