

## **$H^p$ MULTIPLIERS ON THE DYADIC FIELD**

**J.E. Daly** (Colorado Springs, CO, USA)

**S. Fridli** (Budapest, Hungary)

*Dedicated to Professor I. Kátai on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider a classical multiplier condition, the Hörmander-Mihlin condition, originally introduced for the trigonometric case. It implies that the multiplier operator is bounded on  $\mathbf{L}^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Here we study the corresponding problem with respect to the Walsh transform and the noncompact dyadic Hardy spaces  $\mathbf{HP}[0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . We also show that our result is sharp. We note that a similar program was carried out for the trigonometric case and the classical Hardy spaces, and for the Walsh system and the dyadic Hardy spaces on  $[0, 1]$  in our previous papers [1] and [2].

### **1. Introduction**

Set  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ . The binary expansion of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is  $x = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} x_j 2^{-j-1}$ , where  $x_j = 0$  or  $1$ . In case of dyadic rationals, i.e. when there are two expansions of this form, we take the one that terminates in 0's. Then the Walsh functions are defined as

$$(1.1) \quad w_x(y) = (-1)^{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k y_{-k-1}} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

---

This research was supported by OTKA under grant T047128.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 42C10, Secondary 42A45, 44A35.

We note that if  $x = 2^k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) then  $w_x(y) = w_{2^k}(y) = (-1)^{y-k-1}$ . Consequently,  $w_{2^k}$  is equal to the  $k$ -th Rademacher function.

Let the Walsh-Dirichlet kernels be denoted by  $D_t$  :

$$D_t(y) = \int_0^t w_x(y) dx \quad (t, y \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

It is known (see [5] or [12]) that

$$(1.2) \quad D_{2^n}(y) = \begin{cases} 2^n & 0 \leq y < 2^{-n}, \\ 0 & 2^{-n} \leq y < \infty \end{cases} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is known that the Walsh system can be considered as the dual group of a locally compact Vilenkin group, the dyadic group. Taibleson ([13]) has developed a distribution theory for local fields. Following his concept of distributions we will consider the dyadic Hardy spaces  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) as subspaces of the space of dyadic distributions. More precisely,  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  will be defined by means of atomic decomposition of distributions. To this order let the intervals of the form  $[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) be called dyadic intervals. The Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A$  will be denoted by  $|A|$ . Then a function  $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a  $p$ -atom if there exists a dyadic interval  $I$  such that

$$\text{i) } \text{supp } \mathbf{a} \subset I, \quad \text{ii) } \|\mathbf{a}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq |I|^{-1/p}, \quad \text{iii) } \int_I \mathbf{a} = 0.$$

We say that a dyadic distribution  $f$  belongs to  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) if there exist  $\alpha_k$  real numbers with  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^p < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{a}_k$   $p$ -atoms such that

$$(1.3) \quad f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \mathbf{a}_k.$$

The decomposition is understood in the sense of distributions. The  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \inf \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

with taking the infimum over all decompositions of the form (1.3).

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then the Walsh multiplier operator  $T_\phi$  is said to be bounded on  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) if for every  $f \in \mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  there exists a  $T_\phi \in \mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$\widehat{T_\phi f}(x) = \phi_k \hat{f}(x) \quad (0 \leq x < \infty),$$

where  $\hat{f}$  stands for the Walsh-Fourier transform. Throughout the paper  $C$  will denote an absolute positive constant not necessarily the same in different occurrences.

### 2. Results

In our first theorem we consider a Hörmander-Mihlin ([7], [9]) type condition. We prove that it is sufficient to give boundedness on certain  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $1 < r \leq 2$  and  $\frac{r}{2r-1} < p < 1$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  is differentiable and the inclusion  $\varphi' \in L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  holds. If*

$$(2.1) \quad \left( \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |\varphi'(t)|^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq C 2^{-j(1-1/r)} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

then  $T_\phi$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

In our next theorem we show that *Theorem 2.1* is sharp in the sense that the condition on  $p$  can not be relaxed by replacing the right side by any number smaller than  $r/(2r - 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ . If  $p < r/(2r - 1)$  then there exists a differentiable  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  that satisfies (2.1), but  $T_\phi$  is not bounded from  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .*

For previous results on multipliers on the dyadic Hardy spaces, and Hardy spaces over locally compact Vilenkin groups we refer the reader to the papers [1], [3], [4] and [11].

