

## ON THE POSITIVITY OF ITERATIVE METHODS

R. Horváth (Sopron, Hungary)

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the positivity of some vector sequences produced by given vector-iteration. In our investigation we apply the well-known power method (e.g. [5]). We give some sufficient conditions of the positivity of the generated vector sequence depending both on the initial vector and on the matrix of the iteration. Applying this result we formulate a sufficient condition of the power-positivity of a given quadratic matrix. Furthermore, we consider the numerical solution of the one dimensional heat conduction equation. Considering the results of [1] we give a condition that guaranties the positivity of the approximating vector sequence. Finally, we obtain some bounds for parameters of the discretization scheme. In the case of  $n \geq 2$  we get a well-known sufficient condition, which was obtained by use of the Lorenz criterion ([4]).

In this paper we use the following notations:

$N_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a set of indices;  $S(R^{n \times n})$  is the class of the symmetric, real matrices of order  $n$ ;  $(\mathbf{A})_k$  is the  $k$ -th column of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ;  $(\mathbf{v})_l$  is the  $l$ -th element of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ ;  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty$  denotes the maximum norm of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . We denote by  $\lambda_k$  ( $k \in N_n$ ) the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  ( $\mathbf{A} \in S(R^{n \times n})$ ) and we suppose that  $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$  is valid. We shall say that an eigenvalue  $\lambda_r$  is dominant if  $|\lambda_{r-1}| > |\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}|$  is fulfilled. It is obvious that we can choose orthonormal eigenvectors. These eigenvectors are denoted by  $\mathbf{v}_k$  ( $k \in N_n$ ).

### 1. The power method

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in S(R^{n \times n})$  be an arbitrary matrix with the eigenvalues and eigenvectors  $\lambda_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_k$  ( $k \in N_n$ ), respectively. Let  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} \in R^n$ ,  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} \neq 0$ , be*

an arbitrary vector. Let us denote by  $\sigma \in N_n$  that index for which  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_r) = 0$  for every  $r < \sigma$  ( $r \in N_n$ ) and  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \neq 0$ . If the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\sigma$  is positive and dominant then the procedure

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{z}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^{(i)}; \quad \mathbf{y}^{(i+1)} = \frac{\mathbf{z}^{(i+1)}}{\|\mathbf{z}^{(i+1)}\|_\infty}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

is convergent and the vector sequence  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  has the limit

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \text{sign}((\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma)) \frac{\mathbf{v}_\sigma}{\|\mathbf{v}_\sigma\|_\infty}.$$

**Remark.** The index  $\sigma$  depends both on the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and on the vector  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$ , too. Since for an arbitrary  $\mathbf{A} \in S(R^{n \times n})$  the vectors  $(\mathbf{v}_k)$  ( $k \in N_n$ ) form a basis in  $R^n$  so there exists such index  $\sigma \in N_n$  for which  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** (Compare e.g. [5]) We can write the vector  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$  in the basis  $(\mathbf{v}_k)$  in the form

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{y}^{(0)} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \mathbf{v}_k.$$

From the iteration (1.1) it follows immediately that

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \frac{\mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}}{\|\mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}\|_\infty}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Applying the formula (1.3) we have

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)} &= \mathbf{A}^i \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \mathbf{v}_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \lambda_k^i \mathbf{v}_k = \\ &= \lambda_\sigma^i ((\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \mathbf{v}_\sigma + \sum_{k=\sigma+1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_\sigma} \right)^i \mathbf{v}_k), \\ \|\mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}\|_\infty &= \left\| \sum_{k=\sigma}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \lambda_k^i \mathbf{v}_k \right\|_\infty = \end{aligned}$$

$$= |\lambda_\sigma^i| \| (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \mathbf{v}_\sigma + \sum_{k=\sigma+1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_\sigma} \right)^i \mathbf{v}_k \|_\infty.$$

Using (1.5) the expression (1.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \frac{\lambda_\sigma^i ((\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \mathbf{v}_\sigma + \sum_{k=\sigma+1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_\sigma} \right)^i \mathbf{v}_k)}{|\lambda_\sigma^i| \| (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) \mathbf{v}_\sigma + \sum_{k=\sigma+1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_\sigma} \right)^i \mathbf{v}_k \|_\infty}.$$

Finally, approaching  $i \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the statement of the Lemma 1.

