

ABOUT A CONDITION FOR STARLIKENESS

Pál A. Kupán and Róbert Szász

(Tg. Mureş/Marosvásárhely, Romania)

Communicated by Ferenc Schipp

(Received January 15, 2012; revised March 10, 2012;
accepted March 14, 2012)

Abstract. In this paper a result concerning the starlikeness of the image of the Alexander operator is improved. The techniques of differential subordinations and extreme points are used.

1. Introduction

Let $U(z_0, r)$ be the disc centered at the point z_0 and of radius r defined by $U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$. U denotes the open unit disc in \mathbb{C} , $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions f , which are defined on the unit disc U and have the form: $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions for which the range $f(U)$ is starlike with respect to 0, is denoted by S^* . An analytic characterization of S^* is given by:

$$S^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, z \in U \right\}.$$

Another subclass of \mathcal{A} we deal with is the class of close-to-convex functions denoted by C . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class C if and only if there is

Key words and phrases: Alexander operator, starlike functions, close-to-convex functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

The Project is supported by the Sapientia Foundation - Institute for Scientific Research.

a starlike function $g \in S^*$, so that $\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0$, $z \in U$. We note that C and S^* contain univalent functions. The Alexander integral operator is defined by the equality:

$$A(f)(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt.$$

The authors of [2] (pp. 310 – 311) proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be the Alexander operator and let $g \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \geq \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{z(zg'(z))'}{g(z)} \right|, \quad z \in U.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U,$$

then $F = A(f) \in S^$.*

This theorem states that a subclass of C is mapped by the Alexander operator to S^* . On the other hand we know that $A(C) \not\subset S^*$. In [3] and [4] several improvements of this result are proved, simplifying condition (1.1). Investigating this question, the following theorems have been deduced in [3]:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$ be a function which satisfies the condition:*

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} > 2.273 \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right|, \quad z \in U.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U,$$

then $F = A(f) \in S^$.*

Theorem 1.3. *If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > \frac{100}{83} \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

then the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

implies that $F = A(f) \in S^$.*

The implications-chain is deduced in [3]: (1.1) \Rightarrow (1.2) \Rightarrow (1.3). Thus Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are improvements of Theorem 1.1. Consequently, the question to determine the smallest $c \in [0, \infty)$ for which the following statement holds arises naturally:

If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > c \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

then the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

implies that $F = A(f) \in S^*$.

We are not able to answer this question completely at the moment, but we will prove that the statement holds for $c = 1$. This is an improvement of Theorem 1.3. In order to do this, we need the following lemmas.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. ([2]) Let $p(z) = a + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be analytic in U with $p(z) \neq a$, $n \geq 1$ and let $q : U(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a univalent function with $q(0) = a$. If there are two points $z_0 \in U(0, 1)$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial U(0, 1)$ so that q is defined in ζ_0 , $p(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$ and $p(U(0, r_0)) \subset q(U)$, where $r_0 = |z_0|$, then there is an $m \in [n, +\infty)$ so that

- (i) $z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0)$ and
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z_0 p''(z_0)}{p'(z_0)} \right) \geq m \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta_0 q''(\zeta_0)}{q'(\zeta_0)} \right)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([2]) Let $p(z) = a + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $p(z) \neq a$ and $n \geq 1$.

If $z_0 \in U$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z_0) = \min\{\operatorname{Re} p(z) : |z| \leq |z_0|\},$$

then

- (i) $z_0 p'(z_0) \leq -\frac{n}{2} \frac{|p(z_0) - a|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(a - p(z_0))}$ and
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re}[z_0^2 p''(z_0)] + z_0 p'(z_0) \leq 0$.

Recall that if f and g are analytic functions in U and there is a function w also analytic, satisfying $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| \leq |z|$, $z \in U$ and $f(z) = g(w(z))$,

$z \in U$, then the function f is said to be subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$. If g is univalent then $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$ implies that $f \prec g$.

