

SOME FURTHER REMARKS ON THE ITERATES OF THE φ AND THE σ -FUNCTIONS

I. Kátai (Budapest, Hungary)

M.V. Subbarao†

1. Introduction

Notations. \mathbb{N} = set of positive integers, \mathcal{P} = set of primes; $\omega(n)$ = the number of distinct prime factors of n , $\varphi(n)$ = Euler's totient function, $\sigma(n)$ = the sum of positive divisors of n . For some multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, let $f_0(n) = n$, $f_1(n) = f(n)$, $f_{j+1}(n) = f(f_j(n))$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). For the variable x let $x_1 = \log x$, $x_2 = \log x_1, \dots$. The letters p, q with or without suffixes always denote prime numbers. The largest prime factor of n is denoted by $P(n)$, the smallest prime factor of n is $p(n)$.

As usual let

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi(x, y) = \#\{n \leq x : P(n) \leq y\} \quad (x \geq y \geq 2),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(x, y) = \#\{n \leq x : p(n) > y\}.$$

It is known (see Tenenbaum [1], Theorem I.4.2) that

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(x, y) = x \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^2}\right)\right\}$$

if

$$2 \leq y \leq \exp\left(\frac{x_1}{10x_2}\right).$$

The research of second author supported in part by a grant from NSERC. He died February 5, 2006.

The research of first author supported by the Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under grant OTKA T46993.

Several questions on the prime factors of $\varphi_k(n)$ (k -fold iterate of φ), and on $\sigma_k(n)$, furthermore the size of the set of $n \leq x$ satisfying $(n, \varphi_k(n)) = 1$ were investigated. A non-complete list of the relevant paper is: [2]-[14].

2. On the function $E_k(n) := (n, \varphi_k(n))$

Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed,

$$(2.1) \quad E_k(n) := (n, \varphi_k(n)).$$

$$(2.2) \quad K_k(n) := (n, \sigma_k(n)).$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad A(n, y) := \prod_{\substack{p^\alpha \parallel n \\ p < y}} p^\alpha.$$

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{x} \# \{n \leq x : E_k(n) \neq A(n, x_2^k)\} = o_x(1),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{x} \# \{n \leq x : K_k(n) \neq A(n, x_2^k)\} = o_x(1).$$

Proof. We shall prove only (2.4). The proof of (2.5) is similar, so we omit it. Let ε_x be a sequence tending to zero (slowly). Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the set of those $n \leq x$ for which $p|n$ holds for some $p \in L_x = [x_2^{k-\varepsilon_x}, x_2^{k+\varepsilon_x}]$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_1) \leq \sum_{p \in L_x} \left[\frac{x}{p} \right] \leq x \log \frac{k + \varepsilon_x}{k - \varepsilon_x} + O\left(\frac{x}{x_3}\right) = O\left(\left(\varepsilon_x + \frac{1}{x_3}\right)x\right).$$

Let \mathcal{A}_2 be the set of those $n \leq x$ for which $q|E(n)$ for some $q > x_2^k$.

We shall say that p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k is a chain of primes, if

$$p_{j+1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j} \quad (j = 0, \dots, k-1)$$

holds. Assume that $p_0 | \varphi_k(n)$. Then either $p_0^2 | \varphi_{k-1}(n)$, or not, and in the second case $p_1 | \varphi_{k-1}(n)$, where $p_1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_0}$. We can proceed as we did in [13] and deduce that

$$(2.7) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_2) \ll \sum_{q > x_2^k} \frac{x}{qp^k},$$

where we sum over all chains $q = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k (\leq x)$.

The following assertion is proved in [4].

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$\delta(x, k, l) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv l \pmod{k}}} 1/p.$$

For $l = 1$ or -1 and $k \leq x$, $x \geq 3$ we have

$$\delta(x, k, l) \leq \frac{c_1 x}{\varphi(k)},$$

where c_1 is an absolute constant.