## 2. Application of the power method in vector-iteration

In general the power method (1.1) is used to obtain the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\sigma$ . Further we will use this method to the investigation of vector sequences (1.1). For the sake of brevity we introduce the following definitions.

**Definition.** An arbitrary matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in R^{n \times n}$  is called positive if all elements of the matrix are positive. In notation:  $\mathbf{A} > 0$ .

In a similar manner we can define and introduce the notion of a negative matrix. (Obviously, we can apply these definitions also to the vectors.)

**Definition.** An arbitrary  $\mathbf{A} \in R^{n \times n}$  is called a power-positive matrix if there exists such natural number  $M$  that  $\mathbf{A}^m > 0$  for all  $m \geq M$  ( $m \in N$ ).

(Obviously any positive matrix is power-positive, too.)

**Definition.** Let  $\{\alpha_m\}$  be any numerical, vector or matrix sequence. The sequence  $\{\alpha_m\}$  is called quasi-positive (or quasi-negative) if there exists a natural number  $m_0$  such that  $\alpha_m > 0$  (or  $\alpha_m < 0$ ) for every  $m \geq m_0$  ( $m \in N$ ). (If  $m_0 = 1$  then we call the sequence positive (or negative).)

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in S(R^{n \times n})$  be an arbitrary matrix,  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} \neq 0 \in R^n$  an arbitrary vector and  $l_0 \in N_n$  be a fixed index, respectively. We denote by  $\eta = \eta(l_0, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{y}^{(0)})$  the smallest index in  $N_n$  for which  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\eta) \neq 0$  and  $(\mathbf{v}_\eta)_{l_0} \neq 0$ . The value of  $\eta$  depends on the index  $l_0$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and the vector  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta \geq \sigma$ . However we remark that the index  $\eta$  may not exist for certain indices  $l_0$ . (For this case we shall give an example later.)

**Lemma 2.** *Let us consider the iteration*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{y}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \quad ; \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix from  $S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  and  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} \neq 0$  is an arbitrary vector. Let  $l_0 \in N_n$  be a fixed index for which the  $\eta \in N_n$  index exists. Furthermore, we suppose that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\eta$  is positive and dominant. If  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\eta)(\mathbf{v}_\eta)_{l_0} > 0$  then the number sequence  $(\mathbf{y}^{(i)})_{l_0}$  is quasi-positive and if  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\eta)(\mathbf{v}_\eta)_{l_0} < 0$  then it is quasi-negative, respectively.

**Proof.** From the definition of the iteration (2.1) it follows directly that  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}$ . So, the sign of the elements of the vector  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  is identical with the sign of the elements of the vector

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{w}^{(i)} := \frac{\mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}}{\|\mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{y}^{(0)}\|_\infty}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Corresponding to Lemma 1 if  $i \rightarrow \infty$  then the vector sequence  $\mathbf{w}^{(i)}$  ( $i \in N$ ) converges to its limit, that is

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \text{sign}((\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma)) \frac{\mathbf{v}_\sigma}{\|\mathbf{v}_\sigma\|_\infty}.$$

If  $\eta = \sigma$  then the statement follows directly from the expression (2.3). If  $\eta > \sigma$  then it can be seen from (2.3) that the numerical sequence  $(\mathbf{w}^{(i)})_{l_0}$  converges to zero. In this case let us consider directly the values of  $(\mathbf{y}^{(i)})_{l_0}$ .

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathbf{y}^{(i)})_{l_0} &= \sum_{k=\eta}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \lambda_k^i (\mathbf{v}_k)_{l_0} = \\ &= \lambda_\eta^i \left[ (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\eta) (\mathbf{v}_\eta)_{l_0} + \sum_{k=\eta+1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_\eta} \right)^i (\mathbf{v}_k)_{l_0} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that for  $i \rightarrow \infty$  the multiplier  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\eta) (\mathbf{v}_\eta)_{l_0}$  determinates the sign of the elements of  $(\mathbf{y}^{(i)})_{l_0}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Corollary.** Let us suppose that  $\mathbf{v}_\sigma > 0$ . Then in the case of  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) > 0$  the vector sequence  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  is quasi-positive and in the case of  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) < 0$ , it is quasi-negative, respectively.

