

AN ITERATIVE FORMULA FOR SPECIAL CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATIONS

ISTVÁN GÖNCZI and FERENC KÁLOVICS

Mathematical Institute of Technical University for Heavy Industry

3515 Miskolc, Egyetemváros

(Received September 13, 1985)

The first part of the paper gives two theorems for characterization of best Chebyshev approximations (the basic idea of the Theorem 1.1 can be found in [2] and [3]). In the second part we shall give a program of the iteration of the Theorem 1.2.

1. On the characteristic properties of the best approximation

The fixed symbols are as follows: $[a, b] \in \mathbf{R}^1$, a closed and bounded interval; $f(x)$, a continuous function on $[a, b]$; $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$, a Chebyshev system of the continuous functions on $[a, b]$, i.e.

$$\begin{vmatrix} g_1(x_1) & \dots & g_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_n(x_1) & \dots & g_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

if $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n \leq b$; A_1^*, \dots, A_n^* , the coefficients of the best Chebyshev approximation, i.e.

$$\max_{x \in [a, b]} |A_1^* g_1(x) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x) - f(x)| < \max_{x \in [a, b]} |A_1 g_1(x) + \dots + A_n g_n(x) - f(x)|,$$

where $A^* \equiv \{A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*\} \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $A \equiv \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $A^* \neq A$; $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*$, a set of the extremal points, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1^* g_1(x_i^*) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x_i^*) - f(x_i^*) = \\ & = - (A_1^* g_1(x_{i+1}^*) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x_{i+1}^*) - f(x_{i+1}^*)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |A_1^* g_1(x_i^*) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x_i^*) - f(x_i^*)| = \\ & = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |A_1^* g_1(x) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x) - f(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a \leq x_1^* < x_2^* < \dots < x_{n+1}^* \leq b.$$

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $f(x)$, $g_1(x)$, \dots , $g_n(x)$ are continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. Then each sequence $x_1^* < x_2^* \dots < x_{n+1}^*$ of the extremal points satisfies the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 - a)D_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0, \\ D_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0, & i = 2, 3, \dots, n \\ (x_{n+1} - b)D_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$D_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & g'_1(x_k) & \dots & g'_n(x_k) & f'(x_k) \\ 1 & g_1(x_1) & \dots & g_n(x_1) & f(x_1) \\ -1 & g_1(x_2) & \dots & g_n(x_2) & f(x_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ (-1)^n & g_1(x_{n+1}) & \dots & g_n(x_{n+1}) & f(x_{n+1}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Proof. We can see in [3] that

(1) if $x_1^* \neq a$ and $x_{n+1}^* \neq b$, then

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{i+1}C_1 + C_2g_i(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g_n(x_i^*) + f(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \\ C_2g'_1(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g'_n(x_i^*) + f'(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \end{cases}$$

(2) if $x_1^* = a$ and $x_{n+1}^* \neq b$, then

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{i+1}C_1 + C_2g_i(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g_n(x_i^*) + f(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \\ C_2g'_i(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g'_n(x_i^*) + f'(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1 \end{cases}$$

(3) if $x_1^* \neq a$ and $x_{n+1}^* = b$, then

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{i+1}C_1 + C_2g_i(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g_n(x_i^*) + f(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \\ C_2g'_1(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g'_n(x_i^*) + f'(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

(4) if $x_1^* = a$ and $x_{n+1}^* = b$, then

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{i+1}C_1 + C_2g_i(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g_n(x_i^*) + f(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \\ C_2g'_1(x_i^*) + \dots + C_{n+1}g'_n(x_i^*) + f'(x_i^*) = 0, & i = 2, 3, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

