

**DISTRIBUTION OF THE VALUES OF
 q -ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS
ON SOME MULTIPLICATIVE SEMIGROUPS**

L. Germán (Paderborn, Germany)

I. Kátai (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to Dr. Bui Minh Phong on his sixtieth birthday

Communicated by K.-H. Indlekofer

(Received November 25, 2012)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{P} be an infinite subset of primes,

$$\#\{p \leq x \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\} = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

\mathcal{N} be the multiplicative semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} . Distribution of the values of q -additive functions defined on \mathcal{N} is investigated.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ be the set of natural, real, complex numbers respectively, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, $\omega(n) =$ number of distinct prime divisors of

The project is supported by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund (grant agreement TAMOP 4.2.1/B/09/1/KMR/2010/0003) and the second author is partly supported by the Hungarian and Vietnamese TET (grant agreement no. TET 10-1-2011-0645).

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11L07, 11A63

n , $\Omega(n)$ = number of prime power divisors of n . If x is a positive real number then let $x_1 = \log x$, $x_k = \log x_{k-1}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Let $\{x\}$ = fractional part of x , $\|x\| = \min(\{x\}, 1 - \{x\})$. Let $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du$.

1.2. Let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed integer, $E = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ be the set of digits. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ has a unique (q -ary) expansion, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j(n)q^j, \quad a_j(n) \in E.$$

The right hand side of (1.1) is clearly a finite sum, since $a_j(n) = 0$ if $q^j > n$. A function $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be q -additive, if $f(0) = 0$ and

$$(1.2) \quad f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(a_j(n)q^j)$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The whole set of q -additive functions will be denoted by \mathcal{H} .

1.3. Let

$$(1.3) \quad N = N_x = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log q} \right\rfloor,$$

$$(1.4) \quad m_k = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \in E} f(bq^k), \quad \sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \in E} f^2(bq^k) - m_k^2,$$

$$(1.5) \quad M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N m_k, \quad D^2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \sigma_k^2.$$

1.4. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x$ be a set of positive integers up to x . The multiple occurrence of some numbers is allowed. Furthermore, let $B(x)$ be the number of elements in \mathcal{B} . For an arbitrary sequence of integers $(0 \leq) l_1 < \dots < l_h$ and $b_1, \dots, b_h \in E$, let

$$(1.6) \quad B \left(x \mid \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{B}, a_{l_j}(n) = b_j, j = 1, \dots, h\}.$$

1.5. Let

$$(1.7) \quad \nu(n) := \frac{f(n) - M(x)}{D(x)},$$

$$(1.8) \quad F_x(y) := \frac{1}{B(x)} \#\{n \in \mathcal{B}, \nu(n) \leq y\}.$$

Definition 1. We say that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_x$ is a sequence of q -ary smooth sets of type α if $B(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x}$, and

$$(1.9) \quad \sup_{\substack{N^\alpha \leq l_1 < \dots < l_h < N - N^\alpha \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \in E}} \left| q^h B \left(x \mid \begin{matrix} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{matrix} \right) - B(x) \right| \leq c(h, \lambda) B(x) N^{-\lambda}$$

holds for every fixed $\lambda > 0$, $x \geq 2$.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_q$, $f(bq^j) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $b \in E$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Assume that $\frac{D(x)}{\log^\delta x} \rightarrow \infty$ as x tends to infinity is satisfied for some $\delta > 0$. Let \mathcal{B}_x be a q -ary smooth sequence of type $\alpha < \delta/2$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_x(y) = \Phi(y)$$

holds for every y . Here

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

Proof. Let $n \leq x$

$$f_\alpha(n) := \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} f(a_j(n)q^j).$$

Since $f(bq^j)$ is bounded,

$$|f_\alpha(n) - f(n)| \leq cN^\alpha$$

holds. Let

$$M_\alpha(x) = \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} m_j, \quad D_\alpha^2(x) = \sum_{N^\alpha \leq j \leq N - N^\alpha} \sigma_j^2.$$