**Remark.** If the index  $\eta$  does not exist (that is for every  $r \in N_n$  we have  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_r) = 0$  or  $(\mathbf{v}_r)_{l_0} = 0$ ) then

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathbf{y}^{(i)})_{l_0} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_k) \lambda_k^i (\mathbf{v}_k)_{l_0} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

i.e. the  $l_0$ -th element of the vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  is zero for every  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We give now a condition for the power-positivity of a real, symmetric matrix.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in S(R^{n \times n})$  with a dominant and positive eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . If  $\mathbf{v}_1 > 0$  then the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is power-positive.*

**Proof.**  $(\mathbf{A}^i)_k$ , the  $k$ -th column of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}^i$ , can be written in the form  $(\mathbf{A}^i)_k = \mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{e}_k$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th unit vector. Since  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{v}_1) > 0$  for any  $k \in N_n$ , we have iterations with the starting vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)} = \mathbf{e}_k$  ( $k \in N_n$ ). By applying the corollary of the previous lemma it is clear that  $\mathbf{A}$  is power-positive.

### 3. Analysis of the numerical solution of the heat conduction equation

Let us consider the parabolic problem having the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in (0, 1),$$

$$(3.1) \quad u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$u(\xi, 0) = u_0(\xi), \quad \xi \in [0, 1].$$

The numerical solution of this problem can be obtained in every grid-point of an equidistant  $(\tau, h)$  mesh by solving the following systems of linear algebraic equations (see e.g. [3])

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathbf{E} + \theta \tau \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{y}^{(j+1)} = (\mathbf{E} - (1 - \theta) \tau \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{y}^{(j)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Here  $\tau$  and  $h = \frac{1}{n+1}$  are the step-sizes of the discretization in the time and space variables, respectively;  $\mathbf{E}$  denotes the unit matrix and  $\mathbf{Q}$  is the uniformly continuant matrix  $\frac{1}{h^2} \text{tridiag}[-1, 2, -1]$ . The vector  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$  is an approximation

of the initial function  $u_0(\xi)$ . The parameter  $\theta$  characterizing the discretization is a fixed number in  $[0, 1]$ . Introducing the notations  $q := \frac{\tau}{h^2}$  and

$$(3.3) \quad z = \theta q; \quad s = (1 - \theta)q; \quad p = 1 - 2q(1 - \theta); \quad x = \frac{1 + 2\theta q}{\theta q},$$

the system of the linear algebraic equations (3.2) can be written in the following form

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{y}^{(j+1)} = \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{y}^{(j)}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Here the matrices

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_1 &= z \cdot \text{tridiag}[-1, x, -1], \\ \mathbf{X}_2 &= \text{tridiag}[s, p, s] \end{aligned}$$

are symmetric, uniformly continuant matrices. If  $\theta = 0$  then  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{E}$ . Since  $\mathbf{X}_1$  is invertable, so introducing the notation

$$\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{X}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2$$

(3.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{y}^{(j+1)} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{y}^{(j)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall examine the following problem: under which conditions produces the iteration (3.6) a quasi-positive (quasi-negative) vector sequence? To this aim let us apply Lemma 2 checking that for the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  all conditions of the lemma are satisfied.

a) The matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  is symmetric because it can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{1}{z} [(xs + p)\mathbf{G} - s\mathbf{E}],$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  is a symmetric matrix ([1]).

b) The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  are given by

$$(3.7) \quad \Lambda_k = 1 - \frac{\tau\omega_k}{1 + \theta\tau\omega_k},$$

$$(\mathbf{v}_k)_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \sin\left(\frac{ik\pi}{n+1}\right), \quad i, k \in N_n,$$

where  $\omega_k = \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi}{2(n+1)} \right)$  ( $k \in N_n$ ) are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  (see e.g. [2]).

