

A JOINT LIMIT THEOREM FOR HURWITZ-FUNCTIONS WITH ALGEBRAIC IRRATIONAL PARAMETERS

A. Laurinćikas (Vilnius, Lithuania)

J. Steuding (Würzburg, Germany)

To the memory of Professor M.V. Subbarao

Abstract. We prove a limit theorem in the sense of weak convergence of probability measures on the r -dimensional complex plane for a collection of Hurwitz zeta-functions with algebraic irrational parameters.

1. Introduction

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable, and α be a fixed real number satisfying $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with a parameter α is for $\sigma > 1$ defined by

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

and by analytic continuation elsewhere, except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1. If $\alpha = 1$, then $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ reduces to the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$.

The first limit theorems in the sense of weak convergence of probability measures for the Hurwitz zeta-function were obtained in [1], for the further development see [7]. However, these results considered $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with transcendental or rational parameter α . For the case of an algebraic parameter α a new

The paper was written during the conference ELAZ 2006. The authors thank the organizers for the opportunity to attend this conference.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M41

method was needed, and this case was treated in [6], [8] and [9]. We will recall the latter results.

Denote by $\text{meas}\{A\}$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, and define, for $T > 0$,

$$\nu_T^t(\dots) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T] : \dots\},$$

where in place of dots a condition satisfied by t is to be written, and the sign t in ν_T^t indicates that the measure is taken over $t \in [0, T]$. Let $\mathcal{B}(S)$ stand for the class of Borel sets of the space S . We also need the following topological structure.

Suppose that α is an algebraic irrational number. Define the system

$$L(\alpha) = \{\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

where \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of non-negative integers. J.W.S. Cassels in [3] proved that at least 51 percent of the elements of $L(\alpha)$ are linearly independent over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Let $I(\alpha)$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $L(\alpha)$. We assume that $I(\alpha) \neq L(\alpha)$, because otherwise we would have the same situation as in the case of transcendental α . Define $D(\alpha) = L(\alpha) \setminus I(\alpha)$. Then, for any element $d_m \in D(\alpha)$, the system $\{d_m\} \cup I(\alpha)$ is already linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} , and therefore, there exists a finite set of elements $i_{m_1}, \dots, i_{m_n} \in I(\alpha)$ such that

$$k_0(m)d_m + k_1(m)i_{m_1} + \dots + k_n(m)i_{m_n} = 0$$

with some non-zero integers $k_j(m)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence, we find that

$$(1) \quad m + \alpha = (m_1 + \alpha)^{-\frac{k_1(m)}{k_0(m)}} \cdot \dots \cdot (m_n + \alpha)^{-\frac{k_n(m)}{k_0(m)}}.$$

Now let $\mathcal{M}(\alpha) = \{m : \log(m + \alpha) \in I(\alpha)\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = \{m : \log(m + \alpha) \in D(\alpha)\}$. Define

$$\Omega = \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)} \gamma_m,$$

where $\gamma_m = \gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$ is the unit circle for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$. Since γ is compact, it follows from the Tikhonov theorem that the torus Ω with product topology and pointwise multiplication is a compact topological Abelian group. Therefore, on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ the probability Haar measure m_H exists, and we obtain

a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. Denote by $\omega(m)$ the projection of $\omega \in \Omega$ to γ_m . If $m \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ and relation (1) is true, then we define

$$(2) \quad \omega(m) = \omega(m_1)^{-\frac{k_1(m)}{k_0(m)}} \dots \omega(m_n)^{-\frac{k_n(m)}{k_0(m)}},$$

where the principal values of the roots are taken. For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ let

$$\zeta(s, \alpha, \omega) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

Then $\zeta(\sigma, \alpha, \omega)$, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, is a complex-valued random variable on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. For a region G in the complex plane, denote by $H(G)$ the space of analytic functions on G equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Let $D_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > 1\}$ and $D_2 = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$. Then $\zeta(s, \alpha, \omega)$ is an $H(D_1)$ -valued random element as well as an $H(D_2)$ -valued random element defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. Now we are able to state limit theorems for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$.