Lemma 2.3. ([1]) *Let $F_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{1+cz}{1-z}\right)^\alpha$, $|c| \leq 1$, $c \neq -1$. In case of $\alpha \geq 1$, the subordination $f \prec F_\alpha$ holds if and only if there exists a probability measure μ on $[0, 2\pi]$ having the property*

$$f(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}}\right)^\alpha d\mu(t), \quad z \in U.$$

The set of extreme points of the class $\{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid f \prec F_\alpha\}$ is

$$\left\{ f_t(z) = \left(\frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}}\right)^\alpha, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots,$$

and having the property $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $z \in U$. We note that this property is equivalent to $p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ and Lemma 2.3 implies that there is a probability measure μ on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $p(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+ze^{-it}}{1-ze^{-it}} d\mu(t)$. This equality actually is the Herglotz formula.

Lemma 2.4. ([1, Corollary 3.7]) *$p \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if there exist a sequence of functions $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ so that p_n has the form*

$$q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m t_k \frac{1+zx_k}{1-zx_k},$$

where $|x_k| = 1$, $t_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^m t_k = 1$ and $p_n \rightarrow p$ uniformly on compact subsets of U .

Lemma 2.5. *If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

and $F = A(f)$, then the condition

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

implies that there is a probability measure μ on $[0, 2\pi]$, such that

$$\frac{F(z)}{z} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1+xze^{-it}}{1-xze^{-it}} \frac{3}{2} dx d\mu(t), \quad z \in U.$$

Proof. Inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad z \in U.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 in case of $c = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $F_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ it follows that:

$$f'(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\nu(t),$$

where ν is a probability measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. Thus we get:

$$(2.4) \quad |\arg f'(z)| \leq \left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| + \left| \arg \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\nu(t) \right| < \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad z \in U.$$

We introduce the notation $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{3\pi}{4}\}$. The function

$$q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\tau, \quad \tau = \frac{3}{2},$$

is the Riemann mapping from U to \mathcal{D} . (The principal branch of $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\tau$ is chosen.) The inequality (2.4) implies

$$f'(z) \prec q(z),$$

and according to Lemma 2.3, this subordination is equivalent to

$$f'(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} d\mu(t), \quad z \in U,$$

where μ denotes a probability measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. On the other hand, if

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

then

$$f'(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t),$$

and

$$\frac{F(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{z^n}{(n+1)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t).$$

The equalities $\int_0^1 x^n \ln \frac{1}{x} dx = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ imply

$$\frac{F(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t) \right) dx.$$

Lemma 2.4 implies that the second integration can be interchanged with the summation and the first integration and finally we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(z)}{z} &= \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1 + xze^{-it}}{1 - xze^{-it}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} d\mu(t) dx = \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + xze^{-it}}{1 - xze^{-it}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} dx d\mu(t), \quad z \in U. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. *The function $A : [0, \frac{3\pi}{4}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} A(\theta) &= (\pi - \theta)(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{e^x} dx - \\ &\quad - (\sin \theta + \cos \theta) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{x}{e^x} dx \end{aligned}$$

is increasing and the function $B : [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$B(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\pi-\theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta + x}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos x dx$$

is decreasing.

Proof. Notice that

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{e^x} dx = 0.28\dots, \quad I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{x}{e^x} dx = 0.51\dots$$

and $I_1 < I_2 < 2I_1$. Thus it follows that in case $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}]$ we have

$$A'(\theta) = (\pi - \theta)(\sin \theta + \cos \theta)I_1 + (\sin \theta - \cos \theta)(I_2 - I_1) > 0$$

and if $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$, then

$$A'(\theta) > [(\pi - \theta)(\sin \theta + \cos \theta) + \sin \theta - \cos \theta]I_1 > 0.$$

Consequently the first part of the assertion is proved.

In the following we will prove that: $B'(\theta) \leq 0$, $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4}]$. We have:

$$B'(\theta) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int_0^{\pi-\theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{-2} \cos x dx, \quad \theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right].$$

The claimed inequality holds evidently in case $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}]$.