If we determine c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n+1} from the system of the first $n+1$ equations of (1), (2), (3), (4) and we use these values in the remainder equations of (1), (2), (3), (4), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & g'_1(x_i^*)d_1(x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) + \dots + g'_n(x_i^*)d_n(x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) = \\ & = f(x_i^*)d(x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad g'_1(x_i^*)d_1(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) + \dots + g'_n(x_i^*)d_n(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) = \\ = f'(x_i^*)d(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$$

$$(3) \quad g'_1(x_i^*)d_1(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) + \dots + g'_n(x_i^*)d_n(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) = \\ = f'(x_i^*)d(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, b), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$(4) \quad g_1(x_i^*)d_1(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) + \dots + g'_n(x_i^*)d_n(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) = \\ = f'(x_i^*)d(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, b), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

where

$$d(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & g_1(y_1) & \dots & g_n(y_1) \\ -1 & g_1(y_2) & \dots & g_n(y_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^n & g_1(y_{n+1}) & \dots & g_n(y_{n+1}) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$d_1(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & f(y_1) & g_2(y_1) & \dots & g_n(y_1) \\ -1 & f(y_2) & g_2(y_2) & \dots & g_n(y_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^n & f(y_{n+1}) & g_2(y_{n+1}) & \dots & g_n(y_{n+1}) \end{vmatrix}, \dots,$$

$$d_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & g_1(y_1) & \dots & f(y_1) \\ -1 & g_1(y_2) & \dots & f(y_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^n & g_1(y_{n+1}) & \dots & f(y_{n+1}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

If we sum up the determinants in the former equations, then we get

$$(1) \quad D_i(x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$$

$$(2) \quad D_i(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*) = 0, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$$

$$(3) \quad D_i(x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$(4) \quad D_i(a, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, b) = 0, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

The system of equations of our theorem "summarizes" the four cases. \square

Theorem 1.2. Let $g_1(x) \equiv 1$, $g_2(x) \equiv x$, ..., $g_n(x) \equiv x^{n-1}$, where $n \geq 2$. Assume that $f(x)$ is thrice-continuously differentiable and $f^{(n)}(x)$ exists on $[a, b]$. If $f^{(n)}(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in (a, b)$, then $x_1^* = a$, $x_{n+1}^* = b$ and the iteration

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_2^* &= x_2^* - \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_2^*) & \dots & f'(x_2^*) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(x_1^*) & \dots & f(x_1^*) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(x_{n+1}^*) & \dots & f(x_{n+1}^*) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_2^*) & \dots & f''(x_2^*) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(x_1^*) & \dots & f(x_1^*) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(x_{n+1}^*) & \dots & f(x_{n+1}^*) \end{vmatrix}}, \dots \\
 \dots, x_n^* &= x_n^* - \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_n^*) & \dots & f'(x_n^*) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(x_1^*) & \dots & f(x_1^*) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(x_{n+1}^*) & \dots & f(x_{n+1}^*) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_n^*) & \dots & f''(x_n^*) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(x_1^*) & \dots & f(x_1^*) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(x_{n+1}^*) & \dots & f(x_{n+1}^*) \end{vmatrix}}
 \end{aligned}$$

gives the unique solution x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* of our problem, if we start from "a sufficiently short distance". The rate of convergence of the iteration ≥ 2 .

Proof. (1) If the error function $e(x) = A_1^* g_1(x) + \dots + A_n^* g_n(x) - f(x)$ of the best approximation has at least n extremal points on (a, b) , then $e'(x) = 0$ has at least n roots on $(a, b) \Rightarrow e''(x) = 0$ has at least $n-1$ roots on $(a, b) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow e^{(n)}(x) \equiv f^{(n)}(x) = 0$ has at least one root on (a, b) . Hence $x_1^* = a, x_{n+1}^* = b$ and the set $\{x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$ is unique.

(2) By Theorem 1.1 the extremal points x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_k) & \dots & f'(x_k) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(a) & \dots & f(a) \\ -1 & \dots & g_i(x_2) & \dots & f(x_2) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(b) & \dots & f(b) \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

The elements of the Jacobi matrix J of this system of equations:

$$J_{11} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_2) & \dots & f''(x_2) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(a) & \dots & f(a) \\ -1 & \dots & g_i(x_2) & \dots & f(x_2) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(b) & \dots & f(b) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$J_{12} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_2) & \dots & f'(x_2) \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ -1 & \dots & g_i(x_2) & \dots & f(x_2) \\ 0 & \dots & g'_i(x_3) & \dots & f'(x_3) \\ -1 & \dots & g_i(x_4) & \dots & f(x_4) \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \end{vmatrix}, \dots$$

Now we shall prove that $J_{11}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \neq 0$ and $J_{12}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0$ (generally $J_{m,m}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \neq 0$ and $J_{m,j}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0$ if $m \neq j$, where $1 \leq m, j \leq n-1$).