Theorem 1. [8] *Suppose that α is algebraic irrational, and that $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the probability measure*

$$\nu_T^{\zeta}(\zeta(\sigma + it) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the random variable $\zeta(\sigma, \alpha, \omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. [6] *Suppose that α is algebraic irrational. Then the probability measure*

$$\nu_T^{\zeta}(\zeta(s + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_1)),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the $H(D_1)$ -valued random element $\zeta(s, \alpha, \omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. [9] *Suppose that α is algebraic irrational. Then the probability measure*

$$\nu_T^{\zeta}(\zeta(s + i\tau) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_2)),$$

converges weakly to the distribution of the $H(D_2)$ -valued random element $\zeta(s, \alpha, \omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The aim of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 1 for a collection of Hurwitz zeta-functions. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be distinct algebraic irrational numbers, $0 < \alpha_j < 1$, and, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\zeta(s, \alpha_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha_j)^s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Let $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ and $\underline{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$. Define on $(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r))$ the probability measure $P_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ by

$$P_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = \nu_T^t((\zeta(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1), \dots, \zeta(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r)) \in A).$$

Moreover, let

$$\Omega^{(r)} = \prod_{j=1}^r \Omega_j,$$

where

$$\Omega_j = \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \gamma_m,$$

with $\gamma_m = \gamma$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\Omega^{(r)}$ is also a compact topological Abelian group, and we obtain a probability space $(\Omega^{(r)}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)}), m_H^{(r)})$, where $m_H^{(r)}$ is the probability Haar measure on $(\Omega^{(r)}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)}))$. Note that $m_H^{(r)}$ is the product of the Haar measures on Ω_j , $j = 1, \dots, r$. Denote by $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ the element of Ω^r , where $\omega_j \in \Omega_j$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, and define on the probability space $(\Omega^{(r)}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)}), m_H^{(r)})$ a \mathbb{C}^r -valued random element $\zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega})$, for $\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sigma_j > \frac{1}{2}$, by

$$\zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}) = (\zeta(\sigma_1, \alpha_1, \omega_1), \dots, \zeta(\sigma_r, \alpha_r, \omega_r)),$$

where

$$\zeta(\sigma_j, \alpha_j, \omega_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_j(m)}{(m + \alpha_j)^{\sigma_j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

and

$$\omega_j(m) = \begin{cases} \text{projection of } \omega_j \in \Omega \text{ to } \gamma_m, & \text{if } m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j), \\ \text{relation of type (2),} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$j = 1, \dots, r$. Denote by $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ the distribution of the random element $\zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega})$, that is

$$P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = m_H^{(r)}(\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)} : \zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r).$$

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are distinct algebraic irrational numbers such that the set*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^r I(\alpha_j)$$

is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and that $\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sigma_j > \frac{1}{2}$. Then the probability measure $P_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ converges weakly to the measure $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Example. Let $\alpha_j = p_j^{-1/2}$, where p_j denotes the j -th prime number (in ascending order). Then the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied.

2. A limit theorem on $\Omega^{(r)}$

We start the proof of Theorem 4 with a limit theorem on $\Omega^{(r)}$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)})$, define

$$Q_T(A) = \\ = \nu_T^t \left(\left(\{(m + \alpha_1)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{(m + \alpha_r)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\} \right) \in A \right).$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose that the numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Then the probability measure Q_T converges weakly to the Haar measure $m_H^{(r)}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The dual group of $\Omega^{(r)}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \bigoplus_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \mathbb{Z}_{mj},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{mj} = \mathbb{Z}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$. The element

$$\underline{k} = \left((k_{m1})_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)}, \dots, (k_{mr})_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)} \right) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \bigoplus_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \mathbb{Z}_{mj}$$

acts on $\Omega^{(r)}$ by

$$\underline{\omega} \mapsto \underline{\omega}^{\underline{k}} = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \omega_j^{k_{mj}}(m),$$

where only a finite number of integers k_{mj} are distinct from zero. Therefore, the Fourier transform $g_T(\underline{k})$ of the measure Q_T is

$$g_T(\underline{k}) = \int_{\Omega^{(r)}} \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \omega_j^{k_{mj}}(m) dQ_T,$$

where, as above, only a finite number of integers k_{mj} are distinct from zero. Thus, by the definition of Q_T we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(\underline{k}) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} (m + \alpha_j)^{ik_{mj}t} dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp \left\{ it \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} k_{mj} \log(m + \alpha_j) \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set $\bigcup_{j=1}^r I(\alpha_j)$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , hence we easily find that

$$g_T(\underline{k}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \underline{k} = \underline{0}, \\ \frac{\exp \left\{ iT \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} k_{mj} \log(m + \alpha_j) \right\} - 1}{iT \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} k_{mj} \log(m + \alpha_j)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} g_T(\underline{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{k} = \underline{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{k} \neq \underline{0}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by general continuous theorems on locally compact groups, see, for example [5], Theorem 1.4.2, we obtain that the measure Q_T converges weakly to $m_H^{(r)}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Joint limit theorems for absolutely convergent series

For fixed $\sigma_{1j} > \frac{1}{2}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we put

$$v_j(m, n, \alpha_j) = \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{m + \alpha_j}{n + \alpha_j} \right)^{\sigma_{1j}} \right\},$$

and define, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\zeta_{n,j}(s, \alpha_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_j(m, n, \alpha_j)}{(m + \alpha_j)^s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

In [8] it was observed that the latter series converges absolutely for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. Since $|\omega_j(m)| = 1$, the series

$$\zeta_{n,j}(s, \alpha_j, \omega_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_j(m) v_j(m, n, \alpha_j)}{(m + \alpha_j)^s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r$$

is absolutely convergent in the region $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$.

This section is devoted to the weak convergence of the probability measures

$$P_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}(A) = \nu_T^t((\zeta_{n,1}(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1), \dots, \zeta_{n,r}(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r)) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r),$$

and

$$\hat{P}_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}(A) = \nu_T^t((\zeta_{n,1}(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1, \hat{\omega}_1), \dots, \zeta_{n,r}(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r, \hat{\omega}_r)) \in A),$$

$$A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r),$$

where $\hat{\omega} = (\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_r)$ is a fixed element of $\Omega^{(r)}$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sigma_j > \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that the numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Then there exists a probability measure $P_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r))$ such that both the measures $P_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ and $\hat{P}_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ converge weakly to $P_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let the function $h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}} : \Omega^{(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ be given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}((\{\omega_1(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{\omega_r(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\})) &= \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_1(m, n, \alpha_1)}{(m + \alpha_1)^{\sigma_1} \omega_1(m)}, \dots, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_r(m, n, \alpha_r)}{(m + \alpha_r)^{\sigma_r} \omega_r(m)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_j(m, n, \alpha_j)}{(m + \alpha_j)^{\sigma_j} \omega_j(m)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

converges uniformly with respect to ω_j , the function $h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ is continuous. Moreover, we see that

$$h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}((\{(m + \alpha_1)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{(m + \alpha_r)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\})) =$$

$$= (\zeta_{n,1}(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1), \dots, \zeta_{n,r}(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r)).$$

Therefore, Lemma 5 together with Theorem 5.1 of [2] shows that the probability measure $P_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ converges weakly to the measure $m_H^{(r)} h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let $\hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}} : \Omega^{(r)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(r)}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}}(\{ \{\omega_1(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{\omega_r(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\} \}) = \\ & = \{ \{\omega_1(m)\hat{\omega}_1^{-1}(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{\omega_r(m)\hat{\omega}_r^{-1}(m) : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\omega}_1(m)v_1(m, n, \alpha_1)}{(m + \alpha_1)^{\sigma_1}}, \dots, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\omega}_r(m)v_r(m, n, \alpha_r)}{(m + \alpha_r)^{\sigma_r}} \right) = \\ & = h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}} \left(\hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}}(\{ \{(m + \alpha_1)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{(m + \alpha_r)^{it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\} \}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, similarly to the case of the measure $P_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$, we obtain that the measure $\hat{P}_{T,n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ converges weakly to $m_H^{(r)}(h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}\hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}})^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Since the Haar measure $m_H^{(r)}$ is invariant, we have

$$m_H^{(r)}(h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}\hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}})^{-1} = \left(m_H^{(r)}\hat{h}_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\hat{\omega}}}^{-1} \right) h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}^{-1} = m_H^{(r)}h_{n,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}^{-1},$$

and the theorem is proved.

4. Approximation in the mean

For $\underline{z}^{(1)} = (z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_r^{(1)})$, $\underline{z}^{(2)} = (z_1^{(2)}, \dots, z_r^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{C}^r$, let

$$\rho(\underline{z}^{(1)}, \underline{z}^{(2)}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |z_j^{(1)} - z_j^{(2)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then ρ is a metric in the space \mathbb{C}^r which induces its topology. In this section, we will prove some preliminary results in order to pass from Theorem 6 to Theorem 4.

For brevity, define

$$\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t) = (\zeta(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1), \dots, \zeta(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r)),$$

$$\underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t) = (\zeta_{n,1}(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1), \dots, \zeta_{n,r}(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r)),$$

and

$$\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) = (\zeta(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1, \omega_1), \dots, \zeta(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r, \omega_r)),$$

$$\underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) = (\zeta_{n,1}(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1, \omega_1), \dots, \zeta_{n,r}(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r, \omega_r)).$$

Theorem 7. *Suppose that $\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sigma_j > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho \left(\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t) \right) dt = 0$$

and, for almost all $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho \left(\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t), \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) \right) dt = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemmas 6 and 9 of [8] we have, for each $j = 1, \dots, r$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j) - \zeta_n(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)| dt = 0,$$

and, for almost all $\omega_j \in \Omega_j$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j, \omega_j) - \zeta_n(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j, \omega_j)| dt = 0.$$

This and the definition of ρ prove the theorem.

5. Ergodicity

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$a_{t,\underline{\alpha}} = \{(\{(m + \alpha_1)^{-it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_1)\}, \dots, \{(m + \alpha_r)^{-it} : m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_r)\})\}.$$

Now let $\{\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the one-parameter family of transformations on $\Omega^{(r)}$ for $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\omega}) = a_{t,\underline{\alpha}}\underline{\omega}.$$

Then $\{\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a one-parameter group of measurable measure preserving transformations on $\Omega^{(r)}$. We recall that a set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)})$ is invariant with respect to the group $\{\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ if, for each t , the sets A and $A_t = \varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}}(A)$ differ one from another by a set of zero $m_H^{(r)}$ -measure. All invariant sets form a σ -subfield of the field $\mathcal{B}(\Omega^{(r)})$. The one-parameter group $\{\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called ergodic if its σ -field of invariant sets consists only of sets having $m_H^{(r)}$ -measure equal to 0 or 1.

Lemma 8. *The one-parameter group $\{\varphi_{t,\underline{\alpha}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is ergodic.*

Proof. Let $\chi : \Omega^{(r)} \rightarrow \gamma$ be a character. Then

$$\chi(\underline{\omega}) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} \omega_j^{k_{mj}}(m),$$

where only a finite number of integers k_{mj} are distinct from zero. If χ is a non-principal character, then

$$\chi(a_{t,\underline{\alpha}}) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha_j)} (m + \alpha_j)^{-itk_{mj}}.$$

Since the set

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^r I(\alpha_j)$$

is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , hence we find that there exists a $t_0 \neq 0$ such that $\chi(a_{t,\underline{\alpha}}) \neq 1$. The further proof runs in the same way as that of Lemma 7 from [8].

6. Proof of Theorem 4

Define on $(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r))$ another probability measure

$$\hat{P}_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = \nu_T^t((\zeta(\sigma_1 + it, \alpha_1, \omega_1), \dots, \zeta(\sigma_r + it, \alpha_r, \omega_r)) \in A),$$

where $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r) \in \Omega^{(r)}$.

Theorem 9. *Suppose that $\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sigma_j > \frac{1}{2}$, and that the numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4. Then on $(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r))$ there exists a probability measure $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ such that both the measures $P_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ and $\hat{P}_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ converge weakly to $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6, both the measures $P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ and $\hat{P}_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ converge weakly to the same measure $P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_H^{(r)} h_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. We will prove that the family of probability measures $\{P_{n, \underline{\alpha}} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is tight (for the definition, see [2]).

By the definition of $P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ and Chebyshev's inequality, for $M > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(\{z \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(z, \underline{0}) > M\}) = \\ & = \nu_T^t(\rho(\zeta_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{0}) > M) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{MT} \int_0^T \rho(\zeta_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{0}) dt \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |\zeta_{n, j}(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)|^2 \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ (3) \quad & = \frac{1}{M} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta_{n, j}(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the series for $\zeta_{n, j}(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)$ converges absolutely, we have that

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta_{n, j}(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)|^2 dt =$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_j^2(m, n, \alpha_j)}{(m + \alpha_j)^{2\sigma_j}} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha_j)^{2\sigma_j}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_j < \infty$$

for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore, in view of (3),

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) > M\}) \leq \\ \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta_{n, j}(\sigma_j + it, \alpha_j)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{R}{M}$$

with

$$R = \left(\sum_{j=1}^r R_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, let $M = R\varepsilon^{-1}$. Then (4) yields the inequality

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) > M\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Obviously, the function $h : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(\underline{z}) = \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0})$ is continuous. This, Theorem 6 and Theorem 5.1 of [2] show that the probability measure

$$\nu_T^t(\rho(\underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{0}) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

converges weakly to $P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}} h^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Since the set $\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) > M\}$ is open, this, Theorem 2.1 of [2] and (5) imply

$$(6) \quad P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) > M\}) \leq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T, n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(\{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) > M\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

The set $K_\varepsilon = \{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^r : \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{0}) \leq M\}$ is compact in \mathbb{C}^r , and by (6)

$$P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. So, the tightness of the family $\{P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is proved. Hence, by the Prokhorov theorem [2] this family is relatively compact. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{P_{n_1, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}\} \subset \{P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}\}$ such that $P_{n_1, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ converges weakly to some measure $Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r))$ as $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Let $X_{n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma})$ be a \mathbb{C}^r -valued random element with the distribution $P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$, and

let $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the convergence in distribution. Then the weak convergence of $P_{n_1, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ to $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ as $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to the relation

$$(7) \quad X_{n_1, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) \xrightarrow[n_1 \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}.$$

Let θ denote a random variable defined on a certain probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}(\hat{\Omega}), \mathbb{P})$ and uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. We set

$$X_{T, n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) = \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \theta T).$$

Then the statement of Theorem 6 can be written in the form

$$(8) \quad X_{T, n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} X_{n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}).$$

Moreover, by the first assertion of Theorem 7, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\rho \left(X_{T, n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}), X_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) \right) > \varepsilon \right) = \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T^t \left(\rho \left(\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t) \right) \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon T} \int_0^T \rho \left(\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t), \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, t) \right) dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) = \zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \theta T).$$

Now this, (7), (8) and Theorem 4.2 of [2] show that

$$(9) \quad X_{T, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}},$$

which is equivalent to the weak convergence of the measure $P_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ to $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains to obtain the same result for the measure $\hat{P}_{T, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$. First we observe that in view of (9), the measure $P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ is independent on the sequence $P_{n_1, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$. Thus, from the relative compactness of $\{P_{n, \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}\}$ we have that

$$(10) \quad X_{n, \underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}.$$

Now putting

$$\hat{X}_{T,n,\underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) = \underline{\zeta}_n(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, \theta T)$$

and

$$\hat{X}_{T,\underline{\alpha}}(\underline{\sigma}) = \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, \theta T),$$

and using (10), Theorem 6 and the second statement of Theorem 7, we obtain in a similar way as above that the measure $\hat{P}_{T,\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ also converges weakly to $Q_{\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 4. By Theorem 9 it remains to check that the limit measure $Q_{\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ is the distribution $P_{\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$ of the random element $\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega})$. This can be done by a standard argument. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ be a continuity set of the measure $Q_{\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}$. Then we have by Theorem 2.1 of [2] that

$$(11) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T^t(\zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) \in A) = Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A)$$

for almost all $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)}$. Let us fix the set A , and on $(\Omega^{(r)}, \mathcal{B}(\Omega)^{(r)})$ define the random variable η by

$$\eta = \eta(\underline{\omega}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}) \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that the expectation $\mathbb{E}(\eta)$ of η is

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{E}(\eta) = \int_{\Omega^{(r)}} \eta dm_H^{(r)} = m_H^{(r)}(\{\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)} : \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}) \in A\}) = P_{\underline{\sigma},\underline{\alpha}}(A).$$

Taking into account Lemma 8, the process $\eta(\varphi_{t,\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\omega}))$ is ergodic. Therefore, by the classical Birkhoff-Khinchine theorem, see for example [4], we obtain that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta(\varphi_{t,\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\omega})) dt = \mathbb{E}(\eta)$$

for almost all $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)}$. However, by the definitions of η and $\varphi_{t,\underline{\sigma}}$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta(\varphi_{t,\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{\omega})) dt = \nu_T^t(\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) \in A).$$

Now this, (13) and (12) yield

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T^t(\zeta(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\omega}, t) \in A) = P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A)$$

for almost all $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^{(r)}$. Thus, by (11), $Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A)$ for all continuity sets A of $Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}$ which is sufficient to deduce that $Q_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A) = P_{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\alpha}}(A)$ holds for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r)$. The proof of the theorem is completed.

References

- [1] **Bagchi B.**, *The statistical behaviour and universality properties of the Riemann zeta-function and other allied Dirichlet series*, Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] **Billingsley P.**, *Convergence of probability measures*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.
- [3] **Cassels J.W.S.**, Footnote to a note of Davenport and Heilbronn, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **36** (1961), 177-184.
- [4] **Cramér H. and Leadbetter M.R.**, *Stationary and related processes*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1967.
- [5] **Heyer H.**, *Probability measures on locally compact groups*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [6] **Laurinćikas A.**, A limit theorem for the Hurwitz zeta-function with algebraic irrational parameter, *Zapiski Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, **322** (2005), 125-134.
- [7] **Laurinćikas A. and Garunkštis R.**, *The Lerch zeta-function*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [8] **Laurinćikas A. and Steuding J.**, A limit theorem for the Hurwitz zeta-function with an algebraic irrational parameter, *Arch. Math.*, **85** (2005), 419-432.
- [9] **Laurinćikas A. and Steuding J.**, A limit theorem in the space of analytic functions for the Hurwitz zeta-function with an algebraic irrational parameter (submitted).

(Received October 2, 2006)

A. Laurinćikas

Dept. of Probability Theory
and Number Theory
Vilnius University
Naugarduko 24
LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

J. Steuding

Department of Mathematics
Würzburg University
Am Hubland
D-97074 Würzburg, Germany