

## **SOME REMARKS ON BEURLING TYPE INTEGERS GENERATED BY THE SET OF SHIFTED PRIMES**

**K.-H. Indlekofer** (Paderborn, Germany)

**I. Kátai** (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Dr. Bui Minh Phong  
on his sixtieth anniversary*

Communicated by J.-M. De Koninck

(Received May 6, 2012)

### **1. Introduction**

The analogues of some theorems for additive and multiplicative functions are proved for Beurling type integers.

#### **1.1. Notation and preliminary results**

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the whole set of the primes,  $\omega(n)$  and  $\Omega(n)$  be the number of prime factors, and the number of prime power factors of  $n$ , respectively.  $\omega(n)$  is strongly additive,  $\Omega(n)$  is completely additive function. Let

$$(1.1) \quad N_k(x) := \#\{n \leq x \mid \Omega(n) = k\}.$$

Let  $A(p)$  be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < A(p) < Cp^{1-\Delta},$$

where  $C$  and  $\Delta < 1$  are arbitrary positive numbers.

---

Financially supported by a DFG project.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}^* = \{p + A(p) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$  be the multiplicative semigroup with unit element 1 generated by  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Let  $\vartheta(p) = p + A(p)$ , and  $\vartheta$  be a completely multiplicative function over  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e. if  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$  ( $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathcal{P}$ ), then  $\vartheta(n) = \vartheta(p_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots \vartheta(p_r)^{\alpha_r}$ .

Let

$$(1.3) \quad N_{\vartheta}(x) = \#\{\vartheta(n) \leq x\},$$

i.e. the number of those elements of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$  which are not greater than  $x$ .

Let

$$\kappa(n) = \frac{\vartheta(n)}{n} = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{A(p_j)}{p_j}\right)^{\alpha_j}, \quad \text{if } n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\vartheta}(s) &= \sum \frac{1}{n^s \kappa(n)^s} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s \kappa^s(p)}} = \\ &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s \kappa(p)^s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta(s) = \sum \frac{1}{n^s},$$

i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad F_{\vartheta}(s) = H_{\vartheta}(s) \zeta(s),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad H_{\vartheta}(s) = \prod_p \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s \kappa(p)^s}}.$$

By using the argument of Bateman (see in Tenenbaum [1], II.5, Theorem 4, page 186) we obtain that

$$(1.6) \quad |N_{\vartheta}(x) - H_{\vartheta}(1)x| = \mathcal{O}\left(x \exp\left(-c_1 \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right),$$

where  $c_1$  is a suitable positive constant.

Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary positive number,  $\vartheta_Y(n) = n\kappa_Y(n)$ ,  $\kappa_Y(n) = \prod_{\substack{p^\alpha || n \\ p < Y}} \kappa_Y(p^\alpha)$ . Then, similarly as above,

$$F_{\vartheta_Y}(s) = \sum \frac{1}{\vartheta_Y(n)^s} = H_{\vartheta_Y}(s) \zeta(s),$$

$$H_{\vartheta_Y}(s) = \prod_{p \leq Y} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s \kappa(p)^s}}.$$

Then, for  $N_{\vartheta_Y}(x) = \#\{\vartheta_Y(n) \leq x\}$  we have

$$(1.7) \quad |N_{\vartheta_Y}(x) - H_{\vartheta_Y}(1)x| = \mathcal{O}\left(x \exp\left(-c_1 \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right).$$

## 1.2. Main theorems

Let  $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^r \vartheta(p_i)^{a_i}$ ,  $\beta = \prod_{j=1}^h \vartheta(q_j)^{b_j}$ . We say that  $U : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is completely multiplicative, if  $U(\alpha\beta) = U(\alpha) \cdot U(\beta)$  holds for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$ , and  $U(1) = 1$ . We say that  $V : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is completely additive, if  $V(\alpha\beta) = V(\alpha) + V(\beta)$  holds for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$ , and  $V(1) = 0$ .

