Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp. 40 (2013) 295–306

ABOUT POSITIVE LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON SPACES OF ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS

K.-H. Indlekofer and R. Wagner

(Paderborn, Germany)

Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy and Professor Imre Kátai on the occassion of their 75th birthday

Communicated by Bui Minh Phong

(Received May 31, 2013; accepted June 25, 2013)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an algebra of real-valued bounded functions on $\mathbb{N}$ which separates the points, which contains the constants and which is complete in the sup-norm. If L is a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{F}$, then, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $L(f)$ can be represented as an integral of $\overline{f}$ on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ where $\overline{f}$ is the unique extension of f to the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbb{N}$ of $\mathbb{N}$.

1. Introduction

A general problem of probabilistic number theory is to find appropriate probability spaces where large classes of arithmetical functions $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ can be considered as random variables. In particular, is it possible to write the mean-value

$$M(f) = \lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n\le x} f(n)$$

of a function f (if the limit exists) as an integral

$$M(f) = \int\limits_X \overline{f}\, d\mu(x)$$

Key words and phrases: Probabilistic number theory, spaces of arithmetical functions, positive linear functionals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K65.

where the space X and the integrable function $\overline{f}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{N}$ and f, respectively?
The main difficulties concerning the immediate application of probabilistic tools to the investigation of the above mentioned questions arise from the fact that the asymptotic density

$$\delta(A) = M(1_A) \qquad (A \in \mathcal{A})$$

defines only a finitely additive measure on the family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ having an asymptotic density.
In the sixties, E. Novoselov built up a theory of polyadic numbers (see [4]), the background of which is as follows. The ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of the integers is embedded into the compact ring S of the *polyadic numbers*. Then, on the additive group of the ring S, as a compact group, there exists a normalized Haar measure P defined on a σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which contains the Borel sets in S such that $(S, \mathcal{A}, P)$ is a probability space, and P is the extension of the asymptotic density. This enabled Novoselov to develop an ,,integration theory" for the space of *limit periodic functions*, i.e. for arithmetic functions f, which can be approximated by periodic functions with integer period.
A different approach to the mentioned problem of probabilistic number theory was given by K.-H. Indlekofer in the nineties (see [1] and [2]). The underlying idea can be described as follows: $\mathbb{N}$, endowed with the discrete topology, will be embedded in a compact space $\beta\mathbb{N}$, the Stone-Cech compactification of $\mathbb{N}$, and then any algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ with an arbitrary finitely additive set function (pseudomeasure) δ on $\mathcal{A}$ can be extended to an algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\beta\mathbb{N}$, together with an extension $\overline{\delta}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of the pseudomeasure which turns out to be a premeasure on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, and to a corresponding integration theory.
For example, the algebra of all residue classes in $\mathbb{N}$ together with the asymptotic density leads to the space of limit periodic functions of Novoselov.
Further, when we apply the above described theory to the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the sets

$$A_{p^k} := \{m : p^k || m\}$$

and the asymptotic density we arrive at the space of *almost-even functions* (see W. Schwarz and J. Spilker [5]) and the mean-value $M(f)$ of such a function f can be represented as an integral of $\overline{f}$ on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ where $\overline{f}$ is the unique extension of f to a continuous function on $\beta\mathbb{N}$. (Schwarz and Spilker proved such a result by using Gelfand's theory and applying Riesz' representation theorem (see [5]).)
In a recent paper R. Wagner [6] could show that if $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebra of real-valued bounded functions on $\mathbb{N}$ such that

(I) $\mathcal{F}$ separates the points,

(II) $\mathcal{F}$ contains the constants,

(III) $\mathcal{F}$ is complete in the sup-norm and each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ posseses a mean-value $M(f)$ then a suitable algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of sets may be found such that every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ possesses an asymptotic density and $M(f)$ is equal to the integral of $\overline{f}$ on $\beta\mathbb{N}$.

