

## FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS OF SUM TYPE ON A DOMAIN

ZOLTÁN DARÓCZY and LÁSZLÓ LOSONCZI

Dedicated to Professor I. Kátaí on his 50<sup>th</sup> birthday

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n \geq 3$  be a natural number and  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  a nonempty set. The function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to satisfy a *functional equation of sum type* on the set  $S$  if for every  $x \in S$

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f(P_i x) = 0$$

holds, where  $P_i x = P_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

G. Székely [4] proposed the investigation of the following case. Let  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be a given vector with non-negative coordinates and define  $S$  by

$$(1.2) \quad S = S(a) := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid P_i x \leq P_{i+1} x \\ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \langle a, x \rangle = 0\},$$

where

$$\langle a, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

is the inner product of  $a$  and  $x$ .

Supposing the measurability of  $f$  Székely solved (1.1) on  $S(a)$ . Here we find the general solution of (1.1) on  $S(a)$ .

## 2. Results

We have to distinguish the following two cases:

- (i)  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii) there exists a  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_j = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  be a positive vector i.e.  $a_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). If  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a non identically zero function satisfying the functional equation (1.1) for all  $x \in S(a)$ , then*

$$(2.1) \quad a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$$

and there exists a non identically zero additive function  $A : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = A(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  be a vector with nonnegative coordinates such that at least one of the coordinates is zero. If  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies (1.1) for all  $x \in S(a)$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Summarizing these theorems we can state the following.

Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  has nonnegative coordinates. The functional equation (1.1) on the set  $S(a)$  has non identically zero solution  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n > 0$$

holds and then the general solution is a nonzero additive function.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  be a given vector with nonnegative coordinates. Suppose that  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (j)  $f$  is continuous at a point,
- (jj)  $f$  is nonnegative for small positive  $x$ ,
- (jjj)  $f$  is bounded in an interval,
- (jv)  $f$  is measurable,
- (v)  $f$  is majorizable (or minorizable) through a measurable function on a set of positive measure.

If  $f$  satisfies (1.1) on  $S(a)$ , then

$$f(x) = cx \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}),$$

where  $c$  is a constant. This function satisfies (1.1) either if  $c = 0$  or if  $c \neq 0$  and (2.4) holds.

### 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $f \neq 0$  be a solution of (1.1) on  $S(a)$  and let

$$\Delta = \left\{ (x, y) \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0, \frac{x}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{y}{a_n} \right\}.$$

With  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  we obtain from (1.1) that  $f(0) = 0$ .

Substituting

$$x_1 = -\frac{x+y}{a_1}, x_2 = \dots = x_{n-2} = 0, x_{n-1} = \frac{x}{a_{n-1}}, x_n = \frac{y}{a_n}$$

into (1.1) we get

$$(3.1) \quad f\left(-\frac{x+y}{a_1}\right) + f\left(\frac{x}{a_{n-1}}\right) + f\left(\frac{y}{a_n}\right) = 0.$$

With  $x = 0, y \geq 0$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad f\left(\frac{y}{a_n}\right) = -f\left(-\frac{y}{a_1}\right),$$

therefore for any  $x \geq 0$

$$f\left(\frac{x}{a_{n-1}}\right) = f\left(\frac{xa_n}{a_{n-1}a_n}\right) = -f\left(-\frac{xa_n}{a_{n-1}a_1}\right).$$

Thus (3.1) can be written as

$$(3.3) \quad f\left(-\frac{x+y}{a_1}\right) = f\left(\frac{-xa_n}{a_{n-1}a_1}\right) + f\left(-\frac{y}{a_1}\right) \quad ((x, y) \in \Delta).$$

Introducing the notation

$$g(s) := f\left(-\frac{s}{a_1}\right) \quad (s \geq 0)$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad g(x+y) = g(\alpha x) + g(y) \quad ((x, y) \in \Delta),$$

where  $\alpha := a_n/a_{n-1} > 0$ .

For arbitrary  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$  choose  $z > 0$  such that

$$\frac{x+y}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{z}{a_n},$$

then

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{x}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{x+y}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{z}{a_n} \leq \frac{y+z}{a_n}, \quad \frac{y}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{x+y}{a_{n-1}} \leq \frac{z}{a_n}$$

holds. (3.5) shows that  $(x, y+z) \in \Delta$ ,  $(y, z) \in \Delta$  and  $(x+y, z) \in \Delta$ .

