

## ON THE PAIRS OF MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS WITH A SPECIAL RELATION

Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professor János Galambos on his 70th anniversary*

**Abstract.** It is proved that if  $f$  and  $g$  are complex-valued multiplicative functions satisfying  $g(An + 1) - Cf(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with some positive integer  $A$  and non-zero complex constant  $C$ , then either  $f(n) = o(1)$ ,  $g(An + 1) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or there exist a complex number  $s$  and multiplicative functions  $F, G$  such that  $f(n) = n^s F(n)$ ,  $g(n) = n^s G(n)$ , ( $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ ) and  $G(An + 1) = \frac{1}{F(2)} F(n)$  are satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . All solutions of  $G(An + 1) = \frac{1}{F(2)} F(n)$  are given.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of all positive integers, prime numbers, real and complex numbers, respectively. We denote by  $(m, n)$  the greatest common divisor of the integers  $m$  and  $n$ . For each positive integer  $k$ , let  $\mathbb{N}_k$  be the set of the natural numbers coprime to  $k$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_k$  be the set of those arithmetical functions  $f$  for which  $f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_k$  ( $\mathcal{M}_k^*$ ) be the set of complex-valued multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions  $f : \mathbb{N}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In the case  $k = 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}^* := \mathcal{M}_1^*.$$

---

The European Union and the European Social Fund have provided financial support to the project under the grant agreement TÁMOP-4.2.1/B-09/1/KMR-2010-0003. This work also supported by a grant from OTKA No. 100961.

For positive integers  $n$  and  $k$  let  $n = D_k(n)E_k(n)$ , where  $D_k(n)$  is the product of prime power divisors  $p^\alpha$  of  $n$  for which  $p|k$  and  $(E_k(n), k) = 1$ .

P. Erdős proved in 1946 [2] that if  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an additive function such that  $\Delta f(n) := f(n+1) - f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f(n)$  is a constant multiple of  $\log n$ . This assertion has been generalized in several directions (e.g. see [1, 5]). The characterization of multiplicative functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  under suitable regularity conditions even in the simplest case  $\Delta f(n) = o(1)$  is much harder.

In 1984, I. Kátai stated as a conjecture that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\Delta f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  imply that either  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  or  $f(n) = n^s$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ . This was proved by E. Wirsing in a letter to Kátai and later in a paper [16]. It is not hard to deduce from Wirsing's theorem that if

$$f, g \in \mathcal{M}, g(n+1) - f(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then either  $g \in \mathcal{L}, f \in \mathcal{L}$  or

$$f(n) = g(n) = n^s \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1.$$

More than 10 years ago, improving the above results, in the joint paper with I. Kátai, we proved in [10] that if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is given and  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition

$$g(n+k) - f(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then either  $f \in \mathcal{L}, g \in \mathcal{L}$  or there are  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$  and a complex constant  $s$  such that

$$f(n) = n^s F(n), \quad g(n) = n^s G(n), \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$$

and

$$G(n+k) = F(n)$$

are satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [3, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14], by using the result of [4], the equation  $G(n+k) = F(n)$  is solved completely.

The general case concerning the characterization of those  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  for which

$$g(an+b) - Cf(An+B) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $a > 0$ ,  $b, A > 0$ ,  $B$  are fixed integers and  $C$  is a non-zero complex constant, seems to be a hard problem. This question was solved in [11, 12] for  $B = 0$  under the conditions  $|f(n)| = |g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). A similar result was obtained in [15] under the conditions

$$f = g, f(n+b) - f(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}_b.$$

N.L. Bassily and I. Kátai [6] showed that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy

$$g(2n+1) - Cf(n) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

with some non-zero constant  $C$ , then either  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{L}_2$  or

$$C = f(2), f(n) = n^s, 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1, \text{ and } f(m) = g(m)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_2$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to improve this result of N.L. Bassily and I. Kátai. We prove

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition*

$$(1) \quad g(An + 1) - Cf(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then either  $f(n) = o(1)$  and  $g(An + 1) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or there exist a complex number  $s$  and functions  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$f(n) = n^s F(n), g(m) = n^s G(n), (0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1)$$

and

$$G(An + 1) = \frac{1}{F(2)} F(n)$$

are satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$(2) \quad F(2n) = F(2), G(m) = \chi_{2A}(m) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}.$$

We shall prove

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $F \notin \mathcal{L}$  satisfy the equation*

$$(3) \quad G(An + 1) = DF(n).$$

Let

$$I(n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(n) = (-1)^{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(a) *If  $A$  is even, then all solutions  $(D, F, G)$  of (3) have the form*

$$(D, F, G) = (1, I, \chi_A) \quad \text{and} \quad (D, F, G) = (-1, \Psi, \chi_{2A}),$$

where  $\chi_{2A}$  is an arbitrary nonprincipal character  $(\bmod 2A)$ .