We have $|M(x) - M_\alpha(x)| \leq cN^\alpha$, $|D_\alpha^2(x) - D^2(x)| \leq cN^\alpha$. Let

$$\nu_\alpha(n) = \frac{f_\alpha(n) - M_\alpha(x)}{D_\alpha(x)}.$$

We already defined $\nu(n)$ in (1.7). From the assumption we obtain that

$$\max_{n \leq x} |\nu_\alpha(n) - \nu(n)| \rightarrow 0$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. From the assumption (1.9) we deduce easily that

$$\frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_x}} \nu_\alpha(n)^k - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu_\alpha(n)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}_x}} \nu(n)^k - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu(n)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. One can prove easily that for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \nu(n)^k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k d\Phi.$$

(1.10) implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{B(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{B}}} \nu(n)^k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k d\Phi$$

holds for every k . Therefore, our theorem directly follows from the Fréchet-Shohat theorem. A more detailed argument can be found in [1].

2. Some auxiliary results

2.1.

Lemma 1 (Theorem of Davenport [2]). *Let x be a positive integer, $1 < U_0 < U_1 < x$, $1 \leq Q \leq x$, $(a, Q) = 1$. Let $\Theta_1(n, x)$, $\Theta_2(r, x)$ be arbitrary functions, each of which is absolutely bounded. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{U_0 < n \leq U_1} \Theta_1(n, x) \sum_{1 \leq r \leq x/n} \Theta_2(r, n) e\left(\frac{axr}{Q}\right) = \\ & = \mathcal{O}\left(x \log^2 x \sqrt{\frac{1}{U_0} + \frac{U_1}{x} + \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{Q}{x}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

2.2.

Lemma 2. *Let $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2q}$, $\chi_0(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m e(mx)$ be a mod 1 periodic function such that $0 \leq \chi_0(x) \leq 1$,*

$$\chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \Delta < \{x\} < \frac{1}{q} - \Delta, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{q} + \Delta < \{x\} < 1 - \Delta, \end{cases}$$

$c_0 = \frac{1}{q}$, $c_{jq} = 0$ when $j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$,

$$|c_m| \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{\pi|m|}, \frac{1}{\Delta\pi^2 m^2}\right).$$

Let $\chi_b(x) = \chi_0(x - \frac{b}{q}) = \sum c_m^{(b)} e(mx)$. Then $\chi_m^{(b)} = c_m e(-\frac{mb}{q})$, thus $|c_m^{(b)}| = |c_m|$.

This lemma is proved in [3].

2.3.

The Erdős-Turán inequality for the discrepancy of sequences mod 1

The discrepancy D_M of the real numbers x_1, \dots, x_M mod 1 is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \sup \left| \frac{1}{M} \#\{n \leq M \mid \{x_n\} \in [\alpha, \beta)\} - (\beta - \alpha) \right|$$

where the supremum is taken for all intervals $[\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1]$.

Lemma 3 ([4]). Let $\psi_m := \sum_{e=1}^M e(mx_l)$. We have

$$(2.2) \quad D_M \leq c \left(\sum_{0 < h \leq K} \frac{|\psi_h|}{h} + \frac{M}{K} \right)$$

for any positive integer K . c is an absolute constant.

2.4.

The theorem of E. Wirsing

Lemma 4 ([5]). Let F be a multiplicative function satisfying the following conditions: $F(n) \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$); $F(p^\alpha) \leq c_1 c_2^\alpha$, $c_2 < 2$ for every prime p and $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots$. Assume that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} F(p) = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a constant. Then, for $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} F(n) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{F(p)}{p} + \frac{F(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right).$$

Here Γ is the Euler's gamma function, and γ is the Euler's constant.

Analyzing the proof, one can see that the following variant of Wirsing's theorem remains true.

