

## REDUCED RESIDUE SYSTEMS AND A PROBLEM FOR MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

Bui Minh Phong (Budapest, Hungary)

*To the memory of Imre Környei*

*To the memory of Béla Kovács*

**Abstract.** It is proved that if  $F, G : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  are completely multiplicative functions such that  $G(an + b) = F(An + B)$  is satisfied for some integers  $a > 0, b, A > 0, B$  with  $\Delta = Ab - aB \neq 0$  and for every positive integer  $n$ , then either  $F(An + B) = G(an + b) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $F(n) = G(m) = 1$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, (n, A'\Delta) = (m, a'\Delta) = 1$ , where  $a' = \frac{a}{(a,b)}$  and  $A' = \frac{A}{(A,B)}$ .

### 1. Introduction and results

**Notations.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all positive integers. The letters  $p, q, \pi$  with and without suffixes denote prime numbers.  $(m, n)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of the integers  $m$  and  $n$ . Here  $m \parallel n$  denotes that  $m$  is an unitary divisor of  $n$ , i.e. that  $m|n$  and  $(\frac{n}{m}, m) = 1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $n^*$  the product of all prime divisors of  $n$ . Let  $P(n)$  denote the greatest prime divisor of  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} (\mathcal{M}^*)$  be the set of complex-valued multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions.

P. Erdős proved in 1946 [2] that if  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an additive function such that  $\Delta f(n) := f(n+1) - f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f(n)$  is a constant multiple of  $\log n$ . This assertion has been generalized in several directions (e.g. see [1]). The characterization of multiplicative functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

---

Research (partially) supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, grant No. 2153 and T 020295.

under suitable regularity conditions even in the simplest case  $\Delta f(n) = o(1)$  is much harder. More than 15 years ago I.Kátai stated as a conjecture that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\Delta f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  imply that either  $f(n) = o(1)$  or  $f(n) = n^s$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ . This was proved by E.Wirsing in a letter to Kátai (September 3, 1984) and in a recent paper [14]. It is not hard to deduce from Wirsing's theorem that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $g(n+1) - f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then either  $f(n) = o(1)$ , or  $f(n) = g(n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and in the last case  $f(n) = n^s$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ .

Recently, improving the above results, we proved in [9] that if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is given and  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy the condition

$$g(n+k) - f(n) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then either  $f(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or there are  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$  and a complex constant  $s$  such that

$$f(n) = n^s F(n), \quad g(n) = n^s G(n), \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$$

and

$$G(n+k) = F(n)$$

are satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [7]-[8], by using the result of [4], the equation  $G(n+k) = F(n)$  is solved completely.

The general case concerning the characterization of those  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  for which

$$g(an+b) - Ef(An+B) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $a > 0$ ,  $b, A > 0$ ,  $B$  are fixed integers and  $E$  is a complex constant, seems to be a hard problem. The main difficulty is that we are unable to determine all those  $F, G \in \mathcal{M}$  for which  $G(an+b) = EF(An+B)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is satisfied, even under the assumption that the values are taken from the set  $\{0, 1\}$ . The above question was solved in [11]-[12] for  $B = 0$  under the conditions  $|f(n)| = |g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). A similar result was obtained in [13] under the conditions  $f = g$ ,  $|g(n)| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $g(n+b) - g(n) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(n, b) = 1$ . Recently, N.L.Bassily and I.Kátai [6] showed that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $g(2n+1) - Df(n) = o(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) with some constant  $D \neq 0$ , then either  $f(n) = o(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $g(m) = o(1)$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(m, 2) = 1$ ) or  $D = f(2)$ ,  $f(n) = n^s$ ,  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ , and  $f(n) = g(n)$  for odd integers  $n$ .

In order to determine those multiplicative functions  $f, g$  which satisfy the relation  $g(an+b) - Ef(An+B) = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the first problem is to give all solutions of multiplicative functions  $F$  and  $G$  for which  $G(an+b) = F(An+B)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is satisfied under the assumptions that the values are taken from the set  $\{0, 1\}$ . Excluding the case  $G(an+b) = F(An+B) = 0$  for

all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the solution of the last equation will use a result concerning the characterization of suitable reduced residue systems.