We will use the following equality to prove $B'(\theta) \leq 0$ in case $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} B'(\theta) &= \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta} (x + \frac{\pi}{2}) \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{-2} \sin x dx - \\ (2.5) \quad &\quad - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{-2} \cos x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Some elementary calculations lead to the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\cot \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\geq (1 + \sqrt{2}) \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta] \\ \left(\sin \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{-2} &\geq 2 \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{-2}, \quad x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta] \\ x \cos x &\geq \frac{\frac{\pi}{3}}{5\pi \tan(\frac{\pi}{3})} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + x \right) \sin x, \quad x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta]. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities imply that in case $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &x \left(\cot \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{-2} \cos x \geq \\ \geq &\frac{4(1 + \sqrt{2})}{5\sqrt{3}} (x + \frac{\pi}{2}) \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{-2} \sin x \geq \\ &\geq (x + \frac{\pi}{2}) \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{-2} \sin x, \end{aligned}$$

and finally we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad &\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right)^{-2} \cos x dx \geq \\ &\geq \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta} (x + \frac{\pi}{2}) \left(\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\theta+x}{2} \right) \right)^{-2} \sin x dx. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $B'(\theta) \leq 0$, $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ follows from (2.5) and (2.6). \blacksquare

Lemma 2.7. *If*

$$F(z) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1+xz}{1-xz} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \frac{1}{x} dx,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}F(e^{i\theta}) \geq \operatorname{Im}F(e^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi].$$

Proof. We begin with the observation that the change of variable $x = e^{-t}$ leads to

$$F(e^{i\theta}) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^t + e^{i\theta}}{e^t - e^{i\theta}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{t}{e^t} dt.$$

Now consider the function:

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{e^z + e^{i\theta}}{e^z - e^{i\theta}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{z}{e^z}.$$

We integrate it on $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_4$, where $\gamma_1(t) = t$, $t \in [0, R]$, $\gamma_2(t) = R - it$, $t \in [0, \pi - \theta]$, $\gamma_3(t) = R - t + i(\theta - \pi)$, $t \in [0, R]$ and $\gamma_4(t) = i(\theta - \pi + t)$, $t \in [0, \pi - \theta]$. Because f is analytic in the interior of Γ we have, $\int_\Gamma f(z) dz = 0$ which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F(e^{i\theta}) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz + \int_{\gamma_3} f(z) dz + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{\gamma_4} f(z) dz \right] = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(x + i(\theta - \pi))(-\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)}{e^x} dx + \\ &+ \int_0^{\pi - \theta} \left(\tan \frac{t}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{i \frac{3\pi}{4}} \frac{\theta - \pi + t}{e^{i(\theta - \pi + t)}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $\theta - \pi + t = -x$ in the second integral implies the equality

$$\begin{aligned} F(e^{i\theta}) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{(x + i(\theta - \pi))(-\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)}{e^x} dx - \\ &- \int_0^{\pi - \theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta + x}{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{i(x + \frac{3\pi}{4})} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) - \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta}) &= (\pi - \theta)(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{e^x} dx - \\
 &- (\sin \theta + \cos \theta) \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{x}{e^x} dx + \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\pi - \theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta + x}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos x dx = \\
 (2.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= A(\theta) + B(\theta).
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the monotonicity of A and B , the inequalities hold

$$B(\theta) + A(\theta) \geq B(\theta_k) + A(\theta_{k-1}), \quad \theta \in [\theta_{k-1}, \theta_k], \quad k = \overline{21, 90}.$$

Now, if we check that

$$(2.8) \qquad B(\theta_k) + A(\theta_{k-1}) > 0, \quad \theta_k = \frac{k\pi}{120}, \quad k = \overline{21, 90}$$

we obtain

$$B(\theta) + A(\theta) > 0, \quad \theta \in [\theta_{k-1}, \theta_k], \quad k = \overline{21, 90}$$

and the proof is done in case of $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4}]$. Inequalities (2.8) can be checked easily by using a computer program. The inequality $\operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) \geq \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta})$, $\theta \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi]$ follows from (2.7). It remains to prove the assertion in case $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]$.