By using Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(x_2^k < q)} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} \dots \sum_{p_k} \frac{1}{p_k} &\leq c_1 x_2 \sum_q \sum_{p_1} \dots \sum_{p_{k-1}} \frac{1}{p_{k-1}} \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq c_1^k x_2^k \sum_{q > x_2^k} 1/q^2 = O(1/x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.8) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_2) = O\left(\frac{x}{x_3}\right).$$

Let Y_x be a sequence tending to infinity slowly. We assume that $Y_x = O(x_4)$. Let $\kappa(n)$ be the largest prime power divisor of n , with exponent at least 2, i.e.

$$\kappa(n) := \max_{\substack{p^\alpha | n \\ \alpha \geq 2}} p^\alpha.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_3 := \{n \leq x : \kappa(n) \geq Y_x\}$. It is obvious that

$$(2.9) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_3) \ll \sum_{\substack{p^a \geq Y_x \\ a \geq 2}} \frac{x}{p^a} \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{Y_x}}.$$

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$, $\pi < x_2^{k-\varepsilon x}$, and \mathcal{A}_π be the set of those $n = \pi\nu \leq x$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, for which $(\pi, \varphi_k(\pi\nu)) = 1$. This holds only if $(\pi, \varphi_k(\nu)) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_k(\pi)$ be the set of all those primes p_k for which there exists a chain the starting element of which is $p_0 = \pi$ (thus p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k is a suitable chain). It is clear that $(\pi, \varphi_k(\nu)) = 1$ implies that $(\pi, \mathcal{B}_k(\pi)) = 1$.

Thus, by Brun's sieve we have

$$(2.10) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_\pi) \leq \frac{cx}{\pi} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_k(\pi) \\ p < x}} (1 - 1/p).$$

By using the method given in [12], [13] we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_k \\ p < x}} 1/p \geq \frac{1}{2}x_2 \quad (\text{say}),$$

whence

$$(2.11) \quad \#(\mathcal{A}_\pi) \ll \frac{x}{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x_2\right),$$

and so

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{\pi < x_2^{k-\varepsilon x}} \#(\mathcal{A}_\pi) \ll xx_2\sqrt{x_1}.$$

If $n \leq x$ is such a number which does not belong to $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup (\cup \mathcal{A}_\pi)$, then $E_k(n) = A(n, x_2^k)$. (2.4) is proved.

By similar method one can prove

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$(2.13) \quad \#\{p \leq x : E_k(p+a) \neq A(p+a, x_2^k)\} = o(\pi(x)),$$

$$(2.14) \quad \#\{p \leq x : K_k(p+a) \neq A(p+a, x_2^k)\} = o(\pi(x)),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Here $a \neq 0$ is an arbitrary integer.

3. Some lemmas

3.1. Let $\pi_r(x) = \#\{n \leq x : \omega(n) = r\}$. Hardy and Ramanujan [14] proved that

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_r(x) \leq \frac{x}{x_1} \frac{(x_2 + c)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}$$

holds for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \geq 3$, with a suitable absolute constant c .

Hence one can prove immediately

Lemma 3. *For every $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \#\{n \leq x : \omega(n) \geq c_2 x_2\} \ll \frac{x}{x_1^{c_1}}.$$

3.2. Let Q be an arbitrary prime in the interval $x_2^k \leq Q \leq x_1^{1/3}$. Let $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots$ be a sequence of completely additive functions defined for primes p as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \kappa_0(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = Q, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \neq Q, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \kappa_{j+1}(p) = \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{P} \\ q|p-1}} \kappa_j(q).$$

Let

$$(3.5) \quad S_j(y) := \sum_{p \leq y} \kappa_j(p).$$

Lemma 4. *Let $x^{1/4} \leq y \leq x$. Then, for $j \geq 2$,*

$$(3.6) \quad S_j(y) = \frac{(\text{li } y)(\log \log y)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!(Q-1)} + O\left(\frac{(\text{li } y)(\log \log y)^{j-2}}{Q^{(m-1)/m}}\right)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad S_1(y) = \pi(y, Q, 1) = \frac{\text{li } y}{Q-1} + O\left(\frac{\text{li } y}{Q} e^{-c\sqrt{\log y}}\right).$$

Here m is an arbitrary positive integer, the constants implied by the error terms may depend on j and m .

Lemma 4 is proved in [3] (Lemma 7).

Let

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{T}_Y^{(k)} := \{\nu \leq Y : p(\nu) > x_2^k\},$$

$$(3.9) \quad T_Y^{(k)}(s) := \#\left\{\nu \in \mathcal{T}_Y^{(k)} : \omega(E_k(\nu)) = s\right\}.$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\sqrt{x} \leq Y \leq x$, $x \geq 100$. Then, with a suitable constant c which may depend only on k , we have*

$$(3.10) \quad T_Y^{(k)}(s) \leq \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{c}{x_3}\right)^s Y$$

for every $s \geq 1$, and for every fixed s_0

$$(3.11) \quad T_Y^{(k)}(s) \ll \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{c}{x_3}\right)^s \Phi(y, x_2^k),$$

if $s = 1, \dots, s_0$. Here Φ is defined by (1.2).

Proof. Let $(x_2^k \leq) Q_1 < \dots < Q_s$ be primes for which $Q_1 \dots Q_s | E_k(\nu)$. Repeating the argument used in [13] one can see that the number of these $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_y^{(k)}$ is less than

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{p_1^{(k)}, \dots, p_s^{(k)}} \Phi\left(\frac{Y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}}, x_2^k\right),$$

where $p_j^{(k)}$ is the final element of the chain of primes $Q_j (= p_j^{(0)})$, $p_j^{(1)}, \dots, p_j^{(k)}$.

The contribution of the extraordinary cases when $p_{j_1}^{(l_1)} = p_{j_2}^{(l_2)}$ ($j_1 \neq j_2$), or if $p_l^{(t)2} \mid \varphi_{k-t}(\nu)$ is smaller than (3.13) (which is an upper bound of (3.12)).

Since $\Phi(Y, x_2^k) \leq Y$, we obtain from Lemma 1 that (3.12) is less than

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{Y x_2^{ks}}{Q_1^2 \dots Q_s^2},$$

whence by summing over all sets of primes ($x_2^k \leq$) $Q_1 < \dots < Q_s (< x)$ we obtain that

$$\sum \frac{1}{Q_1^2 \dots Q_s^2} \leq \frac{1}{s!} \left\{ \sum_{x_2^k < Q < x} 1/Q^2 \right\}^s \leq \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{c}{x_2^k x_3} \right)^s.$$

Hence (3.10) is immediate.

To prove (3.11), we estimate $T_Y^{(k)}(s)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(x_2^k <) Q_1 < \dots < Q_s \leq x_1^{1/3}} \sum_{p_1^{(k)}, \dots, p_s^{(k)}} \Phi \left(\frac{Y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}}, x_2^k \right) + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{Q_1 < \dots < Q_s \\ Q_s > x_1^{1/3}}} \sum_{p_1^{(k)}, \dots, p_s^{(k)}} \left[\frac{Y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}} \right] = \sum_1 + \sum_2. \end{aligned}$$

As earlier, we obtain that

$$\sum_2 \leq \sum_{Q_s > x_1^{1/3}} \frac{1}{Q_s^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \left\{ \sum_{Q > x_2^k} 1/Q^2 \right\}^{s-1} (c_1 x_2^k)^s \ll \frac{Y}{x_1},$$

say. In \sum_1 first we sum over those $p_1^{(k)}, \dots, p_s^{(k)}$ for which $\max_{l=1, \dots, k} p_l^{(k)} < x^{1/4s}$.

For such collection of $p_1^{(k)}, \dots, p_s^{(k)}$:

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi \left(\frac{Y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}}, x_2^k \right) \ll \frac{Y \cdot x_3^{-1}}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}},$$

and for the others we use the trivial inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \Phi \left(\frac{y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}}, x_2^k \right) \leq \left[\frac{Y}{Q_1 \dots Q_s p_1^{(k)} \dots p_s^{(k)}} \right].$$

Summing up the right hand side of (3.14) over Q_1, \dots, Q_s we obtain the bound

$$Y \cdot x_3^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{c}{x_3} \right)^s.$$

It remains to estimate the cases when $\max p_l^{(k)} \geq x^{1/4s}$. We shall estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{x > p_l^{(k)} > x^{1/4s}} 1/p_l^{(k)},$$

where $p_l^{(k)}$ is the final element of the chain $Q_l (= p_l^{(0)}, p_l^{(1)}, \dots, p_l^{(k)})$.

Let $M := x^{1/4s}$, T be the smallest integer for which $2^T M \geq x$. Thus $T = O(x_1)$. Let us define the sequence of the completely additive functions κ_j by the rules (3.3), (3.4) with the choice $Q = Q_l$.

Applying Lemma 4 we get immediately that

$$\sum_{r=0}^T \frac{1}{2^r M} S_k(2^{r+1}M) \ll \begin{cases} \frac{x_2^{k-1}}{Q_l} + x_2^{k-2}/Q_l^{(m-1)/m} & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ 1/Q_l & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

The proof of Lemma 4 can be completed easily.

4. Some theorems

Let $z \geq 1$ be a constant, $h(n) := z^{\omega(n)}$. Let

$$(4.1) \quad M_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} h(E_k(n)),$$

$$(4.2) \quad T_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} h(K_k(n)).$$

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{M_k(x)}{x} = (1 + o_x(1))C(kx_3)^{z-1},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{T_k(x)}{x} = (1 + o_x(1))C(kx_3)^{z-1},$$

where $C = C(z, k)$ is a nonzero constant.

Proof. We shall prove only (4.1). The proof of (4.2) is similar, so we omit it.

By using Lemma 3, we can find a constant c_3 such that

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{\omega(n) > c_3 x_2} h(E_k(n)) \ll x/x_1^2,$$

say.

Let

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{R}_1 := \{n \leq x \mid \omega(n) \geq c_3 x_2\}.$$

Let

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{R}_2(V) := \{n \leq x \mid A(n, x_2^k) \geq V\},$$

where $V \in [x_1, x^{1/4}]$.

By using the known inequality

$$(4.8) \quad \Psi(x, y) \ll x \exp\left(-\frac{1 \log x}{2 \log y}\right) \quad \text{as } 2 \leq y \leq x$$

(see e.g. [1] Chapter III. 5. Theorem 1), we can deduce that

$$(4.9) \quad \#\mathcal{R}_2(V) \ll x \cdot k x_3 \exp\left(-\frac{1 \log V}{2 k x_3}\right).$$

Indeed,

$$(4.10) \quad \#\mathcal{R}_2(V) \leq x \sum_{\substack{V \leq D \leq x \\ P(D) \leq x_2^k}} \frac{1}{D} \leq x \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \frac{1}{2^j V} \Psi(2^{j+1}V, x_2^k),$$

where j_0 is the smallest integer for which $2^{j_0}V \geq x$. From (4.8), (4.10) the inequality (4.9) follows.

Let now $V = \exp(x_2^2)$. We have

$$(4.11) \quad \#\mathcal{R}_2(\exp(x_2^2)) \ll x/x_1^B,$$

where B is an arbitrary large positive constant.

Let $D \leq \exp(x_2^2)$ be fixed and let

$$U_1(D) := \sum^* h(E_k(n))$$

for those $n = D\nu$, for which $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_{x/D}^k$ and $\omega(E_k(\nu)) \neq 0$. If $\omega(E_k(\nu)) = s$, then $h(E_k(n)) \leq z^{\omega(D)} \cdot z^s$, consequently, by Lemma 5,

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} U_1(D) &\ll \frac{z^{\omega(D)}x}{Dx_3} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{cz}{x_3} \right)^s + x_3 \sum_{s \geq s_0+1} \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{cz}{x_3} \right)^s \right\} \ll \\ &\ll \frac{z^{\omega(D)}x}{Dx_3^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting our inequalities we obtain that

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} M_k(x) &\leq \sum_{\substack{D \leq \exp(x_2^k) \\ P(D) \leq x_2^k}} z^{\omega(D)} \phi\left(\frac{x}{D}, x_2^k\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{x}{x_3^2} \sum_{\substack{D \leq \exp(x_2^k) \\ P(D) \leq x_2^k}} \frac{z^{\omega(D)}}{D}\right) + O(x/x_1), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Let ε_x be a sequence tending to zero slowly. Let us count for a fixed D those $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_{x/D}$ for which there exists at least one prime $\pi < x_2^{k-\varepsilon_x}$, such that $\pi|D$ and $(\pi, \varphi_k(D\nu)) = 1$.

By using the Brun sieve and repeating the argument for getting the inequality (2.10), (2.11) we can deduce that the size of these ν is less than

$$(4.14) \quad \ll \frac{x}{D} \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{x_1}}.$$

Thus

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} M_k(x) &\geq \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq \exp(x_2^k) \\ P(D_1) \leq x_2^{k(1-\varepsilon_x)}}} z^{\omega(D_1)} \phi\left(\frac{x}{D_1}, x_2^{k(1-\varepsilon_x)}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{xx_2}{\sqrt{x_1}} \sum \frac{z}{D_1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using (1.3) we deduce that