By (3.4) we obtain that

$$g[x + (y+z)] = g(\alpha x) + g(y+z) = g(\alpha x) + g(\alpha y) + g(z)$$

and

$$g[(x + y) + z] = g(\alpha(x + y)) + g(z)$$

holds, therefore

$$g(\alpha(x + y)) = g(\alpha x) + g(\alpha y)$$

for every  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ . Thus the function  $s \rightarrow g(\alpha s)$  is additive on the set  $\{(x, y) \mid x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ . By the extension theorem of additive functions (see J. Aczél and P. Erdős [2], Z. Daróczy and L. Losonczi [3]) there exists an additive function  $\bar{A} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$g(\alpha s) = \bar{A}(s) \quad \text{if } s \geq 0,$$

or

$$(3.6) \quad f(x) = g(-a_1 x) = \bar{A}\left(-\frac{a_1 x}{\alpha}\right) = -\bar{A}\left(\frac{a_1 x}{\alpha}\right) \quad \text{if } x \leq 0.$$

On the basis of (3.2), (3.6)

$$f(x) = -f\left(-\frac{a_n x}{a_1}\right) = -\bar{A}\left(\frac{a_n x}{\alpha}\right) \quad \text{if } x \geq 0.$$

With the notation

$$A(x) := -\bar{A}\left(\frac{a_1 x}{\alpha}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}$$

we obtain that  $A : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is an additive function and

$$(3.7) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} A(x) & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ A\left(\frac{a_n x}{a_1}\right) & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we show that  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$ .

For this purpose choose  $x > 0, y > 0$  such that

$$\frac{x}{a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-k}} \leq \frac{y}{a_n} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-2)$$

holds and substitute

$$x_1 = -\frac{x+y}{a_1}, \quad x_2 = \dots = x_{n-k-1} = 0,$$

$$x_{n-k} = \dots = x_{n-1} = \frac{x}{a_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-k}}, \quad x_n = \frac{y}{a_n}$$

into (1.1). Using (3.7) we obtain that

$$A\left(-\frac{x+y}{a_1}\right) + kA\left(\frac{a_n}{a_1} \frac{x}{a_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-k}}\right) + A\left(\frac{a_n}{a_1} \frac{y}{a_n}\right) = 0.$$

Hence, by the additivity of  $A$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad A\left[\left(\frac{ka_n}{a_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-k}} - 1\right) \frac{x}{a_1}\right] = 0$$

for every  $x > 0$ .  $f \neq 0$  implies that  $A \neq 0$  thus (3.8) holds if and only if

$$ka_n = a_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-k} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-2).$$

Choosing  $k = 1$  here we have  $a_n = a_{n-1}$ . With  $k = 2$  we get  $2a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$  i.e.  $a_n = a_{n-2}$ . Continuing similarly we obtain that

$$(3.9) \quad a_n = a_{n-1} = \dots = a_2.$$

Next we show that  $a_2 = a_1$ . Let  $x > 0$  and substitute

$$x_1 = x_2 = -x, \quad x_3 = \dots = x_n = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_3 + \dots + a_n} x = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{(n-2)a_n} x$$

into (1.1). By (3.7) we have

$$A(-x) + A(-x) + (n-2)A\left(\frac{a_n}{a_1} \frac{a_1 + a_2}{(n-2)a_n} x\right) = 0,$$

therefore

$$A \left[ \left( \frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1} - 2 \right) x \right] = 0$$

for all  $x > 0$ . Since  $A \neq 0$  we get

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1} - 2 = 0,$$

i.e.  $a_2 = a_1$ . Thus (2.1) is proved and (2.2) is obtained from (3.7) by (2.1).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** If all coordinates  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are zeros, then by the substitution  $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0$ ,  $x_n \geq 0$  into (1.1) we get  $f(x_n) = -(n-1)f(0) = 0$  ( $x_n \geq 0$ ). Substituting  $x_1 \leq 0$ ,  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  into (1.1) we have  $f(x_1) = 0$  if  $x_1 \leq 0$ , therefore (2.3) holds.

If among the coordinates  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  there is at least one zero and at least one positive element then we shall distinguish 3 cases.