Lemma 5. Let F_λ be a family of multiplicative functions, satisfying the following conditions: $F_\lambda(n) \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$); $F_\lambda(p^\alpha) \leq c_1 c_2^\alpha$, $c_2 < 2$ for every prime p and $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots$

Let $\epsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). Assume that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \sum_{p \leq x} F_\lambda(p) - \tau_\lambda \frac{x}{\log x} \right| \leq \epsilon(x) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

where $0 < c_3 < \tau_\lambda < c_4$, with c_3, c_4 suitable positive constants. Then there exists a function $\epsilon_1(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) such that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{n \leq x} F_\lambda(n) - \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau_\lambda}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{F_\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{F_\lambda(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right) \right| \leq \epsilon_1(x) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{F_\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{F_\lambda(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right).$$

2.5.

Let \mathcal{P} be an infinite sequence of primes, \mathcal{N} be the multiplicative semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} . Let

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{p \leq x \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}; \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Assume that

$$(2.7) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \tau \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

where $0 < \tau \leq 1$. Then, from the theorem of Wirsing we obtain that

$$(2.8) \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let

$$(2.9) \quad R_x := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{p}.$$

Then

$$(2.10) \quad R_x = (\tau + o(1)) \log \log x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Lemma 6. *Let \mathcal{P} satisfy the condition (2.7). Then, there is a suitable sequence $\delta_x \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)R_x} \sum_{\substack{|\omega(n) - R_x| > \delta_x R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Proof. Let F_κ be a family of multiplicative functions, defined on prime powers p^α as follows:

$$F_\kappa(p^\alpha) = \begin{cases} e^\kappa, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \notin \mathcal{P}. \end{cases}$$

First we assume that κ is a small positive, later that it is a small negative number. Since

$$\sum_{p \leq x} F_\kappa(p) = (e^\kappa \tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds uniformly as κ varies in a bounded interval, furthermore

$$F_\kappa(n)\omega(n) \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{mp=n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}, p < \sqrt{x}}} F_\kappa(m)e^\kappa,$$

by Lemma 5 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{\kappa\omega(n)}\omega(n) &\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} e^\kappa \sum_{n \leq x/p} e^{\kappa\omega(n)} \leq \\ (2.12) \quad &\leq 2e^\kappa \frac{e^{-\gamma e^\kappa \tau}}{\Gamma(e^\kappa \tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} R_x \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left(1 + \frac{e^\kappa}{p-1}\right) = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\gamma e^\kappa \tau}}{\Gamma(e^\kappa \tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} R_x \exp(e^\kappa R_x + b_x), \end{aligned}$$

where b_x is bounded uniformly as $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1/10$, say. Since

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) > (1+\delta_x)R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \leq e^{-\kappa\delta_x R_x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{\kappa(\omega(n)-R_x)}\omega(n),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad N(x) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{e^{-\gamma \tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} \exp(R_x + \mathcal{O}(1)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

from (2.12), (2.13) we have that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)R_x} \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) > (1+\delta_x)R_x \\ n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) \leq c \exp((- \kappa \delta_x - \kappa + e^\kappa - 1)R_x).$$

c may depend on τ . Choose $\kappa = x_4^{-1}$, $\delta_x = 2\kappa$. We obtain, that (2.14) tends to zero.

Instead of proving that

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)R_x} \sum_{\substack{\omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}, n \leq x}} \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

we shall show that

$$\frac{1}{N(x)} \#\{n \leq x \mid \omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x, n \in \mathcal{N}\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

To prove this we choose $F_{-\kappa}$ instead of F_κ , and argue as earlier. We have

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} F_{-\kappa}(n) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e^{-\kappa\omega(n)} = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau e^{-\kappa}}}{\Gamma(\tau e^{-\kappa})} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left(1 + \frac{e^{-\kappa}}{p-1} \right).$$

Since $e^{-\kappa(\omega(n)-(1-\delta_x)R_x)} \geq 1$ if $\omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x$, therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ \omega(n) < (1-\delta_x)R_x}} 1 \leq e^{(1-\delta_x)R_x\kappa} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} F_{-\kappa}(n).$$

Arguing as earlier, by using (2.16) we can get the relation (2.15).

