

ON STABILITY AND CONVERGENCE OF FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME FOR FILTRATION EQUATION

ISTVÁN SZEPESVÁRI

Computer and Automation Institute of Hungarian
Academy of Sciences, H – 1111 Budapest, Kende u. 13 – 17.

(Received May 24, 1981 – revised November 22, 1984)

1. Introduction

Kalashnikov has proved in [1] that the following Cauchy-problem has a unique generalized solution in the half-plane

$$(1.0) \quad \mathbf{R}_+^2 = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq t < \infty, x \in \mathbf{R}^1\}$$

$$u_t = [\Phi(u)]_{xx} - \Psi(u), \quad u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^1$$

$u^0(x) \in C(\mathbf{R}^1)$ (where $\Phi(u) \geq 0$, $\Psi(u) \geq 0$ are continuous for $u \geq 0$, $\Phi'(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, $\Phi'(0) \geq 0$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Psi(0) = 0$).

In this paper we give a stable and convergent explicit finite-difference scheme applied for a certain type of Cauchy-problem (1.0).

Consider the condition $u(x, 0) = u_1^0(x) \in C^r(\mathbf{R}^1)$ $r \leq 4$, instead of the initial condition of the problem (1.0) where $|u^0(x) - u_1^0(x)| \leq \varepsilon_{u^0}$. That is we approximate the function $u^0(x)$ (continuous in $-\infty < x < \infty$) by a function $u_1^0(x) \in C^r(\mathbf{R}^1)$.

Assume that $u^0(x) \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{du_0(x)}{dx} \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In this case the following approximation of $u^0(x)$ is usual:

$u_1^0(x) = e^{-dx^2} \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x^i$ (d, a_i are constants). Further we assume that $\Phi^{(l)}(u)$ ($l \leq 5$) exist, or if it does not exist then we approximate the function $\Phi(u)$ by a function $\Phi_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_{1i}(u)$ where $\Phi_{1i}^{(l)}(u)$ ($l \leq 5$) ($i = 1, \dots, N$) exist and $|\Phi(u) - \Phi_1(u)| \leq \varepsilon_\Phi$, if $|u| \leq U$ (U is a constant).

We deal with the following Cauchy-problem because of generality:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) &= (g_2(u(x, t)))_{xx} + (g_1(u(x, t)))_x + g_0(u(x, t)) \equiv Au(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) &= u^0(x) \in C^r(\mathbf{R}^1), \end{aligned}$$

where $r \leq 4$;

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{l_2}}{\partial u^{l_2}} g_2(u) \right|, (0 \leq l_2 \leq 5); \left| \frac{\partial^{l_1}}{\partial u^{l_1}} g_1(u) \right|, (0 \leq l_1 \leq 5);$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{l_0}}{\partial u^{l_0}} g_0(u) \right|, (0 \leq l_0 \leq 5)$$

are bounded. Our difference scheme is based on the splitting of the operator A :

$$Au = B_2 u + B_1 u + B_0 u,$$

$$\text{where } B_l u = \frac{\partial^l}{\partial u^l} (g_l(u(x, t))) \quad l = 0, 1, 2.$$

In the following part we give some methods for the estimates of the l -th differences ($l \leq 4$) of the approximate solution of the equation (1.1). Therefore we give estimates for the schemes established for the simpler equations $u_t = B_2 u$ in 2.1, $u_t = B_1 u$ in 2.2, $u_t = B_0 u$ in 2.3. Thereafter we easily get the estimates for the equation (1.1) in 2.4. Using these estimates we obtain stability theorems for the problems (1.0) and (1.1). In 3. we give convergence theorems for the strong solution, too.

2. Stability estimations

2.1. At first we deal with the problem:

$$u_t = (g(u))_{xx} \quad (g(u) = g_2(u)), \quad g'(u) \geq 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u^0(x).$$

We establish the usual difference scheme on the mesh $H_{h,k} = (ih, nk)$

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{k} = \frac{g(u_{i+1}^n) - 2g(u_i^n) + g(u_{i-1}^n)}{h^2} \equiv Dg(u_i^n).$$

We seek the approximate solution in a triangle with equal legs, base \overline{A} , altitude $T \left(|x| \leq \frac{\bar{A}}{2}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \frac{k}{h} = \frac{2T}{\bar{A}}, k = \frac{T}{N}, h = \frac{\bar{A}}{2N} \right)$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\Delta_h^{(l)} u_i^n$ and $\Delta_k^{(l)} u_i^n$ are the l -th difference (symmetrical if l is even) of u_i^n according to x ($x = ih$) and t ($t = nk$); $|\Delta_h^{(l)} u_i^0| \leq V_l$ (V_l are constants, $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) for all i ; $\frac{k}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{2|g'|_m}$ where

$$|g'|_m \equiv \max_{|z| \leq \max|x^0(x)|} g'(z), \quad g'(z) \geq 0 \text{ if } |z| \leq \max|x^0(x)|.$$

Then the scheme (2.1) is stable with respect to the initial condition and

$$|u_i^n| \leq \max_{x \in R^1} |u^0(x)|, \quad \Delta_h^{(0)} u_i^n \leq U_l \quad (l = 1, 2, 3, 4),$$

$$\Delta_k^{(m)} u_i^n \leq U_m \quad (m = 1, 2) \text{ where } U_l, U_m$$

depend essentially only on the initial function $u^0(x)$.