In this paper we prove that such a representation is valid for any positive linear functional on $\mathcal{F}$. To be more precise we shall use the following

Notations: We write $\ell^\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ for the set of bounded functions on $\mathbb{N}$ and denote by $||\cdot||_u$ the sup-norm on ℓ^∞. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ then

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \{\overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

where $\overline{A} = cl_{\beta\mathbb{N}}A$ is the closure of A in $\beta\mathbb{N}$, is an algebra in $\beta\mathbb{N}$. If δ is a content on $\mathcal{A}$ then the map

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\delta} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \to [0,\infty)\\ &\overline{\delta}(\overline{A}) = \delta(A)\end{aligned}$$

is σ-additive on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and its extension to $\sigma(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ will be denoted by $\overline{\delta}$, too. We shall write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) := \{s \in \ell^\infty : s = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j 1_{A_j}; \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, A_j \in \mathcal{A}, j = 1, \ldots, m,\\ \mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} A_j \text{ with } A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \text{ if } i \neq j\}\end{aligned}$$

for the algebra of simple functions on $\mathcal{A}$. If $f \in \ell^\infty$ then $\overline{f}$ will denote its unique extension to $\beta\mathbb{N}$ ($\overline{f}$ is continuous on $\beta\mathbb{N}$).
With these notations we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an algebra of real-valued bounded functions on $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying* (I), (II) *and* (III). *Let L be a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{F}$ with $L(1_\mathbb{N}) = 1$. Then there exist an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and a content δ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that*

(i) *each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ belongs to the $||\cdot||_u$-closure of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ and*

(ii) *for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the relation*

$$L(f) = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \overline{f}\, d\overline{\delta}$$

holds.

For an arbitrary subset B of $\mathbb{N}$ we define the number $\delta^*(B)$ by the equation

$$\delta^*(B) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta(A_i)$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite sequences $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of sets A_i from $\mathcal{A}$ whose union contains B.
Obviously

$$\delta^*(B) = \lim_{B \subset A} \delta(A)$$

where A is restricted to sets from $\mathcal{A}$.

Putting for $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$

$$||f|| := \inf_{\alpha > 0} \{\alpha + \delta^*(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(n)| > \alpha\})\}$$

then $||f|| = 0$ if and only if $\delta^*(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(n)| > \alpha\}) = 0$ for each $\alpha > 0$. Further,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n - f|| = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta^*(\{m \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n(m) - f(m)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

If there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}$ from $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\lim ||s_n - f|| = 0$ and $\lim \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} |\overline{s_n} - \overline{s_m}| d\overline{\delta} = 0$ then we say that f belongs to $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$.

With these notations we prove

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$. Then there exist $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$L(f) := \lim_{n\to\infty} L(f_n) = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \overline{f} d\overline{\delta}$$

where $\overline{f} : \beta\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is unique modulo $\overline{\delta}$-null function.

2. Construction of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the content δ

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and L be as in Theorem 1 and observe that L is continuous on $\mathcal{F}$. Then, for each $B \subset \mathbb{N}$ we put

(2.1) $$\overline{I}(B) := \inf L(f) \qquad \text{for } f \geq 1_B \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

and

$$\underline{I}(B) := \sup L(f) \qquad \text{for } f \le 1_B \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.2}$$

and call $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ to be *regular* if

$$\overline{I}(A) = \underline{I}(A).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of all regular sets and put

$$\delta(A) := \overline{I}(A)(= \underline{I}(A)) \qquad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.3}$$

An obvious characterization of regular sets is given by

Lemma 1. *$A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist sequences $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ satisfying*

(i) $\tilde{f}_n, f_n \in \mathcal{F}$,

(ii) $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ *is increasing,* $\{f_n\}$ *is decreasing,*

(iii) $0 \le \tilde{f}_n \le 1_A$ *and* $f_n \ge 1_A$

such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L(\tilde{f}_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} L(f_n) =: \delta(A).$$

Now the following result holds.

