

ON MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS WITH SHIFTED ARGUMENTS

Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

Dedicated to Professor Antal Járαι on his 60th anniversary

Abstract. It is proved that for given integers $a > 0$, $c > 0$, b , d with $ad - cb \neq 0$ there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ with the following property: If unimodular multiplicative functions g_1, g_2 satisfy $|g_1(p) - 1| < \eta$ and $|g_2(p) - 1| < \eta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |g_1(an + b) - \Gamma g_2(cn + d)| = 0$$

may hold with some $\Gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ if $g_1(n) = g_2(n) = 1$ for all positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n, ac(ad - cb)) = 1$.

1. Introduction

An arithmetic function $g(n) \neq 0$ is said to be multiplicative if $(n, m) = 1$ implies that

$$g(nm) = g(n)g(m)$$

and it is completely multiplicative if this relation holds for all positive integers n and m . Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}^* denote the class of all complex-valued multiplicative and completely multiplicative functions, respectively. A function g is said to be

The Project is supported by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund (grant agreement no. TAMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KMR-2010-0003).

unimodular if g satisfies the condition $|g(n)| = 1$ for all positive integers n . In the following we shall denote by $\mathcal{M}(1)$ and $\mathcal{M}^*(1)$ the class of all unimodular functions $g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}^*$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*$ be the set of real valued additive and completely additive functions, respectively. As usual, let $\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ be the set of primes, positive integers, integers, real and complex numbers, respectively. For each real number z we define $\|z\|$ as follows:

$$\|z\| = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |z - k|.$$

A. Hildebrand [1] proved the following

Theorem A. *There exists a positive constant δ with the following property. If $g \in \mathcal{M}^*(1)$ and $|g(p) - 1| \leq \delta$ holds for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then either $g(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ identically, or*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n+1) - g(n)| > 0.$$

By using the ideas of Hildebrand [1] and himself, I. Kátai [2] proved the following generalization:

Theorem B. *Let $g \in \mathcal{M}^*(1)$. There exist positive constants δ and $\beta < 1$ with the property: If*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x^\beta < p < x} \frac{|g(p) - 1|}{p} < \delta$$

and

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\frac{x}{2} \leq n \leq x} |g(n+1) - g(n)| = 0,$$

then $g(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ identically.

Our purpose in this paper is to prove the following

Theorem. *Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ad - cb \neq 0$. There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ with the following property:*

If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}(1)$, $|g_1(p) - 1| < \eta$ and $|g_2(p) - 1| < \eta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |g_1(an + b) - \Gamma g_2(cn + d)| = 0$$

may hold with some $\Gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ if

$$g_1(n) = g_2(n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (n, ac(ad - cb)) = 1.$$

As a direct consequence we can formulate the next

Corollary. *Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ad - cb \neq 0$. There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ with the following property:*

If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|f_1(p)\| < \eta$ and $\|f_2(p)\| < \eta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \|f_1(an + b) - f_2(cn + d) - \Delta\| = 0$$

may hold with some $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\|f_1(n)\| = \|f_2(n)\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (n, ac(ad - cb)) = 1.$$

We note that I. Kátai [2] has conjectured that if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \|f(n+1) - f(n)\| = 0,$$

then there is a real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f(n) - \lambda \log n\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This conjecture remains open.

2. Lemmata

N. M. Timofeev [3] proved the following assertion (see [3], Lemma 1):

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $f_1(n)$ and $f_2(n)$ are multiplicative with $|f_1(n)| \leq 1$ and $|f_2(n)| \leq 1$ that satisfy the condition*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} (|f_1(p) - 1| + |f_2(p) - 1|) \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \varepsilon(x) \log x,$$

where $\varepsilon(x)$ is a decreasing function that approaches zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$, but $\varepsilon(x)\sqrt{\log x}$ approaches infinity as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and let $a > 0$, $b, c > 0$, d, a_j, b_j, δ_j ($j = 1, 2$) be integers with

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \delta_1 a_1, \quad b = \delta_1 b_1, \quad c = \delta_2 a_2, \quad d = \delta_2 b_2, \\ (a_1, b_1) &= 1, \quad (a_2, b_2) = 1, \quad \Delta = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f_1(an + b) f_2(cn + d) = \prod_{p \leq x} \omega_p(f_1, f_2) + O\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon(x)}\right),$$

where for $p \nmid a_1 a_2 \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_p(f_1, f_2) &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) + \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left[f_1\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) + f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) \right]; \end{aligned}$$

if $p \mid a_1$, but $p \nmid (a_1, a_2)$, then

$$\omega_p(f_1, f_2) = \left[f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} f_2\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) \frac{1}{p^r} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right);$$

if $p \mid a_2$, but $p \nmid (a_1, a_2)$, then

$$\omega_p(f_1, f_2) = \left[f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} f_1\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) \frac{1}{p^r} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right);$$

if $p \mid \Delta$, but $p \nmid a_1 a_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_p(f_1, f_2) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left[\sum_{0 \leq r \leq \alpha_p(\Delta)-1} f_1\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) \frac{1}{p^r} + \right. \\ &+ f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\Delta)+\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\Delta)+\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) + \\ &+ \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{r+\alpha_p(\Delta)}} \left(f_1\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)+\alpha_p(\Delta)}\right) + \right. \\ &\left. \left. + f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)+\alpha_p(\Delta)}\right) f_2\left(p^{r+\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right) \right) \right]; \end{aligned}$$

if $p \mid (a_1, a_2)$, then

$$\omega_p(f_1, f_2) = f_1\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_1)}\right) f_2\left(p^{\alpha_p(\delta_2)}\right).$$

Here $\alpha_p(n)$ is the largest integer α such that p^α divides n .

Analyzing the proof of Lemma 1, one can see that it remains true in the following form:

Lemma 1'. *Assume that in the notations of Lemma 1, instead of (2.1)*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \left(|f_1(p) - 1| + |f_2(p) - 1| \right) \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \delta \log x$$

if $x > x_0(\delta)$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f_1(an + b) f_2(cn + d) - \prod_{p \leq x} \omega_p(f_1, f_2) \right| \leq C\sqrt{\delta},$$

where C is a constant that may depend only on a, b, c, d .

3. Proof of the theorem

Assume that the conditions of Theorem hold and

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x_\nu} |g_1(an + b) - \Gamma g_2(cn + d)| < \varepsilon_\nu x_\nu,$$

where $\varepsilon_\nu \searrow 0$, $x_\nu \nearrow \infty$. From (3.1) it is clear that $|\Gamma| = 1$ and

$$\sum_{n \leq x_\nu} |\bar{\Gamma} g_1(an + b) \bar{g}_2(cn + d) - 1| < \varepsilon_\nu x_\nu.$$

Since

$$|1 - z|^2 = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} z) \leq 2|1 - z| \quad \text{when } |z| = 1,$$

we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x_\nu} |\bar{\Gamma} g_1(an + b) \bar{g}_2(cn + d) - 1|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n \leq x_\nu} |\bar{\Gamma} g_1(an + b) \bar{g}_2(cn + d) - 1| < 2\varepsilon_\nu x_\nu,$$

which implies

$$(3.1)' \quad \operatorname{Re} 2\bar{\Gamma} \sum_{n \leq x_\nu} g_1(an + b) \bar{g}_2(cn + d) \geq 2(1 - \varepsilon_\nu) x_\nu.$$

Let us apply Lemma 1' with $f_1 = g_1$, $f_2 = \bar{g}_2$ and $\delta = 2\eta$. We obtain that

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{p \leq x} |\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)| \geq 1 - C\sqrt{\delta}.$$

Assume that δ is small, $C\sqrt{\delta} < 1$. Then, from (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - |\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)|^2\right) < \infty.$$

If $(p, ac\Delta) = 1$, then $\alpha_p(\delta_1) = \alpha_p(\delta_2) = 0$ and

$$\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{p} (g_1(p) + g_2(p)) + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right) = 1 + \xi_p,$$

where

$$\xi_p = \frac{1}{p} [(g_1(p) - 1) + (g_2(p) - 1)] + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

Therefore

$$|\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)|^2 = 1 + \xi_p + \bar{\xi}_p + |\xi_p|^2,$$

and so

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - |\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)|^2) = 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1 - g_1(p)}{p} + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1 - g_2(p)}{p} \right\} + O(1).$$

Since

$\operatorname{Re}(1 - g_1(p)) \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(1 - g_2(p)) \geq 0$ and $|1 - z|^2 = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} z)$ when $|z| = 1$,

therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)|^2}{p} < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Let

$$\sigma_j(x) = \sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)|^2}{p}.$$

From (3.3) we have

$$\sum_{l=0,1,\dots} \sigma_j(x^{1/2^l}) < c,$$

where c is a constant. Since

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log(x) + C + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \quad \text{where } C = 0.2615\dots,$$

by applying Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)| \log p}{p} \leq \log x \sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)|}{\sqrt{p}} \leq$$

$$\leq \log x \left(\sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)|^2}{p} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_1 \log x \sqrt{\sigma_j(x)}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{|1 - g_j(p)| \log p}{p} \leq c_1 \sum_{2^l \leq \log x} \left(\log x^{1/2^l} \right) \sqrt{\sigma_j(x/2^l)} = c_1 \log x \Theta_j(x),$$

where

$$\Theta_j(x) = \sum_{2^l \leq \log x} \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_j(x/2^l)}}{2^l}.$$

It is clear that $\Theta_j(x) \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). Let

$$\varepsilon_j(y) = \max_{x \geq y} \Theta_j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(y) = \epsilon_1(y) + \epsilon_2(y).$$

Thus (2.1) holds with this $\epsilon(x)$.

From (3.1)' and (2.2) with $f_1 = g_1$ and $f_2 = \bar{g}_2$, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re} \bar{\Gamma} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2) = 1,$$

which implies that

$$|\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)| = 1 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}$$

and

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2) = \Gamma.$$

It is clear that if $(p, ac\Delta) = 1$, then $\alpha_p(\delta_1) = \alpha_p(\delta_2) = 0$ (in the notations of Lemma 1), and so

$$(3.4) \quad \omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^r} \left(g_1(p^r) + \bar{g}_2(p^r)\right).$$

Let

$$\lambda_p = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^r} \left(g_1(p^r) + \bar{g}_2(p^r)\right).$$

It is clear that $|\lambda_p| \leq \frac{2}{p-1}$, and one can check from (3.4) that $|\omega_p(g_1, \bar{g}_2)| < 1$, if $g_1(p^r) + \bar{g}_2(p^r) \neq 2$ for at least one r .

Thus we have $g_1(p^r) = g_2(p^r) = 1$ if $p \nmid a_1 a_2 \Delta$, $p > \max(\delta_1, \delta_2)$.

The proof of our theorem is completed. ■

References

- [1] **Hildebrand, A.**, Multiplicative functions at consecutive integers II., *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **103** (1988), 389–398.
- [2] **Kátai, I.**, Multiplicative functions with regularity properties, VI., *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **58** (1991), 343–350.
- [3] **Timofeev, N.M.**, Integral limit theorems for sums of additive functions with shifted arguments, *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, **59:2** (1995), 401–426.

Bui Minh Phong

Department of Computer Algebra
Faculty of Informatics
Eötvös Loránd University
H-1117 Budapest
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C
Hungary
bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu