

THE DUAL SPACES OF CERTAIN HARDY SPACES ON \mathbb{R}^+ AND ON \mathbb{N}

J.E. Daly (Colorado Springs, CO, USA)

S. Fridli (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professor Ferenc Schipp on his 70th and
Professor Péter Simon on his 60th birthdays*

Abstract. In connection with the problem of integrability of trigonometric series several sufficient conditions have been given. One of the most famous and efficient is the one due to Telyakovskii [9]. In the paper [3] of the second author it was shown that the transform that corresponds to Telyakovskii's condition generates an atomic Hardy type space $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ on \mathbb{N} . The continuous version $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ of this Hardy space is defined on the half line. In this paper we characterize the dual spaces of $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $H_{\mathbb{N}}$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)$ be a null sequence of real numbers and let us take the corresponding cosine series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx$. Then the following estimate holds

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30H10; Secondary 30H35, 44A15

The Project is supported by the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund (grant agreement no. TAMOP 4.2.1/B-09/1/KMR-2019-0003).

concerning the integrability of the cosine series

$$(1) \quad \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx \right| dx \leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{\Delta a_{n-k} - \Delta a_{n+k}}{k} \right| \right),$$

where $\Delta a_k = a_{k-1} - a_k$ ($k \geq 1$), and $\Delta a_0 = 0$. (Throughout the paper C will always denote an absolute positive constant not necessarily the same in different occurrences.) This integrability conditions for cosine series was proved by Telyakovskiĭ in [9]. Introducing the so called discrete Telyakovskiĭ transform

$$(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{a})_n = \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \frac{a_{n-k} - a_{n+k}}{k} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

with $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{a})_0 = (\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{a})_1 = 0$ we have that the right side of (1) is nothing but $\|\Delta\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell^1} + \|(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}\Delta\mathbf{a})\|_{\ell^1}$.

Let us take the continuous version $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}$, which is called called Telyakovskiĭ transform. To this order let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a locally integrable function. Then

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^+}f(x) &= \int_0^{x/2} \frac{f(x-t) - f(x+t)}{t} dt = \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\delta}^{x/2} \frac{f(x-t) - f(x+t)}{t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^+}f(x) = \int_{x/2}^{3x/2} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\delta \leq |x-t| \leq x/2} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt.$$

Recall that the Hilbert transform \mathcal{H} is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}f(x) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\delta \leq |x-t|} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt = \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{f(x-t) - f(x+t)}{t} dt \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

For technical reasons we omitted the usual $1/\pi$ factor in the definition of \mathcal{H} .

The classical real Hardy space on \mathbb{R} generated by the Hilbert transform will be denoted by $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is the space of integrable functions for which also $\mathcal{H}f$ is integrable and the norm is defined as $\|f\|_{H_{\mathbb{R}}} = \|f\|_1 + \|\mathcal{H}f\|_1$. If the Telyakovskii transform is taken instead of the Hilbert transform then another Hardy type space is obtained. It will be denoted by $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

Liflyand in [5], and [6] recognized that $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is isomorphic to the closed subspace of odd function in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. In [3] we showed that $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is an atomic sequence Hardy space, and identified its atoms. Namely, two types of $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ -atoms will be distinguished. f will be called an $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ -atom of first type if $f = \delta^{-1}\chi_{[0,\delta]}$ with some $\delta > 0$. An $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ will be said to be an $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ -atom of second type if there exists a finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp } f \subset I$,
- (ii) $\int_I f = 0$,
- (iii) $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq |I|^{-1}$,

where $|I|$ stands for the length of I . The collection of $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ -atoms will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Then (see [3]) $f \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ if and only if f can be decomposed as $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k f_k$, where $f_k \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) with $(\alpha_k) \in \ell^1$. (The convergence in the decomposition is a.e. and in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ norm.) Moreover

$$\|f\|_{H_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \inf \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of f .

Comparing the atomic decomposition in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ we find that the atoms in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ are analogous to the second type atoms in $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ but there are no atoms corresponding to the first type atoms in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Indeed, a function $\mathbf{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is called an $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ atom, in notation $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$, if there exists a finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp } \mathbf{g} \subset I$,
- (ii) $\int_I \mathbf{g} = 0$,
- (iii) $\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq |I|^{-1}$.

Let us now turn back to the original Telyakovskii transform, and let us define the Hardy type sequence space $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ as the collection of sequences \mathbf{a} for

which $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}a \in \ell^1$. The norm is defined by $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{H_{\mathbb{N}}} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell^1} + \|\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{a}\|_{\ell^1}$. Since $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}$, the discrete analogue of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ we may consider $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ as the discrete space that corresponds to $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Indeed, it is the natural discretization of $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ from other aspects as well. Namely, let $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}$ denote the step function associated to the real sequence \mathbf{a} by

$$(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a})(x) = a_{[x]} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+),$$

where $[x]$ stands for the integer part of x . Then (see [3]) $\mathbf{a} \in H_{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{H_{\mathbb{N}}} \approx \|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}\|_{H_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$. On the other hand $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ is an atomic Hardy space (see [3]) where the atoms can be given by means of \mathcal{P} . By definition the real sequence \mathbf{a} be called an \mathbb{N} -atom if $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}$ is an \mathbb{R}^+ -atom. If the collection of \mathbb{N} -atoms is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{N}}$ then $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{a}_j = \begin{cases} 1/n, & \text{if } j = 0, \dots, n-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } j \geq n \end{cases}$$

with some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or there exist $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\mathbf{a}_j = 0$ if $j < n$ or $j > n+k$,
- (ii) $\sum_{j=n}^{n+k} \mathbf{a}_j = 0$,
- (iii) $\max_{n \leq j \leq n+k} |\mathbf{a}_j| \leq 1/(k+1)$,

where the atoms are defined as follows. As we showed in [3] a sequence \mathbf{a} belongs to $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if it can be decomposed as $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \mathbf{a}^{(k)}$, where $\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) with $(\alpha_k) \in \ell^1$. (The convergence in the decomposition is taken in ℓ^1 norm.) Moreover

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{H_{\mathbb{N}}} \approx \inf \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of \mathbf{a} .

2. Results

It was proved by Feffermann [2] that the dual space of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. For the definition of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ let f be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R} . The $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ seminorm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}} = \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \right|.$$

Here, I denotes any finite subinterval of \mathbb{R} . Since $\|f - g\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}} = 0$ if and only if $f - g$ is constant we can introduce equivalence classes. Then $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the collection of those equivalence classes whose members have finite $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ seminorm. Moreover, the norm of an equivalence class is defined by the seminorm of its members. Similarly to the case of L^p spaces we will call the elements of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ functions. Based on the relation between $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ we can identify the space dual to $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Namely, let $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ denote the collection of locally integrable functions for which

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} |f| + \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \right| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Define the norm in $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ by the quantity on the left side of (3).

Theorem 1. *The space dual to $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.*

Remark 1. We note that, even though the definitions of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ are similar, the latter one is significantly different from the first one. This is because of the the additional term

$$\sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} |f|,$$

which in fact can be relaxed to $\sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \int_0^{\delta} f \right|$. This follows from

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} |f| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} \left| f - \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} f \right| + \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \int_0^{\delta} f \right| \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Remark 2. It will turn out from the proof of *Theorem 1* that $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is isomorphic to the subspace of odd functions, i.e. the equivalence classes that have odd members, of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let this space be denoted by $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}^-$.

Let $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$, the discrete version of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, be the collection of sequences \mathbf{a} for which

$$(4) \quad \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} |a_j| + \sup_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left| a_{n+j} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} a_{n+\ell} \right| < \infty,$$

and the norm of \mathbf{a} is defined by the quantity on the left side of (4). The following theorem shows the connection between $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and the duality relation that we expect.

Theorem 2. (i) The space dual to $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ is $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$.

(ii) A sequence $\mathbf{a} \in BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}} \approx \|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$.