Proof. From (2.1):

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n + \frac{k}{h^2} (g(u_{i+1}^n) - 2g(u_i^n) + g(u_{i-1}^n)).$$

$$\text{Let } \Phi_1(y) = y - 2 \frac{k}{h^2} g(y), \quad \Phi_2(y) = \frac{k}{h^2} g(y), \quad \Phi_3(y) = \frac{k}{h^2} g(y).$$

Φ_1, Φ_2 and Φ_3 are nondecreasing functions of y .

Let $U = \sup_i |u_i^n|$, it follows that

$$\Phi(-U) \leq u_i^{n+1} \leq \Phi(U) \quad \text{for all } i;$$

where

$$\Phi(y) = \Phi_1(y) + \Phi_2(y) + \Phi_3(y) = y.$$

So $|u_i^{n+1}| \leq U = \sup_i |u_i^n|$ and by mathematical induction:

$$|u_i^{n+1}| \leq \sup_i |u_i^0|.$$

$$\text{The estimation of } \Delta u_i^n = \frac{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n}{h} \equiv \Delta_h^{(1)} u_i^n.$$

We give three methods of the estimation (2.1/a, 2.1/b, 2.1/c) and apply the method which is suitable for the initial function and the equation of the problem.

$$\text{Let } |\dots|_m = \max |\dots|; \quad |g'|_m = \max_u |g'(u)|; \quad |\Delta u_i^n|_m =$$

$$= \max_i |\Delta u_i^n|; \quad \lambda = \frac{k}{h^2};$$

$$\delta g(u_i^n) = \frac{g(u_{i+1}^n) - g(u_i^n)}{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n} = g'(\tilde{u}_i^n)(u_i^n \leq \tilde{u}_i^n \leq u_{i+1}^n); \quad \delta^2 g(u_i^n) =$$

$$= \frac{\delta g(u_{i+1}^n) - \delta g(u_i^n)}{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n} = g''(\tilde{\tilde{u}}_i^n)$$

$$\delta^3 g(u_i^n) = \frac{\delta^2 g(u_{i+1}^n) - \delta^2 g(u_i^n)}{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n} = g^{(3)}(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{u}}}_i^n); \quad d^l u_i^n \equiv \Delta_x^{(l)} u_i^n.$$

2.1/a. From (2.1), we deduce:

$$(2.1.1) \quad \Delta u_i^{n+1} \equiv \frac{u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}}{h} = \frac{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n}{h} + G, \text{ where}$$

$$G = \frac{k}{h^2} \left[\delta g(u_{i+1}^n) \frac{u_{i+2}^n - u_{i+1}^n}{h} - 2\delta g(u_i^n) \frac{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n}{h} + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) \frac{u_i^n - u_{i-1}^n}{h} \right].$$

I. Assume that $|\Delta u_i^n| \geq |G|$; $\Delta u_i^n \geq 0$ and $G \leq 0$ (or $\Delta u_i^n < 0$ and $G > 0$). Then it is trivial $|\Delta u_i^{n+1}|_m \leq |\Delta u_i^n|_m (\leq \dots \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m)$. Let $|\Delta u_i^n| < |G|$ and $\Delta u_i^n \geq 0$ and $G \leq 0$ (or $\Delta u_i^n < 0$ and $G > 0$), and $\frac{k}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{4|g'|_m}$. Then it is obviously:

$$|\Delta u_i^{n+1}|_m \leq |\Delta u_i^n|_m (\leq \dots \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m).$$

II/1. Assume that $\Delta u_i^n > 0$, $G > 0$.

A) $\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n > 0$.

Suppose that k and h are such that $|G| \leq |\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n|$,

$$|G| = \left| \frac{k}{h^2} \left[\delta g(u_{i+1}^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n - 2\delta g(u_i^n) \Delta u_i^n + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_{i-1}^n \right] \right| \leq$$

$$\leq |\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n| \equiv h|Du_i^n|$$

thus

$$\left| \frac{k}{h} [\delta^2 g(u_i^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n \Delta u_i^n + \delta g(u_i^n) Du_i^n - \right.$$

$$\left. - (\delta^2 g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_i^n \Delta u_{i-1}^n + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) Du_{i-1}^n)] \right| \leq$$

$$\leq h|Du_i^n|, \text{ it is sufficient for this: } kC_1 \leq h|Du_i^n|, \text{ where}$$

$$C_1 = |\delta^3 g(u_i^n)|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m^3 + 3|\delta^2 g(u_i^n)|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m |Du_i^n|_m +$$

$$+ |\delta g(u_i^n)|_m |d^3 u_i^n|_m.$$

Thus if $\frac{k}{h} \leq \frac{|Du_i^n|}{C_1}$ then

$$|\Delta u_i^{n+1}| = |\Delta u_i^n + G| \leq |\Delta u_i^n + \Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n| = |\Delta u_{i+1}^n|.$$

We show that if $\frac{k}{h} < \frac{|Du_i^n|}{C_1}$ then for sufficiently small h the first difference can be estimated, too. Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{du^0(x)}{dx} = 0$ thus for any $\epsilon > 0$ there

exists A_1 such that $|\Delta u_i^0| < \varepsilon$ if $i \geq I$ where $Ih = A_1$. It follows from $|Du_i^n| \leq \frac{k}{h} C_1$:

$$|\Delta u_i^n| \leq A_1 \frac{k}{h} C_1 \leq A_1 h C_1 \frac{1}{4|g'|_m} \quad (\text{because of I.})$$

Choose h so that $h \leq \frac{|\Delta u_i^0|_m 4|g'|_m}{A_1 C_1}$. Then $|\Delta u_i^1| \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m$ and by mathematical induction: $|\Delta u_i^n| \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m$ and $|\Delta u_i^n| \leq \varepsilon$ if $i \geq I$. Therefore $|\Delta u_i^n|$ can be estimated independently of the rate $\frac{k}{h}$. We give the estimations of the second and third differences involved in C_1 later.