Assume that  $U$  is completely multiplicative in  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^*)$ . Let us define  $u(n) := U(\vartheta(n))$ . Then  $u(n)$  is completely multiplicative in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, if  $V$  is completely additive in  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^*)$ , then  $v(n) := V(\vartheta(n))$  is completely additive in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The following analogue of the theorem of Halász holds.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$  be defined as in 1.1. Let  $G : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be completely multiplicative,  $|G(\vartheta(n))| = 1$  ( $\forall \vartheta(n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$ ).*

*Let*

$$(1.8) \quad S(x) := \sum_{\vartheta(n) \leq x} G(\vartheta(n)).$$

*Then there exist a complex constant  $C_1$ , a real number  $\tau$ , a slowly oscillating function  $L_0(u)$ , such that  $|L_0(u)| = 1$ ,  $\frac{L_0(u_1)}{L_0(u)} \rightarrow 1$  uniformly as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u \leq u_1 \leq 2u$ , such that*

$$(1.9) \quad S(x) = C_1 x^{1+i\tau} L_0(\log x) + o(x).$$

Moreover,  $\frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{\vartheta(p) \in \mathcal{P}^*} \frac{1 - \operatorname{Re} \left( G(\vartheta(p)) \cdot \vartheta(p)^{-i\tau} \right)}{\vartheta(p)}$$

diverges for every real  $\tau$ .

Assume that (1.10) is convergent for some  $\tau$ . Then

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} = C_2 x^{i\tau} L_0(\log x) + o_x(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

The condition

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} = M \neq 0$$

holds if and only if

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{\vartheta(p) \in \mathcal{P}^*} \frac{1 - F(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)}$$

is convergent.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 remains true without almost any restriction for multiplicative, not only for completely multiplicative functions. The proof becomes somewhat more complicated.

**Remark 2.** A reformulation of Theorem 1 is the following

**Theorem 1'.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Let  $g(n) := G(\vartheta(n))$ . Then  $g$  is completely multiplicative in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $|g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),

$$(1.14) \quad S(x) = \sum_{\vartheta(n) \leq x} g(n).$$

The sum

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{\vartheta(p) \in \mathcal{P}^*} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left( G(\vartheta(p)) \cdot \vartheta(p)^{-i\tau} \right)}{\vartheta(p)} - \frac{\operatorname{Re} (g(p) \cdot p^{-i\tau})}{p} \right\}$$

is absolutely convergent, consequently (1.10) is divergent for some  $\tau$ , if and only if

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}^*} \frac{1 - \operatorname{Re}(g(p) \cdot p^{-i\tau})}{p}$$

is divergent. The condition (1.13) is equivalent to the convergence of (1.17), where

$$(1.17) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}^*} \frac{1 - g(p)}{p}.$$

Moreover,  $\frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , if (1.15) is divergent for every real  $\tau$ .

Assume that (1.16) is convergent for some  $\tau$ . Then

$$(1.18) \quad \frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} = C_2 x^{i\tau} L_0(\log x) + o_x(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

The condition

$$(1.19) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} = M \neq 0$$

holds if and only if (1.13) is convergent.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1'

Let  $Y$  be fixed,  $Q = \prod_{\substack{p \leq Y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} p$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad E(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n),$$

$$(2.2) \quad S_Y(x) = \sum_{\vartheta_Y(n) \leq x} g(n).$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad E(x | Q) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, Q)=1}} g(n).$$

It is clear that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E(x | Q) &= \sum_{n \leq x} g(n) \sum_{\delta | (Q, n)} \mu(\delta) = \\ &= \sum_{\delta | Q} \mu(\delta) g(\delta) E\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$(2.5) \quad S_Y(x) = \sum_D g(D) \sum_{\substack{m D \kappa(D) \leq x \\ (m, Q)=1}} g(m),$$

where  $D$  runs over the integers, the largest prime factor of which is at most  $Y$ .

Thus

$$(2.6) \quad S_Y(x) = \sum_D g(D) E\left(\frac{x}{D \kappa(D)} \mid Q\right).$$

Let us assume first that  $\frac{E(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(x|Q)}{x} = 0$ , furthermore

$$(2.7) \quad \limsup \frac{|S_Y(x)|}{x} \leq \sum_{D > Y^Y} \frac{1}{D \kappa(D)} \leq \frac{1}{Y^2},$$

say. Since  $\kappa(n) \geq \kappa_Y(n)$ , therefore  $\vartheta_Y(n) \leq \vartheta(n)$ , consequently

$$(2.8) \quad |S(x) - S_Y(x)| \leq |H_{\vartheta_Y}(1) - H_{\vartheta}(1)|x + \mathcal{O}\left(x \exp\left(-c_1 \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right)$$

(see (1.6), (1.7)).