(b) *If  $A$  is odd, then all solutions of (3) have the form*

$$(D, F, G) = (1, I, \chi_A)$$

and

$$(D, F, G) = (z, \mathcal{B}_{(1, \frac{1}{z})}, \mathcal{B}_{(A, z)}\chi_A),$$

where  $z$  is an arbitrary non-zero complex number,  $\chi_A$  is an arbitrary character (mod  $A$ ) and multiplicative functions  $\mathcal{B}_{(k, \ell)}$  are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_{(k, \ell)} \in \mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{B}_{(k, \ell)}(2n) = \ell \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_k$$

## 2. Lemmas

**Lemma 1.** Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition

$$G(An + 1) = DF(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_F := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid F(n) \neq 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_G := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, A) = 1, G(n) \neq 0\}.$$

Then either the set  $\mathcal{S}_F$  is finite or

$$\mathcal{S}_F = \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_G = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, A) = 1\}.$$

**Proof.** Lemma 1 is a consequence of Theorem 1 in [14]. ■

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $k_0, K \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition

$$(4) \quad \Psi(k_0m + 1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (k_0m + 1, K) = 1.$$

Then there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}_k$ .

**Proof.** Assume that (4) holds for some positive integers  $k_0, K$ . We shall prove that there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}_k$ . For every reduced residue class  $l \pmod{k_0K}$  let  $E_1^{(l)}, \dots, E_{\varphi(k_0K)-1}^{(l)}$  be coprime integers belonging to  $l \pmod{k_0K}$ , and satisfying  $\Psi(E_j^{(l)}) \neq 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \varphi(k_0K) - 1$ ), if there exist so many  $E_j^{(l)}$ . Let  $E^{(l)} := E_1^{(l)} \dots E_{\varphi(k_0K)-1}^{(l)}$ . Then  $\Psi(E^{(l)}) \neq 0$  and for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \equiv l \pmod{k_0K}$ ,  $(x, E^{(l)}) = 1$ , we have  $x E^{(l)} \equiv 1 \pmod{k_0K}$ , and so by (9) and our assumptions, we get

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad x \equiv l \pmod{k_0K}, \quad (x, E^{(l)}) = 1.$$

If for some  $l$  the maximal size  $t$  of the set  $E_1^{(l)}, \dots, E_t^{(l)}$  constructed above is less than  $\varphi(k_0K) - 1$ , then  $\Psi(x) = 0$  if  $x \equiv l \pmod{k_0K}$  and  $(x, E^{(l)}) = 1$ , where  $E^{(l)} := E_1^{(l)} \dots E_t^{(l)}$ . Hence  $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}_k$  follows, where

$$k := k_0K \prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq k_0K \\ (l, k_0K) = 1}} E^{(l)}.$$

Lemma 2 is proved. ■

In the following we assume that the functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition (1), i.e.

$$g(An + 1) - Cf(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

with some fixed positive integer  $A$  and a non-zero complex constant  $C$ .

We say that a function  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}$  is of a finite support if there is a finite set  $\mathcal{A}$  of distinct primes  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$  such that

$$\Psi(p^\alpha) = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{if } p \notin \mathcal{A}.$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f$  or  $g$  is of a finite support, then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_D$  hold for some  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Let

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_g := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, A) = 1, g(n) \neq 0\}.$$

Assume first that  $f$  is of a finite support, that is  $f(p^\alpha) = 0$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$ ) if  $p \notin \mathcal{A} := \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$ . Let  $\Delta = p_1 \dots p_r$ . For an arbitrary positive integer  $n$  let  $n = D_\Delta(n)E_\Delta(n)$ , where  $D_\Delta(n)$  is the product of those prime power divisors  $p^\alpha$  of  $n$  for which  $p \mid \Delta$ , and  $E_\Delta(n)$  is coprime to  $\Delta$ . Then  $g(Am + 1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $E_\Delta(m) > 1$ .

Assume that  $f \notin \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $g(Am + 1) \neq 0$  holds for infinitely many integers  $m$ . It is obvious that there are an infinite sequence of primes  $q_1 < q_2 < q_3 < \dots$  and suitable exponents  $\alpha_j$  such that

$$\{q_1^{\alpha_1}, q_2^{\alpha_2}, q_3^{\alpha_3}, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_g.$$

In this case there are a positive integer  $\ell$ ,  $(\ell, A) = 1$  and an infinite sequence of prime powers

$$\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots\} \subseteq \{q_1^{\alpha_1}, q_2^{\alpha_2}, q_3^{\alpha_3}, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_g,$$

for which  $Q_j \equiv \ell \pmod{A}$ . This shows that there exist a positive  $Q$  for which  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_g$ ,  $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$  and an infinite sequence of positive integers  $m_1 <$

$< m_2 < \dots$  for which  $(Q, Am_\nu + 1) = 1$  and  $\liminf |g(Am_\nu + 1)| > 0$ . Then  $\liminf |g(Q(Am_\nu + 1))| > 0$  and so

$$E_\Delta \left( Qm_\nu + \frac{Q-1}{A} \right) = 1, \quad E_\Delta(m_\nu) = 1$$

hold for every larger  $\nu$ .

This contradicts Thue's theorem. Consequently  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , and so it follows by Lemma 3 that  $g \in \mathcal{L}_D$  holds for some  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ . Lemma 3 is proved.  $\blacksquare$

The case, when  $g$  is of a finite support can be treated similarly.