B) Assume that $\Delta u_i^n - \Delta u_{i+1}^n > 0$; then a) $\Delta u_{i-1}^n - \Delta u_i^n > 0$ or b) $\Delta u_{i-1}^n - \Delta u_i^n \leq 0$.

In the case a) if k and h are such that $|G| \leq |\Delta u_i^n - \Delta u_{i-1}^n|$ then similarly to A) we get:

$$|\Delta u_i^{n+1}| = |\Delta u_i^n + G| \leq |\Delta u_i^n + \Delta u_{i-1}^n - \Delta u_i^n| = |\Delta u_{i-1}^n|_m,$$

if $\frac{k}{h} \leq \frac{|Du_{i-1}^n|}{C_1}$. If $\frac{k}{h} > \frac{|Du_{i-1}^n|}{C_1}$ then we also proceed according to A).

In the case b) we utilize that the third difference is bounded (see later). So $|Du_i^n| \leq h|d^3 u_i^n|_m$ that is $|\Delta u_i^n| \leq A_1 h |d^3 u_i^n|_m$. Choose h that

$$h \leq \frac{|\Delta u_i^0|_m}{A_1 |d^3 u_i^n|_m} \quad \text{then } |\Delta u_i^n| \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m.$$

C) If $\Delta u_i^n = \Delta u_{i+1}^n$ then the estimation is trivial.

II/2: $\Delta u_i^n < 0$, $G < 0$; A) $\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n < 0$. If k and h are such that $|G| \leq |\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n|$ then similarly to II/1 A):

$$|\Delta u_i^{n+1}| = |\Delta u_i^n + G| \leq |\Delta u_i^n + \Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n| = |\Delta u_{i+1}^n|.$$

B) $\Delta u_i^n - \Delta u_{i+1}^n < 0$, then a) $\Delta u_{i-1}^n - \Delta u_i^n < 0$ or b) $\Delta u_{i-1}^n - \Delta u_i^n \geq 0$.

The method and the result are similar to II/1 B). C) as above.

Consequently, if $\frac{k}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{4|g'|_m}$,

$$h \leq \frac{4|g'|_m |\Delta u_i^0|_m}{A_1 C_1} \quad \text{and} \quad h \leq \frac{|\Delta u_i^0|_m}{A_1 |d^3 u_i^n|_m},$$

then for the scheme (2.1): $|\Delta u_i^n| \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m$ for all i and n .

If u_i^{*n} takes over the role from u_{i+1}^n then by using the above method we obtain the stability in the following sense:

$$|u_i^n - u_i^{*n}| \leq |u_i^0 - u_i^{*0}|_m.$$

2.1/b. In this point we get such an estimation for $|\Delta u_i^n|$ in which there is no limitation for h .

We obtained in 2.1/a :

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_i^{n+1} = & \Delta u_i^n + \frac{k}{h^2} [h\delta^2 g(u_i^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n \Delta u_i^n - h\delta^2 g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_i^n \Delta u_{i-1}^n + \\ & + \delta g(u_i^n) (\Delta u_{i+1}^n - \Delta u_i^n) - \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) (\Delta u_i^n - \Delta u_{i-1}^n)].\end{aligned}$$

First estimate the right side of this equality by $|\Delta u_i^n|_m$ using that $g' > 0$ (and so $\delta g(u) > 0$) and $\frac{k}{h^2} < \frac{1}{4|g'|_m}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_i^n + \frac{k}{h^2} (\delta g(u_i^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n - (\delta g(u_i^n) + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n)) \Delta u_i^n + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_{i-1}^n) \leq \\ \leq |\Delta u_i^n|_m \left[1 + \frac{k}{h^2} (\delta g(u_i^n) - \delta g(u_i^n) + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) - \delta g(u_{i-1}^n)) \right] = |\Delta u_i^n|_m.\end{aligned}$$

So we get:

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta u_i^{n+1}| \leq & |\Delta u_i^n|_m + \frac{k}{h^2} [h\delta^2 g(u_i^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n \Delta u_i^n - \\ & - h\delta^2 g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_i^n \Delta u_{i-1}^n], \\ (2.1.2) \quad |\Delta u_i^{n+1}| \leq & |\Delta u_i^n|_m + \frac{C}{N} |\Delta u_i^n|^2\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{C}{N} = \frac{2k}{h^2} |g''|_m h$, i.e. $C = 2\lambda |g''|_m \frac{A}{2} \left(\lambda = \frac{k}{h^2}, h = \frac{A}{2N} \right)$.

In (2.1.2) $|\Delta u_i^{n+1}|_m$ increases in n . Let K be a given constant such that $|\Delta u_i^0|_m < K$. We can compute all n ($n \leq n_1$) such that $|\Delta u_i^n| \leq K$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta u_i^{n+1}|_m \leq & |\Delta u_i^n|_m \left(1 + \frac{C}{N} K \right) \leq |\Delta u_i^{n-1}|_m \left(1 + \frac{C}{N} K \right)^2 \leq \dots \leq \\ & \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m \left(1 + \frac{C}{N} K \right)^{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

thus we find

$$|\Delta u_i^0|_m e^{\frac{n}{N} CK} \leq K.$$

We get a greater n_1 if we use the expression (2.1.2) successively from $n = 0$ to a suitable n_1 such that

$$|\Delta u_i^{n_1}|_m \leq K \text{ but } |\Delta u_i^{n_1+1}|_m > K.$$

The proof of stability is entirely similar, (now \bar{u}_i^{*n} takes over the role from u_{i+1}^n). So we get:

$$|u_i^n - \bar{u}_i^{*n}|_m \leq |u_i^0 - \bar{u}_i^{*0}|_m e^{\frac{n}{N} CK}.$$

After the following estimation of Du_i^n in 2.1/c we give such an estimation for Δu_i^n which can be applied for all n.

The estimation of Du_i^n . Let

$$\delta^3 g(u_i^n) = \frac{\delta^2 g(u_{i+1}^n) - \delta^2 g(u_i^n)}{u_{i+1}^n - u_i^n} = g^{(3)}(\tilde{\tilde{u}}_i^n) \quad (u_i^n \leq \tilde{\tilde{u}}_i^n \leq u_{i+1}^n).$$

From (2.1), we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} Du_i^{n+1} &= Du_i^n + \frac{k}{h^2} \{ \delta^2 g(u_{i+1}^n) (\Delta u_{i+1}^n)^2 + \delta g(u_{i+1}^n) Du_{i+1}^n - \\ &\quad - 2(\delta^2 g(u_i^n) (\Delta u_i^n)^2 + \delta g(u_i^n) Du_i^n) + \delta^2 g(u_{i-1}^n) (\Delta u_{i-1}^n)^2 + \\ &\quad + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n) Du_{i-1}^n \} \leq [1 + \lambda(\delta g(u_{i+1}^n) - 2\delta g(u_i^n) + \\ &\quad + \delta g(u_{i-1}^n))] |Du_i^n|_m + \lambda \{ \delta^3 g(u_i^n) (\Delta u_{i+1}^n)^2 \Delta u_i^n + \\ &\quad + h \delta^2 g(u_i^n) Du_i^n (\Delta u_{i+1}^n + \Delta u_i^n) - (h \delta^3 g(u_{i-1}^n) (\Delta u_i^n)^2 \Delta u_{i-1}^n + \\ &\quad + h \delta^3 g(u_{i-1}^n) Du_{i-1}^n (\Delta u_i^n + \Delta u_{i-1}^n)) \}, \\ |Du_i^{n+1}| &\leq [1 + \lambda(h \delta^2 g(u_i^n) \Delta u_i^n - h \delta^2 g(u_{i-1}^n) \Delta u_{i-1}^n + \\ &\quad + 4h |\delta^2 g| |\Delta u_i^n|_m) |Du_i^n| + h C_2] (1 + C_1 h) |Du_i^n|_m + h C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = 6\lambda C_3 C_4$, $C_2 = 2\lambda C_5 C_3^3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= \max_{i,n} |\Delta u_i^n|, \quad C_4 = \max_{i,n} |\delta^2 g(u_i^n)| \leq |g''|_m, \quad C_5 = \\ &= \max_{i,n} |\delta^3 g(u_i^n)| \leq |g^{(3)}|_m. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore:

$$|Du_i^{n+1}| \leq (1 + C_1 h)((1 + C_1 h) |Du_i^{n-1}|_m + h C_2) + h C_2,$$

and by mathematical induction

$$\begin{aligned} \left(h = \frac{A}{2N} \right) : |Du_i^{n+1}| &\leq (1 + C_1 h)^n |Du_i^0|_m + h C_2 \frac{(1 + C_1 h)^n - 1}{C_1 h} \leq e^{\frac{AC_1}{2}} |Du_i^0|_m + \\ &+ \frac{C_2}{C_1} |e^{\frac{AC_1}{2}} - 1| \equiv C_6 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_7 &= \max |\delta g(u_i^n)|, \quad C_8 = \max |Du_i^0| \text{ thus } |Dg(u_i^n)| \leq \\ &\leq C_4 C_3^2 + C_7 C_6 \equiv C \end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta^3 g(u_i^n)(\Delta u_{i+1}^n)^2 \Delta u_i^n - \delta^3 g(u_{i-1}^n)(\Delta u_i^n)^2 \Delta u_{i-1}^n| \leq \\ &\leq h[\delta^4 g(u_i^n)(\Delta u_i^n)^3 + |\delta^3 g(u_i^n)|_m |Du_i^n|_m] \end{aligned}$$

into consideration then we can improve the estimation of $|Du_i^n|$ in the case of great C_2 :

$$|Du_i^{n+1}| \leq (1 + \bar{C}_1 h) |Du_i^n|_m + h \bar{C}_2,$$

where

$$\bar{C}_1 = \lambda(6C_3 C_4 + 3h C_3^2) \text{ and } \bar{C}_2 = \lambda h |g^{(4)}|_m C_3^3.$$

If $h \leq |Du_i^n|$ for all i and n then $|Du_i^{n+1}| \leq (1 + \bar{C}_1 h) |Du_i^n|_m$ (where $\bar{\bar{C}}_1 = \bar{C}_1 + \bar{C}_2/h$), otherwise $|Du_i^{n+1}| \leq h$.