Let us observe furthermore that  $H_{\vartheta_Y}(1) \rightarrow H_{\vartheta}(1)$  as  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{S(x)}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \right| &\leq \frac{|S_Y(x)|}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} + \frac{|S(x) - S_Y(x)|}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \leq \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{|S_Y(x)|}{x} + c_2 \frac{|S(x) - S_Y(x)|}{x}, \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $c_1, c_2$  which may depend only on  $\vartheta$ .

From (2.7), (2.8) we obtain that

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(x)|}{N_\vartheta(x)} \leq \frac{c_1}{Y^2} + c_2 |H_\vartheta(1) - H_{\vartheta_Y}(1)|.$$

Since the inequality (2.9) remains true for  $Y \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\frac{S(x)}{N_\vartheta(x)} \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Assume that (1.16) is divergent for  $\tau$ . Then  $E(x) = Cx^{1+i\tau} L_0(\log x) + o(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), according to the theorem of G. Halász.

From (2.4) we obtain that

$$(2.10) \quad E(x | Q) = Cx^{1+i\tau} L_0(\log x) \prod_{p < Y} \left(1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}}\right),$$

$$S_Y(x) = Cx^{1+i\tau} \sum_{D < Y^Y} \frac{g(D)}{(D\kappa(D))^{1+i\tau}} L_0(\log x) \prod_{p < Y} \left(1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{Y^2}\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{S_Y(x)}{x} = Cx^{i\tau} L_0(\log x) \prod_{p < Y} \left(1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}}\right) \cdot \prod_{p < Y} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}\kappa(p)^{1+i\tau}}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{Y^2}\right).$$

Let

$$\eta(Y) = \prod_{p < Y} \frac{1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}}}{1 - \frac{g(p)}{p^{1+i\tau}\kappa(p)^{1+i\tau}}}.$$

Since  $\kappa(p) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(p^{\Delta-1})$ , it follows that  $\lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \eta(Y) = \eta$  exists and  $\eta \neq 0$ .

Continuing as in the proof of the first assertion, we obtain that

$$\frac{S(x)}{N_\vartheta(x)} = C_1 \eta x^{i\tau} L_0(\log x) + o_x(1).$$

Here  $C_1 = C \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_\vartheta(x)}{x}$ .

In the case (1.17) we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(x)}{x} = M_0 \neq 0.$$

Arguing as above we obtain (1.19).

### 3. Analogues of the Erdős-Wintner and Erdős-Kac theorems

From Theorem 1' one can deduce the analogues of the Erdős-Wintner and the Erdős-Kac theorems.

**Theorem 2** (Erdős-Wintner). *Let  $F$  be a completely additive function in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$ . Let*

$$(3.1) \quad H_x(y) := \frac{1}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \# \{ \vartheta(n) \leq x \mid F(\vartheta(n)) < y \}.$$

Then

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_x(y) =: H(y)$$

exists for almost all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $H(y)$  is a distribution function if and only if the next three series are convergent:

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{|F(\vartheta(p))| \leq 1} \frac{F(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{|F(\vartheta(p))| \leq 1} \frac{F^2(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{|F(\vartheta(p))| > 1} \frac{1}{\vartheta(p)}.$$

Let  $D_x$  be a sequence of real numbers, such that

$$(3.6) \quad T_x(y) := \frac{1}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \# \{ \vartheta(n) \leq x \mid F(\vartheta(n)) - D_x < y \}$$



tends to a distribution function  $T(y)$  for almost all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $D_x = Y_x + c + o_x(1)$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary real constant,

$$(3.7) \quad Y_x := \sum_{\substack{|\vartheta(p)| \leq x \\ |F(\vartheta(p))| \leq 1}} \frac{F(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)},$$

furthermore (3.4), (3.5) are convergent.