*Case 1:*  $a_1 = 0$ . Let  $k > 1$  such that  $a_1 = \dots = a_{k-1} = 0$  but  $a_k > 0$ . The substitution of  $x_1 \leq 0$ ,  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  into (1.1) gives that  $f(x_1) = 0$  for  $x_1 \leq 0$ .

If  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$  different from  $k$ , then putting  $x_k \geq 0$ ,  $x_i = 0$  if  $i \neq k$  into (1.1) we get  $f(x_k) = 0$  for  $x_k \geq 0$  thus (2.3) holds.

If there is a subscript  $l$  ( $k < l \leq n$ ) such that  $a_l > 0$ , then  $a_{k+1} + \dots + a_n > 0$  and we may substitute

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = \dots = x_k &= \frac{x}{a_k} & (x \leq 0), \\ x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n &= y = -\frac{x}{a_{k+1} + \dots + a_n} \end{aligned}$$

into (1.1). We get  $(n-k)f(y) = 0$ ,  $f(y) = 0$  for  $y \geq 0$  thus (2.3) holds again.

*Case 2:*  $a_n = 0$ . Choose  $k < n$  such that  $a_n = a_{n-1} = \dots = a_{k+1} = 0$  but  $a_k > 0$ . With  $x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0$ ,  $x_n \geq 0$  we obtain from (1.1) that  $f(x_n) = 0$  for  $x_n \geq 0$ .

If  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$  different from  $k$ , then the substitution  $x_k \leq 0$ ,  $x_i = 0$  for  $i \neq k$  gives that  $f(x_k) = 0$  for  $x_k \leq 0$  hence (2.3) is valid.

If there is a subscript  $l$  ( $1 \leq l < k$ ) such that  $a_l > 0$ , then  $a_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} > 0$  and we may substitute (with  $x \geq 0$ )

$$x_1 = \dots = x_{k-1} = y = -\frac{x}{a_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}},$$

$$x_k = \dots = x_n = \frac{x}{a_k}$$

into (1.1). We obtain  $(k-1)f(y) = 0$ ,  $f(y) = 0$  for  $y \leq 0$  i.e. (2.3) holds again.

*Case 3:*  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . There must be a subscript  $j$  ( $1 < j < n$ ) such that  $a_j = 0$ . Let  $\beta$  be an arbitrary positive number and  $\alpha < 0$  be such that

$$\alpha' = -\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_{j-1}}{a_{j+1} + \dots + a_n} \alpha \geq \beta.$$

The substitution of the values

$$x_1 = \dots = x_{j-1} = \alpha, \quad x_j \in [0, \beta], \quad x_{j+1} = \dots = x_n = \alpha'$$

into (1.1) gives the equation

$$(j-1)f(\alpha) + f(x_j) + (n-j)f(\alpha') = 0.$$

Since this holds for every  $x_j \in [0, \beta]$ ,  $f$  must be constant on  $[0, \beta]$ .  $\beta > 0$  is arbitrary and  $f(0) = 0$ , hence  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

Substituting

$$x_1 < 0, \quad x_2 = \dots = x_n = -\frac{a_1 x}{a_2 + \dots + a_n} \geq 0$$

into (1.1) we get  $f(x_1) = 0$  ( $x_1 < 0$ ) which shows that (2.3) holds again.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THE COROLLARY.** By Theorems 1 and 2  $f$  is either the zero function or a nonzero additive function. If one of (j)–(v) holds, then it is well-known (see e.g. J. Aczél [1]) that this additive function is of the form  $A(x) = cx$ ,  $c$  being a constant.

$\square$



---

**References**

- [1] ACZÉL, J., *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*. Academic Press, New York – London, 1965.
- [2] ACZÉL, J. and ERDŐS, P., The non-existence of a Hamel-basis and the general solution of Cauchy's functional equation for nonnegative numbers. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **12** (1965) 259-265.
- [3] DARÓCZY, Z. and LOSONCZI, L., Über die Erweiterung der auf einer Punktmenge additiven Funktionen. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **14** (1967) 239-245.
- [4] SZÉKELY, G., Letter to Z. Daróczy, 1987.

*(Received December 8, 1987)*

ZOLTÁN DARÓCZY                      LÁSZLÓ LOSONCZI

*Dept. of Mathematics*

*Kossuth Lajos University*

*H-4010 Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1-3.*

HUNGARY