Notice that it is doubtful that the indexing of the eigenvalues in (3.7) satisfies the conditions  $|\Lambda_1| \geq |\Lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\Lambda_n|$ . But it is easy to see that

$$(3.8) \quad \Lambda_1 > \Lambda_2 > \dots > \Lambda_n.$$

From the expression (3.7) it can be seen directly that the eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$  is positive. Now let  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$  be such an initial vector for which  $\sigma = 1$ . For the quasi-positivity (or quasi-negativity) of the vector sequence (3.6) it is sufficient to show that the eigenvalue  $\Lambda_1$  is positive and dominant. The inequality  $\Lambda_1 > 0$  is assured under the condition

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1 - (1 - \theta)\tau\omega_1}{1 + \theta\tau\omega_1} > 0.$$

Substituting here the value of  $\omega_1$  we obtain the following inequality

$$(3.10) \quad q < \frac{1}{4(1 - \theta) \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \right)}, \quad \text{if } \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Notice that for  $\theta = 1$  (3.10) holds for any  $q$ .

To ensure that  $\Lambda_1$  is a dominant eigenvalue we require  $\Lambda_1 > |\Lambda_n|$ . If  $\Lambda_n \geq 0$  then this condition is automatically fulfilled. In case of  $\Lambda_n < 0$  we get the condition  $\Lambda_1 > -\Lambda_n$ . Due to

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \right), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{4}{h^2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the above requirement gives the following condition with respect to  $q$ :

$$(3.12) \quad 4\theta(\theta - 1) \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) q^2 + 4 \left( \theta - \frac{1}{2} \right) q + 1 > 0, \quad \text{if } \theta \in [0, 1].$$

In case  $\theta = 1$ , no condition arises.

We can summarize our results as follows:

**Lemma 4.** *If the parameters  $q$  and  $\theta$  satisfy the conditions (3.10) and (3.12) and  $\mathbf{y}^{(0)}$  is such an initial vector for which  $\sigma = 1$  then the vector-sequence  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  defined by (3.6) is*

- a) *quasi-positive if  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_1) > 0$ ,*
- b) *quasi-negative if  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_1) < 0$ .*

**Remark.** It was stated earlier that  $\eta$  may not exist to every index  $l_0 \in N_n$  (see before Lemma 2). For example let the initial vector be  $\mu \mathbf{v}_2$ , ( $0 \neq \mu \in R$ ) and  $n$  an arbitrary odd natural number. Then in the case of  $l_0 = \frac{n+1}{2}$  the index  $\eta$  satisfying the prescribed conditions does not exist. This is easy to see since  $(\mathbf{v}_2)_{l_0} = 0$  and  $(\mu \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_k) = 0$  if  $k \neq 2$ . Consequently for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots$  we have  $(\mathbf{K}^j(\mu \mathbf{v}_2))_{l_0} = 0$ .

Notice to Lemma 4 that  $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_1) > 0$  ( $(\mathbf{y}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_1) < 0$ ) for any  $0 \neq \mathbf{y}^{(0)} \geq 0$  ( $0 \neq \mathbf{y}^{(0)} \leq 0$ ) vectors since  $\mathbf{v}_1 > 0$ . Furthermore we consider the power-positivity of the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If the conditions (3.10) and (3.12) are fulfilled then the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  is power-positive.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. Since  $\mathbf{v}_1 > 0$  the statement of the lemma is trivially valid.

Let us consider the conditions (3.10) and (3.12) in more detail. We want to obtain sufficient upper bounds for  $\tau$  in terms of  $\theta$  and  $n+1$  (where  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $n > 0$ ) which are more practicable for use than that of (3.10) and (3.12). Since  $\sin(\frac{\pi}{n+1}) < \frac{\pi}{n+1}$  for every  $n > 0$  (3.10) leads to the condition

$$(3.15) \quad \tau \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2(1-\theta)}, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

For  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = 1$  the expression (3.12) is linear in  $q$ , therefore we obtain the following upper bounds

$$(i) \quad \tau < \frac{1}{2(n+1)^2} \quad \text{if } \theta = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad \tau < \infty \quad \text{if } \theta = 1.$$