For fixed integers  $a > 0, b, A > 0$  and  $B$  with  $\Delta := Ab - aB \neq 0$ , we shall denote by  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(a, b; A, B)$  the subset of positive integers which is subjected to the following properties :

- (1) if  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(x, y) = 1$ , then  $xy \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
  - (2) if  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $y \parallel x$ , then  $y \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- and
- (3)  $an + b \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $An + B \in \mathcal{S}$ .

It is obvious that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f(an + b) = Ef(An + B)$  is satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the set  $\mathcal{S}_f := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) \neq 0\}$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(3).

Our purpose in this paper is to prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(a, b; A, B)$  be a set subjected to the conditions (1)-(3). If there are a prime  $\pi$  and positive integers  $w = w(\pi), M$  such that*

- (4)  $(\pi, aA) = 1$ ,
  - (5)  $\{\pi^w, \pi^{w+1}, \pi^{w+2}, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ ,
- and
- (6)  $AM + B \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

then we have

$$\{n \mid (n, d\Delta) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

where  $d = (a, A)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and  $G \in \mathcal{M}^*$  such that*

$$G(an + b) = F(An + B) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the set of values of  $F(An + B)$  and of  $G(an + b)$  is contained in  $\{0, 1\}$ , where  $a > 0, b, A > 0, B$  are integers with  $\Delta := Ab - aB \neq 0$ , then one of the following assertions holds:

- (i)  $F(An + B) = G(an + b) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (ii)  $F(n) = G(m) = 1$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A'\Delta) = (m, a'\Delta) = 1$ ,

where  $a' = \frac{a}{(a,b)}$  and  $A' = \frac{A}{(A,B)}$ .

## 2. Lemmas

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Lemmas 1-2.

**Lemma 1.** *If there are a prime  $q$  and a positive integer  $M$  for which  $(q, aA) = 1$ ,  $AM + B \in \mathcal{S}$  and*

$$(7) \quad \{1, q, q^2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

then

$$\{n \mid (n, d\Delta N) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

where  $d = (a, A)$  and  $N = N_q$  is a positive integer defined by  $q^{\varphi(aA)} = aAN_q + 1$  and  $\varphi(\cdot)$  denotes the Euler-function.

**Proof.** Assume that the set  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(a, b; A, B)$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(3), furthermore there are a prime  $q$  and a positive integer  $M$  for which  $(q, aA) = 1$ ,  $AM + B \in \mathcal{S}$  and (7) holds. First, by using (3), we can assume that  $\Delta = Ab - aB > 0$ . Let

$$q^{\varphi(aA)} = aAN + 1.$$

Since

$$(aAN + 1)(an + b) = a[(aAN + 1)n + bAN] + b,$$

it follows from (1)-(3) and (7) that

$$An + B \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A[(aAN + 1)n + bAN] + B \in \mathcal{S},$$

which implies

$$(8) \quad An + B \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (aAN + 1)(An + B) + A\Delta N \in \mathcal{S}.$$

It is clear from (7) that  $(aAN + 1)^{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$  holds for all positive integers  $k$ , and so by using the fact  $AM + B \in \mathcal{S}$  and (8), we have

$$(9) \quad (aAN + 1)^k(AM + B) + A\Delta N \in \mathcal{S}$$

for all positive integers  $k$ . Let  $AM + B + A\Delta N = q^C D$ , where  $C$  is a non-negative integer and  $(D, aAN + 1) = (D, q) = 1$ . It follows from (7) that

$$(10) \quad q^C \in \mathcal{S}.$$

On the other hand, since  $(D, aAN + 1) = 1$  it follows from the Euler-Fermat theorem and (9) that

$$D \parallel \left( (aAN + 1)^{\varphi(D^2)} - 1 \right) (AM + B) + (AM + B + A\Delta N)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (aAN + 1)^{\varphi(D^2)} - 1 \right) (AM + B) + (AM + B + A\Delta N) = \\ & = (aAN + 1)^{\varphi(D^2)} (AM + B) + A\Delta N \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned}$$