In the opposite direction, if (3.4), (3.5) are convergent, then (3.6) has a limit for almost all  $y$ .

**Theorem 3** (Erdős-Kac). *Let  $F$  be a completely additive function in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}^*}$ ,  $F(\vartheta(p)) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  ( $\vartheta(p) \in \mathcal{P}^*$ ). Let*

$$M_x := \sum_{\vartheta(p) \leq x} \frac{F(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)}, \quad \sigma_x^2 = \sum_{\vartheta(p) \leq x} \frac{F^2(\vartheta(p))}{\vartheta(p)}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_{\vartheta}(x)} \# \left\{ \vartheta(n) \leq x \mid \frac{F(\vartheta(p)) - M_x}{\sigma_x} < y \right\} = \phi(y)$$

holds for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $\phi$  is the Gaussian law.

Theorems 2 and 3 can be proved by reformulating these theorems for additive functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ , defining  $f(n) := F(\vartheta(n))$ , and applying Theorem 1' for the characteristic function  $g_{\tau}(n) = e^{i\tau f(n)}$ . We omit the details.

#### 4. Counting $\vartheta(n)$ when $n$ has a fixed number of prime factors

Let

$$(4.1) \quad N_{\vartheta,k}(x) := \#\{\vartheta(n) \leq x \mid \Omega(n) = k\}.$$

Assume in this section that  $A_p \geq 0$ .

We shall write  $\xi_k = \xi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\log x} \cdot \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$ . Assume that for some positive constants  $\varrho$ ,  $\varrho \leq \frac{k}{\log \log x} \leq 2 - \varrho$ . Let  $\eta = \frac{k}{\log \log x}$ .

As we know

$$(4.2) \quad N_k(x) = (1 + o_x(1)) x \xi_k(x)$$

uniformly in the interval  $\eta \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]$ . (See [1], Theorem 5, page 205.)

We shall prove that

$$(4.3) \quad N_{\vartheta, k}(x) = (1 + o_x(1)) \psi N_k(x)$$

uniformly in  $\eta \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]$ . Here

$$(4.4) \quad \psi = \prod_p \frac{1 - \frac{\eta}{p}}{1 - \frac{\eta}{p\kappa(p)}}.$$

It is easy to show that in the interval  $\eta \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad N_{k-l}(x) = (1 + o_x(1)) N_k(x) \eta^l$$

for every fixed  $l$ , furthermore

$$(4.6) \quad N_k(ax) = a N_k(x) (1 + o_x(1))$$

for every fixed  $a > 0$ .

Let  $Y$  be a large constant,  $Q = \prod_{p \leq Y} p$ . Let

$$(4.7) \quad N_k(x | Q) = \#\{m \leq x \mid (m, Q) = 1\}.$$

Since

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} N_k(x | Q) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n) = k}} \sum_{\delta | (n, Q)} \mu(\delta) = \\ &= \sum_{\delta | Q} \mu(\delta) N_{k-\omega(\delta)}\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

from (4.5), (4.6) we have

$$(4.9) \quad N_k(x | Q) = (1 + o_x(1)) N_k(x) \sum_{\delta | Q} \frac{\mu(\delta) \eta^{\omega(\delta)}}{\delta}.$$

Let

$$\kappa_Y(n) = \prod_{\substack{p^\alpha || n \\ p \leq Y}} \left(1 + \frac{A_p}{p}\right)^\alpha; \quad g_2(n) = \prod_{\substack{p^\alpha || n \\ p > Y}} \left(1 + \frac{A_p}{p}\right)^\alpha, \quad \vartheta_Y(n) = n \kappa_Y(n),$$

$$(4.10) \quad N_{\vartheta_Y, k}(x) = \#\{\vartheta_Y(n) \leq x, \Omega(n) = k\}.$$

Counting the elements in (4.10), write  $n = Dm$ , where the largest prime factor of  $D$  is less than  $Y$ ,  $(m, Q) = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\vartheta_Y, k}(x) &= \sum_{\substack{\kappa_Y(D)Dm \leq x \\ \Omega(m) = k - \Omega(D)}} 1 = \sum_D N_{k - \Omega(D)} \left( \frac{x}{\kappa_Y(D)D} \mid Q \right) = \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \end{aligned}$$

where in  $\Sigma_1$  we sum over  $D \leq Y^Y$ , and in  $\Sigma_2$  over  $D > Y^Y$ .