Lemma 2. *The family of regular sets is an algebra and δ is a content on $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. We shall show

- $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{A}$,
- if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathbb{N} \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$

and

- if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta(A \cup B) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)$ in case $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Obviously $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{A}$ since $1_{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$.
Now, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ let $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ and $\{f_n\}$ as in Lemma 1. Then

$$1_{\mathbb{N}} - f_n \le 1_{\mathbb{N}} - 1_A = 1_{\mathbb{N}\setminus A}$$

and

$$1_{\mathbb{N}} - \tilde{f}_n \geq 1_{\mathbb{N}} - 1_A = 1_{\mathbb{N}\setminus A}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L(1_{\mathbb{N}} - f_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1 - L(f_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1 - L(\tilde{f}_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} L(1_{\mathbb{N}} - \tilde{f}_n).$$

Further, let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and associate to A and B, according to Lemma 1, the sequences $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$, $\{f_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{g}_n\}$, $\{g_n\}$, respectively. Putting

$$c_n := \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} f_n(m)$$

then obviously $c_n \leq c_1$ for all $n \geq 1$.
Now we consider $1_{A\cap B} = 1_A \cdot 1_B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq &\overline{I}(A\cap B) - \underline{I}(A\cap B) \leq \\
\leq &L(f_n \cdot g_n) - L(\tilde{f}_n \cdot \tilde{g}_n) = \\
= &L(f_n \cdot g_n) - L(f_n \cdot \tilde{g}_n) + L(f_n \cdot \tilde{g}_n) - L(\tilde{f}_n \cdot \tilde{g}_n) = \\
= &L(f_n(g_n - \tilde{g}_n)) + L(\tilde{g}_n(f_n - \tilde{f}_n)) \leq \\
\leq &L(c_1(g_n - \tilde{g}_n)) + L(f_n - \tilde{f}_n) = \\
= &c_1(L(g_n) - L(\tilde{g}_n)) + L(f_n) - L(\tilde{f}_n)
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Thus $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$.
Obviously

$$\overline{I}(A\cup B) \leq \overline{I}(A) + \overline{I}(B) \tag{2.4}$$

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then

$$\underline{I}(A) + \underline{I}(B) \leq \underline{I}(A\cup B) \tag{2.5}$$

thus, by (2.4) and (2.5)

$$\underline{I}(A) + \underline{I}(B) \leq \underline{I}(A\cup B) \leq \overline{I}(A\cup B) \leq \overline{I}(A) + \overline{I}(B). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\delta(A)$ and $\delta(B)$ exist, $\delta(A\cup B)$ exists by (2.6), too, and the assertions of Lemma 2 hold. ■

In the next step we show that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ can be approximated in the sup-norm by step functions $s = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j 1_{A_j}$ when $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} A_j$, with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Put $\tilde{L}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \delta(A_j)$. Then, if $s_n \to f$ we shall obtain $\tilde{L}(s_n) \to L(f)$.

For this purpose we denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the space of all uniformly continuous, bounded functions $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and define, if $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is given, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$V(f)(a) := \inf L(h \circ f) \qquad \text{where } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } h \geq 1_{(-\infty,a]}. \tag{2.7}$$

We observe that $h \circ f \in \mathcal{F}$ since f is bounded and h can be approximated by polynomials on each bounded and closed interval (Theorem of Weierstraß).

First we prove

Lemma 3. *$V(f)$ is a distribution function.*

Proof. Obviously, $V(f)$ is monotone increasing, and there are real numbers $c < d$ such that

$$1_{(-\infty,a]} \circ f = 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq c$$

and

$$1_{(-\infty,a]} \circ f = 1 \quad \text{for } a \geq d.$$

Therefore, we only have to prove that $V(f)$ is continuous on the right. For this let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $h \geq 1_{(-\infty,a]}$ and $0 \leq L(h \circ f) - -V(f)(a) \leq \varepsilon$. For $\delta > 0$ put

$$s_\delta(h)(t) := h(t - \delta).$$

Then

$$s_\delta(h) \geq 1_{(-\infty,a+\delta]}.$$

We choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |s_\delta(h)(t) - h(t)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq V(f)(a + \delta) - V(f)(a) \leq \\ &\leq L(s_\delta(h) \circ f) - L(h \circ f) + L(h \circ f) - V(f)(a) \leq \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 3. ∎

Lemma 4. *If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a point of continuity for $V(f)$ then*

$$A := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) \leq a\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Obviously

$$V(f) \geq \overline{I}(A).$$

If $a_n \nearrow a$ there exist $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$1_{(-\infty,a_n]} \leq h_n \leq 1_{(-\infty,a]}.$$

Then

$$V(f)(a) - V(f)(a_n) \geq V(f)(a) - L(h_n \circ f) \geq \overline{I}(A) - \underline{I}(A),$$

and, since $V(f)(a) - V(f)(a_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, the assertion of Lemma 4 is true. ■

Lemma 5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then f belongs to the $||\cdot||_u$-closure of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Choose the interval $[a,b]$ such that $a < \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f(n)$ and $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f(n) < b$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be a dissection of $[a,b]$ with $t_0 = a$, $t_j < t_{j+1}$, $t_n = b$ such that each t_j $(j = 0, \ldots, n)$ is a point of continuity of $V(f)$ and $t_{j+1} - t_j < \varepsilon$.
Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{j+1} 1_{(t_j,t_{j+1}]} \circ f \geq f \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j 1_{(t_j,t_{j+1}]} \circ f$$

where

$$1_{(t_j,t_{j+1}]} \circ f = 1_{\{n\in\mathbb{N}: t_j < f(n) \leq t_{j+1}\}}$$

and

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_j < f(n) \leq t_{j+1}\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Further

$$||\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{j+1} 1_{(t_j,t_{j+1}]} \circ f - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j 1_{(t_j,t_{j+1}]} \circ f||_u < \varepsilon,$$

which proves Lemma 5. ■

Now we can show

Lemma 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{s_n\}$ from $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f - s_n||_u = 0$ and*

$$L(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{L}(s_n).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose, with the notations in the proof of Lemma 5,

$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]} \circ f.$$

Then $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ and $||f - s||_u < \varepsilon$. We write s in the form

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_j - t_{j-1}) 1_{(t_j, \infty)} \circ f + t_0 1_{\mathbb{N}}$$

and put

$$B_j := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_j < f(n)\}.$$

Then there exist $h_j \in \mathcal{H}$ so that

$$|L(h_j \circ f) - \delta(B_j)| < \varepsilon.$$

The functions h_j can be chosen with values $h_j(t) \in [0,1]$ satisfying $h_j > 1_{(t_j, \infty)}$ and $h_j(t) = 0$ for $t \leq t_{j-1}$. Putting

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_j - t_{j-1})(h_j \circ f) + t_0 1_{\mathbb{N}}$$

we obtain

$$||f - g||_u < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|\tilde{L}(s) - L(g)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (t_{j+1} - t_j)\varepsilon \leq (b - a)\varepsilon.$$

From this we conclude

$$|L(f) - \tilde{L}(s)| < ((b - a) + 1)\varepsilon$$

and Lemma 6 is valid. ■

3. Integration on $\beta\mathbb{N}$

Starting from the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of regular sets together with the content δ we arrive at the algebra

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \{\overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

and the premeasure $\overline{\delta}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$,

$$\overline{\delta}(\overline{A}) = \delta(A)$$

in $\beta\mathbb{N}$. Define the outer measure $\overline{\delta}^*$ on the class of all subsets E of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ by

$$\overline{\delta}^*(E) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\delta}(\overline{A_i}) \tag{3.1}$$

the infimum being taken over all sequences of sets $\{\overline{A_i}\}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $E \subset \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_j}$.

