

## APPROXIMATELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Zoltán Boros (Debrecen, Hungary)

Noémi Nagy (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professors Zoltán Daróczy and Imre Kátai  
on the occasion of their 75th birthday*

Communicated by Antal Járai

(Received March 31, 2013; accepted July 09, 2013)

**Abstract.** A Rolewicz type theorem concerning the superstability of approximate convexity is established. Namely, it is proved that any real valued function  $f$ , defined on an open, convex subset  $D$  of a linear normed space, which satisfies the inequality

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|)^p$$

for every  $x, y \in D$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , with a fixed non-negative real number  $c$ , and a fixed exponent  $p > 1$ , has to be convex, i.e., satisfies the above inequality with  $c = 0$  as well.

### 1. Introduction

Investigations of approximate convexity, in various cases, usually involves the study of functions  $f$  satisfying an inequality of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) + C\Phi(t, 1 - t)\psi(\|x - y\|),$$

*Key words and phrases:* Approximately convex function, superstability.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 26A51; Secondary 39B62.

The Project is supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund(OTKA) grant NK 81402.

where  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined on a convex, open subset  $D$  of a normed space  $X$ ,  $\|u\|$  denotes the norm of  $u \in X$ ,  $C$  is a (usually non-negative) fixed real number,  $\Phi : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given functions, while inequality (1.1) is supposed to hold for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x, y \in D$ . In several papers, investigations are restricted to the case  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , when  $f$  is defined on an open interval and  $\|u\|$  has to be replaced by the absolute value  $|u|$  of the real number  $u$ .

In case  $C = 0$ , the inequality (1.1) describes the concept of convex functions. If  $C \geq 0$  and  $\Phi(t, 1-t) = \psi(\|x-y\|) = 1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x, y \in D$ , a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (1.1) is called  $C$ -convex. The first investigations of  $C$ -convex functions are due by Hyers and Ulam [6]. According to their result, if the underlying space  $X$  is of finite dimension  $n$  and the function  $f$  is  $C$ -convex, then there exists a convex function  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq k_n C$$

for all  $x \in D$ . Concerning the constant  $k_n$ , they established the inequality

$$k_n \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{4(n+1)}.$$

$C$ -convex functions were studied by Green [3] as well. He obtained better estimations. On the other hand, Laczkovich [7] proved that  $k_n \geq \frac{1}{4} \log_2(n/2)$ . This estimation shows that the statement cannot be extended to infinite dimensional spaces. A counterexample in this direction was earlier constructed by Casini and Papini [2].

Luc, Ngai and Théra [8] investigated the solutions  $f$  of the inequality (1.1) when  $\Phi(t, s) = ts$  and  $\psi(h) = h$ ,  $X$  is a Banach space. They assumed, in addition, that  $f$  is lower semicontinuous.

In a series of papers, Rolewicz introduced and investigated the concepts of  $\psi$ -paraconvex and strongly  $\psi$ -paraconvex functions, corresponding to the choices  $\Phi(t, s) = 1$  and  $\Phi(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$ , respectively, in the inequality (1.1). He obtained various results according to the assumptions on  $X$  and the local behaviour of the function  $\psi$  around the origin. When  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi(h) = h^p$  with some fixed  $p > 2$ ,  $C \geq 0$  and  $\Phi(t, s) = 1$ , he proved [13] that every solution  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (1.1) is convex. Later he extended this result [14] to the more general case when  $X$  is a Banach space and  $\psi : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfils the assumption  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \psi(h)/h^2 = 0$ . His further results show that the assumption on  $\psi$  is essential. For instance, one can easily verify that the real function  $f(x) = -Cx^2$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is strongly  $\psi$ -paraconvex with  $\psi(h) = h^2$  but  $f$  is not convex when  $C > 0$ . Via similar calculations one can prove the following statement: if  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Phi(t, s) = ts$ ,  $\psi(h) = h^2$ , and  $f$  satisfies (1.1) for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x, y \in D$ , then the function  $g(x) = f(x) + Cx^2$  ( $x \in D$ ) is convex. The

statement is valid for negative  $C$  as well, when  $f$  is called strongly convex (cf. [5, Prop. 1.1.2], [10]). We note that the choices  $\Phi(t, s) = \min\{t, s\}$  and  $\Phi(t, s) = ts$  in (1.1) are essentially equivalent as  $\frac{1}{2} \min\{t, 1-t\} \leq t(1-t) \leq \min\{t, 1-t\}$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Motivated by results on  $C$ -convex functions and investigations in the spirit of Luc, Ngai and Théra, Páles [12] proved the following theorem: Let  $I$  denote an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta$  be nonnegative real numbers. A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the inequality

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon t(1-t)|x-y| + \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  if, and only if,  $f$  can be represented in the form  $f = g + \alpha + \beta$ , where  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex,  $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lipschitz function and  $\beta : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded function.

The notion of midconvex (or Jensen convex) functions concerns functions  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy (1.1) for all  $x, y \in D$  with  $t = 1/2$  and  $C = 0$ . According to the celebrated Bernstein–Doetsch theorem [1], if  $f$  is midconvex and locally bounded above, then  $f$  is convex. Analogously, if  $f$  satisfies (1.1) with  $t = 1/2$ ,  $C \geq 0$  and  $\Phi(1/2, 1/2) = \psi(\|x-y\|) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in D$  and  $f$  is locally bounded above, then  $f$  is  $2C$ -convex [11]. Házy and Páles [4], considering an exponent  $p \in [0, 1]$ , investigated the relations among the solutions of inequality (1.1) with  $\Phi(t, s) = (ts)^p$ ,  $\psi(h) = h^p$ , and those of the special case  $t = 1/2$ , obtaining similar results. Their results were generalized to more general choices of  $\Phi$  and  $\psi$  by Makó and Páles [9]. A comparison of these results with those of Rolewicz is elaborated by Jacek Tabor and Józef Tabor [15].

## 2. Results

We consider approximate convexity of the form (1.1) in case  $\Phi(t, s) = (ts)^p$ ,  $\psi(h) = h^p$ , under the assumption that  $p > 1$ . We begin the investigation and reformulation of the problem in case of real variables.

### 2.1. Approximate convexity on intervals

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$ . A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfils the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1-\lambda)|x-y|)^p$$

for every  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  if, and only if,  $f$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad f(y) \leq \frac{z-y}{z-x}f(x) + \frac{y-x}{z-x}f(z) + c \left( \frac{(z-y)(y-x)}{z-x} \right)^p$$

for every  $x, y, z \in I$  fulfilling  $x < y < z$ .

**Proof.** Let us assume that (2.1) holds for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Let us consider  $x, y, z \in I$  such that  $x < y < z$ . Let  $\lambda = \frac{z-y}{z-x}$ . Then  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $1 - \lambda = \frac{y-x}{z-x}$ , and  $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z$ . Thus, applying the inequality (2.1) with  $z$  in place of  $y$ , we obtain (2.2).

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  satisfies (2.2) for all  $x, y, z \in I$  fulfilling  $x < y < z$ , and let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $x, z \in I$  such that  $x < z$ . Introducing  $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)z$ , we obtain  $x < y < z$  and all the above listed expressions for  $\lambda$  and  $1 - \lambda$ . Therefore (2.2) yields (2.1) with  $z$  in place of  $y$ . In other words, (2.1) is verified if  $x < y$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since  $\lambda$  can be replaced with  $1 - \lambda$  (as both are between 0 and 1), the inequality (2.1) is symmetric with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ . So we obtained (2.1) from (2.2) for  $x \neq y$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . In the remaining cases (i.e., when  $x = y$  or  $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ ) (2.1) obviously holds with equality.