From (4.9) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= (1 + o_x(1)) \prod_{p|Q} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) \sum_{D < Y^Y} \frac{1}{\kappa_Y(D)D} N_k(x) = \\ &= (1 + o_x(1)) (1 + o_Y(1)) \prod_{p|Q} \frac{1 - \frac{\eta}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_Y(p)p}} N_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $\Sigma_2$ , we subdivide  $\Sigma_2$  as  $\Sigma_{2,1} + \Sigma_{2,2}$ , where in  $\Sigma_{2,1}$  we sum over  $Y^Y \leq D < \sqrt{x}$ , and in  $\Sigma_{2,2}$  over  $D > \sqrt{x}$ .  $\Sigma_{2,2}$  is clearly less than  $\mathcal{O}\left(x^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)$ , say. Using the Hardy-Ramanujan inequality according to which

$$N_k(x) \leq c_1 x \xi_k(x),$$

uniformly as  $k \leq (2 - \rho) \log \log x$ ,  $c_1 = c_1(\rho)$ , we obtain that

$$\Sigma_{2,1} \leq c_1 \sum_{D > Y^Y} \frac{\eta^{\Omega(D)}}{\kappa_Y(D)D} N_k(x).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D > Y^Y} \frac{\eta^{\Omega(D)}}{\kappa_Y(D)D} &\leq \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{Y}{2}}} \sum \frac{\eta^{\Omega(D)}}{\sqrt{D}} \leq \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{Y}{2}}} \prod_{p < Y} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{p}}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{Y}{2}}} \exp\left(-\eta Y^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\Sigma_{2,1} = o_Y(1) N_k(x)$ .

Hence it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad N_{\vartheta_Y, k}(x) = (1 + o_x(1)) (1 + o_Y(1)) \prod_{p|Q} \frac{1 - \frac{\eta}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_Y(p)p}} N_k(x),$$

uniformly as  $\eta \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]$ .

We shall overestimate

$$\Sigma^{(0)} := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \Omega(n)=k}} \log g_2(n).$$

Since  $\log g_2(n) \leq 2 \sum_{p^a || n} \frac{A_p a}{p}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{(0)} &\leq 2 \sum_{Y < p < x} \frac{A_p a}{p} N_{k-a} \left( \frac{x}{p^a} \right) \leq \\ (4.12) \quad &\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{p^a < \sqrt{x} \\ p > Y}} \frac{a A_p}{p^{a+1}} N_k(x) + \sqrt{x} \sum_{p > \sqrt{x}} \frac{A_p}{p^2} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c N_k(x)}{Y^\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.13) \quad \# \left\{ n \leq x, \Omega(n) = k \mid \log g_2(n) \geq \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}} \right\} \leq c \frac{N_k(x)}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}.$$

To estimate  $N_{\vartheta, k}(x)$  we observe that  $\vartheta(n) \geq \vartheta_Y(n)$ , and so  $N_{\vartheta_Y}(x) \geq N_{\vartheta}(x)$ .

$$(4.14) \quad (0 \leq) N_{\vartheta_Y}(x) - N_{\vartheta}(x) = \#\{n \mid \Omega(n) = k, \vartheta_Y(n) \leq x \leq \vartheta(n)\}.$$

If  $n$  is counted in the right hand side of (4.14), then either

$$(a) \quad \log g_2(n) \geq \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad g_2(n) \geq e^{\frac{1}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}$$

or

$$(b) \quad \frac{x}{g_2(n)} \leq \vartheta_Y(n) < x, \quad g_2(n) - 1 < \frac{1}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}.$$

The size of the integers in (a) is less than  $\frac{c N_k(x)}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}$ . From (b) we obtain that

$\vartheta_Y(n) \in \left[ x - \frac{2x}{Y^{\frac{\Delta}{2}}}, x \right]$ , and so the size of the integers in (b) is less than  $o_Y(1) N_k(x)$ . This follows from (4.11). Let us observe that

$$\prod_{p|Q} \frac{1 - \frac{\eta}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p^{\kappa_Y(p)}}} \rightarrow \psi \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Collecting our results we obtain that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{N_{\vartheta, k}(x)}{N_k(x)} - \psi \right| \leq o_Y(1)$$

uniformly as  $\eta \in (\varrho, 2 - \varrho)$ . Since  $Y$  is arbitrary large, therefore (4.3) is true.