2.6.

Lemma 7. *Let \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{N} be as in Section 2.5. For every K let $p_1 < \dots < p_T$ be a finite sequence of primes from \mathcal{P} . Let $\mathcal{P}_K = \{p_1, \dots, p_T\}$, and let*

$$\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_K}} 1, \quad A_K = \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{1}{p_j}, \quad A_K > K.$$

Then

$$(2.17) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K| \leq \sqrt{A_K}.$$

Proof. Since

$$N\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{N}, p|n\}$$

and from the theorem of E. Wirsing (Lemma 4) one can get easily that

$$N\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p}N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) = A_K N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}^2(n) = \left(A_K^2 + A_K - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_K} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} (\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K)^2 = \left(A_K^2 + A_K - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_K} \frac{1}{p^2} - 2A_K^2 + A_K^2 \right) N(x) + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(x)}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}_K}(n) - A_K|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{A_K} + o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

and so our assertion holds.

2.7.

Let \mathcal{N} be as in 2.5. From the theorem of Wirsing (see Lemma 4) we obtain that

$$N\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \leq \frac{cN(x)}{y}$$

holds for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{x}$. Let

$$(2.18) \quad \omega_1(n) := \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p < \exp((\log x)^{\epsilon_x})}} 1$$

where $\epsilon_x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_1(n) < c\epsilon_x R_x N(x).$$

For some $n \in \mathcal{N}$ consider all possible representations $n = pm$, where $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let

$$\omega_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{n=pm \\ m \leq x^{\epsilon_x}}} 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_2(n) &\leq \sum_{\substack{m \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ m \in \mathcal{N}}} \pi_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ m \in \mathcal{N}}} \frac{1}{m} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{1-1/p} \leq \frac{c\tau x}{\log x} \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\epsilon_x} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega_2(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

3. Formulation and proof of Theorem 2

3.1.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{N} be as in 2.5. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{A}_q$, $f(bq^j) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ($b \in E$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$). Assume furthermore that there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ for which $D(x)/\log^\lambda x \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). Let*

$$F_x(y) = \frac{1}{N(x)} \#\{\nu(n) < y, n \in \mathcal{N}, n \leq x\}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_x(y) = \Phi(y).$$

3.2.

Proof of Theorem 2

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Let $n_1 < \dots < n_s (\leq x)$ be the set all of the integers in \mathcal{N} up to x , for which $\nu(n) < y$. Thus $F_x(y) = s/N(x)$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H} = \#\{\{m, p\}, p \in \mathcal{P}, m \in \mathcal{N}, m > x^{\varepsilon_x}, p > e^{(\log x)^{\varepsilon_x}}, mp < x\}.$$

Let Z be the number of those $\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}$ for which $\nu(mp) < y$. It is clear that

$$Z \leq \omega(n_1) + \dots + \omega(n_s) \leq (1 + \delta_x)R_x s + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ \omega(n) > (1 + \delta_x)R_x}} \omega(n).$$

From Lemma 6 we obtain that

$$\frac{Z}{R_x} \leq (1 + \delta_x)s + o(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Similarly

$$Z \geq (1 - \delta_x)R_x s - \sum_{\omega(n) < (1 - \delta_x)R_x} \omega(n) - \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2,$$

where in Σ_1 we sum over those $\{m, p\}$ for which $m < x^{\varepsilon_x}$, $m \in \mathcal{N}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and in Σ_2 over those for which $p < e^{(\log x)^{\varepsilon_x}}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$. As we have seen in 2.7.

$$\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 = o(R_x N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

and Lemma 6 implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\omega(n) < (1 - \delta_x)R_x \\ n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} \omega(n) = o(R_x N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{Z}{R_x} \geq s(1 - \delta_x) + o_x(N(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let $H(x) = \#\mathcal{H}_x$. Let $(1 \leq) l_1 < \dots < l_h \leq N$, $b_1, \dots, b_h \in E$ and

$$H\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right. \right) := \#\{\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x, \varepsilon_{l_j}(mp) = b_j, j = 1, \dots, h\}.$$

We can prove that for every fixed h , and every $\alpha > 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \max_{\substack{N^\alpha \leq l_1 < \dots < l_h \leq N - N^\alpha \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \in E}} \left| q^h H\left(x \left| \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right. \right) - H(x) \right| \leq c(h, \lambda) H(x) N^{-\lambda}$$

holds for every fixed λ .

The proof is very similar to that of the theorem in [1]. Let

$$U := [1 - \Delta, 1] \cup \bigcup_{b=1}^{q-1} \left[\frac{b}{q} - \Delta, \frac{b}{q} + \Delta \right] \cup [0, \Delta],$$

$$E_j := \# \left\{ \{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x, \left\{ \frac{mp}{q^{j+1}} \right\} \in U \right\},$$

further

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_h) := \phi_{b_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi_{b_h}(x_h),$$

$$t(y) := F\left(\frac{y}{q^{l_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{y}{q^{l_h+1}}\right).$$

Let

$$V = \left[\frac{1}{q^{l_1+1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{q^{l_h+1}} \right],$$

\mathcal{M} the whole set of vectors

$$M = [m_1, \dots, m_h]$$

with integer entries. Let

$$VM = \frac{A_M}{H_M}, \quad (A_M, H_M) = 1.$$

It is clear that

$$t(y) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} T_M e(MVy),$$

where $|T_M| = |c_{m_1}| \cdots |c_{m_h}|$, $T[0, \dots, 0] = \frac{1}{q^h}$.

We have

$$(3.2) \quad \left| H \left(x \mid \begin{array}{c} l_1, \dots, l_h \\ b_1, \dots, b_h \end{array} \right) - \frac{1}{q^h} H(x) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{M \neq 0} |T_M| \left| \sum_{\{m, p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{A_M}{H_M} mp\right) \right| + E_{l_1} + \cdots + E_{l_h}.$$

If M is such a vector for which $q|m_j$ for some j , then $T_M = 0$. Let $M = [m_1, \dots, m_h]$, $q \nmid m_h$. Then

$$H_M(m_h + q^{l_h - l_{h-1}} m_{h-1} + \cdots + m_1 q^{l_h - l_1}) = A_M q^{l_h + 1}.$$

Let $q = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$ be the prime decomposition of q . Since q/m_h , there exists a p_t for which $p_t^{e_t} \nmid m_h$. Thus there exists an $\eta > 0$ depending only on q such that $H_M \geq q^{\eta l_h} \geq q^{\eta N^\alpha}$. On the other hand $H_M \leq q^{l_h+1} < cxq^{-N^\alpha}$.

By using the Davenport theorem (Lemma 4) we obtain that

$$\sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{A_M}{H_M} mp\right) \ll H(x) \log^{-B} x$$

holds for every fixed B . The constant implied by \ll on the right hand side does not depend on M . One can observe also that (see [1])

$$\sum |T_M| \leq \left(2 + 2 \log \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)^h.$$

Finally we can estimate E_j by using the Erdős-Turán inequality (Lemma 3) for the discrepancy. Let

$$\psi_k := \sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(kmp \frac{1}{q^{l_j+1}}\right).$$

Then

$$|E_j| \leq (2q\Delta)H(x) + c \sum_{k=1}^T \frac{|\psi_k|}{k} + \frac{cH(x)}{T},$$

where c is an absolute constant, T is arbitrary. Let K be an arbitrary large constant,

$$T = \lfloor \log^K x \rfloor, \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{T}.$$

By the theorem of Davenport we obtain that $\max_{1 \leq k \leq T} |\psi_k| \leq H(x) \log^{-K} x$ say.

Hence we obtain (3.2). Our sequence \mathcal{H}_x is q -ary smooth of type α for every $\alpha > 0$, therefore Theorem 1 can be applied for every α . The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

4. A remark to a theorem of H. Daboussi

4.1.

The famous theorem of H. Daboussi [7, 8] asserts that if α is an irrational number, \mathcal{M}_1 be the set of complex valued multiplicative functions f satisfying the condition $|f(n)| \leq 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), then

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

There are a lot of generalizations of this theorem, see e.g. [9, 11].

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N} be as in 2.5. Let α be an irrational number for which*

$$\min_{1 \leq k \leq \log^B x} \|k\alpha\| > \frac{\log^B x}{x}$$

holds for every B and $x > x_0(B)$. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{N(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} f(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

4.2.

Proof of Theorem 3

We shall prove only that

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e(\alpha nk) \right| = 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \neq 0$. The deduction of (4.1) from (4.2) can be done in the same way as which was used in [10].

Let $\tau = \frac{x}{\log^B x}$. Then there is an integer Q such that $Q \leq \tau$, and $\|Q\alpha\| < \frac{1}{\tau}$. Due to the condition of the theorem $Q \geq \log^{2B} x$, consequently for a suitable integer A ,

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{A}{Q} \right| < \frac{1}{Q\tau} \leq \frac{1}{x \log^B x},$$

$(A, Q) = 1$ and so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e(\alpha nk) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Ak}{Q}n\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{kN(x)}{\log^B x}\right).$$

To prove (4.2) we shall estimate

$$S = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Akn}{Q}\right).$$

By using Lemma 6, it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{1}{R_x N(x)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in \mathcal{N}}} e\left(\frac{Akn}{Q}\right) \omega(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

and by repeating the argument used in 2.7 that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_x} \sum_{\{m,p\} \in \mathcal{H}_x} e\left(\frac{Akm p}{Q}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

(4.3) follows from the theorem of Davenport.

We note that Lemma 7 is a tool to deduce the theorem from (4.3).

References

- [1] **Kátai, I.**, Distribution of digits of primes in q -ary canonical form, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **47** (1986), 341-359.
- [2] **Davenport, H.**, On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions II., *Quart. J. Math.*, **8** (1937), 313-320.
- [3] **Bassily, N.L. and Kátai, I.**, Distribution of the values of q -additive functions on polynomial sequences, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **68** (1995), 353-361.
- [4] **Erdős, P. and Turán, P.**, On a problem in the theory of uniform distributions I, II., *Indagationes Math.*, **10** (1948), 370-378, 406-413.
- [5] **Wirsing, E.**, Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, *Math. Ann.*, **143** (1) (1961), 75-102.
- [6] **Frechet, M., and Shohat, A.**, A proof of the generalized second limit theorem in the theory of probability, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **33** (1931), 533-544.
- [7] **Daboussi, H. and Delange, H.**, Quelques propriétés des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus égal à 1, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A*, **178** (1974), 657-660.

- [8] **Daboussi, H. and Delange, H.**, On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose module does not exceed one, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1982), 245-264.
- [9] **Kátai, I.**, A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **47** (1986), 223-225.
- [10] **Kátai, I.**, On the distribution mod 1 of $\alpha\sigma(n)$, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **77** (1-2) (2010), 1-14.
- [11] **Kátai, I.**, Some remarks on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **19** (2008), 71-80.

I. Kátai

Department of Computer Algebra
Eötvös Loránd University
Pázmány Péter sét. 1/C
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
katali@compalg.inf.elte.hu

L. Germán

Faculty of Computer Science,
Electrical Engineering and Mathematics
University of Paderborn
Warburger Straße 100
D-33098 Paderborn, Germany
laszlo@math.uni-paderborn.de