**Lemma 4.** *If there are positive integers  $\Delta$  and  $D$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_\Delta$  and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_D$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

**Proof.** By using Lemma 3 we can assume that  $f, g$  are not of finite supports. Let  $\Delta = \pi_1^{\delta_1} \dots \pi_r^{\delta_r}$  and  $D = q_1^{d_1} \dots q_s^{d_s}$ , where  $\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

We may assume that for each  $\pi_j$  there exists at least one  $l_j (\geq 1)$  such that  $f(\pi_j^{l_j}) \neq 0$ . Let

$$E(t_1, \dots, t_r) := \pi_1^{t_1} \dots \pi_r^{t_r}.$$

Assume that  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  are positive integers for which  $(Q_i, Q_j) = 1$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ ) and  $f(Q_i) \neq 0$ ,  $(Q_i, \Delta) = 1$ . For  $u, v, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \neq v$  let  $q_j^{\beta_{u,v,j}} \parallel Q_u - Q_v$  and

$$T := \max_{\substack{u,v,j \\ u \neq v}} \beta_{u,v,j}.$$

Then there is a  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  for which

$$q_j^{T+1} \nmid AE(t_1, \dots, t_r)Q_{j_0} + 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, s).$$

Let now  $j$  be fixed,  $l_1, \dots, l_{j-1}, l_{j+1}, \dots, l_r$  be so chosen that  $f(\pi_i^{l_i}) \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, r$ ). Let  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$f(E(l_1, \dots, t_j, \dots, l_r)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } l_j \rightarrow \infty,$$

consequently  $f(\pi_j^{t_j}) \rightarrow 0$  ( $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  and so Lemma 4 is proved.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 5.** *If there are positive integers  $\Delta$  and  $D$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_\Delta$  or  $g \in \mathcal{L}_D$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

**Proof.** By using Lemma 3 we can assume that  $f, g$  are not of finite supports. We shall prove only the first assertion. Assume that that  $f \in \mathcal{L}_\Delta$

holds for some positive integer  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta = \pi_1^{\delta_1} \dots \pi_r^{\delta_r}$ . It is obvious that given an arbitrary constant  $c$ ,  $f(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  under the condition  $D_\Delta(n) \leq c$ , where

$$D_\Delta(n) := \prod_{\substack{p^\alpha \parallel n \\ p \mid \Delta}} p^\alpha.$$

Since  $g$  is not of a finite support, there are coprime integers  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t$  for which  $Q_j \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$  and  $g(Q_j) \neq 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, t$ ). Let  $\pi_j^{\beta_{u,v,j}} \parallel Q_u - Q_v$  and  $T := \max_{\substack{u,v,j \\ u \neq v}} \beta_{u,v,j}$ . Let  $m$  run over the set of those integers for which  $(Am + 1, Q_1 \dots Q_t \Delta) = 1$ . Then

$$(5) \quad g(Q_j(Am + 1)) - Cf \left( Q_j m + \frac{Q_j - 1}{A} \right) = o(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(Am + 1, Q_1 \dots Q_t \Delta) = 1$ . For each fixed  $\pi_l$  no more than one  $j$  exists for which  $\pi_l^{T+1} \mid Q_j m + \frac{Q_j - 1}{A}$ . Thus, if  $t > r$ , then for each  $m$  there is a  $j$  such that

$$D_\Delta \left( Q_j m + \frac{Q_j - 1}{A} \right) \mid (\pi_1 \dots \pi_r)^T$$

on the set of those integers for which  $(Am + 1, Q_1 \dots Q_t \Delta) = 1$ . Hence, by (5) we get that  $g(Am + 1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(Am + 1, Q_1 \dots Q_t \Delta) = 1$ . By Lemma 2 there is a  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g \in \mathcal{L}_D$ . Lemma 4 completes the proof of Lemma 5. ■

**Lemma 6.** *If there is a positive integer  $n_0$  or a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $f(n_0) = 0$  or  $g(Am_0 + 1) = 0$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .*

**Proof.** Applying (1) with  $n = N[N(AN + 1)^2 m + 1]$ , we have

$$g(AN + 1)g(N^2(AN + 1)m + 1) - Cf(N)f((AN + 1)^2 Nm + 1) = o(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $g(AN + 1) \neq 0$  and  $f(N) = 0$ , then one can deduce from the last relation and Lemma 2-5 that  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . If there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(N) \neq 0$  and  $g(AN + 1) = 0$ , then we also have  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Finally, assume that for every positive integer  $N$  either  $f(N) = g(AN + 1) = 0$ , or  $f(N)g(AN + 1) \neq 0$ . Let

$$F(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(n) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } f(n) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad G(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g(m) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } g(m) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $G(An + 1) = F(n)$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let

$$S_F := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid F(n) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_G := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid G(n) \neq 0\}.$$

Since  $f(n_0) = 0$  (or  $g(An_0 + 1) = 0$ ), it follows from Lemma 1 that  $|S_F| < \infty$ , thus  $f(n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $g(An + 1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof of Lemma 6 is thus complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 7.** *Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the relation*

$$g(An + 1) - Cf(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $P, Q$  and  $N$  be positive integers satisfying the conditions

$$(6) \quad Q \equiv 1 \pmod{A} \quad \text{and} \quad N = P(Q - 1) + 1.$$

If  $f \notin \mathcal{L}$ , then

$$(7) \quad g(N) f(PQd) = f(P)g(Q)f(N)f(d),$$

where

$$d = d(P, Q) := \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } (P - 1)\frac{Q-1}{A} \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $P, Q$  and  $N$  be positive integers satisfying (6). Let

$$N_1 := E_Q(N), \quad P_1 := E_Q(P), \quad Q_1 := E_N(Q),$$

where  $E_k(n)$  is the product of all prime power divisors of  $n$  which are prime to  $k$  and  $\left(\frac{n}{E_k(n)}, E_k(n)\right) = 1$ .