By using the same method we are able to prove the following assertions.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function,  $|g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), assume that*

$$\sum_p \frac{1 - g(p)}{p}$$

is convergent. Let

$$M_\eta(g) = \prod_p e_p(\eta), \quad e_p(\eta) = \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{g(p)\eta}{p} + \frac{g(p^2)\eta^2}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\eta = \frac{k}{\log \log x} \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]} \left| \frac{1}{N_{k, \vartheta}(x)} \sum_{\substack{\vartheta(n) \leq x \\ \Omega(n) = k}} g(n) - M_\eta(g) \right| = 0.$$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f$  be an additive function, assume that the "three series", i.e.*

$$\sum_{|f(p)| < 1} \frac{f(p)}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f(p)| < 1} \frac{f^2(p)}{p}, \quad \sum_{|f(p)| \geq 1} \frac{1}{p}$$

are convergent.

For some  $\eta \in (0, 2)$  let  $\xi_p = \xi_p(\eta)$  be the random variable distributed by  $P(\xi_p = f(p^\alpha)) = \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\eta}{p}\right)^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Assume that  $\xi_p$  ( $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ) are completely independent,  $\theta(\eta) := \sum_p \xi_p(\eta)$ . Let  $F_\eta(y) := P(\theta(\eta) < y)$ . Let furthermore

$$F_{k, x, \vartheta}(y) := \frac{1}{N_{k, \vartheta}(x)} \#\{\vartheta(n) \leq x, \Omega(n) = k, f(n) < y\}.$$

Let  $0 < \varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\eta = \frac{k}{\log \log x} \in [\varrho, 2 - \varrho]} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |F_{k, x, \vartheta}(y) - F_\eta(y)| = 0.$$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f$  be an additive function bounded on the set of prime powers  $p^\alpha$ . Let  $A_x = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{f(p)}{p}$ . Let  $f(p)$  be additive defined on prime powers  $p^\alpha$  by  $f^*(p^\alpha) = f(p^\alpha) - \frac{\alpha A_x}{\log \log x}$ . Let  $B_x^2 = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{(f^*(p)(p))^2}{p}$ . Let  $B_x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\eta = \frac{k}{\log \log x}$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\eta \in [\varrho, 2-\varrho]} \left| \frac{1}{N_{k,\vartheta}(x)} \# \left\{ \vartheta(n) \leq x, \Omega(n) = k, \frac{f^*(n)}{B_x \sqrt{\eta}} < y \right\} - \phi(y) \right| = 0.$$

Here  $(0 <) \varrho (< 1)$  is an arbitrary constant,  $\phi$  is the standard Gaussian law.

## 5. Further remarks

Let  $A > 1$ ,  $B > 0$  be fixed numbers,  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{\tilde{\vartheta}(p) = Ap + B\}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}$  be the semigroup with unit elements 1 generated by the elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ .

We can obtain analogue theorems of Theorem 3, 4, 5 in this case. It is enough to observe that

$$\tilde{\vartheta}(n) < x, \Omega(n) = k$$

holds if and only if  $\vartheta(n) < \frac{x}{A^k}$ ,  $\Omega(n) = k$ , where  $\vartheta(p) = p + \frac{B}{A}$ .

## References

- [1] **Tenenbaum, G.**, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [2] **Kátai, I. and Subbarao, M.V.**, Distribution of additive and  $q$ -additive functions under some conditions II., *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **73** (2008), 59-88.

### K.-H. Indlekofer

Faculty of Computer Science,  
Electrical Engineering and Mathematics  
University of Paderborn  
Warburger Straße 100  
D-33098 Paderborn, Germany  
k-heinz@math.uni-paderborn.de

### I. Kátai

Department of Computer Algebra  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány Péter s. 1/C  
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary  
katali@compalg.inf.elte.hu