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{M_k(x)}{x} \geq (1 + o_x(1)) \prod_{p < x_2^{k(1-\varepsilon_x)}} (1 - 1/p) \prod_{p < x_2^{k(1-\varepsilon_x)}} \left(1 + \frac{z}{p-1}\right).$$

On the other hand, from (4.13) we obtain that

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{M_k(x)}{x} \leq (1 + o_x(1)) \prod_{p < x_2^k} (1 - 1/p) \left(1 + \frac{z}{p-1}\right).$$

Since

$$\prod_{x_2^{k(1-\varepsilon_x)} < p < x_2^k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{p-1}\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_x \rightarrow 0,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_k(x)}{x} &= (1 + o_x(1)) \exp \left((z-1) \sum_{p < x_2^k} 1/p + B_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x_3}\right) \right) = \\ &= (1 + o_x(1))(kx_3)^{z-1} C \end{aligned}$$

with a suitable constant $C = C(k, z) (\neq 0)$. Thus (4.3) is true.

By a somewhat complicated argument we would be able to prove the following

Theorem 4. *Let $a \neq 0$, $z \geq 1$, $h(n) := z^{\omega(n)}$,*

$$U_k(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} h(E_k(p+a)),$$

$$V_k(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} h(K_k(p+a)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_k(x)}{\pi(x)} &= (1 + o_x(1)) C^* (kx_3)^{z-1}, \\ \frac{V_k(x)}{\pi(x)} &= (1 + o_x(1)) C^* (kx_3)^{z-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C^* = C^*(z, k)$ is a nonzero constant.

References

- [1] **Tenenbaum G.**, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [2] **Erdős P.**, Some asymptotic formulas in number theory, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **12** (1948), 75-78.
- [3] **Indlekofer K.-H. and Kátai I.**, On the normal order of $\varphi_{k+1}(n)/\varphi_k(n)$, where φ_k is the k -fold iterate of Euler's function, *Lietuvos matem. rink.*, **44** (1) (2004), 68-84.
- [4] **Kátai I.**, On the number of prime factors of $\varphi(\varphi(n))$, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **58** (1-2) (1991), 211-225.
- [5] **Erdős P.**, On the normal number of prime factors of $p - 1$ and some related problems concerning Euler's φ -function, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford Series)*, **6** (1991), 205-213.
- [6] **Erdős P.**, Some remarks on Euler's φ -function and some related problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1945), 540-544.
- [7] **Bateman P.T.**, The distribution of values of the Euler-function, *Acta Arith.*, **21** (1972), 329-345.
- [8] **Balazard M. and Smati A.**, Elementary proof of a theorem of Bateman, *Analytic number theory. Proc. of Conf. in honor of P.T. Bateman*, eds. B.C. Berndt et al., Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, 41-46.
- [9] **Erdős P., Granville A., Pomerance C. and Spiro C.**, On the normal behaviour of the iterates of some arithmetic functions, *Analytic number theory. Proc. of Conf. in honor of P.T. Bateman*, eds. B.C. Berndt et al., Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, 165-204.
- [10] **Erdős P.**, Számelméleti megjegyzések II. Az Euler-féle ϕ -függvény néhány tulajdonságáról, *Matematikai Lapok*, **12** (1961), 161-169. (Some remarks on number theory II., *Matematikai Lapok*, **12** (1961), 161-169. (in Hungarian))
- [11] **Erdős P.**, Über die Zahlen der Form $\sigma(n) - n$ und $n - \varphi(n)$, *Elemente der Mathematik*, **28** (1973), 83-86.
- [12] **Kátai I. and Subbarao M.V.**, Some remarks on the iterates of the φ and the σ -functions, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comp.*, **25** (2005), 113-130.
- [13] **Bassily N.L., Kátai I. and Wijsmuller M.**, Number of prime divisor of $\varphi_k(n)$, where φ_k is the k -fold iterate of φ , *J. Number Theory*, **65** (2) (1997), 226-239.

- [14] **Hardy G.H. and Ramanujan S.**, The normal number of prime factors of a number n , *Quart. J. Math.*, **48** (1917), 76-92.

(Received April 4, 2005)

I. Kátai

Department of Computer Algebra
Eötvös Loránd University
and Research Group of Applied
Number Theory of the
Hungarian of Academy of Sciences
Pázmány Péter sét. 1/C.
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
katali@compalg.inf.elte.hu

M.V. Subbarao

University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G1
Canada
m.v.subbarao@ualberta.ca