First we prove that there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} (N_1, APQn_0 + 1) = 1, \\ \left(P_1, NQn_0 + \frac{Q-1}{A}\right) = 1, \\ (Q_1, ANn_0 + 1) = 1, \\ (N_1P_1Q_1, n_0) = d(P, Q). \end{cases}$$

We infer from the facts  $N = P(Q - 1) + 1$  and  $(N_1, Q) = 1$  that  $N_1 = E_Q(N)$  is an odd positive integer. By (6), we have  $(N_1, PQ) = (N_1, A) = 1$  and so an application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem shows that there exists an  $n_1$  for which

$$(N_1, APQn_1 + 1) = (N_1, n_1) = 1.$$

It is clear to check from the definition of  $P_1 = E_Q(P)$  that if  $P_1$  is odd, then there is an  $n'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(P_1, ANQn'_2 + 1) = (P_1, n'_2) = 1.$$



Assume that  $P_1 = E_Q(P)$  is even. Then  $Q$  and  $N = P(Q - 1) + 1$  are odd numbers. In this case there is an  $n_2''$  for which

$$\left( P_1, NQn_2'' + \frac{Q-1}{A} \right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (P_1, n_2'') = d_2(P, Q),$$

where

$$d_2(P, Q) := \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } (P-1)Q\frac{Q-1}{A} \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we can find an  $n_2$  for which

$$\left( P_1, NQn_2 + \frac{Q-1}{A} \right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (P_1, n_2) = d_2(P, Q).$$

Finally, we infer from the definition of  $Q_1 = E_N(Q)$  and  $A|Q-1$  that  $(Q_1, AN) = 1$ . Hence, if  $Q_1$  is odd, then there exists an  $n_3'$  for which

$$(Q_1, ANn_3' + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (Q_1, n_3') = 1.$$

Assume that  $Q_1$  is even. Then  $(AN, 2) = 1$  and so there is a  $n_3''$  for which

$$(Q_1, ANn_3'' + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (Q_1, n_3'') = d_3(P, Q),$$

where

$$d_3(P, Q) := \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } (P-1)(Q-1) \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently, we can find an  $n_3$  for which

$$(Q_1, ANn_3 + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (Q_1, n_3) = d_3(P, Q).$$

We can check from definitions of  $d(P, Q)$ ,  $d_2(P, Q)$  and  $d_3(P, Q)$  that

$$d_2(P, Q)d_3(P, Q) = d(P, Q).$$

Since  $(N_1, P_1) = (N_1, Q_1) = (P_1, Q_1) = 1$  it follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem that there is an  $n_0$  such that

$$n_0 \equiv n_1 \pmod{N_1}, \quad n_0 \equiv n_2 \pmod{P_1}, \quad n_0 \equiv n_3 \pmod{Q_1}.$$

Hence, the proof of (8) is finished.

Next, we shall prove (7). Let  $n_0$  be a positive integer which satisfies (8). By considering  $n = N_1P_1Q_1m + n_0$ , it is clear to check from (8)

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} (N, APQn + 1) = 1, \\ \left( P, NQn + \frac{Q-1}{A} \right) = 1, \\ (Q, ANn + 1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

By using the multiplicativity of  $f$  and  $g$ , we get from (9) the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
Cg(N)f(PQn) &= g(N)g(APQn+1) + o(1) = \\
&= g\left[A\left(NPQn + \frac{N-1}{A}\right) + 1\right] + o(1) = \\
&= Cf\left(NPQn + \frac{N-1}{A}\right) + o(1) = \\
&= Cf(P)f\left(NQn + \frac{Q-1}{A}\right) + o(1) = \\
&= f(P)g\left[A\left(NQn + \frac{Q-1}{A}\right) + 1\right] + o(1) = \\
&= f(P)g(Q)g[ANn+1] + o(1) = \\
&= Cf(P)g(Q)f(Nn) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$(10) \quad g(N)f(PQn) - f(P)g(Q)f(Nn) = o(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n = N_1P_1Q_1m + n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows from (8) that we can choose a positive integer  $m_0$  such that

$$t_0 := \frac{N_1P_1Q_1}{d}m_0 + \frac{n_0}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad (t_0, dPQN) = 1.$$

Taking  $m = D_Q(N)D_Q(P)D_N(Q)d^2t + m_0$ , from (10) we have  $n = N_1P_1Q_1m + n_0 = d(NPQdt + t_0)$ , consequently

$$\left(g(N)f(PQd) - f(P)g(Q)f(Nd)\right)f(NPQdt + t_0) = o(1)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It is obvious that  $g(N)f(PQd) - f(P)g(Q)f(Nd) = 0$ , because in the other case, we have  $f(NPQdt + t_0) = o(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore we get from Lemma 2 and Lemma 5 that  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $(N, d) = 1$ , we have

$$g(N)f(PQd) = f(P)g(Q)f(Nd) = f(P)g(Q)f(N)f(d),$$

which completes the proof of (7).

Lemma 7 is proved. ■

**Lemma 8.** *Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the relation*

$$g(An+1) - Cf(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $f \notin \mathcal{L}$ , then

$$(11) \quad f \in \mathcal{M}_{2A}^*, \quad g \in \mathcal{M}_{2A}^* \quad \text{and} \quad H(n) := \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = \chi_{2A}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}).$$

Hence  $\chi_k$  denotes the character (mod  $k$ ).