We extend $\overline{\delta}$ with the help of $\overline{\delta}^*$ to a complete measure, which we denote by $\overline{\delta}$, too, on the σ-algebra of $\overline{\delta}^*$-measurable sets.

Then the integral for simple functions $\overline{s} \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$, $\overline{s} = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j 1_{\overline{A_j}}$ is defined by

$$\int\limits_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \overline{s}\, d\overline{\delta} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \overline{\delta}(\overline{A_j}).$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there are $s_n \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$L(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{L}(s_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \overline{s_n}\, d\overline{\delta} = \int\limits_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{s_n}\, d\overline{\delta} = \int\limits_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \overline{f}\, d\overline{\delta}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\overline{s_n}$ and $\overline{f}$ are the unique extensions of s_n and f to $\beta\mathbb{N}$, respectively, and this proves the second assertion of Theorem 1.

A subset $E \subset \beta\mathbb{N}$ is said to be a $\overline{\delta}$-*null set* if $\overline{\delta}(E) = 0$. The function $\overline{f} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *null function* if the set $\{w \in \beta\mathbb{N} : |\overline{f}(w)| > \varepsilon\}$ is a $\overline{\delta}$-null set for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

If we define

$$||\overline{f}|| = \inf_{\alpha>0} \{\alpha + \overline{\delta}^*(\{w \in \beta\mathbb{N} : |\overline{f}(w)| > \alpha\})\}$$

then $\overline{f}$ is a null function if and only if $||f|| = 0$. A sequence $\{\overline{f_n}\}$ of functions on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ *converges in* $\overline{\delta}$*- measure* to the function f on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\overline{f_n} - \overline{f}|| = 0.$$

It is clear that such a sequence $\{\overline{f_n}\}$ converges in $\overline{\delta}$- measure to $\overline{f}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{\delta}^*(\{w \in \beta\mathbb{N} : |\overline{f_n}(w) - \overline{f}(w)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We observe that $\overline{f} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is *integrable on* $\beta\mathbb{N}$ if there is a sequence $\{\overline{s_n}\}$ of simple functions from $\mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ converging to $\overline{f}$ in $\overline{\delta}$- measure on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and satisfying in addition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\limits_{\beta\mathbb{N}} |\overline{s_n}-\overline{s_m}|d\overline{\delta}=0.$$

Such a sequence $\{\overline{s_n}\}$ of simple functions is be said to *determine* $\overline{f}$.
Obviously, if $B\subset\mathbb{N}$,

$$\delta^*(B)=\overline{\delta}^*(\overline{B})$$

since the open cover

$$\overline{B}\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\overline{A_i}$$

possesses a finite subcover. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 follows immediately.

■

References

[1] **Indlekofer, K.-H.,** A new method in probabilistic number theory, *Probability Theory and Applications, Math. Appl.*, **80** (1992), 299–308.

[2] **Indlekofer, K.-H.,** Number theory - probabilistic, heuristic, and computational approaches, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **43** (2002), 1035–1061.

[3] **Indlekofer, K.-H.,** On some spaces of arithmetical functions, I. *Anal. Math.*, **18** (1992), 203–221.

[4] **Novoselov, E.V.,** A new method in probabilistic number theory, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **28** (1964), 307–364; English translation, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **52 (2)** (1966).

[5] **Schwarz, W. and J. Spilker,** *Arithmetical Functions*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser., **184**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1994)

[6] **Wagner, R.,** Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Funktionenalgebren von zahlentheoretischen Funktionen und Mengenalgebren, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **39** (2013), 449–458.

[7] **Walker, R.,** *The Stone–Cech Compactification*, Springer Heidelberg - New York, (1974).

K.-H. Indlekofer and R. Wagner
Faculty of Computer Science
Electrical Engineering and Mathematics
University of Paderborn
Warburger Strasse 100
D-33098 Paderborn
Germany
k-heinz@math.uni-paderborn.de
Robert.Wagner43@gmx.de