Now suppose that  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . If  $q$  is between the two roots of the quadratic expression (3.12) then (3.12) is satisfied. These roots are

$$(3.16) \quad q_{1,2} = \frac{2(\theta - \frac{1}{2}) \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta(1-\theta) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}}{4\theta(1-\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}.$$



Since the absolute value of  $2\theta - 1$  is smaller than the square root of the discriminant and since  $q$  is positive we obtain the bound

$$(3.17) \quad 0 < q < \frac{2(\theta - \frac{1}{2}) + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta(1 - \theta) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}}{4\theta(1 - \theta) \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{n+1}}.$$

From (3.17) the following sufficient upper bounds can be derived for  $\tau$ .

$$(iii) \quad \tau \leq \frac{2\theta - 1}{2\theta(1 - \theta)\pi^2} \quad \text{if } \theta \in (0.5, 1),$$

$$(iv) \quad \tau \leq \frac{1}{\pi(n + 1)} \quad \text{if } \theta = 0.5,$$

$$(v) \quad \tau \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \theta)(n + 1)^2} \quad \text{if } \theta \in (0, 0.5).$$

Notice that the upper bounds (i)-(v) obtained from (3.12) are for any  $\theta \in [0, 1)$  smaller than (3.15) which is obtained from (3.10). Therefore, the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  is power-positive for every  $n$  if the parameters  $\tau$  and  $\theta$  satisfy the adequate inequality from (i)-(v).

Finally we formulate a sufficient condition for the positivity of the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  having order greater one.

**Lemma 6.** *The matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  of order greater than one is positive if the parameters  $q$  and  $\theta$  satisfy one the following conditions*

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &a) \quad q < 0.5, \quad \text{if } \theta = 0, \\ &b) \quad \text{for any } q, \quad \text{if } \theta = 1, \\ &c) \quad q < \frac{-1 + 2\theta + \sqrt{1 - \theta(1 - \theta)}}{3\theta(1 - \theta)}, \quad \text{if } \theta \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** *This means that under condition (3.18) the iteration (3.6) for  $n \geq 2$  preserves the positivity.*

**Proof.** In the case  $n = 2$  it can be seen that the condition (3.17) gives a stronger bound than (3.10). From this follows immediately that the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  of order greater than one is power-positive if

$$\begin{aligned} &q < 0.5 \quad \text{if } \theta = 0, \\ &\text{for any } q \quad \text{if } \theta = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad q < \frac{-1 + 2\theta + \sqrt{1 - \theta(1 - \theta)}}{3\theta(1 - \theta)} \quad \text{if } \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Using the results of [1] we know that the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  can contain nonpositive elements only on the main diagonal. But such  $\mathbf{K}$  matrix cannot be power-positive. So, under the condition (3.19), the matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  of order two is positive. It follows immediately from [1] that every matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  of order greater than two is also positive. This completes the proof.

**Remark.** The bound (3.18) for  $n \geq 2$  was obtained by Stoyan using the Lorenz criterion ([4]). Using the results of Faragó ([1]) this bound can also be derived.

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to István Faragó and Gisbert Stoyan for useful advices and for the help by English. The author are indebted to the referee for her careful work.

### References

- [1] **Faragó I.**, *On the nonnegative solution of some system of linear algebraic equations and its application to the numerical solution of partial differential equations*, Quaderni del Dipartimento di Matematica, Statistica, Informatica e Applicazioni, Bergamo, 1992.
- [2] **Rózsa P.**, *Lineáris algebra és alkalmazásai*, Műszaki Kiadó, Budapest, 1976.
- [3] **Szamarszkij A.A.**, *Bevezetés a numerikus módszerek elméletébe*, Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest, 1989.
- [4] **Stoyan G.**, On a maximum principle for matrices and on conservation of monotonicity, *ZAMM*, **62** (1982), 375-381.
- [5] **Stoyan G. és Takó G.**, *Numerikus módszerek*, ELTE, Tipotex Kft. Elektronikus Kiadó, 1993.

(Received September 20, 1994; revised November 16, 1995)

**R. Horváth**

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Sopron  
Bajcsy-Zsilinszky E. u. 4.  
H-9400 Sopron, Hungary