The proof of the following lemma consists of straightforward calculations, so it is left to the reader.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let us suppose that  $x, y, z \in I$  satisfy  $x < y < z$ . Then (2.2) is equivalent to each of the following three inequalities:*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} + c \left( \frac{z - y}{z - x} \right)^p (y - x)^{p-1},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} - c \left( \frac{y - x}{z - x} \right)^p (z - y)^{p-1} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y} + c \left( \frac{(z - y)(y - x)}{z - x} \right)^{p-1}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for every  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  satisfies (2.1). Then, for every  $a \in I$ , there exist the limits*

$$f'_-(a) := \lim_{s \rightarrow a^-} \frac{f(s) - f(a)}{s - a} = \sup \left\{ \frac{f(s) - f(a)}{s - a} : a > s \in I \right\} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and}$$

$$f'_+(a) := \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} = \inf \left\{ \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} : a < t \in I \right\} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,  $f'_-(a) \leq f'_+(a)$ .

**Proof.** First we show that  $f'_+(a)$  exists, it is real and it coincides with the greatest lower bound of the given set of difference ratios. Let  $s, t \in I$  such that  $s < a < t$ . Then from (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} &\geq \frac{f(a) - f(s)}{a - s} - c \left( \frac{(t - a)(a - s)}{t - s} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\geq \frac{f(a) - f(s)}{a - s} - c(a - s)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set

$$S_a^+ = \left\{ \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} \mid t \in I, a < t \right\}$$

is bounded below, therefore

$$\varphi(a) := \inf S_a^+ \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{d \rightarrow 0^+} cd^{p-1} = 0$ , it follows that there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $c \cdot \delta_0^{p-1} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ . Moreover, there exists  $u \in I$  such that  $u > a$  and  $\frac{f(u) - f(a)}{u - a} < \varphi(a) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ . Let  $\delta = \min \{\delta_0, u - a\}$ . Obviously,  $\delta > 0$ . If  $a < t < a + \delta$ , then  $a + \delta \leq a + (u - a) = u$  and from (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) &\leq \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(a)}{u - a} + c \left( \frac{u - t}{u - a} \right)^p (t - a)^{p-1} \\ &< \varphi(a) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + c\delta_0^{p-1} < \varphi(a) + \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $\varphi(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(t) - f(a)}{t - a} = f'_+(a)$ .

We can apply an analogous argument, based on the inequalities (2.5) and (2.4), to show that  $f'_-(a)$  exists, it is real and it coincides with the least upper bound of the given set of difference ratios.

In order to verify the inequality  $f'_-(a) \leq f'_+(a)$ , let us consider  $x, z \in I$  such that  $x < a < z$ . Writing  $a$  in the place of  $y$  in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(a) - f(x)}{a - x} &\leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c \left[ \frac{(z - a)(a - x)}{z - x} \right]^{p-1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c \left[ \frac{(z - a)(z - x)}{z - x} \right]^{p-1} = \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$f'_-(a) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} \frac{f(a) - f(x)}{a - x} \leq \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1},$$

and thus

$$f'_-(a) \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow a^+} \left( \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} + c(z - a)^{p-1} \right) = f'_+(a). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f$  satisfies (2.1) for every  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $f$  satisfies (2.1) with  $c = 0$  as well, so  $f$  is convex.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x, y, z \in I$  satisfy  $x < y < z$ . According to Theorem 2.3, we have the inequalities

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y) \leq \frac{f(z) - f(y)}{z - y}.$$

Therefore inequality (2.5) is satisfied with  $c = 0$  as well. Thus inequalities (2.2) and (2.1) are also valid with  $c = 0$ . Hence,  $f$  is convex by definition.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 2.1.** Let us consider the example  $f(x) = -\frac{c}{4}x^2$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), which was mentioned in the introduction as well. Clearly,  $f$  is continuous, bounded above, and it fulfils (2.1) with  $p = 2$  for  $\lambda = 1/2$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, it is not convex (when  $c > 0$ ), hence, due to Theorem 2.4, it cannot satisfy (2.1) with  $p = 2$  (and any constant in place of  $c$ ) for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore the Bernstein–Doetsch theorem cannot be extended to this type of approximately convex functions.