We put in the integral $\int_0^{\pi - \theta} x \left(\cot \frac{\theta + x}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos x dx$ the change of variable $x + \theta = u$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) - \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta}) &= (\pi - \theta)(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{e^x} dx - \\
 &- (\sin \theta + \cos \theta) \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{x}{e^x} dx + \sqrt{2} \int_\theta^\pi (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos(u - \theta) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) - \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta}) &= \\
 &= \sin \theta \left((\pi - \theta)I_1 - I_2 + \sqrt{2} \int_\theta^\pi (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sin u du \right) + \\
 &+ \cos \theta \left(-(\pi - \theta)I_1 - I_2 + \sqrt{2} \int_\theta^\pi (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos u du \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(I_1 and I_2 are defined in the proof of the previous lemma.) We observe that the mapping $C : [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]$ defined by

$$C(\theta) = (\pi - \theta)I_1 - I_2 + \sqrt{2} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sin u \, du$$

is strictly decreasing. This implies the inequality: $C(\theta) \geq C(\frac{\pi}{6}) \geq 6.8\dots$ Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) - \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta}) \geq \\ & \geq \cos \theta \left(6.8 \tan \theta - (\pi - \theta)I_1 - I_2 + \sqrt{2} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos u \, du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let the functions D and E be defined by the equalities

$$D(\theta) = 6.8 \tan \theta - (\pi - \theta)I_1 - I_2$$

and

$$E(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \int_{\theta}^{\pi} (u - \theta) \left(\cot \frac{u}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos u \, du.$$

It is simple to show that D is strictly increasing and E is strictly decreasing. The monotonicity of these functions imply

$$D(\theta) + E(\theta) > D(\theta_{k-1}) + E(\theta_k), \quad \theta_k = \frac{k\pi}{120}, \quad k = \overline{1, 20}.$$

If we prove that $D(\theta_{k-1}) + E(\theta_k) > 0$, $\theta_k = \frac{k\pi}{120}$, $k = \overline{1, 20}$, then it follows that $\operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) \geq \operatorname{Im} F(e^{i\theta})$, $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]$ and the proof is done. The inequalities $D(\theta_{k-1}) + E(\theta_k) > 0$, $k = \overline{1, 20}$ can be checked easily by using a computer program. ■

3. The main result

Theorem 3.1. *If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

then the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{F(z)}{z} > \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{F(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

where $F = A(f)$.

Proof. Let Λ be the set of probability measures on $[0, 2\pi]$. We introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + xze^{-it}}{1 - xze^{-it}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} dx d\mu(t) \mid \mu \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

According to Lemma 2.5 we have $F \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $z_0 \in U$ be an arbitrarily fixed point, and let p_{z_0} be the functional defined by

$$p_{z_0} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad p_{z_0}(F) = \operatorname{Re} F(z_0) - \left| \operatorname{Im} F(z_0) \right|.$$

If we prove that $p_{z_0}(F) \geq 0$ for every $F \in \mathcal{B}$ in case of an arbitrarily fixed point $z_0 \in U$, then inequality (3.1) follows. Since the functional p_{z_0} is concave, according to Lemma 2.5, we have to verify $p_{z_0}(F) \geq 0$ only for the extreme points of the class \mathcal{B} . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that the extreme points of this class are

$$F_t(z) = \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + xze^{-it}}{1 - xze^{-it}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} dx, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

For $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$, the inequality $p_{z_0}(F_t) \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2xr_0 \cos(\theta_0 - t)}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2xr_0 \cos(\theta_0 - t)} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cos \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2xr_0 \sin(\theta_0 - t)}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \geq \\ & \geq \left| \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2xr_0 \cos(\theta_0 - t)}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2xr_0 \cos(\theta_0 - t)} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2xr_0 \sin(\theta_0 - t)}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $\theta_0 - t$ by β , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2xr_0 \cos \beta}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2xr_0 \cos \beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cos \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2xr_0 \sin \beta}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \geq \\ & \geq \left| \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2xr_0 \cos \beta}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2xr_0 \cos \beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2xr_0 \sin \beta}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and we have to prove this inequality in case of $r \in [0, 1]$, $\beta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Replacing β by $2\pi - \beta$, we get the same inequality. This shows that we have to prove (3.2) only in the case $\beta \in [0, \pi]$ and $r_0 \in [0, 1)$. Since

$$\int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2x r_0 \cos \beta}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2x r_0 \cos \beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2x r_0 \sin \beta}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \geq 0, \quad \beta \in [0, \pi],$$

inequality (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2x r_0 \cos \beta}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2x r_0 \cos \beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cos \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2x r_0 \sin \beta}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx \geq \\ (3.3) \quad & \geq \int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + x^2 r_0^2 + 2x r_0 \cos \beta}{1 + x^2 r_0^2 - 2x r_0 \cos \beta} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin \left(\frac{3}{2} \arctan \frac{2x r_0 \sin \beta}{1 - x^2 r_0^2} \right) dx, \\ & \beta \in [0, \pi], \quad r_0 \in [0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = 0$ and

$$F_0(z) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1+xz}{1-xz} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \frac{1}{x} dx.$$

The function Φ defined by the equality

$$\Phi(r, \beta) = \operatorname{Re} F_0(re^{i\beta}) - \operatorname{Im} F_0(re^{i\beta})$$

is harmonic on $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1, \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$. Inequality (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\Phi(r, \beta) = \operatorname{Re} F_0(z) - \operatorname{Im} F_0(z) > 0, \quad z = re^{i\beta} \in D.$$

Thus, according to the maximum principle for harmonic functions we have to check the inequality $\Phi(r, \beta) > 0$ only on the frontier of D , namely in case of $z = e^{i\beta}$, $\beta \in [0, \pi]$, and in case of $z = u \in (-1, 1)$. Lemma 2.7 implies that the inequality

$$\Phi(1, \beta) > 0, \quad \beta \in [0, \pi]$$

holds. In case of $z = u \in (-1, 1)$ we have

$$\Phi(r, \beta) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1+xu}{1-xu} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \frac{1}{x} dx > 0$$

and the proof is completed. ■

The following theorem is an improvement of Theorem 1.3 and brings us closer to the best possible result.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$(3.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{g(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U,$$

then the condition

$$(3.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U$$

implies that

$$(3.6) \quad F \in S^*$$

where $F = A(f)$.

Proof. Differentiating the equality $F = A(f)$ twice, we obtain

$$F'(z) + zF''(z) = f'(z).$$

The notations $p(z) = \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}$, $P(z) = \frac{F(z)}{g(z)}$ lead to

$$P(z)(zp'(z) + p^2(z)) = \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}, \quad z \in U.$$

The conditions of the theorem imply that

$$(3.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} P(z)(zp'(z) + p^2(z)) > 0, \quad z \in U.$$

First, we prove the inequality $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in U$. According to Theorem 3.1, inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) imply that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{F(z)}{z} > \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{F(z)}{z} \right|, \quad z \in U.$$

This inequality and (3.4), imply that $\operatorname{Re} P(z) = \frac{F(z)}{g(z)} > 0$, $z \in U$.

We are now in the position of proving $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $z \in U$.

If $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $z \in U$ is not true, then, according to Lemma 2.2, there are two real numbers $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a point $z_0 \in U$, such that $p(z_0) = is$ and $z_0p'(z_0) = t \leq -\frac{1}{2}(s^2 + 1)$. Thus

$$P(z_0)(z_0p'(z_0) + p^2(z_0)) = P(z_0)(t - s^2)$$

and $\operatorname{Re} P(z_0) > 0$ implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} [P(z_0)(z_0p'(z_0) + p^2(z_0))] < 0.$$

This inequality contradicts (3.7), so we have $\operatorname{Re} p(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} > 0$, $z \in U$. ■

References

- [1] **Hallenbeck, D.J. and T.H. MacGregor**, *Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1984.
- [2] **Miller, S.S. and P.T. Mocanu**, *Differential Subordinations Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 2000.
- [3] **Szász, R., A.P. Kupán and A. Imre**, Improvement of a criterion for starlikeness, *Rocky Mountain J. of Mathematics*, **42/2** (2012).
- [4] **Szász, R.**, An improvement of a criterion for starlikeness, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **20/1** (2009), 69–77.

P.A. Kupán and R. Szász

Sapientia - Hungarian University of Transylvania

Tg. Mureş/Marosvásárhely

Romania

kupanp@ms.sapientia.ro

szasz_robert2001@yahoo.com