These relations, together with (2), imply

$$(11) \quad D \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Thus, by (1), (10) and (11), we have

$$AM + B + A\Delta N \in \mathcal{S},$$

from which

$$(12) \quad A\Delta Nm + (AM + B) \in \mathcal{S}$$

is satisfied for all positive integers  $m$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number which is prime to  $A\Delta N$ , and let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Then there is a positive integer  $m$  for which the congruence

$$(13) \quad A\Delta Nm + (AM + B) \equiv p^\alpha \pmod{p^{\alpha+1}}$$

holds. Thus, it follows from (1)-(3), (12) and (13) that  $p^\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , i.e

$$\{n \mid (n, A\Delta N) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 1 it is enough to show that

$$(14) \quad \{n \mid (n, a\Delta N) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

Let  $p$  be a prime number which is prime to  $a\Delta N$ , and let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. By (3) and the fact  $AM + B \in \mathcal{S}$ , we also have  $aM + b \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $e = e(p, \alpha)$  be a positive integer for which

$$(15) \quad (aAN + 1)^e (aM + b) := aM' + b > a\Delta N p^{\alpha+1}.$$

It is clear that  $aM' + b \in \mathcal{S}$ . As we proved in the proof of (9), these relations imply that

$$(aAN + 1)^k(aM' + b) - a\Delta N \in \mathcal{S}$$

holds for all positive integers  $k$ . The last relation, as the proof of (12), implies that

$$(16) \quad aM' + b - a\Delta Nm \in \mathcal{S}$$

holds for all positive integers  $m$  for which  $aM' + b - a\Delta Nm > 0$ .

On the other hand, we can choose a positive integer  $m_0$  for which

$$(17) \quad aM' + b - a\Delta Nm_0 \equiv p^\alpha \pmod{p^{\alpha+1}},$$

$$0 < m_0 \leq p^{\alpha+1}$$

hold. The last relation with (15) and (16) shows that  $aM' + b - a\Delta Nm_0 > 0$  and

$$aM' + b - a\Delta Nm_0 \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Finally, by using (2) and (17), we have  $p^\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus (14) is proved.

The proof of Lemma 1 is finished.

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then there is a prime  $q$  such that  $(q, aA) = 1$  and*

$$(18) \quad \{1, q, q^2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

**Proof.** By (4), we have  $(\pi, aA) = 1$ , and so

$$\pi^{w\varphi(aA)} = aAN_\pi + 1,$$

where  $w$  is the positive integer defined in (5).

As in the proof of Lemma 1, one can deduce that

$$\text{if } An + B \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ then } (aAN_\pi + 1)(An + B) + A\Delta N_\pi \in \mathcal{S},$$

which, using the following assertions

$$(aAN_\pi + 1)^{k-1}(An + B) \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ if } An + B \in \mathcal{S}, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(aAN_\pi + 1)^{k-1}(An + B) \equiv B \pmod{A},$$

implies that

$$(19) \quad \text{if } An + B \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ then } (aAN_\pi + 1)^k(An + B) + A\Delta N_\pi \in \mathcal{S}$$

holds for all positive integers  $k$ .

By (5), (19) and using the argument used in the proof of (12), we also have

$$(20) \quad \text{if } An + B \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ then } \pi^{w\varphi(aA)t}(An + B + A\Delta N_\pi) \in \mathcal{S}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is well-known from [15] that

$$P(\pi^{w\varphi(aA)t} - 1) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $P(y)$  denotes the greatest prime divisor of  $y$ . This shows that there are a positive integer  $T$  and a prime  $q$  such that

$$(21) \quad q \mid \pi^{w\varphi(aA)T} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (q, aA\Delta N_\pi) = 1.$$

Now we deduce that (18) holds for such a  $q$ .

Let  $q$  be a prime satisfying (21) and let  $\Pi := \pi^{w\varphi(aA)T}$ . Let us choose  $t = T$  in (20), using (4)-(6) we have

$$\text{if } AM + B \in \mathcal{S}, \text{ then } \Pi(AM + B + A\Delta N_\pi) \in \mathcal{S},$$

consequently

$$(22) \quad \Pi \left[ \Pi^m(AM + B) + A\Delta N_\pi \frac{\Pi^m - 1}{\Pi - 1} \right] \in \mathcal{S}$$

holds for all positive integers  $m$ . Then it is easily seen that there is a positive integer  $m(q)$  such that