For any locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^+ let $\mathcal{E}f$ be the step function defined as

$$\mathcal{E}f(x) = \int_{[x]}^{[x]+1} f \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Remark 3. Let $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet$ denote the closed subspace of those elements in $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ that take on constant values on each interval $[n, n+1)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), i.e.

$$BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet = \{f \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+} : \mathcal{E}f = f\}.$$

Then (ii) of *Theorem 2* means that $\mathbf{BMO}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet$ are isomorphic. This is another reason why $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ can be considered as the discretization of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

It was shown by Coifman and Weiss [1] that $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a dual space itself. Namely, let $VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, a closed subspace of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, be defined as the collection of functions f in $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ for which

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \right| = 0.$$

Then $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the dual of $VMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. We note that a similar result for dyadic Hardy and VMO spaces was proved by Schipp [7]. In view of the relation between the

Hardy spaces $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and *Theorem 1* on the corresponding BMO spaces it is logical to define $VMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ as the set of functions in $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ for which

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} |f| + \lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \right| = 0.$$

Following a similar logic we obtain that $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ is its own VMO type space. Then the classical duality result, the relationship between $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, $H_{\mathbb{N}}$, $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ and their classical counterparts along with *Theorems 1* and *2* imply the following duality results.

Theorem 3. (i) *The dual of $VMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$.*

(ii) *The dual of $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ is $H_{\mathbb{N}}$.*

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Our result will follow from the duality relation between $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ which was proved by Fefferman [2]. This says that if $h \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ then

$$(5) \quad L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} gh \quad (g \in H_{\mathbb{R}})$$

defines a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Here the integral should be suitably defined for it does not converge for general $g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $h \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, initially (5) is defined on a dense linear subspace of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. This can be for example the subspace of finite linear combinations of \mathbb{R} -atoms. Then (5) has a unique extension to $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. For details see for example [10] or [1]. Moreover, any bounded linear functional L on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is of this form, and $\|L\| \approx \|h\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

Now we will show that $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is isomorphic to the closed subspace $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}^-$ consisting of the odd functions of $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$. More precisely, we will show that if f is a function defined on \mathbb{R}^+ and f_O is its odd extension onto \mathbb{R} then $f \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ if and only if $f_O \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \|f_O\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}}$. Indeed, let us take the interval $I = [a, b]$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$) and consider

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left| f_O - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f_O \right|.$$

If $a > 0$ or $b < 0$ then

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f_{\mathcal{O}}| = \frac{1}{|I'|} \int_{I'} \left| f - \frac{1}{|I'|} \int_{I'} f \right|,$$

where $I' = [\min\{|a|, |b|\}, \max\{|a|, |b|\}] \subset \mathbb{R}^+$.

If $a < 0 < b$ then

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f_{\mathcal{O}}| &\leq 2 \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f_{\mathcal{O}}| = \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{|a|}{b-a} \left(\frac{1}{|a|} \int_0^{|a|} |f| \right) + \frac{b}{b-a} \left(\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b |f| \right) \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta} |f|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $I = [-a, a]$ ($a > 0$) then

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f_{\mathcal{O}}| = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a |f|.$$

By (6) and (8) we have that $\|f_{\mathcal{O}}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$. On the other hand it follows from (6) and (7) that $\|f_{\mathcal{O}}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}} \leq 2 \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$. The isomorphism is proved. Consequently, any $f \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ defines a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g f_{\mathcal{O}} \quad (g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

and $\|L\| \approx \|f_{\mathcal{O}}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}} \approx \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$. Let g_+ and g_- denote the even and odd parts of g respectively. Obviously $L(g) = L(g_-)$ ($g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$). Recall that $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is isomorphic to $H_{\mathbb{R}}^-$ the subspace of odd functions of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence

$$F(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\mathcal{O}} \quad (h \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+})$$

is a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Moreover,

$$\|F\| = \|L\| \approx \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}},$$

and F can be written in the form

$$F(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} hf \quad (h \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}).$$

Suppose now that F is a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Then one can define a bounded linear functional L on $H_{\mathbb{R}}^-$ by $L(g) = 2F(f)$ ($g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^-$), where $f \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ for which $f_{\mathcal{O}} = g$. Then $\|L\| = \|F\|$. Let us take the norm preserving extension of L onto $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined as

$$L(g) = L(g_-) \quad (g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

We note that if $g \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$ then $g_+, g_- \in H_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\|g_-\|_{H_{\mathbb{R}}} \leq \|g\|_{H_{\mathbb{R}}}$. The same applies to $BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$.

By (5) there exists a unique $h \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} gh, \quad \text{and} \quad \|L\| \approx \|h\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Since

$$L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_- h_- + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_+ h_+ = L(g_-) + L(g_+)$$

we have by the definition of L that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_+ h_+ = L(g_+) = 0$. Consequently, we

may suppose that $h \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}}^-$. Let $f \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ for which $f_{\mathcal{O}} = h$. Then $\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \|h\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}}}$. Using f , the functional F can be written in the following form.

$$F(g) = \frac{1}{2}L(g_{\mathcal{O}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\mathcal{O}} h_- = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} gf \quad (g \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}),$$

and $\|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \|F\|$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us start with the proof of (ii). Recall, see *Remark 2*, that the statement of (ii) is equivalent to the isomorphism of

$BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$. By definition if $f \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet$ then there exists a unique sequence \mathbf{a} for which $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} = f$. Moreover

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}} = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\ell} \int_0^\ell |f| + \sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{k} \int_n^{n+k} \left| f - \frac{1}{k} \int_n^{n+k} f \right| \leq \|f\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}.$$

Let us now suppose that $\mathbf{a} \in BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$, and consider $\|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}$. For any finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f \in L^1(I)$ define $\sigma_I f$ as

$$\sigma_I f = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}$ is constant on the intervals $[n, n+1)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) we have that $\sigma_{[x, c)} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}$, and $\sigma_{[c, x)} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}$ ($c, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$) are monotonic in x on any such interval. Therefore,

$$(9) \quad \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} |a_j|.$$

Let us consider

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}|,$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is a finite interval. Then, with proper $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \delta_i < 1$ ($i = 1, 2$), I can be written in the form $I = [n - \delta_1, n + k + \delta_2]$. We may suppose that k is at least 1. Indeed, if $I = [n - \delta_1, n + \delta_2]$ then define I' by

$$I' = \begin{cases} [n - 1, n + \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}] & \text{if } \delta_1 \geq \delta_2, \\ [n - \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}, n + 1] & \text{if } \delta_1 < \delta_2. \end{cases}$$

Then a simple calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| = \frac{1}{|I'|} \int_{I'} |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{|I'|} \int_{I'} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}|.$$

Let $I = [n - \delta_1, n + k + \delta_2]$ with $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| = \\
& = \frac{1}{k + \delta_1 + \delta_2} \int_{n - \delta_1}^{n + k + \delta_2} |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - \delta_1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k + \delta_1 + \delta_2} \int_{n - \delta_1}^{n + k + \delta_2} |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + 1]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| + \\
& \quad + \left(|\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + 1]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - \delta_1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \right) = \\
& = J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For J_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & \leq \frac{2}{k + 1} \int_{n - 1}^{n + k + 1} |\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + 1]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| = \\
& = \frac{2}{k + 1} \sum_{j=0}^k \left| a_{n-1+j} - \frac{1}{k + 1} \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{n-1+\ell} \right| \leq \\
& \leq 2 \|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to get a similar estimate for I_2 we need to replace the non-integer intervals in σ by integer intervals. Therefore, we use the monotonicity of $\sigma_{[c, x]}$, and $\sigma_{[x, c]}$ to obtain

$$|\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + 1]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \leq |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + 1]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - \delta_1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \leq \\
& \leq |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n, n + k + \delta_2]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \leq \\
& \leq \max_{i, j=0 \text{ or } 1} |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + i]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n, n + k + j]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \leq \\
& \leq \max_{i, j=0 \text{ or } 1} \left(|\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + i]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + j]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |\sigma_{[n - 1, n + k + j]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[n, n + k + j]} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that every term is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sigma_{[N-1, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[N, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} \right| \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \sigma_{[N, M-1]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[N, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} \right| \\ & (N, M \in \mathbb{N}, N < M). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of σ , \mathcal{P} and the $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ norm that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_{[N-1, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[N, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{j=N+1}^M a_j - \sigma_{[N-1, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{j=N+1}^M \left| a_j - \frac{1}{M-N+1} \sum_{\ell=N}^M a_{\ell} \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2 \frac{1}{M-N+1} \sum_{j=N}^M \left| a_j - \frac{1}{M-N+1} \sum_{\ell=N}^M a_{\ell} \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the same estimate holds for $\left| \sigma_{[N, M-1]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} - \sigma_{[N, M]} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{a} \right|$. Then we have

$$J_2 \leq 6 \|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{P} \mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \leq 8 \|\mathbf{a}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}},$$

and (ii) of *Theorem 2* is proved.

The proof of (i) will be based on the fact that \mathcal{P} is an isomorphism between $BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$, and between $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$. $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$ is defined similarly to $BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$. Namely it is the subspace of $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ formed by those elements that are constant on intervals $[n, n+1)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). For the isomorphism between $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$ we refer to [3].

Let L be a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then by the isomorphism between $H_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$ we have that

$$N(\mathcal{P} \mathbf{a}) = L \mathbf{a} \quad (\mathbf{a} \in H_{\mathbb{N}})$$

defines a bounded linear functional N on $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\bullet}$, and $\|L\| \approx \|N\|$. A norm preserving extension M of N onto $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ can be given by

$$Mf = N(\mathcal{E}f) \quad (f \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}).$$

It was shown in [3] that there exists a unique $g \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ such that

$$Mf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} fg, \quad \text{and} \quad \|M\| \approx \|g\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}}.$$

By the definitions of M and \mathcal{E} we have

$$Mf = M(\mathcal{E}f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\mathcal{E}f)g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f\mathcal{E}g \quad (f \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}).$$

Hence, $g = \mathcal{E}g$, i.e. $g \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet$. Then $g = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{b}$ holds with a proper sequence \mathbf{b} . It follows from (ii) that $\mathbf{b} \in BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\|g\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \|\mathbf{b}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}$. Consequently,

$$L\mathbf{a} = N(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{b} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k \quad (\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{N}}),$$

and $\|L\| \approx \|\mathbf{b}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}$.

If, on the other hand, $\mathbf{b} \in BMO_{\mathbb{N}}$ then $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{b} \in BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet \subset BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Hence by *Theorem 1* we have that $Nf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f\mathcal{P}\mathbf{b}$ ($f \in H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$) is a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Moreover, $\|N\| \approx \|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{b}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{R}^+}} \approx \|\mathbf{b}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}$. Since $\|N|_{H_{\mathbb{R}^+}^\bullet}\| = \|N\|$ we have by (ii) that

$$L\mathbf{a} = N(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{a}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{b} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k \quad (a \in H_{\mathbb{N}})$$

is a bounded linear functional on $H_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\|L\| \approx \|\mathbf{b}\|_{BMO_{\mathbb{N}}}$.

References

- [1] **Coifman, R.R. and Weiss, G.**, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1977), 569-645.
- [2] **Fefferman, C.**, Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77** (1971), 587-588.

- [3] **Fridli, S.**, Hardy spaces generated by an integrability condition, *J. Appr. Theory*, **113** (1) (2001), 91-109.
- [4] **Garsia, A.M.**, *Martingale inequalities: Seminar notes on recent progress*, Mathematics Lecture Notes Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1973.
- [5] **Liflyand, E.**, On asymptotics of Fourier transform for functions of certain classes, *Anal. Math.*, **19** (2) (1993), 151-168.
- [6] **Liflyand, E.**, A family of function spaces and multipliers, *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, **13** (1999), 141-149.
- [7] **Schipp, F.**, The dual space of martingale VMO spaces, *Proc. 3rd Pannonian Symp. Math. Stat.* (Visegrád) (1982), 305-311.
- [8] **Schipp, F., Wade, W.R. and Simon, P.**, *Walsh series*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990.
- [9] **Теляковский С.А.**, Условия интегрируемости тригонометрических рядов и их приложение к изучению линейных методов суммирования рядов Фурье, *Изв. АН СССР. Сер. мат.*, **28** (1964), 1209-1236. (*Telyakovskii S.A.*, Integrability conditions of trigonometric series and their applications to the study of linear methods of summing Fourier series, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **28** (1964), 1209-1236. (in Russian))
- [10] **Weisz, F.**, *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1568**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

J.E. Daly

Department of Mathematics
University of Colorado
Colorado Springs
CO 80933-7150, USA
jedaly@uccs.edu

S. Fridli

Department of Numerical Analysis
Eötvös Loránd University
Pázmány Péter sét. 1/C
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
fridli@inf.elte.hu