## 2.2. Approximate convexity in normed spaces

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  denote a linear normed space,  $D \subset X$  be open and convex,  $c \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and let us suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies*

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|)^p$$

for every  $x, y \in D$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $f$  is convex.

**Proof.** Fix  $x, y \in X$  and let  $u = \frac{x+y}{2}$ ,  $w = \frac{y-x}{2}$ . Note that  $u - w = x \in D$  and  $u + w = y \in D$ , hence there exists an open interval  $I$  such that  $\pm 1 \in I$  and  $u + sw \in D$  for all  $s \in I$ . Let

$$g(s) = f(u + sw) \quad (s \in I).$$

Then, for every  $s, t \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t) &= f(\lambda(u + sw) + (1 - \lambda)(u + tw)) \leq \\ &\leq \lambda f(u + sw) + (1 - \lambda)f(u + tw) + \\ &\quad + c(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\|(u + sw) - (u + tw)\|)^p = \\ &= \lambda g(s) + (1 - \lambda)g(t) + c\|w\|^p (\lambda(1 - \lambda)|s - t|)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 (with the constant  $c\|w\|^p$  in place of  $c$ ), hence it is convex. In particular, we have, for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= g(\lambda(-1) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot 1) \\ &\leq \lambda g(-1) + (1 - \lambda)g(1) = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

As  $x$  and  $y$  were arbitrarily fixed, this completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### References

- [1] **Bernstein, F. and G. Doetsch**, Zur Theorie der konvexen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **76**/4 (1915), 514–526.
- [2] **Casini, E. and P.L. Papini**, A counterexample to the infinity version of the Hyers-Ulam stability theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 885–890.
- [3] **Green, J.W.**, Approximately convex functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **19** (1952), 499–504.
- [4] **Házy, A. and Zs. Páles**, On approximately midconvex functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **36**/3 (2004), 339–350.
- [5] **Hiriart-Urruty, J.-B. and C. Lemaréchal**, *Fundamentals of Convex Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [6] **Hyers, D.H. and S.M. Ulam**, Approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1952), 821–828.
- [7] **Laczkovich, M.**, The local stability of convexity, affinity and of the Jensen equation, *Aequationes Math.*, **58**/1-2 (1999), 135–142.
- [8] **Luc, D.T., H.V. Ngai and M. Théra**, Approximate convex functions, *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.*, **1** (2000), 155–176.
- [9] **Makó, J. and Zs. Páles**, On  $\varphi$ -convexity, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **80**/1-2 (2012), 107–126.

- [10] **Merentes, N. and K. Nikodem**, Remarks on strongly convex functions, *Aequationes Math.*, **80**/1-2 (2010), 193–199.
- [11] **Ng, C.T. and K. Nikodem**, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118**/1 (1993), 103–108.
- [12] **Páles, Zs.**, On approximately convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131**/1 (2003), 243–252.
- [13] **Rolewicz, S.**, On  $\gamma$ -paraconvex multifunctions, *Math. Japonica*, **24** (1979), 293–300.
- [14] **Rolewicz, S.**, On  $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly  $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex multifunctions, *Control Cybernet.*, **29** (2000), 367–377.
- [15] **Tabor, Jacek and Józef Tabor**, Generalized approximate midconvexity, *Control Cybernet.*, **38**/3 (2009), 655–669.

**Z. Boros**

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Debrecen  
Debrecen  
Hungary  
zboros@science.unideb.hu

**N. Nagy**

Tomori Pál College  
Budapest  
Hungary  
nagy.noemi@tpfk.hu