$$q \parallel G_{m(q)} := \Pi \left[ \Pi^{m(q)}(AM + B) + A\Delta N_\pi \frac{\Pi^{m(q)} - 1}{\Pi - 1} \right],$$

furthermore

$$q \parallel R_q := \frac{\Pi^q - 1}{\Pi - 1}.$$

It is proved in [10, Theorem 4.1] that the last conditions imply that for each positive integer  $\alpha$  there exists a positive  $m(q^\alpha)$  for which

$$q^\alpha \parallel G_{m(q^\alpha)} := \Pi \left[ \Pi^{m(q^\alpha)}(AM + B) + A\Delta N_\pi \frac{\Pi^{m(q^\alpha)} - 1}{\Pi - 1} \right].$$

This together with (22) completes the proof of (18), and so Lemma 2 is proved.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. By Lemma 2, we can assume that  $w = w(\pi) = 0$  in the condition (5), i.e. all conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied with  $q = \pi$ . Let

$$\pi^{\varphi(aA)} = aAN_\pi + 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1, we have

$$(23) \quad \{n \mid (n, d\Delta N_\pi) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

where  $d = (a, A)$ .

Let  $N_\pi = N'_\pi N''_\pi$  and  $\Delta = \Delta' \Delta''$ , where  $(N'_\pi, N''_\pi) = (\Delta', \Delta'') = (aA, \Delta' N'_\pi) = 1$  and all prime divisors of  $\Delta'' N''_\pi$  are divisors of  $aA$ . Since  $(aA, \Delta' N'_\pi) = 1$ , there are  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N_2 = aAt + 1$  such that

$$aAN_1 + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{\Delta' N'_\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad aA(aAt + 1) + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{\Delta' N'_\pi},$$

furthermore the numbers  $aAN_i + 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are primes. It is clear from (23) that for the numbers  $aAN_i + 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) all conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, furthermore

$$(24) \quad (N_\pi, N_1, N_2) = (N'_\pi \cdot N''_\pi, N_1, N_2) \mid 2.$$

One can deduce from Lemma 1 that

$$\{n \mid (n, d\Delta N_\pi) = 1\} \cup \{n \mid (n, d\Delta N_1) = 1\} \cup \{n \mid (n, d\Delta N_2) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

which with (24) implies

$$(25) \quad \{n \mid (n, 2d\Delta) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$



Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed in the case when  $2|\Delta$ .

Assume now that  $(2, \Delta) = 1$ . If  $aA$  is an even number, then

$$(2aA, 2\Delta') = 2 \mid (aA + 2).$$

So, we can choose a positive integer  $t$  such that

$$aA(2t + 1) + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2\Delta'} \quad \text{and} \quad aA(2t + 1) + 1 \text{ is prime.}$$

Let  $N_3 = 2t + 1$ . We infer from Lemma 1 and (25) that

$$\{n \mid (n, 2d\Delta) = 1\} \cup \{n \mid (n, d\Delta N_3) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

which gives

$$\{n \mid (n, d\Delta) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

Now let  $2 \nmid aA\Delta$ . Then we can assume that  $a \equiv A \equiv B \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Thus, for each non-negative integer  $\alpha$ , we can find a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$(26) \quad an_0 + b \equiv 2^\alpha \pmod{2^{\alpha+1}}.$$

It is clear that  $2|n_0$ . Since  $d\Delta$  is odd and  $n_0$  is even, an application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem shows that in this case there exists a positive integer  $n_1$  for which

$$(27) \quad (a2^{\alpha+1}n_1 + an_0 + b, d\Delta) = (A2^{\alpha+1}n_1 + An_0 + B, 2d\Delta) = 1.$$

It follows from (25) and (27) that

$$A [2^{\alpha+1}n_1 + n_0] + B \in \mathcal{S},$$

which with (3) and (26) shows that  $2^\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus

$$\{1, 2, 2^2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Assume that  $F \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and  $G \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfy the equation

$$(28) \quad G(an + b) = F(An + B) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the set of values of  $F(An + B)$  and of  $G(an + b)$  is contained in  $\{0, 1\}$ , where  $a > 0$ ,  $b, A > 0$ ,  $B$  are integers with  $\Delta := Ab - aB \neq 0$ . Assume that (i) is not true, i.e. there is a positive integer  $M$  such that

$$(29) \quad G(aM + b) = F(AM + B) = 1.$$

It is obvious that in this case we may assume that  $(a, b) = (A, B) = 1$ . Let  $p$  be a prime,  $p|aM + b$ . Then  $(p, a) = 1$ , and so for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(30) \quad P_t := p^{\varphi(a)t} = aT_t + 1, \quad G(P_t) = 1.$$