**Proof.** First we prove

$$H(n) := \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = \chi_{2A}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}).$$

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  be a positive integer such that  $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{A}$  and let  $P = 2Qm + 1$ , ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $d = d(P, Q) = 1$ ,  $(P, Q) = 1$ ,  $N = 2(Q - 1)Qm + Q$  and by (7), we have

$$(12) \quad H[2(Q - 1)Qm + Q] = \frac{f(P)g(Q)}{f(PQ)} = H(Q).$$

Thus, we infer from Lemma 19.3 of [1] that

$$(13) \quad H(n) = \chi_{2Q(Q-1)}(n) \quad \text{on the set} \quad \left(n, 2Q(Q - 1)\right) = 1.$$

It is clear to see that there is a number  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  for which

$$\left(M(AM + 1), A + 1\right) \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then by applying (12) and (13) for the cases when  $Q = A + 1$ , and  $Q = AM + 1$ , respectively, we infer that

$$H \in \mathcal{M}_{2A(A+1)}^* \quad \text{and} \quad H \in \mathcal{M}_{2AM(AM+1)}^*.$$

Since

$$\left(2A(A + 1), 2AM(AM + 1)\right) = 2A(A + 1, M(AM + 1)) \in \{2A, 4A\},$$

we get from the above relations that

$$H \in \mathcal{M}_{2A}^*.$$

On the other hand, we have  $(2(Q - 1)m + 1, Q, 2A) = 1$ , consequently

$$H\left[2(Q - 1)Qm + Q\right] = H(Q)H\left[2(Q - 1)m + 1\right].$$

Thus, (12) gives  $H\left[2(Q - 1)m + 1\right] = 1$  and

$$H(n) = \chi_{2A}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad g(n) = \chi_{2A}(n)f(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}).$$

Now we prove that

$$(14) \quad f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{2A}^*.$$

Let  $Q = 2Ax + 1$ ,  $P = 2Ay + 1$ ,  $N = (2A)^2xy + Q$ . It is obvious that  $d(P, Q) = 1$ . From (7), (11) and (14) we have

$$(15) \quad H(N) = H(Q) = H(P) = H(PQ) = 1$$

and

$$(16) \quad g(N)f(PQ) = f(P)g(Q)f(N).$$

From (15) and (16) we have

$$(17) \quad f(PQ) = f(P)g(Q) = f(P)f(Q) \text{ and } g(PQ) = f(PQ) = g(P)g(Q).$$

Now let  $(nm, 2A) = 1$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can choose two positive integers  $z, t$  such that

$$nz \equiv 1 \pmod{2A}, \quad (z, nm) = 1, \quad mt \equiv 1 \pmod{2A}, \quad (t, nmz) = 1.$$

We infer from (17) that

$$f(nzmt) = f(nz)f(mt) = f(n)f(z)f(m)f(t),$$

$$g(nzmt) = g(nz)g(mt) = g(n)g(z)g(m)g(t)$$

and

$$f(nzmt) = f(nm)f(z)f(t), \quad g(nzmt) = g(nm)g(z)g(t).$$

Hence

$$f(nm) = f(n)f(m) \quad \text{and} \quad g(nm) = g(n)g(m),$$

and so (14) and (11) are proved. Lemma 8 is proved. ■

**Lemma 9.** *Assume that  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{M}^*$ ,  $T \notin \mathcal{L}$  satisfy the relations*

$$(18) \quad T(n) \neq 0 \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad T(an + b) - DT(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then  $T(a) = D$  and there is a complex number  $s$  such that*

$$T(n) = n^s, \quad (0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1)$$

*holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the relations (18). Since  $T \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and

$$(a^2m + b)(a + 1) = a[a(a + 1)m + b] + b,$$

we get from (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} DT(am)T(a + 1) &= T(a^2m + b)T(a + 1) + o(1) = \\ &= T[a(a + 1)m + b] + o(1) = \\ &= DT[a(a + 1)m + b] + o(1) = \\ &= D^2T(a + 1)T(m) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

which from the fact  $T \notin \mathcal{L}$  implies  $T(a) = D$ .

In the following we denote by  $J$  the set of those pairs  $(Q, R)$  of positive integers for which

$$T(Qn + R) - T(Qn) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using the same method that was applied in [11] and [12], we prove that the following assertions hold:

- (a)  $(Q, 1) \in J$  if  $(q, 1) \in J$  and  $Q \geq q$
- (b)  $(Q, R) \in J$  if  $(q, 1) \in J$ ,  $q \geq 2$  and  $0 < R < Q/(q - 1)$
- (c)  $(h, 1) \in J$  if  $(h + 1, 1) \in J$  and  $h \geq 2$ .

Assume that  $(k, 1) \in J$ . By using  $T \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(k)T((k + 1)n + 1) &= T\left[k\left((k + 1)n + 1\right) + 1\right] + o(1) = \\ &= T(k + 1)T(kn + 1) + o(1) = T(k)T(k + 1)T(n), \end{aligned}$$

and so, we deduce that  $(k + 1, 1) \in J$ . By using induction, we have proved that (a) holds.