2.1/c. Form the inequality obtained in 2.1/b we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta u_i^{n+1}| &\leq |\Delta u_i^n|_m \frac{k}{h^2} h [\delta^2 g(u_{i+1}^n) \Delta u_{i+1}^n \Delta u_i^n - \\ &- \delta^2 g(u_i^n) \Delta u_i^n \Delta u_{i-1}^n] \leq |\Delta u_i^n|_m + h \lambda [2h |\delta^2 g(u_i^n) Du_i^n \Delta u_i^n|_m + \\ &+ h |\delta^3 g(u_i^n)(\Delta u_i^n)^3|_m]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$h \leq \frac{K |\Delta u_i^n|_m}{2 |\delta^2 g(u_i^n)|_m |Du_i^n|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m + |\delta^3 g(u_i^n)|_m^3 |\Delta u_i^n|_m^3},$$

where K is a constant. Then

$$|\Delta u_i^{n+1}| \leq |\Delta u_i^n| + h \lambda K |\Delta u_i^n|_m = |\Delta u_i^n|_m \left(1 + \frac{A}{2N} \lambda K \right) \leq |\Delta u_i^0|_m e^{\frac{\lambda A K}{2}}$$

We can use this estimation of $|\Delta u_i^{n+1}|$ in the previous estimation of $|Du_i^n|$. Now the condition on h does not contain $|d^3 u_i^n|$ as that in 2.1/a.

The estimation of $d^3 u_i^n = \frac{Du_{i+1}^n - Du_i^n}{h}$. The method is similar to the estimation of $|Du_i^n|$. So the result is:

$$|d^3 u_i^{n+1}| \leq e^{\frac{AC_1(3)}{2} \frac{n}{N}} |d^3 u_i^0|_m + \frac{C_2^{(3)}}{C_1^{(3)}} |e^{\frac{AC_1(3)}{2} \frac{n}{N}} - 1|.$$

where

$$C_2^{(3)} = 2\lambda(|\delta^4 g|_m |\Delta u_i^n|^4 + 7|\delta^3 g|_m |\Delta u_i^n|^2 |Du_i^n|_m + 3|\delta^2 g|_m |Du_i^n|^2)_m,$$

$$|\delta^4 g|_m = \max_{|u| < U} |g^{(4)}(u)|, \quad C_1^{(3)} = 8|\delta^2 g(u_i^n)|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m \lambda.$$

The estimation of $d^4 u_i^n = \frac{d^3 u_{i+1}^n - d^3 u_i^n}{h}$ is also similar:

$$|d^4 u_i^{n+1}|_m \leq e^{\frac{nAC_1^{(4)}}{2N}} |d^4 u_i^0|_m + \frac{C_2^{(4)}}{C_1^{(4)}} |e^{\frac{nAC_1^{(4)}}{2N}} - 1|,$$

where

$$C_2^{(4)} = 2\lambda\{|\delta^5 g|_m (|\Delta u_i^n|_m)^5 + 14|\delta^4 g|_m |Du_i^n|_m (|\Delta u_i^n|_m)^3 +$$

$$+ 6|\delta^3 g|_m (|Du_i^n|_m)^2 4(|\Delta u_i^n|_m)^2 + 6|\delta^3 g|_m (|\Delta u_i^n|_m)^3 |d^3 u_i^n|_m +$$

$$+ 3|\delta^3 g|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m (|Du_i^n|_m)^2 + 14|\delta^2 g|_m |d^3 u_i^n|_m |Du_i^n|_m +$$

$$+ 4|\delta^3 g|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m^2 |d^3 u_i^n|_m\}$$

$$C_1^{(4)} = 10|\delta^2 g|_m |\Delta u_i^n| \lambda, \quad |\delta^5 g|_m = \max_{|u| < U} |g^{(5)}(u)|.$$

So the proof is complete. \square

Now we establish similar numerical schemes for the equations $u_t = B_1 u$, $u_t = B_0 u$ and we get similar estimations for the differences of the solution and for the stability. For the original equation (1.1) the numerical scheme is:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i^{n,0} &\equiv u_i^n, \\ \frac{u_i^{n,1} - u_i^{n,0}}{k} &= \bar{B}_2 u_i^{n,0}, \\ \frac{u_i^{n,2} - u_i^{n,1}}{k} &= \bar{B}_1 u_i^{n,1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^{n,2}}{k} = \bar{B}_0 u_i^{n,2}, \text{ where } \bar{B}_2 u_i^{n,0} = \frac{g_2(u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2g_2(u_i^{n,0}) + g_2(u_{i-1}^{n,0})}{h^2},$$

$$\bar{B}_1 u_i^{n,1} = \frac{g_1(u_{i+1}^{n,1}) - g_1(u_i^{n,1})}{h}, \quad \bar{B}_0 u_i^{n,2} = g_0(u_i^{n,2}).$$

We get estimations for the differences of the solutions of the equations $u_t = B_1 u$ and $u_t = B_0 u$ more simply than of the equation $u_t = B_2 u$.

2.2. In the case of the equation $u_t = (g_1(u))_x$ the estimation of $|\Delta u_i^n|$ and $|u_i^n - u_i^{*n}|$ is the same as in the case of the equation $u_t = (g_2(u))_{xx}$, only that C is the half of that in 2.1/b.

Furthermore C_1 , $C_1^{(3)}$, $C_1^{(4)}$ and C_2 , $C_2^{(3)}$, $C_2^{(4)}$ are multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$ in the case of $|Du_i^{n+1}|$, $|d^3u_i^{n+1}|$, $|d^4u_i^{n+1}|$ and $\lambda = \frac{k}{h}$. Thus we find the estimations: $\left(\frac{k}{h} \leq \frac{1}{|g'_0|_m}\right)$

$$|\Delta g_1(u_i^n)| \leq C_7 |\Delta u_i^0|_m, \quad |Dg_1(u_i^{n+1})| \leq C_4 C_3^2 + C_7 C_6.$$

2.3. Consider the equation $u_t = g_0(u)$. Suppose that $|g_0(u)| \leq K_0 u$. Then for the scheme $u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n + k g_0(u_i^n)$ we obtain $|u_i^n| \leq e^{\frac{n}{N} T K_0} |u_i^0|_m$.

If $g_0(u) \leq 0$ and t is not too great, then $|u_i^{n+1}| \leq |u_i^0|_m$.

If $u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n + k g_0(u_i^n)$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta u_i^n| &\leq e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} |\Delta u_i^0|_m, \quad |u_i^n - \bar{u}_i^{*n}| e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} |u_i^0 - \bar{u}_i^{*0}|, \\ |Du_i^n| &\leq |Du_i^0| e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} + |g''_0|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m^2 \frac{|e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} - 1|}{|g'_0|_m}, \\ |d^3u_i^n| &\leq |d^3u_i^0|_m e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} + C^{III} \frac{|e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} - 1|}{|g'_0|_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C^{III} = |g^{(3)}_0|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m^3 + 3 |\Delta u_i^n|_m |Du_i^n|_m |g_0''|_m,$$

further

$$|d^4u_i^n| \leq |d^4u_i^0|_m e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} + C^{IV} \frac{|e^{\frac{n}{N} T |g'_0|_m} - 1|}{|g'_0|_m}.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C^{IV} &= |g_0^{(4)}|_m |\Delta u_i^n|_m^4 + 6 |\Delta u_i^n|_m^2 |Du_i^n|_m |g_0^{(3)}|_m + 3 |Du_i^n|^2 |g_0''|_m + \\ &\quad + 4 |\Delta u_i^n|_m |d^3u_i^n|_m |g_0'''|_m. \end{aligned}$$

The constants mean quantities similar to those of the second order equations.

2.4. Theorem 2. Applying the methods of 2.1/a, 2.1/b to (2.2), by using 2.2 and 2.3 we find that the following estimations are valid for the numerical scheme (2.2):

$$(2.4.0/a) \quad |u_i^n| \leq e^{\frac{n}{N} T K_0} |u_i^0|_m, \text{ where } |g_0(u)| < K_0 |u| \text{ or:}$$

$$(2.4.0/b) \quad |u_i^n| \leq |u_i^0|_m \text{ if } g_0(u) \leq 0 \text{ and } k < \frac{|u_i^n|}{|g_0(u_i^n)|_m}.$$

Further

$$(2.4.1/a) \quad |\Delta u_l^n|_m \leq e^{\frac{n}{N}T|g'_0|_m} |\Delta u_l^0|_m, \\ |u_l^n - \bar{u}_l^{*n}|_m \leq e^{\frac{n}{N}T|g'_0|_m} |u_l^0 - \bar{u}_l^{*0}|_m.$$

and as in 2.1/b:

$$(2.4.1/b) \quad |\Delta u_l^n| \leq E |\Delta u_l^0|_m \leq K \text{ for } n \leq n_1, \quad |u_l^n - \bar{u}_l^{*n}| \leq E |u_l^0 - \bar{u}_l^{*0}|_m \leq K_h^*,$$

$$\text{for } n \leq n_1^*, \text{ where } |\Delta u_l^0|_m \leq K; \quad |u_l^0 - \bar{u}_l^{*0}|_m \leq K_h^*, \\ E = e^{\frac{n}{N} \left(\lambda A \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} g_2(u) \right|_m + \frac{k}{h} \frac{A}{2} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} g_1(u) \right|_m + T \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g_0(u) \right|_m \right)},$$

where ($l = 1, 2; k = 0, 1, 2$)

$$\left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial u^l} g_k(u) \right|_m = \max_{|u| < U} \left| \frac{\partial^l}{\partial u^l} g_k(u) \right|.$$

Further

$$(2.4.2) \quad |Du_l^n| \leq e^{\frac{n}{N} \left(\frac{AE_2}{2} + \frac{AE_1}{2} + TE_0 \right)} |Du_l^0|_m + \frac{F_m}{E_m} |e^{3A_m E_m \frac{n}{N}} - 1|.$$

where:

$$E_2 = 6\lambda C_{4_2} C_{3_2}, \quad E_1 = 3 \frac{k}{h} C_{4_1} C_{3_1}, \quad E_0 = C_{7_0}.$$

$$E_m = \max (E_2, E_1, E_0), \quad F_m = \\ = \max (F_2, F_1, F_0), \quad A_m = \max \left(\frac{A}{2}, T \right)$$

$$F_2 = 2\lambda C_{5_2} C_{3_2}^3, \quad F_1 = \frac{k}{h} C_{5_1} C_{3_1}^3, \quad F_0 = C_{4_0} C_{3_0}^2;$$