### 3. Proofs

For the proof of *Theorem 2.1* we need the following lemma which is a Sidon type inequality. The trigonometric version of it was proved by Móricz [10].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $n, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $1 < q \leq 2$ . Then for any  $\gamma \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{2^n} \gamma(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx \leq C_q 2^{-N(1-1/q)} \left( \int_0^{2^n} |\gamma(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we may assume  $n > N$ . Let us start with the following decomposition formula ([6]) for the Dirichlet kernels

$$D_t(x) = w_t(x) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} t_j w_{2^{-j-1}}(x) D_{2^{-j-1}}(x) \quad (t, x \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

Before using this in the left side of (3.1) note that the integration with respect to  $x$  is over the interval  $[2^N, \infty)$ . By (1.2) we have that  $D_{2^{-j-1}}(x) = 0$  holds for any  $x \geq 2^N$  if  $j \leq N - 1$ . Hence

$$\int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{2^n} \gamma(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx = \int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} w_{2^{-j-1}}(x) D_{2^{-j-1}}(x) \int_0^{2^n} t_j \gamma(t) w_t(x) dt \right| dx.$$

After changing the order of integration and summation we obtain

$$\int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{2^n} \gamma(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| w_{2^{-j-1}}(x) D_{2^{-j-1}}(x) \int_0^{2^n} t_j \gamma(t) w_t(x) dt \right| dx.$$

We proceed by introducing the notation  $g_j(x) = \text{sgn} \int_0^{2^n} t_j \gamma(t) w_t(x) dt$ , and rewriting  $D_{2^{-j-1}}$  as  $2^{-(j+1)} \chi_{[0, 2^{j+1}]}$ , where  $\chi_{[0, 2^{j+1}]}$  is the characteristic function of  $[0, 2^{j+1}]$ . Then, after performing a change in the order of integration, our estimate takes the form

$$\int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{2^n} \gamma(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx \leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} \int_0^{2^n} t_j \gamma(t) \int_{2^N}^{\infty} \chi_{[0, 2^{j+1}]}(x) g_j(x) w_t(x) dx dt.$$

The inner integral will be considered as the Walsh-Fourier transform, in notation  $(g_j \widehat{\chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]}})(t)$ , of  $g_j \chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]}$  at  $t$ . By using Hölder's inequality for the outer integral and then the Hausdorff-Young inequality for the Walsh-Fourier transform we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^N}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{2^n} \gamma(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx &\leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} \|\chi_{[0,2^n]} \gamma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} \|(\widehat{g_j \chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]}})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \\ &\leq C_q \left( \int_0^{2^n} |\gamma(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \sum_{j=-N}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} \|\chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]} g_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

By the definition of  $g_j$  we have  $\|\chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]} g_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq 2^{(j+1)/q}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-N}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)} \|\chi_{[0,2^{j+1}]} g_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)} &\leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)(1-1/q)} \leq \\ &\leq C_q 2^{-N(1-1/q)} \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We will show that (2.1) implies that  $\varphi$  satisfies the following condition:

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) w_t(x) dt \right| dx \right)^p \leq C 2^{j(p-1)} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

It was proved by Kitada [8] that (3.2) is sufficient for  $T_\phi$  be bounded on  $\mathbf{H}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Let us split the sum in (3.2) at  $n = j$  and consider the case  $n \geq j$  first

$$I_2 = \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) w_t(x) dt \right| dx \right)^p.$$

If  $x < 2^{-n}$  then  $x_k = 0$  for every  $k < n$ . Similarly,  $t < 2^j$  means  $t_k = 0$  for every  $k < -j$ . Since  $j \leq n$  we have by definition (1.1) that  $w_t(x) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$I_2 = \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( 2^{-(n+1)} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) dt \right| \right)^p.$$

Making use of the fact that  $\varphi$  is bounded, we obtain

$$I_2 \leq \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( 2^{-(n+1)} 2^j C \right)^p \leq C 2^{j(p-1)},$$

which is corresponds to (3.2).

Let us take the  $n < j$  part:

$$I_1 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{j-1} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) w_t(x) dt \right| dx \right)^p.$$

We start with using integration by parts for the integral with respect to  $t$

$$\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) w_t(x) dt = \varphi(t) D_t(x) \Big|_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} - \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi'(t) D_t(x) dt.$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi(t) w_t(x) dt \right| \leq |\varphi(2^j)| D_{2^j}(x) + |\varphi(2^{j-1})| D_{2^{j-1}}(x) + \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi'(t) D_t(x) dt \right|.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{j-1} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} |\varphi(2^{j-1})| D_{2^{j-1}}(x) + |\varphi(2^j)| D_{2^j}(x) dx \right)^p + \\ &+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{j-1} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( \int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi'(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx \right)^p = I_{11} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n < j - 1$  then  $[2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n}] \subset [2^{-j+1}, 1]$ . Recall that  $D_{2^j} = 2^j \chi_{[0, 2^{-j}]}$ , and  $D_{2^{j-1}} = 2^{j-1} \chi_{[0, 2^{-j+1}]}$ . This means that the sum in  $I_{11}$  reduces to a single term

$$I_{11} = 2^{(j-1)(p-1)} (2^{-j} |\varphi(2^{j-1})| 2^{j-1})^p.$$

Again, it follows from the boundedness of  $\varphi$  that  $I_{11} \leq C 2^{j(p-1)}$ .