Hence, by (28), we infer that

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} F(An + B) &= G(an + b) = G(P_t)G(an + b) = G[P_t(an + b)] = \\ &= G[a(P_t n + bT_t) + b] = F[A(P_t n + bT_t) + B] \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied for all  $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{S}_F := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid F(n) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_G := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid G(n) = 1\}.$$

It follows from (29) and (31) that

$$A(P_t M + bT_t) + B \in \mathcal{S}_F \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{N},$$

which, using Theorem 1, implies

$$(32) \quad \mathbb{N}_t := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, A\Delta T_t) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_F \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

An application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem shows that there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  for which

$$(am_0 + 1, A\Delta T_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (m_0, T_1) = 2.$$

Hence, by repeating the argument we used in the proof of (32), we get

$$\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, A\Delta m_0) = 1 \} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_F,$$

which together with (32) implies

$$(33) \quad \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, 2A\Delta) = 1 \} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_F.$$

The deduction of the following assertion

$$(34) \quad \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (n, 2a\Delta) = 1 \} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_G$$

is very similar to the above argument. We omit this part of the proof.

If  $2 \mid aA\Delta$ , then (ii) is proved. Let  $2 \nmid aA\Delta$ , and so  $2 \nmid B - b$ . Assume that  $2 \mid B$  and  $2 \nmid b$ . Since  $G(aM + b) = F(AM + B) = 1$  and  $2 \mid (aM + b)(AM + B)$ , therefore either  $F(2) = 1$  or  $G(2) = 1$ . It can be easily shown from (33)-(34) that (ii) is true in both cases. Thus, this completes the proof of (ii). Theorem 2 is proved.

## References

- [1] Elliott P.D.T.A., *Arithmetic functions and integer products*, Grund. der Math. Wiss. **272**, Springer, 1985.
- [2] Erdős P., On the distribution function of additive functions, *Ann. Math.*, **47** (1946), 1-20.
- [3] Kátai I., Multiplicative functions with regularity properties I, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **42** (3-4) (1983), 295-308.
- [4] Kátai I., Arithmetical functions satisfying some relations, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **55** (1991), 249-268.
- [5] Kátai I., Research problems in number theory II., *Ann. Univ. Sci. Bud. Sect. Comp.*, **16** (1996), 223-251.
- [6] Bassily N.L. and Kátai I., On the pairs of multiplicative functions satisfying some relations, *Aequationes Math.*, **55** (1998), 1-14.
- [7] Fehér J., Kátai I. and Phong B.M., On multiplicative functions satisfying a special relation, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **64** (1998), 49-57.
- [8] Kátai I. and Phong B.M., On some pairs of multiplicative functions correlated by an equation, *New Trends in Probability and Statistics*, Vol.

4. *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory*, TEV, Vilnius, 1997, 191-203.
- [9] **Kátai I. and Phong B.M.**, A characterization of  $n^s$  as a multiplicative function, *Acta Math. Hungar.* (accepted)
  - [10] **Kiss P. and Phong B. M.**, Divisibility properties in second order recurrences, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **26** (1979), 187-197.
  - [11] **Phong B.M.**, A characterization of some arithmetical multiplicative functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **63** (1) (1994), 29-43.
  - [12] **Phong B.M.**, A characterization of the some unimodular multiplicative functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* (to appear)
  - [13] **Tang Yuansheng**, A reverse problem on arithmetic functions, *J. Number Theory*, **58** (1996), 130-138.
  - [14] **Wirsing E., Tang Yuansheng and Shao Pintsung**, On a conjecture of Kátai for additive functions, *J. Number Theory*, **56** (1996), 391-395.
  - [15] **Shorey T.N. and Tijdeman R.**, *Exponential diophantine equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986.

**Bui Minh Phong**

Department of Computer Algebra  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány Péter sét. 1/D.  
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary  
bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu