

ON THE LATTICE OF WAITING TIMES

G. Zbăganu (Bucharest, Roumania)

Let (Ω, \mathbf{K}, P) be a probabilized space and let (E, \mathbf{E}) be a measurable one. Let $(X_n)_{n \leq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $p = P \circ X_n^{-1}$ be their distribution on E . Let us also denote by q the quantity $1 - p$: $q(A) := 1 - p(A)$, $\forall A \in \mathbf{E}$.

Let $A \in \mathbf{E}$ be such that $p(A) := P(X_n \in A) > 0$. For any such set A we shall consider the random variable given by

$$T(A)(\omega) = \min\{n \geq 1 \mid X_n(\omega) \in A\}$$

and we shall denote by \mathcal{T} the set of all such waiting times.

The purpose of this note is to study the lattice generated by \mathcal{T} . In the sequel, the relations between sets and random variables should be understood as occurring only almost surely; for instance $T(A) \leq T(B)$ (*mod* P) a.s.o.

1. The distribution of $T(A)$

This is classical, studied in all the handbooks of probability theory (e.g. [2]): it is the geometrical one given by $P(T(A) = n) = p(A)q(A)^{n-1}$. Therefore its generating function is

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_{T(A)}(x) = E(x^{T(A)}) = \frac{p(A)x}{1 - q(A)x},$$

the expectation is

$$(1.2) \quad E(T(A)) = \frac{1}{p(A)},$$

the tail probability is

$$(1.3) \quad P(T(A) > t) = q(A)^t$$

for any positive integer t , and its variance is

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Var}(T(A)) = ET(A)^2 - (ET(A))^2 = \frac{q(A)}{p^2(A)}.$$

Moreover, $T(A)$ has all the moments of order n finite, that is $T(A) \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, P)$.

2. T is an inferior semilattice

Actually, the following identity holds:

$$(2.1) \quad T(A) \wedge T(B) = T(A \cup B).$$

Indeed, $\{T(A) \wedge T(B) > n\} = \{X_1 \notin A, X_1 \notin B, X_2 \notin A, X_2 \notin B, \dots, X_n \notin A, X_n \notin B\} = \{X_1 \notin A \cup B, X_2 \notin A \cup B, \dots, X_n \notin A \cup B\} = \{T(A \cup B) > n\}$. It means that the minimum of a finite family of waiting times $T(A_j)$ $1 \leq j \leq n$ is the waiting time $T(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$, that is it is itself a member of T .

Moreover, it is clear that

$$(2.2) \quad A \subset B \Leftrightarrow T(A) \geq T(B),$$

$$(2.3) \quad T(\Omega) = 1,$$

$$(2.4) \quad T(A) \wedge T(A^c) = 1.$$

As a consequence of (2.1) the lattice generated by T is

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Lattice}(T) = \{T(A_1) \vee \dots \vee T(A_n) \mid n \geq 1, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbf{E}\}.$$

3. The distribution and the expectation of the maximum

Let as before $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbf{E}$ and $T = T(A_1) \vee \dots \vee T(A_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The generating function of T is*

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k}} \frac{p\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j\right) x}{1 - xq\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j\right)}$$

and, as a consequence

$$(3.2) \quad ET = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} \frac{1}{p\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j\right)}.$$

In the particular case when the sets A_1, \dots, A_n are disjoint we get the formulas

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} \frac{x \sum_{j \in J} p(A_j)}{1 - x \left(1 - \sum_{j \in J} p(A_j)\right)}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad ET = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in J} p(A_j)}.$$

Proof. Clearly $P(T > n) = P(\exists 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ such that } T(A_j) > n) = P\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{T(A_j) > n\}\right)$ and then, by Poincaré's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(T > n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} P\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} \{T(A_j) > n\}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} P\left(\bigwedge_{j \in J} T(A_j) > n\right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} P\left(T\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j\right) > n\right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore by subtracting

$$\begin{aligned} P(T = n) &= \\ &= P(T > n - 1) - P(T > n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=k}} P\left(T\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j\right) = n\right). \end{aligned}$$

Apply eventually (1.1) and (1.2).

We are going now to answer the question : let $p_j = p(A_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose that the sets $(A_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ are disjoint and let $E(p_1, \dots, p_n) = ET$. **How should be the numbers p_1, \dots, p_n such that ET be minimum?**

Remark first that the domain of E is the set $S = \{\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in [0, 1]^n \mid p_1 + \dots + p_n \leq 1\}$ and that $E : S \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is continuous and symmetrical, i.e. $E(\mathbf{p}) = E(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)})$ for any permutation σ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We are going to use the following result:

Lemma 3.2. (see [3]) *Let $f : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be a continuous symmetric function. Suppose that*

$$(3.5) \quad f(p_1, \dots, p_n) \geq f\left(\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}, \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}, p_3, \dots, p_n\right) \quad \forall \mathbf{p} \in [0, \infty)^n.$$

Then $f(\mathbf{p}) \geq f\left(\frac{s}{n}, \frac{s}{n}, \dots, \frac{s}{n}\right)$, where $s = p_1 + \dots + p_n$.

Proposition 3.3.

$$E(p_1, \dots, p_n) \geq E\left(\frac{s}{n}, \frac{s}{n}, \dots, \frac{s}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{s} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where $s = p_1 + \dots + p_n$, therefore the answer to our question is: ET is minimum when $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = 1/n$.

In order to apply Lemma 3.2, let us compute the difference

$$D(\mathbf{p}) := E(\mathbf{p}) - E(p, p, p_3, \dots, p_n)$$

with $p = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}$. We get

Lemma 3.4. *The following equality holds*

$$(3.6) \quad D(\mathbf{p}) = f(0) - \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} f(p_j) + \sum_{\substack{3 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n \\ j_1 \neq j_2}} f(p_{j_1} + p_{j_2}) - \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{3, 4, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k}} f\left(\sum_{j \in J} p_j\right)$$

with $f : [0, \infty)$ given by

$$(3.7) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{p_1 + x} + \frac{1}{p_2 + x} - \frac{2}{\frac{p_1 + p_2}{2} + x}.$$

Proof. If one replaces (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) with (p, p, p_3, \dots, p_n) , then in (3.4) the sum $\sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k}} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{1}{p_j}$ becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k, J \supset \{1, 2\} \text{ or } J^c \supset \{1, 2\}}} \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in J} p_j} + 2 \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k-1, J^c \supset \{1, 2\}}} \frac{1}{\frac{p_1+p_2}{2} + \sum_{j \in J} p_j}.$$

After doing the difference the first term disappears.

For instance for $n = 3$ one gets $D(\mathbf{p}) = f(0) - f(p_3)$; for $n = 4$ the formula (3.6) becomes $D(\mathbf{p}) = f(0) - f(p_3) - f(p_4) + f(p_3 + p_4)$; for $n = 5$ one gets $D(\mathbf{p}) = f(0) - f(p_3) - f(p_4) - f(p_5) + f(p_3 + p_4) + f(p_3 + p_5) + f(p_4 + p_5) - f(p_3 + p_4 + p_5)$ and so on. If one examines these quantities one sees that they can be expressed using the difference operators Δ defined as

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta_h f(x) = f(x) - f(x + h)$$

as follows: for $n = 3$ $D(\mathbf{p}) = \Delta_{p_3} f(0)$; for $n = 4$ $D(\mathbf{p})$ can be expressed by the "multiplication" $D(\mathbf{p}) = \Delta_{p_3} \Delta_{p_4} \Delta_{p_5} f(0)$ a.s.o. By induction over n one easily checks that (3.6) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad D(\mathbf{p}) = \Delta_{p_3} \Delta_{p_4} \dots \Delta_{p_n} f(0).$$

Now, the difference operators are classical and they have been studied for hundreds of years, beginning with Newton. The reader can find a study of their properties in [1]. However, we did not see the following formula which the reader can easily check by induction over n .