Since x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* are roots of the function

$$s(x) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \dots & g'_i(x) & \dots & f'(x) \\ 1 & \dots & g_i(a) & \dots & f(a) \\ -1 & \dots & g_i(x_2^*) & \dots & f(x_2^*) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ (-1)^n & \dots & g_i(b) & \dots & f(b) \end{vmatrix} = A_1 g'_1(x) + A_2 g'_2(x) + \dots + A_n g'_n(x) + A f'(x),$$

therefore if $s'(x_2^*) = J_{11}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0$, then the function $s'(x) = A_1 g'_1(x) + A_2 g'_2(x) + \dots + A_n g'_n(x) + A f'(x)$ has at least $n-1$ roots on $(a, b) \Rightarrow s^{(n-1)}(x) = A f^{(n)}(x)$ has at least one root on (a, b) . As $A \neq 0$ $J_{11}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \neq 0$ (generally $J_{m,m}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \neq 0$).

Our last system of equations springs from the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (E_1) & C_1 + C_2 g_1(a) + \dots + C_{n+1} g_n(a) + f(a) = 0 \\ (E_2) & -C_1 + C_2 g_1(x_2^*) + \dots + C_{n+1} g_n(x_2^*) + f(x_2^*) = 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (E_{n+1}) & (-1)^{n+2} C_1 + C_2 g_1(b) + \dots + C_{n+1} g_n(b) + f(b) = 0 \\ (E_{n+2}) & C_2 g'_1(x_2^*) + \dots + C_{n+1} g'_n(x_2^*) + f'(x_2^*) = 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (E_{2n}) & C_2 g'_1(x_n^*) + \dots + C_{n+1} g'_n(x_n^*) + f'(x_n^*) = 0 \end{cases}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 1.1 and [3]). Determining C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n+1} from the system of equations $(E_1), (E_2), (E_{n+3}), (E_4), \dots, (E_{n+1})$ and using these values in (E_{n+2}) we get (after summarizing of the determinants) $J_{12}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0$ (similarly $J_{m,j}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0, m \neq j$).

Hence

$$J(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ 0 & & \cdot & 0 \\ & & & J_{n-1, n-1}(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a nonsingular matrix. Let us see the well-known formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{11}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots J_{1, n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ J_{n-1, 1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots J_{n-1, n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_2 - x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_n - x_n \end{bmatrix} = \\ = \begin{bmatrix} |0 \dots g'_i(x_2) \dots f'(x_2)| \\ \vdots \\ |0 \dots g'_i(x_n) \dots f'(x_n)| \end{bmatrix}$$

of the Newton's method (where $x = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\tilde{x} = \{\tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n\}$ are "old and new approach" of $x^* = \{x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$).

If we use in place of the matrix J the matrix

$$J^* = \begin{bmatrix} J_{11} & & & \\ & \cdot & & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdot & \\ & & & J_{n-1, n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

then we get the iteration of our theorem. Since $J^*(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) = J(x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ and the functions of our system of equations are at least twice-continuously differentiable in a sufficiently short distance to $\{x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$, therefore our iteration satisfies Theorem 1.2. \square

2. A program to the iteration of Theorem 1.2

The input functions and parameters of our BASIC program:

100: $f(x) \sim F(x)$

110: $f'(x) \sim D1(x)$

120: $f''(x) \sim D2(x)$

130: $n \sim N, a \sim AA, b \sim BB, \varepsilon \sim EP$ (the iteration stops if $\|\tilde{x} - x\|_\infty < \varepsilon$)

140: starting values to $x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* \sim X(2), \dots, X(N)$

The output parameters:

430: $x_2^*, \dots, x_n^* \sim X(2), \dots, X(N)$.

The complete program (to the first example) is as follows.

```

100 DEFFNF(X)=EXP(X)
110 DEFFND1(X)=EXP(X)
120 DEFFND2(X)=EXP(X)
130 INPUTN,AA,BB,EP
140 FORI=2TON:INPUTX(I):NEXTI
150 A(2,1)=1:A(2,2)=1:M=N+2
160 FORI=3TON+1:A(2,I)=AA↑(I-2):NEXTI
170 A(2,N+2)=FNF(AA):A(N+2,N+2)=FNF(BB)
180 A(N+2,1)=(-1)↑N:A(N+2,2)=1
190 FORI=3TON+1:A(N+2,I)=BB↑(I-2):NEXTI
200 FORI=3TON+1
210 A(I,1)=(-1)↑I:A(I,N+2)=FNF(X(I-1))
220 FORJ=2TON+1:A(I,J)=X(I-1)↑(J-2):NEXTJ:NEXTI
230 FORJ=MTO3STEP-1:MA=0:FORI=JTO2STEP-1
240 IF(ABS(A(I,J))>MA)THENMA=ABS(A(I,J)):K=I
250 NEXTI:IFK=JTHEN280
260 FORI=1TOM
270 B(I)=-A(K,I):A(K,I)=A(J,I):A(J,I)=B(I):NEXTI
280 FORI=J-1TO2STEP-1:FORK=1TOJ
290 A(I,K)=A(I,K)-A(J,K)*A(I,J)/A(J,J)
300 NEXTK:NEXTI:NEXTJ:FORL=2TON
310 A(1,1)=0:A(1,2)=0:A(1,3)=1
320 FORI=4TON+1
330 A(1,I)=X(L)↑(I-3)*(I-2):NEXTI
340 A(1,N+2)=FND1(X(L)):GOSUB1000
350 A(1,1)=0:A(1,2)=0:A(1,3)=0:C1(L)=DE
360 FORI=4TON+1
370 A(1,I)=X(L)↑(I-4)*(I-2)*(I-3):NEXTI
380 A(1,N+2)=FND2(X(L))
390 GOSUB1000:C2(L)=DE:NEXTL:FORL=2TON
400 Z(L)=X(L):X(L)=X(L)-C1(L)/C2(L):MA=0
410 IF(ABS(X(L)-Z(L))>MA)THENMA=ABS(X(L)-Z(L))
420 NEXTL:IF(MA>EP)THEN150
430 PRINT:PRINT:FORI=2TON:PRINTX(I):NEXTI:END
1000 FORJ=MTO2STEP-1:FORK=1TOJ
1010 A(1,K)=A(1,K)-A(J,K)*A(1,J)/A(J,J)
1020 NEXTK:NEXTJ:DE=1
1030 FORJ=1TOM:DE=DE*A(J,J):NEXTJ:RETURN

```

We tested the program on a COMMODORE-64 computer and determined the starting values of x_2^* , ..., x_n^* from the formula

$$x_i = \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2} \cos\left(\pi \frac{n-i+1}{n}\right), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

(see [1]). We used the program to the following 12 examples:

$$f(x) = e^x, \quad [a, b] = [0, 1], \quad n = 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

$$f(x) = \ln x, \quad [a, b] = [1, e], \quad n = 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

$$f(x) = \sin x, \quad [a, b] = [0, \pi/4], \quad n = 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

The iteration is convergent in every case and finally we get the errors of the best Chebyshev approximations:

$$\sim 0.1, \quad 0.009, \quad 0.0006, \quad 0.00003$$

$$\sim 0.06, \quad 0.01, \quad 0.002, \quad 0.0004$$

$$\sim 0.02, \quad 0.002, \quad 0.00005, \quad 0.000005.$$

(We used two "helping programs". The first program determines A_1^*, \dots, A_n^* by solving a linear system of equations and the second program gives the figure of the error curve).

REFERENCES

- [1] *Bahvalov N. Sz.*: A gépi matematika numerikus módszerei. Műszaki Könyvkiadó, Budapest, 1977.
- [2] *Glashoff K.* and *Gustafson S. A.*: Linear Optimization and Approximation, Springer-Verlag, New York – Heidelberg – Berlin, 1983.
- [3] *Kálovics F.*: An algorithm for best Chebyshev approximations. *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Computatorica* 6 (1985), 19 – 25.