Applying *Lemma 3.1* to the integral in  $I_{12}$  we obtain

$$\int_{2^{-(n+1)}}^{2^{-n}} \left| \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} \varphi'(t) D_t(x) dt \right| dx \leq C 2^{(n+1)(1-1/r)} \left( \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} |\varphi'(t)|^r dt \right)^{1/r}.$$

Hence we have by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} &\leq C \sum_{n=-\infty}^{j-1} 2^{n(p-1)} \left( 2^{(n+1)(1-1/r)} 2^{j(1/r-1)} \right)^p = \\ &= C 2^{jp(1/r-1)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{j-1} 2^{n(2p-1-p/r)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assumption  $p > \frac{r}{2r-1}$  that  $2p-1-\frac{p}{r} > 0$ . Consequently,

$$I_{12} \leq C 2^{jp(1/r-1)} 2^{j(2p-1-p/r)} = C 2^{j(p-1)}.$$

Combining the estimates for  $I_1$ , and  $I_2$  we obtain the claimed estimate.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Set

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2\pi t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  as follows

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(1-1/r)} \tau_{2^k} \sigma(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^+),$$

where  $\tau_x \sigma(t) = \sigma(t-x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ , and  $\varphi$  is differentiable. Moreover

$$\left( \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |\varphi'(t)|^r dt \right)^{1/r} = \left( \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} 2^{-k(1-1/r)} |2\pi \sin 2\pi t|^r dt \right)^{1/r} < 2\pi 2^{-k(1-1/r)}.$$

Consequently,  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (2.1).

We will define the function  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  by means of the  $p$ -atoms

$$a_k = 2^{k(1/p-1)}(D_{2^{k+1}} - D_{2^k}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let us choose the coefficients  $\lambda_k$  as

$$\lambda_k = 2^{-k(1/p+1/r-2)} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then it follows from the condition  $p < r/(2r-1)$  that  $1/p + 1/r - 2 > 0$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^p < \infty, \text{ i.e. } f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

The action of the multiplier  $\varphi$  on  $f$  can be calculated as follows

$$T_\varphi f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k 2^{k(1/p-1)} 2^{-k(1-1/r)} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \tau_{2^k} \sigma(t) w_t(x) dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

We will show that  $\chi_{[0,1]} T_\varphi \notin L^p[0,1]$ . To this order let us calculate

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \tau_{2^k} \sigma(t) w_t(x) dt, \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

Since  $w_t(x) = w_{[t]}(x)$  ( $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ) (see e.g. [12]) we have

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \tau_{2^k} \sigma(t) w_t(x) dt = w_{2^k}(x) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 2\pi t) dt = w_{2^k}(x) \quad (x \in [0,1]).$$

Consequently,  $\chi_{[0,1]} T_\varphi$  takes the form of a Rademacher series. i.e.

$$T_\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(x) \quad (x \in [0,1]).$$

By the Khintchin inequality,  $\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k r_k \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \approx \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$ . In particular,

$$\int_0^1 |T_\varphi(x)|^p dx = \infty, \text{ i.e. } T_\varphi \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^+).$$



## References

- [1] **Daly J. and Fridli S.**, Walsh multipliers for dyadic Hardy spaces, *Appl. Anal.*, **82** (7) (2003), 689-700.
- [2] **Daly J. and Fridli S.**, Trigonometric multipliers on  $H_{2\pi}$ , *Can. Math. Bull.* (to appear)
- [3] **Daly J. and Phillips K.**, Walsh multipliers and square functions for the Hardy space  $H^1$ , *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **79** (4) (1998), 311-327.
- [4] **Daly J. and Phillips K.**, A note on  $H^1$  multipliers for locally compact Vilenkin groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **41** (4) (1998), 392-397.
- [5] **Fine N.J.**, The generalized Walsh functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1950), 66-77.
- [6] **Fridli S.**, On the integrability of Walsh-Fourier transform, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **10** (1) (1999), 93-102.
- [7] **Hörmander L.**, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces, *Acta Math.*, **104** (1960), 93-139.
- [8] **Kitada K.**,  $H^p$  multiplier theorems on certain totally disconnected groups, *Sci. Rep. Hirosaki Univ.*, **34** (1987), 1-7.
- [9] **Михлин С.Г.**, О мультипликаторах интегралов Фурье, *Доклады АН СССР*, **109** (1956), 701-703. (Mihlin S.G., On the multipliers of Fourier integrals, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **109** (1956), 701-703.)
- [10] **Móricz F.**, Sidon type inequalities, *Publ. de Inst. Math. (Beograd)*, **48** (62) (1990), 101-109.
- [11] **Onneweer C.W. and Quek T.S.**,  $H^p$  multiplier results on locally compact Vilenkin groups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **40** (2) (1989), 313-323.
- [12] **Schipp F. and Wade W.R. and Simon P.**, *Walsh series*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990.
- [13] **Taibleson M.**, *Fourier analysis on local fields*, Mathematical Notes **15**, Princeton University Press, 1975.

(Received September 9, 2004)

**J.E. Daly**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Colorado  
Colorado Springs  
CO 80933-7150, USA  
`jedaly@math.uccs.edu`

**S. Fridli**

Department of Numerical Analysis  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány Péter s. 1/C  
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary  
`fridli@numanal.inf.elte.hu`