Assume again that  $(k, 1) \in J$  and  $k \geq 2$ . We shall prove (b) by induction on  $r$ . From (a) it is clear that (b) is satisfied for  $r = 1$ . Assume that  $(q, r) \in J$  holds for all integers  $q$  and  $r$  satisfying  $0 < r < q/(k - 1)$  and  $r < r_0$ , where  $r_0 \geq 1$  is an integer. Let  $q_0$  be an integer such that

$$(19) \quad 0 < r_0 < \frac{q_0}{k - 1}.$$

In order to show (b) it suffices to prove that  $(q_0, r_0) \in J$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $q_0$  and  $r_0$  are coprimes.

Let  $q$  and  $r$  be positive integers such that

$$(20) \quad r_0q = q_0r + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r < r_0.$$

It follows by (19) and (20) that

$$0 < r < (q_0r + 1)/q_0 = r_0q/q_0 < q/(k - 1).$$

Thus, by using our assumption and the fact  $r < r_0$ , we have  $(q, r) \in J$ .

On the other hand, by (20), we infer from the facts  $(q_0, 1) \in J$  and  $(q, r) \in J$  that

$$\begin{aligned} T(q)T(q_0n + r_0) &= T(q_0(qn + r) + 1) = T(q_0(qn + r)) + o(1) = \\ &= T(q_0)T(qn + r) + o(1) = \\ &= T(q_0)T(qn) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $(q_0, r_0) \in J$ . Thus, we have proved (b).

Finally, we prove (c). Assume that  $(h + 1, 1) \in J$  and  $h \geq 2$ . For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \ell \leq h - 1$  let

$$\mathcal{A}_\ell := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \equiv \ell \pmod{h}\}$$

and we can choose positive integers  $q = q(\ell)$  and  $r = r(\ell)$  such that

$$(21) \quad (h\ell + 1)q = h^2r + 1.$$

We shall prove that

$$(22) \quad T(hn + 1) - T(hn) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathcal{A}_\ell.$$

Let  $n = hm + \ell \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$ . Since  $(h + 1, 1) \in J$  and  $h \geq 2$ , by (a) we have  $(h^2, 1) \in J$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(q)T(hn + 1) &= T(qhn + q) = T(qh^2m + q(h\ell + 1)) = \\ &= T(h^2(qm + r) + 1) = \\ &= T(h^2(qm + r)) + o(1) = \\ &= T(h)T(q(hm + \ell) + hr - q\ell) + o(1) = \\ &= T(h)T(q(hm + \ell)) + o(1) = \\ &= T(h)T(q)T(n) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, the assertion is true if  $hr - q\ell = 0$ . If  $hr - q\ell \neq 0$ , then we get from (21) that

$$0 < hr - q\ell = \frac{(q-1)}{h} < \frac{q}{h},$$

which, by applying (b) with  $k = h+1$ , implies that  $(q, hr - q\ell) \in J$ . This, with  $(h^2, 1) \in J$  shows that (22) is true. This completes the proof of (c).

By (18) and using  $T(a) = D$ , one can deduce that  $(a, b) \in J$  and  $(a, 1) \in J$ . If  $a = 1$ , then Lemma 9 follows from the Wirsing's theorem. If  $a \geq 2$ , then by using (c) one can deduce that  $(2, 1) \in J$ , and so

$$T(2n+1) - T(2n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using the result of Bassily and Kátai [6], it follows that there is a complex number  $s$  such that  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$  and  $T(n) = n^s$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma 9 is proved. ■

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and the functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy (1). Then from Lemma 8 we have

$$(23) \quad f, g, H \in \mathcal{M}_{2A}^*, \quad H = \chi_{2A}.$$

Let  $a := 2A + 1$ . From (1) and (23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Cg(a)f(n) &= g(a)g(An+1) + o(1) = \\ &= g\left[A(an+2) + 1\right] + o(1) = Cf(an+2) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(24) \quad f(an+2) - g(a)f(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, we prove that

$$(25) \quad f(2p^k) = \frac{f(2p)^k}{f(2)^{k-1}}$$

holds for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the sequence  $T_k(n, p)$  by the formula

$$T_k(n, p) := (ap)^k D_p(2)n + 2 \frac{(ap)^k - 1}{ap - 1},$$

where  $D_p(2) = (p, 2)$  and  $E_p(2) = \frac{2}{D_p(2)}$ . Since

$$T_k(n, p) = ap \left[ (ap)^{k-1} D_p(2)n + 2 \frac{(ap)^{k-1} - 1}{ap - 1} \right] + 2 = ap T_{k-1}(n, p) + 2$$

and

$$\left( p D_p(2), \frac{T_{k-1}(n, p)}{D_p(2)} \right) = 1,$$

we obtain from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(T_k(n, p)) &= f(ap T_{k-1}(n, p) + 2) = \\ &= g(a) f(p T_{k-1}(n, p)) + o(1) = \\ &= \frac{g(a) f(p D_p(2))}{f(D_p(2))} f(T_{k-1}(n, p)) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{g(a) f(2p)}{f(2)} f(T_{k-1}(n, p)) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\frac{g(a) f(p D_p(2))}{f(D_p(2))} = \frac{g(a) f(2p)}{f(2)}.$$

This implies

$$(26) \quad f \left[ (ap)^k D_p(2)n + 2 \frac{(ap)^k - 1}{ap - 1} \right] = g(a) \left( \frac{g(a) f(2p)}{f(2)} \right)^{k-1} f(p D_p(2)n) + o(1).$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, since  $(a, 2) = 1$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we can find some  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left( (ap)^k m_0 + E_p(2), 2A \right) = (m_0, 2A) = 1.$$

Choosing the subset of  $n$ 's of the form

$$n = \frac{(ap)^k - 1}{ap - 1} (2Am + m_0),$$

then

$$\left( (ap)^k (2Am + m_0) + E_p(2), 2A \right) = (2Am + m_0, 2A) = 1,$$



which with (23) and (26) implies

$$\left[ f(2p^k) - \frac{f(2p)^k}{f(2)^{k-1}} \right] g(a)^k f \left[ \frac{(ap)^k - 1}{ap - 1} \right] f(2Am + m_0) = o(1).$$

This completes the proof of (25).