Lemma 3.5. *The following equality holds*

$$(3.10) \quad D(\mathbf{p}) = \int_0^{p_3} \int_{t_3}^{t_3+p_4} \dots \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}+p_n} (-1)^n f^{(n-2)}(t_n) dt_n dt_{n-1} \dots dt_3,$$

where $f^{(n)}$ is the n -th derivative of f .

Now we are going to check that (3.5) holds, i.e. that $D(\mathbf{p}) \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.6. *The function f given by (3.7) has the property that*

$$(3.11) \quad (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

Proof. It is better to write $f(x) = (x+2a)^{-1} + (x+2b)^{-1} - 2(a+b+x)^{-1}$ with $a = p_1/2$, $b = p_2/2$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) = n!((x+2a)^{-n-1} + (x+2b)^{-n-1} - 2(x+a+b)^{-n-1}).$$

Now this quantity is nonnegative due to convexity reasons: the function $\varphi(a) = (x+2a)^{-n-1}$ is convex for any $x \geq 0$ fixed, hence

$$\frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2} - \varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq 0.$$

Now we can prove Proposition 3.3. As the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 are fulfilled, the first inequality is clear. Let us compute

$$E\left(\frac{s}{n}, \frac{s}{n}, \dots, \frac{s}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{s} \left(C_n^1 - \frac{C_n^2}{2} + \frac{C_n^3}{4} - \dots \right).$$

If one considers the derivative of the function $x \mapsto xC_n^1 - \frac{x^2C_n^2}{2} + \frac{x^3C_n^3}{4} - \dots$ which is $(1 - (1-x)^n)/x$ one can see that

$$C_n^1 - \frac{C_n^2}{2} + \frac{C_n^3}{4} - \dots = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (1-x)^n}{x} dx;$$

making the change of variable $x := 1 - x$ one gets the result

$$C_n^1 - \frac{C_n^2}{2} + \frac{C_n^3}{3} - \dots = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - x^n}{1-x} dx = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now we shall point out a similar result for the tail probabilities $P(T > t)$.

Proposition 3.7. (i) Let A_1, \dots, A_n sets from E and $T = T(A_1) \vee T(A_2) \vee \dots \vee T(A_n)$. Then

$$(3.13) \quad P(T > t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=k}} q^t \left(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j \right).$$

(ii) Suppose that the sets $(A_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ are disjoint and $p(A_j) = p_j$. Let $s = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$ and denote the probability $P(T > t)$ by $r_t(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with $t \geq n$. Then

$$(3.14) \quad r_t(p_1, \dots, p_n) \geq$$

$$\geq r_t \left(\frac{s}{n}, \frac{s}{n}, \dots, \frac{s}{n} \right) = C_n^1 \left(1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)^t - C_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{2s}{n} \right)^t + C_n^3 \left(1 - \frac{3s}{n} \right)^t - \dots$$

Proof. (i) Apply (1.3) and (3.1).

(ii) The trick will be the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, except that in this case the difference $D(\mathbf{p}) = r_t(p_1, \dots, p_n) - r_t(p, p, p_3, \dots, p_n)$ (with $p = (p_1 + p_2)/2$) is equal to

$$(3.15) \quad D(\mathbf{p}) = \Delta_{p_3} \Delta_{p_4} \dots \Delta_{p_n} g_t(0)$$

with

$$(3.16) \quad g_t(x) = (1 - p_1 - x)^t + (1 - p_2 - x)^t - 2(1 - p - x)^t.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^j g_t^{(j)}(x) = \\ & = t(t-1) \dots (t-j+1) [(1-p_1-x)^{t-j} + (1-p_2-x)^{t-j} - 2(1-p-x)^{t-j}] = \\ & = \varphi(1-p_1) + \varphi(1-p_2) - 2\varphi \left(\frac{(1-p_1) + (1-p_2)}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi(u) = (u-x)^{t-j}$ a convex function for any $j < t+2$ it follows that $D(\mathbf{p}) \geq 0$ (use formula (3.10)) and that settles the first assumption of (ii). As about the second equality in (3.14), it immediately follows from (3.13).

About the variance of T : we do not believe that it is possible to find a nice formula for it. To see what happens, consider the case of two sets A and B . The generating function is

$$(3.17) \quad \varphi := \varphi_{T(A) \vee T(B)} = \varphi_{T(A)} + \varphi_{T(B)} - \varphi_{T(A \cup B)}.$$

Then $Var(T) = \varphi''(1) + \varphi'(1) - (\varphi'(1))^2$. Doing the computation one gets

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} Var(T) &= Var(T(A)) + Var(T(B)) - Var(T(A \cup B)) - \\ & - 2 \left(\frac{1}{p(A)} - \frac{1}{p(A \cup B)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p(B)} - \frac{1}{p(A \cup B)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now compare this formula with $ET = \frac{1}{p(A)} + \frac{1}{p(B)} - \frac{1}{p(A \cup B)}$. If $p(A) = a$, $p(B) = b$ with $a \leq b$ and $p(A \cup B) = x$ then it is easy to see that ET is minimum when x is minimum and maximum when x is maximum (hence $x = (a+b) \wedge 1$). In other words, if we want ET to be the least we should have the inclusion $A \subset B$ and if we want it to be the greatest then $p(A \cup B)$ should be as great as possible. This is not true in the case of the variance: nor the maximum,

neither the minimum are attained in these extreme situations. For example, if $p(A) = p(B) = 0.25$ then $\text{Var}(T(A) \vee T(B))$ is maximum for $p(A \cup B) = \frac{6}{17}$ and not for $p(A \cup B) = 0.5$. If $a = 0.5$ and $b = 0.75$ then, unlike the case of expectations, $\text{Var}(T(A) \vee T(B))$ is maximum (equal to 2) for $A \subset B$ and minimum for $A \cup B = E$ (equal to $2 - \frac{2}{9}$), as the reader can check doing some tedious elementary computations.

We do not know a result similar to Proposition 3.3 holds. Even in the case $n = 2$ the computations are not very simple, not to mention greater n . In other words *we do not know when the variance of T is minimum*. At least we can prove

Proposition 3.8. $\text{Var}(T(A) \vee T(B)) \geq \text{Var}(T(A)) \wedge \text{Var}(T(B))$.

Proof. Let a, b, x as before. Then $\text{Var}(T(A)) \wedge \text{Var}(T(B)) = \frac{1-b}{b^2}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \text{Var}(T(A) \vee T(B)) - \text{Var}(T(A)) \wedge \text{Var}(T(B)) = \\ &= \frac{1-a}{a^2} - \frac{1-x}{x^2} - \frac{2(x-a)(x-b)}{abx^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$g : [b, (a+b) \wedge 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$. As g is a function of the form $g(x) = A + \frac{B}{x} - \frac{3}{x^2}$, its derivative has at most one zero on the interval $[b, (a+b) \wedge 1]$. It follows that there are only two situations: either g increases and then decreases or g is monotonous. Be as it be,

$$(3.19) \quad \min g = g(b) \wedge g((a+b) \wedge 1).$$

As $g(b) = \frac{1-a}{a^2} - \frac{1-b}{b^2} \geq 0$, all we have to check is that $g((a+b) \wedge 1) \geq 0$.

Case 1. $0 < a \leq b$, $a+b \geq 1 \Rightarrow b \geq 0.5$, then $(a+b) \wedge 1 = 1$, $g(1) = \frac{1-a}{a} \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{2(1-b)}{b} \right)$. Now $g(1) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{b}{2(1-b)}$. But $a \leq b$ and $b \leq \frac{b}{2(1-b)} \Leftrightarrow 0.5$, which is true.

Case 2. $0 < a \leq b$, $a+b \leq 1 \Rightarrow a \leq 0.5$, then $(a+b) \wedge 1 = a+b \Rightarrow g(a+b) = \frac{1-a}{a^2} - \frac{3-t}{t^2}$ with $t = a+b \geq 2a$. We have to check that $\frac{3-t}{t^2} \leq \frac{1-a}{a^2}$ for all $t \in [2a, 1]$. As the function $t \mapsto \frac{3-t}{t^2}$ is decreasing it is enough to check that $\frac{3-2a}{4a^2} \leq \frac{3-a}{a^2} \Leftrightarrow 3-2a \leq 4-4a \Leftrightarrow 2a \leq 1$, which is true.

4. The case of only two sets: correlation between $T(A)$ and $T(B)$

We shall be concerned now with the joint distribution of the random vector $(T(A), T(B))$.

Lemma 4.1.

$$P(T(A) = i, T(B) = j) = \begin{cases} p(A)p(B \setminus A)q(A \cup B)^{j-1}q(A)^{i-j-1} & \text{if } i > j, \\ p(B)p(A \setminus B)q(A \cup B)^{i-1}q(B)^{j-i-1} & \text{if } i < j, \\ p(A \cap B)q(A \cap B)^{i-1} & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Very easy and therefore left to the reader.

Proposition 4.2. *The following equalities hold:*

$$(4.1) \quad E(T(A)T(B)) = \frac{p(A) + p(B) - p(A)p(B)}{p(A)p(B)p(A \cup B)},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{cov}(T(A), T(B)) &:= \\ &:= E(T(A)T(B)) - E(T(A))E(T(B)) = \frac{p(A \cap B) - p(A)p(B)}{p(A)p(B)p(A \cup B)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \rho(T(A), T(B)) = \frac{\text{cov}(T(A), T(B))}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(T(A))\text{Var}(T(B))}} = \frac{p(A \cap B) - p(A)p(B)}{p(A)p(B)\sqrt{q(A)q(B)}}.$$

Proof. The only tiresome computation is (4.1). First the reader should compute the series

$$(4.4) \quad s_1(x, y) = \sum_{i, j \geq 1, i > j} ijx^{j-1}y^{i-j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 x^{i-1},$$

to establish that

$$(4.5) \quad s_1(x, y) = \frac{2}{(1-x)^3(1-y)} + \frac{y}{(1-x)^2(1-y)^2}, \quad s_2(x) = \frac{2}{(1-x)^3} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$$

which further implies

(4.6)

$$E(T(A)T(B); T(A) > T(B)) = p(B \setminus A) \left(\frac{2}{p(A \cup B)^3} + \frac{q(A)}{p(A)p(A \cup B)^2} \right),$$

(4.7)

$$E(T(A)T(B); T(A) < T(B)) = p(A \setminus B) \left(\frac{2}{p(A \cup B)^3} + \frac{q(B)}{p(B)p(A \cup B)^2} \right),$$

$$(4.8) \quad E(T(A)T(B); T(A) = T(B)) = p(A \cap B) \left(\frac{2}{p(A \cup B)^3} - \frac{1}{p(A \cup B)^2} \right).$$

Adding (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} E(T(A)T(B)) &= \\ &= \frac{2(p(B \setminus A) + p(A \setminus B) + p(A \cap B))}{p(A \cup B)^3} + \frac{\frac{p(B \setminus A)q(A)}{p(A)} + \frac{p(A \setminus B)q(B)}{p(B)} - p(A \cap B)}{p(A \cup B)^2} = \\ &= \frac{2 + \frac{p(B \setminus A)q(A)}{p(A)} + \frac{p(A \setminus B)q(B)}{p(B)} - p(A \cap B)}{p(A \cup B)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = p(A)$, $y = p(B)$, $z = p(A \cap B)$. Then

(4.9)

$$\begin{aligned} E(T(A)T(B)) &=: \\ &= \frac{2xy - xyz + (y - z)(y - xy) + (x - z)(x - xy)}{xy(x + y - z)^2} = \frac{x + y - xy}{xy(x + y - z)} \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (4.1).

There is something interesting with the random variables from T : as in the normal case *they are independent iff they are noncorrelated*, i.e. their correlation coefficient is equal to 0.

Proposition 4.2. (*Bounds on the correlation coefficient*)

(i) *The correlation coefficient between $T(A)$ and $T(B)$ satisfies the inequalities*

$$(4.10) \quad -0.5 \leq \rho(T(A), T(B)) \leq 1.$$

(ii) *$T(A)$ and $T(B)$ are noncorrelated iff they are independent. Precisely*

$$(4.11) \quad \rho(T(A), T(B)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B) \Leftrightarrow$$

$\Leftrightarrow A$ and B are independent (with respect to the probability p) $\Leftrightarrow T(A)$ and $T(B)$ are independent.

Proof. (i) The right bound in (4.10) is attained if $A = B$. We shall prove the left inequality and seek the case in which the equality is attained. Let $x = p(A)$, $y = p(B)$, $a = p(A \cap B)$. Suppose that a is fixed. Then we consider ρ as a function

$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{a - xy}{(x + y - a)\sqrt{(1-x)(1-y)}}.$$

The domain of ρ is the set $D_a\{(x, y) \mid x, y \geq a, x + y \leq a + 1\}$ (because $p(A), p(B) \geq p(A \cap B)$ and $p(A \cup B) = x + y - a \leq 1$). The set D_a is symmetric for any $0 \leq a < 1$ and the function ρ is again symmetric (clearly $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$). Suppose the sum $x + y = s$ is fixed. Denoting $u = 1 - x$, $v = 1 - y$, $t^2 = uv$ we see that we can write $\rho(x, y) = A(B/t - t)$. This function is decreasing in t , that is why for any fixed s the function is minimum when t is maximum $\Leftrightarrow t^2$ is maximum $\Leftrightarrow 1 - x = 1 - y \Leftrightarrow x = y$. Consequently

$$\rho(x, y) \geq \rho(x, x) = \frac{a - x^2}{(2x - a)(1 - x)}.$$

Denote this function by $g(x)$. The domain of g is the interval $[a, (a + 1)/2]$. One checks that the derivative $g' \leq 0$, hence the minimum of g is attained for $x = (a + 1)/2$. Consequently we get that

$$\rho(x, y) \geq g\left(\frac{a + 1}{2}\right) = \frac{a - 1}{2}.$$

We conclude that $\rho(x, y) \geq -0.5$ and the bound is attained iff $a = 0$ (i.e. the sets A and B are disjoint) and $p(A) = p(B) = 1/2$.

(ii) From (4.3) one gets $\rho(x, y) = 0$ iff $p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B) \Leftrightarrow A$ and B are independent with respect to the probability $p = P^0(X_n)^{-1}$. Looking at the relations from Lemma 1 one sees that in this case $T(A)$ and $T(B)$ are independent. Conversely, if $T(A)$ and $T(B)$ are independent, they are noncorrelated, too.

References

- [1] **Aigner M.**, *Combinatorial theory*, Springer, 1979.
- [2] **Feller W.**, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 1957.
- [3] **Zbăganu G.**, Some entropy-like indices and their connection with the metrization of σ -algebras, *Studii și cercetări*, **38** (1) (1986), 76-88.

-
- [4] **Mărgăritescu E.**, Optimal properties for some Bonferroni-type inequalities, *Rev. Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appl.*, **35** (6) (1990), 541-548.

(Received September 14, 1997)

G. Zbăganu

Centre for Mathematical Statistics

Calea 13 septembrie 13.

Ro-76100 Buçuresti

Roumania