$$(2.4.3) \quad |d^3 u_l^n| \leq e^{\frac{n}{N} \left(\frac{AE_2}{2} + \frac{AE_1}{2} + TE_0 \right)} |d^3 u_l^0|_m + \frac{F_m}{E_m} |e^{3A_m E_m \frac{n}{N}} - 1|.$$

where:

$$E_2 = 8\lambda C_{4_2} C_{3_2}, \quad E_1 = 4 \frac{k}{h} C_{4_1} C_{3_1}, \quad E_0 = C_{7_0}.$$

$$E_m = \max (E_2, E_1, E_0), \quad F_m = \max (F_2, F_1, F_0), \quad A_m = \\ = \max \left(\frac{A}{2}, T \right).$$

$$F_2 = 2\lambda C_{2_2}^{(3)}, \quad F_1 = \frac{k}{h} C_{2_1}^{(3)}, \quad F_0 = C_0^{\text{III}}.$$

$$(2.4.4) \quad |d^4 u_l^n| \leq e^{\frac{n}{N} \left(\frac{AE_2}{2} + \frac{AE_1}{2} + TE_0 \right)} \left| d^4 u_l^0 \right|_m + \frac{F_m}{E_m} \left| e^{3 \frac{n}{N} A_m E_m} - 1 \right|,$$

where

$$E_2 = 10\lambda C_{4_2} C_{3_2}, \quad E_1 = 5 \frac{k}{h} C_{4_2} C_{4_1}, \quad E_0 = C_{7_0}$$

$$E_m = \max (E_2, E_1, E_0), \quad F_m = \max (F_2, F_1, F_0), \quad A_m =$$

$$= \max \left(\frac{A}{2}, T \right)$$

$$F_2 = 2\lambda C_{2_2}^{(4)}, \quad F_1 = \frac{k}{h} C_{2_1}^{(4)}, \quad F_0 = C_0^{\text{IV}}.$$

We get the above estimations by using the induction steps on the layers $n, 2; n, 1; n, 0$ one after the other successively.

The method of estimation of $d^l u_l^n$ ($l > 4$) is executed similarly.

3. In 1. we approximated the original problem

$$(3.1) \quad u_t = [\Phi(u)]_{xx} - \Psi(u), \quad u(x, 0) = u^0(x) \text{ by the problem}$$

$$(3.2) \quad u_t = [\Phi_1(u)]_{xx} - \Psi_1(u), \quad u(x, 0) = u_1^0(x) \text{ where } |\Phi(u) - \Phi_1(u)| \leq \varepsilon_\Phi$$

$$|\Psi(u) - \Psi_1(u)| \leq \varepsilon_\Psi \text{ if } |u| < U (\Phi_1^{(l)}(u), \Psi_1^{(l)}(u)) (l \leq 5)$$

are bounded) and

$$\varepsilon_\Phi = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad |u^0(x) - u_1^0(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The scheme applied for (3.1) is:

$$(3.3) \quad u_i^{n+1} = u_i^{n,0} + \frac{k}{h^2} (\Phi(u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2\Phi(u_i^{n,0}) + \Phi(u_{i-1}^{n,0})),$$

$$(3.3/a) \quad u_i^{n+1} = u_i^{n,1} - k\Psi(u_i^{n,1}), \quad u_i^{0,0} = u^0(ih), \quad u_i^{n,0} \equiv u_i^n.$$

The scheme applied for (3.2) is:

$$(3.4) \quad v_i^{n+1} = v_i^{n,0} + \frac{k}{h^2} (\Phi_1(v_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2\Phi_1(v_i^{n,0}) + \Phi_1(v_{i-1}^{n,0})).$$

$$(3.4/a) \quad v_i^{n+1} = v_i^{n,1} - k\Psi_1(v_i^{n,1}), \quad v_i^{0,0} = u^0(ih), \quad v_i^{n,0} \equiv v_i^n.$$

The difference of (3.3) and (3.4) is:

$$v_i^{n,1} - u_i^{n,1} = v_i^{n,0} - u_i^{n,0} + G$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G = & \frac{k}{h^2} \{ \Phi_1(v_{i+1}^{n,0}) - \Phi_1(u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2(\Phi_1(v_i^{n,0}) - \Phi_1(u_i^{n,0})) + \\ & + \Phi_1(v_{i-1}^{n,0}) - \Phi_1(u_{i-1}^{n,0}) + \Phi_1(u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - \Phi(u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2(\Phi_1(u_i^{n,0}) - \Phi(u_i^{n,0})) + \\ & + \Phi_1(u_{i-1}^{n,0}) - \Phi(u_{i-1}^{n,0}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$\lambda = \frac{k}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{4|\Phi'_1|_m}, \quad \Phi'_1 \geq 0.$$

If v_i^n and Φ_1 takes over the role from u_{i+1}^n and g then we can apply the method of 2.1/a:

$$\begin{aligned} G \leq & \frac{k}{h^2} \{ \delta\Phi_1(u_{i+1}^{n,0})(v_{i+1}^{n,0} - u_{i+1}^{n,0}) - 2\delta\Phi_1(u_i^{n,0})(v_i^{n,0} - u_i^{n,0}) + \\ & + \delta\Phi_1(u_{i-1}^{n,0})(v_{i-1}^{n,0} - u_{i-1}^{n,0}) + 4\varepsilon_\Phi \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows similarly as in 2.1/a

$$|v_i^{n,1} - u_i^{n,1}| \leq |v_i^n - u_i^n| + 4\lambda\varepsilon_\Phi.$$

The difference of (3.3/a) and (3.4/a) is:

$$v_i^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1} = v_i^{n,1} - u_i^{n,1} - k[\Psi_1(v_i^{n,1}) - \Psi_1(u_i^{n,1}) + \Psi_1(u_i^{n,1}) - \Psi(u_i^{n,1})].$$

Assume that $\Psi'(u) \geq 0$, $|\Psi(u) - \Psi_1(u)| \leq \varepsilon_\Psi$ if $|u| \leq U$, $k \leq \frac{1}{|\Psi'_1|_m}$. Then it follows: $|v_i^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}| \leq |v_i^n - u_i^n| + 4\lambda\varepsilon_\Phi + k\varepsilon_\Psi$ and by mathematical induction:

$$|v_i^{n+1} - u_i^{n+1}| \leq |v_i^1 - u_i^1| + t_n\varepsilon_\Psi + nO\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

Apply the initial function $u_1^0(ih)$ instead of $u^0(ih)$ to the scheme (3.4). Denote by \bar{v}_i^n the solution in this case. Then because of $|u^0(x) - u_1^0(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ we have

$$|\bar{v}_i^n - u_i^n| \leq |\bar{v}_i^n - v_i^n| + |v_i^n - u_i^n| \leq \varepsilon E + |v_i^1 - u_i^1| + t_n\varepsilon_\Psi + nO\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

(see E in 2.4.1/b).

If $n, N \rightarrow \infty$, respectively $h \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow 0$) then $\varepsilon_\Psi \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Applying the method 2.1/b and 2.1/c, we get similar results.

One can obtain similar estimations for \bar{v}_i^n , $\Delta_x \bar{v}_i^n$ and $\Delta_t \bar{v}_i^n$ as in (2.2). These estimations in absolute value norm are valid in weak sense, too, so we can apply Theorem 6.1 of [2].

Theorem 3. Let $\{h\}$ be an infinite sequence which converges to zero. Assume that the conditions for $\frac{k}{h^2}$ (or $\frac{k}{h}$) are satisfied for each h . Then there exist a subsequence of $\{h\}$ such that \bar{v}_i^n converges uniformly in any subdomain $G \subset H$ to a solution U of problem (1.0).

We can obtain limitations for $\Delta_x^{(l)} \bar{v}_i^n$ ($l \leq 4$) and $\Delta_t^{(m)} \bar{v}_i^n$ ($m \leq 2$) for (3.2). So we get similarly to Theorem 3:

Theorem 4. $\Delta_x \bar{v}_i^n \rightarrow U_x(x, t)$ uniformly, $\Delta_{xx} \bar{v}_i^n \rightarrow U_{xx}(x, t)$ uniformly, $\Delta_t \bar{v}_i^n \rightarrow U_t(x, t)$ uniformly. If we know the uniqueness then the convergence of the complete sequence follows.

Proof. The convergence of $\Delta_x \bar{v}_i^n$ follows from the boundedness of $|\Delta_{xx} \bar{v}_i^n|$ and $|\Delta_{xt} \bar{v}_i^n|$, similarly the convergence of $\Delta_{xx} \bar{v}_i^n$ from the boundedness of $|\Delta_{xxx} \bar{v}_i^n|$ and $|\Delta_{xxt} \bar{v}_i^n|$, and the convergence of $\Delta_t \bar{v}_i^n$ from the boundedness of $|\Delta_{tx} \bar{v}_i^n|$ and $|\Delta_{tt} \bar{v}_i^n|$.

From the equation $u_t = [\Phi(u)]_{xx} - \Psi(u)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Phi_{uu} u_x^2 - \Phi_u u_{xx} - \Psi, \\ u_{tx} &= \Phi_u^{(3)} u_x^2 + 3u_x u_{xx} \Phi_{uu} + \Phi_u u_x^{(3)} - \Psi_u u_x, \\ u_{txx} &= \Phi_u^{(4)} u_x^3 + 5\Phi_u^{(3)} u_x u_{xx} + 3u_{xx}^2 \Phi_{uu} + 4u_x u_x^{(3)} \Phi_{uu} + u_x^{(4)} \Phi_u - \\ &\quad - \Psi_{uu} u_x^2 - \Psi_u u_{xx}, \\ u_{tt} &= \Phi_u^{(3)} u_x^2 u_t + 2u_x u_{xt} \Phi_{uu} + \Phi_{uu} u_{xx} u_t + u_{xxt} \Phi_u - \Psi_u u_t. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of the expressions on the left sides result from the boundedness of the expressions on right sides.

So the solution of (3.2) (respectively 1.1) exists in strong sense, too, and is arbitrarily near to the solution of (3.1) (respectively (1.0)).

We deal with the difference of the exact and the approximate solution, the rate of the convergence and the uniqueness in other papers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Калашников А. С.: О характере распространения возмущений в задачах нелинейной теплопроводности с поглощением. Журнал вычислительной математики и математической физики (14) (4) (1974), 891–905.
- [2] Gravellou J. L. and Jamet P.: A finite difference approach to some degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 20 (2) (1971), 199–223.
- [3] Reynolds A. C. jr.: Convergent finite difference schemes for nonlinear parabolic equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 9 (4) (1972), 523–533.