We define  $f^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$  as

$$f^*(p) = \frac{f(2p)}{f(2)} \quad (\forall p \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Let

$$(27) \quad f(n) := f^*(n)F(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then one can check from (25) that

$$F(2p^k) = F(2) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

Consequently

$$F(n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, 2) = 1$$

and

$$F(2^\alpha) = F(2) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$(28) \quad F(2n) = F(2) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we prove the theorem.

From (1) and (23), we have

$$g(2An + 1) = \chi_{2A}(2An + 1)f(2An + 1) = f(2An + 1)$$

and

$$f(2An + 1) - Cf(2n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This with (28) gives

$$f^*(2An + 1) - Cf^*(2)F(2)f^*(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using Lemma 9, the last relation implies that there is a complex number  $s$  such that

$$f^*(n) = n^s \quad (0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1), \quad \text{and} \quad f(n) = n^s F(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now let

$$g(n) = n^s G(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is clear to see from the fact  $f^*(2A) = Cf^*(2)F(2)$  that  $A^s = CF(2)$ . Thus, by using (1), we have

$$(An + 1)^s G(An + 1) = g(An + 1) = Cf(n) + o(1) = Cn^s F(n) + o(1),$$

which gives

$$G(An + 1) - \frac{1}{F(2)} F(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, by (11), (23) and (28) we have

$$G(2An + 1) = \frac{g(2An + 1)}{(2An + 1)^s} = \frac{H(2An + 1)f(2An + 1)}{(2An + 1)^s} = F(2An + 1) = 1$$

and

$$(29) \quad G(m) = \chi_{2A}(m)$$

hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G(AN + 1) &= G(AN + 1)G(2ANn + 1) = \\ &= G\left[A\left(2(AN + 1)Nn + N\right) + 1\right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{F(2)} F\left(2(AN + 1)Nn + N\right) + o(1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{F(2)} F(N)F\left(2(AN + 1)n + 1\right) + o(1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{F(2)} F(N) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $G(AN + 1) = \frac{1}{F(2)} F(N)$  holds for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 1 is proved.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

It is obvious that the functions defined in a) and b) of Theorem 2 satisfy (3). We note that in the case when  $A$  is even, for any nonprincipal character  $\chi_{2A} \pmod{2A}$ , we have  $(A + 1, 2A) = 1$  and

$$\left(\chi_{2A}(A + 1)\right)^2 = \chi_{2A}(A^2 + 2A + 1) = 1, \quad \chi_{2A}(A + 1) = -1.$$

Now we assume that  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $F \notin \mathcal{L}$  satisfy the equation (3), i.e.

$$G(An + 1) = DF(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From Theorem 1, we infer that  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy (28) and (29), consequently

$$(30) \quad F(n) = F[(n, 2)] \quad \text{and} \quad G(m) = \chi_{2A}(m) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_{2A}.$$

**Case I.  $A$  is even**

In this case we have  $(An + 1, 2A) = 1$ , therefore we infer from (3) and (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} DF(n+1) &= DF\left((A+1)n+1\right) = G\left[A\left((A+1)n+1\right) + 1\right] = \\ &= G\left[(An+1)(A+1)\right] = G(An+1)G(A+1) = \\ &= D^2F(n)F(1) = D^2F(n) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$F(n+1) = DF(n), \quad F(n+1) = D^n$$

hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $F(3) = F[(3, 2)] = F(1) = 1$ , we obtain from the above relation

$$1 = F(3) = F(2+1) = D^2, \quad D \in \{1, -1\}.$$

If  $D = 1$ , then  $F(n) = D^{n-1} = 1$  and  $G(An + 1) = DF(n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently

$$G(n) = \chi_A(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_A,$$

which proves that  $(D, F, G) = (1, I, \chi_A)$ .

If  $D = -1$ , then  $F(n) = D^{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} = \Psi(n)$ . From (3) we deduce that

$$G(An + 1) = DF(n) = (-1)^n,$$

consequently

$$G(2An + 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad G(2An + A + 1) = -1$$

hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . These imply that  $G = \chi_{2A}$ , where  $\chi_{2A}$  is any nonprincipal character (mod  $2A$ ). Thus  $(D, F, G) = (-1, \Psi, \chi_{2A})$ , which completes the proof of the assertion (a).

**Case II.  $A$  is odd**

For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^\alpha \parallel An_\alpha + 1$ . It is obvious from the fact  $(A, 2) = 1$  that  $n_\alpha$  is odd for all  $\alpha \geq 1$ . We get from (3) and (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} G(An_\alpha + 1)G(An_1 + 1) &= \frac{G(2^\alpha)G(2)}{G(2^{\alpha+1})} G\left[(An_\alpha + 1)(An_1 + 1)\right] = \\ &= \frac{G(2^\alpha)G(2)}{G(2^{\alpha+1})} G\left[A(An_\alpha n_1 + n_\alpha + n_1) + 1\right] = \\ &= D \frac{G(2^\alpha)G(2)}{G(2^{\alpha+1})} F(An_\alpha n_1 + n_\alpha + n_1) = \\ &= D \frac{G(2^\alpha)G(2)}{G(2^{\alpha+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we obtain from (3) and (30) that

$$G(An_\alpha + 1)G(An_1 + 1) = D^2 F(n_\alpha)F(n_1) = D^2,$$

from which we get

$$(31) \quad G(2^{\alpha+1}) = \frac{G(2)}{D} G(2^\alpha) = \left(\frac{G(2)}{D}\right)^\alpha G(2) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we define  $G^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$  in  $\mathbb{N}_A$  as

$$G^*(p) = \begin{cases} G(p), & \text{if } (p, 2A) = 1 \\ \frac{G(2)}{D}, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$G(n) := G^*(n)\overline{G}(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_A.$$

Then one can check from (30) and (31) that

$$(32) \quad \overline{G}(2n) = \overline{G}(2) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_A,$$

which with (3) implies

$$G(An + 1) = G^*(An + 1)\overline{G}(An + 1) = DF(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By putting  $n = 2m + 1$ , using (3), (30) and (32), we infer that

$$G(2Am + A + 1) = G^*(2Am + A + 1)\overline{G}(2Am + A + 1) = G^* \left( Am + \frac{A + 1}{2} \right) G(2)$$

and

$$G(2Am + A + 1) = DF(2m + 1) = D.$$

hold for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently

$$G^* \left( Am + \frac{A+1}{2} \right) = \frac{D}{G(2)}$$

and so

$$(33) \quad G^*(n) = \chi_A(n), \quad G(n) = \chi_A(n)\overline{G}(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_A.$$

Finally, from (3) we get

$$G(An + 1) = \chi_A(An + 1)\overline{G}(An + 1) = \overline{G}(An + 1) = DF(n).$$

Since  $A$  is odd, we deduce from (32) that  $\overline{G}(An + 1) = \overline{G}[(An + 1, 2)] = \overline{G}[(n + 1, 2)] = \overline{G}(n + 1)$ , therefore

$$(34) \quad \overline{G}(n + 1) = DF(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It obvious from (30), (32) and (34) that  $\overline{G}(2) = D$  and  $DF(2) = \overline{G}(3) = 1$ , which imply

$$F(2n) = F(2) = \frac{1}{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{G}(2m) = \overline{G}(2) = D \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_A.$$

Therefore, we proved that  $F = \mathcal{B}_{(1, \frac{1}{D})}$ ,  $\overline{G} = \mathcal{B}_{(A, D)}$  and  $G = \mathcal{B}_{(A, D)}\chi_A$ .

The assertion (b) and so Theorem 2 is proved. ■

## References

- [1] **Elliott, P.D.T.A.**, *Arithmetic Functions and Integer Products*, Grundle Math. Wiss. **272**, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1985.
- [2] **Erdős, P.**, On the distribution function of additive functions, *Ann. Math.*, **47** (1946), 1–20.
- [3] **Kátai, I.**, Multiplicative functions with regularity properties I, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **42**(3-4) (1983), 295–308.
- [4] **Kátai, I.**, Arithmetical functions satisfying some relations, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **55** (1991), 249–268.
- [5] **Kátai, I.**, Research problems in number theory II., *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **16** (1996), 223–251.

- [6] **Bassily, N.L. and I. Kátai**, On the pairs of multiplicative functions satisfying some relations, *Aequationes Math.*, **55** (1998), 1–14.
- [7] **Fehér, J., I. Kátai and B.M. Phong**, On multiplicative functions satisfying a special relation, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **64** (1998), no. 1-2, 49–57.
- [8] **Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong**, On some pairs of multiplicative functions correlated by an equation, *New trends in probability and statistics*, Vol. **4** (Palanga, 1996), 191–203.
- [9] **Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong**, On some pairs of multiplicative functions correlated by an equation. II, *Aequationes Math.*, **59**(3) (2000), 287–297.
- [10] **Kátai, I. and B.M. Phong**, A characterization of  $n^s$  as a multiplicative function, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **87**(4) (2000), 317–331.
- [11] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of some arithmetical multiplicative functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **63**(1) (1994), 29–43.
- [12] **Phong, B.M.**, A characterization of some unimodular multiplicative functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **57**(3–4) (2000), 339–366.
- [13] **Phong, B.M.**, Reduced residue systems and a problem for multiplicative functions, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **18** (1999), 35–46.
- [14] **Phong, B.M.**, Reduced residue systems and a problem for multiplicative functions II., *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **20** (2001), 97–106.
- [15] **Tang, Yuansheng**, A reverse problem on arithmetic functions, *J. Number Theory*, **58** (1996), 130–138.
- [16] **Wirsing, E., Yuansheng Tang and Shao Pintsung**, On a conjecture of Kátai for additive functions, *J. Number Theory*, **56** (1996), 391–395.

**Bui Minh Phong**

Department of Computer Algebra

Faculty of Informatics

Eötvös Loránd University

H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C

Hungary

bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu